

| Klasnr./Class No. | Registernr./No. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 001.3072068 NBSR | $46751 / 0$ |

## RGN RAAD vir

 GEESTESWETENSKAPLIKE
## BIBLIOTEEK LIBRARY

## HSRC

HUMAN
SCIENCES RESEARCH COUNCIL

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, ARTS AND SCIENCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF EDUCATIONAL AND̈ SOCIAL RESEARCH

> CONDITIONS OF SERVICE OF TEACHERS IN THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA AND SOUTH WEST AFRICA

PART 1: CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND SERVICE REGULATIONS

PAR'T $2: \quad$ SCHOOL AND EXTRAMURAL DUTIES AN!D ASSOCIATED CONDITIONS

8
by
J. H.C. OOSTHUIZEN

1966

THE CONTENTS OF THIS REPORT MAY BE FREELY QUOTED PROVIDED THE SOURCE IS FULLY ACKNOWLEDGED

|  | PART I: CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND SERVICE REGULATIONS |
| :---: | :---: |
| CHAPTER I : | INTRODUCTION <br> Background - Purpose of the survey - Method of the survey - The survey group - Interpretation |
| CHAPTER 2 : | THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND ASSOCIATED CONDITIONS <br> Happiness and contentment in the profession - wish to have been trained for some other occupation - Would teachers, knowing what they now know, consider entering the teaching profession if they had to start again? - Would teachers advise others to become teachers? - Aspects of the conditions associated with a teacher's conditions of service which make him unhappy. |
| CHAPTER 3 : | PROSPECTS AND SYSTEM OF PROMOTION, AND DEMOTION <br> Are there adequate prospects of promotion or not? - Are teachers promoted fairly? - Automatic promotion to higher posts - Shortcomings which make for unfairness in the system of promotion - Demotion Grounds for promotion. <br> Complaints about the system of promotion expressed in previous surveys. |
| CHAPTER 4 : | SALARY <br> Satisfaction or dissatisfaction with salaries - Dissatisfaction with the general salary structure - Salary complaints as encountered in previous surveys. |
| CHAPTER 5 : | PENSION SCHEME <br> Satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the pension scheme - An analysis of complaints about pensions. |
| CHAPTER 6 : | LEAVE <br> Vacation leave - Study leave - Occasional leave - Leave to visit other schools - Special leave - Sick leave. |
| CHAPTER 7 : | CIVIC RIGHTS $\qquad$ <br> Does the teacher consider the restrictions on his civic rights to be a disadvantage of his profession? - Demands in connection with civic rights to which teachers object - Views on civic rights as revealed in previous surveys. |
| CHAPTER 8 : | DOES THE TEACHER FEEL SECURE IN HIS PROFESSION? <br> Satisfaction or dissatisfaction about the manner in which disciplinary matters involving teachers are conducted - Is the teacher adequately protected against the principal, the inspector, the department, the parent, and the child? - Does he feel secure in his profession? |


| CHAPTER 9 : | THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON HIS OWN STATUS AND THAT OF THE TEACHING PROFESSION |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Status ranking of occupations - How does the teacher's status compare with that of other occupations? - Surveys in other countries in which the status of the teacher is dealt with - South African surveys in which the teacher's status is dealt with - Are there signs that the status of the teacher is taking its rightful place in the status structure - What can be done to improve the teacher's status (a) by the authorities and (b) by the teaching body. |


| CHAPTER 10 | THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON MATTERS IN AND AROUND THE SCHOOL WHICH MAY HAVE A GOOD OR BAD EFFECT ON HIS HAPPINESS AND CONTENTMENT IN THE PROFESSION |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Service regulations and departmental instructions - The school and its organisation - Preparation c and reporting on iessons. |


| CHAPTER 11: | THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON WORKING HOURS SPENT IN SCHOOL, AND |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ALSO ON TIME SPENT ON ADMINISTRATION, PREPARATION OF WORK, |
|  | AND CORRECTION OF SCHOOL WORK |

Are the working hours such as to give the teacher a dislike of the profession? - Are school working hours (excluding extramural activities) long enough, too long or too short? - Are working hours lost as a result of incidental matters not directly connected with school work? - Time spent on preparation, correction and checking, and also on administrative work.

