Quality care is essential to wellbeing and survival of patients with Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), a severe morbid form of TB. The acquisition of MDR-TB is a consequence of two main factors which are: health services and patient-related. On the part of the health services, it is primarily a result of compromised quality of care provided to TB patients.

Understanding the attitudes and perceptions of patients towards the care they receive is crucial in tailoring services which meet their needs. Such efforts will improve treatment success and long-term care of the disease.

**OBJECTIVE**

The present research explores how MDR-TB patients voluntarily confined to hospitalisation perceive care and treatment strategy, and also to assess the influence of psychosocial factor on their perception of care and strategy of treatment in Nigeria.

**METHODS**

The study enrolled 98 assessable and consenting MDR-TB patients on voluntary confinement in the MDR-TB hospitals (University College Hospital and General Chest Hospital, Ibadan; Dr Lawrence Henshaw Memorial Hospital, Calabar; and Mainland Hospital, Lagos).

Patients’ perception on quality of care was evaluated with a 5 point Likert scale of 28-items. Patients’ perception on treatment strategy was evaluated with a 6-item instrument that asked whether or not current treatment during hospitalisation was preferred. For purposes of further description of data and logistic regression procedure, quality of care and perception of treatment strategy score were categorised.

Descriptive statistics was used to analyse all variables including independent socio-demographic and psychological health. Associations were tested using bivariate and multivariate statistics.

**RESULT**

Majority of patients (64.0%) had been on TB treatment for about 5 and more years without cure. 7.1% were due to end the DOT at 8 months, while 16.3% were near completion of treatment course at 7 months, only 4% were just beginning treatment at 1 month. Perception of quality of care score ranged from 28 to 140, while perception on treatment strategy ranged from 0 to 6. Mean score for perception of quality of care was 109.17±24.00; and mean score for perception of treatment strategy was 3.63±1.69.

**DISCUSSION**

Majority of MDR-TB patients may perceive quality of care to be good; however their psychological health influences their perception significantly. The present study further suggests that patients’ satisfaction is influenced by a positive interpersonal relationship between health workers and health workers’ competence.

**IMPLICATION FOR PRACTICE**

Health care providers need to improve treatment strategies to encourage acceptance of care as poor perception to health care may deter treatment completion and cause relapse in response to treatment.
HSRC Research Outputs: Submission and Sign-off form

This form must be signed by the HSRC author submitting the output for capturing in the Research Management System (RMS).

Title of research output: Perception of quality of care among Multidrug-Resistant patients in Nigeria?

Type of research output: (e.g., Client report, journal article, book chapter) **Poster discussion**

Confidential: [ ] Yes [ ] No

Project number: N/A

1. Intellectual Property (copyright): Who holds copyright to the research output?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Sciences Research Council</th>
<th>Other (please specify)</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Department of Public Health, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please contact the HSRC Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer Office for more information on copyright and related matters.*

2. Authorship responsibility and contributions

*Please list all authors in the published placement order to ensure correct bibliographical referencing. Please add or remove rows if required.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of author (Surname, initials)</th>
<th>Institutional affiliation(s)</th>
<th>Country (if outside South Africa)</th>
<th>Contribution(s) made (Please indicate below, or refer to the page of the output document where this information can be found)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oladimeji O et al</td>
<td>HSRC</td>
<td></td>
<td>See attached pdf copy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Additional information and supporting attachments

A: PLEASE COMPLETE IF THE OUTPUT WAS PUBLISHED OUTSIDE THE HSRC

The output was peer-reviewed prior to publication *(Please attach confirmation of peer review in the case of a book or book chapter)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>N/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

B: PLEASE COMPLETE IF THE OUTPUT WAS PUBLISHED BY THE HSRC (excluding books or book chapters approved by the HSRC Press editorial board)

The output was reviewed with the help of iThenticate software before it was finalised *(Please keep your own record of such review)*

All contributing authors are in agreement with the information listed in (2), above *(Please keep your own record of such agreement)*

The output was reviewed and approved by the ED or designate prior to publication / external distribution *(Please attach proof of prior approval)*

Olanrewaju Oladimeji
NAME OF SUBMITTING AUTHOR

SIGNATURE

DATE 17 July, 2017

Revised research output submission and sign-off form
Incorporating inputs from and subsequent to ED meeting, 13 May 2016