Is it or is it not essential for the school to make provision for extrainurai activities? - Extent of extramural activities - Planning and organisation of extramural activities - Time spent on extramural activities - Responsibility for injuries sustained by pupils - Transportation of pupils by teachers Effect of extramural activities on the teacher's school work, status and health and the mental effect of such activities.

APPENDLX ..... 210
BIBLIOGRAPHY ..... 211 ii

| TABLE |  | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ARE YOU HAPPY AND CONTENTED IN YOUR PROFESSION? DO YOU OFTEN WISH THAT YOU HAD BEEN TRAINED FOR SOME OTHER OCCLPATION? |  |
| 2.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 23 |
|  | WITH YOUR PRESENT KNOWLEDGE OF THE TEACHING PROFESSION WOULD YOU AGAIN CHOOSE TEACHING AS AN OCCUPATION? |  |
| 2.2 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 25 |
|  | WOULD YOU ADVISE OTHERS TO BECOME TEACHERS? |  |
| 2.3 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 26 |
|  | WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS ASSOCIATED WITH A TEACHER'S CONDITIONS OF SERVICE MAKE HIM UNHAPPY? |  |
| 2.4 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 28 |
| 2.4 .1 | Principals of schools | 30 |
| 2.4 .2 | Vice-principals and Assistants | 31 |
| 2.4 .3 | Lecturers | 32 |
|  | PROMOTION: ARE THERE ADEQUATE PROSPECTS OF PROMOTION? |  |
| 3.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 35 |
|  | PROMOTION: ARE TEACHERS PROMOTED FAIRLY? |  |
|  | TO WHAT EXTENT DOES THE SYSTEM OF PROMOTION MAKE THE TEACHER UNHAPPY? |  |
| 3.2 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 37 |
|  | PROMOTION: SHOULD THERE BE AUTOMATIC PROMOTION TO A HIGHER POST? |  |
|  | SHOULD THIS ALSO APPLY TO THE POSTS OF PRINCIPAL AND VICEPRINCIPAL? |  |
| 3.3 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 39 |
|  | PROMOTION: IF PROMOTION IS UNFAIR, TO WHAT DO YOU ATTRIBUTE THIS? |  |
| 3.4 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) .. | 41 |
| 3.4.1 | Principals of schools ........................................................ | 42 |
| 3.4 .2 | Vice-principals and Assistants | 43 |
| 3.4 .3 | Lecturers ..................................................................... | 44 |
|  | DEMOTION: SHOULD A TEACHER SOMETIMES BE DEMOTED? |  |
|  | IF SO, HOW SHOULD THIS BE DONE? |  |
| 3.5 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers ..................... | 46 |
|  | ON WHAT GROUNDS SHOULD A TEACHER BE PROMOTED? |  |
| 3.6 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) ... | 48 |
|  | SALARY: SATISFACTION OR DISSATISFACTION WITH THE SALARY STRUCTURE |  |
| 4.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 52 |

SALARY: WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER THE GREATEST WEAKNESS IN THE SALARY STRUCTURE?
4.2 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) ..... 54
4.2 .1 Principals of schools ..... 56
4.2.2 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 57
4.2.3 Lecturers ..... 58
PENSION SCHEME: SATISFACTION OR DISSATISFACTION WITH PENSION SCHEME
5.1 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) ..... 61
PENSION SCHEME: PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED PERSONS IN DIFFERENT GROUPS UNDER VARIOUS EDUCATION DEPARTMENTS
5.2 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers ..... 62
PENSION COMPLAINTS
5.3 Dissatisfied persons under various Education Departments ..... 64
PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OF COMPLAINTS RANKED HIGHEST: 1. CONTRIBUTIONS TOO HIGH FOR TOO SMALL AN ANNUITY
5.4
Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers
Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers ..... 65 ..... 65
PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OFCOMPLAINTS RANKED HIGHEST: 2. TEMPORARY STAFF. ESPF.-CIALLY WOMEN. NOT PERMITTED TO CONTRIBUTE TO PENSIONFUND OR UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE3. PFRIOD OF PAYMENT TOO SHORT: IF PENSIONER DIES AFTERABOUT FIVE YEARS, HIS WIDOW IS NOT PROVIDED FOR
5.4.1 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers ..... 66
PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OF COMPLAINTS RANKED HIGHEST IN ORDER OF IMPORTANCE:4. PENSION ANNUITY DOES NOT MAKE SUFFICIENT ALLOWANCEFOR DEPRECIATION OF MONEY
5.4.2 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers ..... 68
LEAVE: WHICH OF THE LEAVE REGULATIONS DOES THE TEACHER CONSIDER INADEQUATE?
6.1 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) ..... 70
6.1.1 Principals of schools ..... 71
6.1.2 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 72
6.1.3 Lecturers ..... 73
CIVIC RIGHTS: DOES THE TEACHER CONSIDER THE RESTRICTIONS ONHIS CIVIC RIGHTS TO BE A DISADVANTAGE OF HIS PROFESSION?
7.1 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers ..... 76
CIVIC RIGHTS: WHICH OF THE DEMANDS MADE ON HIM DOES THE TEACHER FIND RESTRICTIVE?
7.1 .1 Principals of schools ..... 77
7.1 .2 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 78
7.1.3 Lecturers ..... 79

|  | DISCIPLINE: IS THE TEACHER SATISFIED WITH THE WAY IN WHICH DISCIPLINARY MATTERS INVOLVING TEACHERS ARE CONDUCTED IN THE SCHOOL? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.] | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants; Lecturers | 83 |
|  | DOES THE TEACHER FEEL ADEQUATELY PROTECTED? |  |
| 8. 5 | Principals of schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 84 |
| 8.8.1 | Vice-principals and Assistants | 86 |
| 8. . . 2 | Lecturers . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 87 |
|  | DOES THE TEACHER FEEL SECURE IN HIS PROFESSION? |  |
| $8 \cdots:$ | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 88 |
|  | STATUS RANKING OF OCCUPATIONS |  |
| 9. | Principals of higher training institutions, Principals of schools, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 91 |
|  | ASPECTS OF SCHOOL WORK THAT MAKE THE PROFESSION UNPLEASANT OR UNATTRACTIVE TO THE TEACHER: <br> A. IN CONNECTION WITH SERVICE REGULATIONS |  |
| 10. 1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers <br> (National picture) | 100 |
| 10.1.1 | Principals of schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 101 |
| 10.1.2 | Vice-principals and Assistants . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 102 |
| 1).1.3 | Lecturers | 103 |
|  | ASPECTS OF SCHOOL WORK THAT MAKE THE PROFESSION UNPLEASANT OR UNATTRACTIVE TO THE TEACHER: <br> B. IN CONNECTION WITH SCHOOL |  |
| 11). 2 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers <br> (National picture) | 107 |
| 10. 2.1 | Principals of schools | 108 |
| 10. 2.2 | Vice-principals and assistants . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 109 |
| 10. 2.3 | Lecturers | 110 |
|  | ASPECTS OF SCHOOLWORK THAT MAKE THE PROFESSION UNPLEASANT OR UNATTRACTIVE TO THE TEACHER: <br> C. IN CONNECTION WITH PREPARATION AND REPORTING |  |
| 10.3 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers <br> (National picture) | 113 |
| 10.3 .1 | Principals of schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 114 |
| 10.3.2 | Vice-principals and assistants . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 115 |
| 10.3 .3 | Lecturers | 116 |
|  | WORKING HOURS: ARE THE WORKING HOURS SUCH AS TO GIVE THE TEACHER A DISLIKE OF THE PROFESSION? |  |
| 11.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers .................. | 119 |
|  | WORKING HOURS: ARE SCHOOL WORKING HOURS ADEQUATE (EXCLUDING EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES)? |  |
| 11.2 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers .................. | 120 |


|  | WORKING HOURS: ARE WORKING HOURS LOST AS A RESULT OF INCIDENTAL ACTIVITIES NOT DIRECTLY CONNECTED WITH SCHOOL WORK? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.3 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 123 |
|  | APPROXIMATELY WHAT AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS A WEEK DOES THE TEACHER SPEND ON PREPARATION? |  |
| 11.4 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 124 |
| 11.4.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers(Education authorities) | 125 |
|  | APPROXIMATELY WHAT AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS A WEEK DOES THE TEACHER SPEND ON THE MARKING AND CHECKING OF CLASSWORK? |  |
| 11.5 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | $12 ?$ |
| 11.5 .1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (Education authorities) | 128 |
|  | ADMINISTRATION: APPROXIMATELY WHAT AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS A WEEK DOES THE TEACHER SPEND ON ADMINISTRATION? |  |
| 11.6 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 130) |
| 11.6 .1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (Education authorities) | 131. |
|  | ADMINISTRATION: APPROXIMATELY WHAT AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS A WEEK DOES THE TEACHER SPEND ON ADMINISTRATION AT THE END OF THE TERM? |  |
| 1.1 .7 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 133 |
| 11.7.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (Education authorities) | 134 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: DOES THE TEACHER CONSIDER IT ESSENTLAL FOR THE SCHOOL TO MAKE PROVISION FOR EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES? |  |
| 12.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | $13^{7}$ |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: ARE EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES IN THE SCHOOL SO EXTENSIVE THAT TEACHERS' WORK SUFFERS? |  |
| 12.2 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers .................. | 138 |
|  | EXTRAMURA L. ACTIVITIES: WHO SHOULD PLAN THE ACTIVITIES? |  |
| 12.3 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 140) |
| 12.3 .1 | Principals of schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 14- |
| 12.3.2 | Vice-principals and Assistants | 14: |
| 12.3 .3 | Lecturers | 143 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: WHO SHOULD ORGANISE THE ACTIVITIES? |  |
| 12.4 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 144 |
| 12.4 .1 | Principals of schools | 145 |


| T\&BLE |  | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4.2 | Vice-principals and Assistants | 146 |
| 1. 4.3 | Lecturers | 147 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITJES: IF THE STAFF HAS TO PERFORM THESE DUTIES, WHAT DO YOU REGARD AS A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME TO SPEND ON THEM PER WEEK? |  |
| 1. 2.5 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 149 |
| 12. 5.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (Education authorities) | 150 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: APPROXIMATELY WHAT AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK DOES THE TEACHER SPEND ON EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES? |  |
| 18. 6 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 151 |
| 1<. 6.1 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (Education authorities) | 152 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: SHOULD THE TEACHER BE RESPONSIBLE IF A PUPIL IS INVOLVED IN AN ACCIDENT DURING EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES? |  |
| 12.7 | Principais, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 154 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITTIFS: IN WHAT WAY SHOULD A TEACHER BE SAFEGUARDED IN SUCH A SITUATION (THAT IS, WHEN A PUPIL IS INJURED)? |  |
| 12.8 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers . | 155 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: (TRANSPORTATION OF PUPILS) IS THE TEACHER EXPECTED TO TRANSPORT PUPILS FOR SOME OR OTHER SCHOOL ACTIVITY AT HIS OWN EXPENSE? |  |
| 12. 9 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 157 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL AC'TIVITIES (TRANSPORTATION OF PUPILS) DOES THE TEACHER TRANSPORT PUPILS FOR SCHOOL ACTIVITIES AT HIS OWN EXPENSE? |  |
| 12. 10 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers .................. | 158 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES (TRANSPORTATION OF PUPILS) DOES THE TEACHER OBJECT TO TRANSPORTING PUPILS AT HIS OWN EXPENSE? |  |
| 12.11 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers | 159 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: IS IT A FINANCIAL SACRIFICE FOR THE TEACHER TC TAKE PART IN EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES? |  |
| 12.12 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 161 |
|  | EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: WHAT EFFECT DO THEY HAVE ON THE TEACHER'S SCHGOL WORK, STATUS AND HEALTH? |  |
| 12.13 | Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) | 162 |
| 12.13 .1 | Principals of schools . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 164 |
| 12.13.2 | Vice-principals and Assistants . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 165 |
| 12.13.3 | Lecturers | 166 |

EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES: MENTAL EFFECT ON THE TEACHER
12.14 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) ..... 167
12.14.1 Principals of schools ..... 168
12.14.2 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 169
12.14 .3 Lecturers ..... 170
ADMINISTRATION: WHAT EFFECT HAS ADMINISTRATIVE WORK ON A TEACHER'S SCHOOL WORK?
13.1 Principals, Vice-principals and Assistants, Lecturers (National picture) ..... 173
13.1 .1 Principals of schools ..... 174
13.1.2 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 175
13.1.3 Lecturers ..... 176
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK IS THE TEACHER REQUIRED TO DO? COMPLETION OF OFFICIAL AND OTHER FORMS
13.2 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 178
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK SHOULD BE DONE BY THE TEACHER? COMPLETION OF OFFICIAL AND OTHER FORMS
$13.3 \quad$ Principals of schools ..... 179 ..... 179
13.3.1 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 180
13.3 .2 Lecturers ..... 181
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK IS THE TEACHER REQUIRED TO DO? CONTROL OF SCHOOL FUNDS AND SUNDRY MONEYS
Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 184
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK SHOULD BE DONE BY THE TEACHER? CONTROL OF SCHOOL FUNDS AND SUNDRY MONEYS
13.5 Principals of schools ..... 185
13.5.1 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 186
13.5.2 Lecturers ..... 187
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK IS THE TEACHER REQUIRED TO DO? CONTROL AND SUPERVISION (PROPERTY AND MATERIALS)
13.6 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 189
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK SHOULD BE DONE BY THE TEACHER? CONTROL AND SUPERVISION (PROPERTY AND MATERIALS)
Principals of schools ..... 19013.7
13.7.1 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 191
13.7.2 Lecturers ..... 192
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK IS THE TEACHER REQUIRED TO DO? CONTROL OF PUPIIS
13.8 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 194
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK SHOULD BE DONE BY THE TEACHER? CONTROL OF PUPILS
13.9 Principals of schools ..... 195
TABLE PAGE
13.9.1 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 196
13.9.2 Lecturers ..... 197WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK IS THE TEACHER REQUIRED TO DO?ORGANISATION OF FUNCTIONS
13.10 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 199
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK SHOULD BE DONE BY THE TEACHER? ORGANISATION OF FUNCTIONS
13.11 Principals of schools ..... 200
13.11 .1 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 201
13. 11.2 Lecturers ..... 202
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK IS THE TEACHER REQUIRED TO DO?REQUISITIONS FOR BOOKS, ETC.
13... 2Vice-principals and Assistants204
WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK SHOULD BE DONE BY THE TEACHER? REQUISITIONS FOR BOOKS, ETC.
13. .. 3 Principals of schools ..... 205
13.3.3.1 Vice-principals and Assistants ..... 206
13.13 .2 Lecturers ..... 207

## FOREWORD

1. The National Advisory Education Council, which commenced its activities on 2nd January, 1963, was established by the National Advisory Education Council Act, 1962 (Act No. 86 of 1962), signed by the State President on 25 th June, 1962. The main functions of the Council are defined in sections 7 and 8 of the Act, but what is important is that the Council must endeavour "to determine in consultation with the Department of Education, Arts and Science, the provincial education departments, education bodies and organizations and persons who are concerned with education matters, the br oad fundamental principles of sound education for the country as a whole" (section 7 (2) of the Act).
2. In order to implement this directive, the Council resolved at its first meeting on 29 th -30 th March, 1963, to recommend, under section 5(1) of Act No. 86 of 1962, to the Minister of Education, Arts and Science that an ad hoc committee with four sub-committees on the subject of "THE TEACHER" be appointed. The following themes were assigned to the four sub-committees:
(a) THE RECRUITMENT, SELECTION AND WASTAGE OF TEACHERS,
(b) THE TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION OF TEACHERS,
(c) THE CONDITIONS OF SERVICE OF TEACHERS, and
(d) THE STATUS AND PRESTIGE OF TEACHERS.

In selecting these themes concerning teachers, the Council aimed at obtaining a nation-wide picture of "the teacher" with reference to these aspects.

The Minister accepted the recommendation and the sub-committees commenced their activities during 1963.

The constitution of the ad hoc committee \& the sub-committees is as follows:
AD HOC COMMITTEE: "THE TEACHER"

| Chairman | Prof. dr. P. S. du Toit (ex officio member of the four sub-committees). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Members | Chairmen of the four sub-committees: |
|  | Mr. A.G.S. Meiring, Prof. R.E. Lighton, Mr. S. Theron, Mr. A. J. Koen (succeeded, on his resignation by Prof. J. J. Mulder). |
| Liaison Members : | Executive Committee of the National Advisory Education Council: Prof. G.J. Jordaan, Prof. H.J.J. Bingle, Miss E.C. Steÿn, |

Sub-committee: Recruitment, selection and wastage of teachers
Chairman : Mr. A.G.S. Meiring.
Members : Prof. J.J. Mulder, Dr. P. M, Robbertse, Prof. R. McMillan, Mr. L.C. Bruwer, Dr. A.L. Kotzee (Prof. J.J. Mulder was made chairman of the Sub-committee: Status and Prestige of Teachers, and was succeeded on this Sub-committee by Dr. A. L. Kotzee)
Liaison member ior the Executive Committee of the National Advisory Education Council: Miss E.C. Steÿn.

Sub-committee: Training and certification of teachers
Chairman : Prof. R.E. Lighton

Members : Mr. A.W. Muller (succeeded on his resignation by Mr. J. T. Slater), Prof. S.J. Preller, Mr. C.P. van der Merwe. Dr. W.K.H. du Plessis, Mr. J.V. Smit, Dr. E.L.G. Schnell.

Liaison member for the Executive Committee of the National Advisory Education Council: Prof. G.J. Jordaan.

Sub-commitiee: Conditions of. $\_$vice of teachers.

| Chairman $:$ | Mr.S. Theron. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Members $:$ | Mr. H.C.Botha, Dr. G.J. Potgieter, Miss L.M. Spies, |
|  | Mr. J.H.Stander, Dr. J.E. Davies, Adv. N.C. Gracie. |

Liaison member for the Executive Committee of the National Advisory Education Council: Prof. H.J.J. Bingle.

Sub-committee: Status and prestige of teachers
Chairman : Mr. A.J. Koen (succeeded on his resignation by Prof. J. J. Muỉder).
Members : Mr. H. Lundie, Prof. J.J. Fourie, Mr. J.D. Möhr, Mr. D.F. Abernethy, Mr. L.L. Wahl.

Liaison member for the Executive Committee of the National Advisory Education Council: Mr. S.G. Osler.

Additional member: Adv. N.C. Gracie.
3. At the request of the Council, the research work of the Sub-committee: The recruitment, selection and wastage of teachers and the Sub-committee: The conditions of service of teachers, was undertaken by the National Bureau of Educational and Social Research, in co-operation with the Council.
4. As information in connection with the recruiting, selection and conditions of service of teachers had to be obtained from principals of schools, vice-principals and assistants, rectors/deans and lecturers of teachers ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ training institutions, inspectors of eaucation, and the four provincial education departments, the Department of Education, Arts and Science and the Education Department of South West Africa, questionnaires were drawn up to cover those aspects on which the various persons, institutions and authorities were required to supply information.

Eleven questionnaires were prepared to obtain the required information for the two Sub-committees of the Council. Two questionnaires on the wastage of teachers (NB. 482 and 483) were sent to all schools in the country for completion by permanently appointed teachers (NB.482), as well as by principals (NB.483), in respect of teachers who had resigned from service during the period 1st October, 1963 to 3 th September, 1964 , or who had transferred to ancther education department or to private or subsidised schools. Questionnaire NB. 484 was sent to the education departments and the governing bodies of private and subsidised schools to obtain details about all teachers who left the service during the period mentioned. During the fourth school term of 1963 a questionnaire was sent to a random sample of Std. 10 pupils to determine their attitude towards teaching as a profession. The questionnaires on recruitment, selection and conditions of service of teachers were sent to the persoris, institutions and authorities concerned during February, 1964 (see p. 210 for a brief description of the questionnaires).
5. The research for the two Sub-committees of the Council was undertaken by a research team of the Bureau headed by Mr. J.B. Haasbroei and consisted of Mr. J.H.C. Oosthuizen, Mír. A.J. Venter, Mr. P.G. van Z. Spies and Mrs. S.M.E. Boshoff. The reports and the researchers responsible for them are as foliows:

The interest of Std. 10 pupils in teaching as a profession in the Republic of South Africa and in South West Africa: Mr. ©. B. Haasbrcek.

The recruitment of secondary school pupils to the teaching profession in the Republic of South Africa and in South West Africa: Mr. A.J. Venter.

The selection of prospective student teachers in the Republic of South Africa and in South West Africa: Mr. P.G. van Z. Spies.

The wastage of teachers in the Republic of South Africa and in South West Africa: Mrs. S.M.E. Boshoff.

Conditions of service of teachers in the Republic of South Africa and in South West Africa: Mr. J. H. C. Oosthuizen.
6. This report, entitled "Conditions of Service of Teachers", is a comparative study of the conditions of service of the teachers of the four provincial education departments, namely those of the Cape Province, Natal, the Orange Free State and the Transvaal, the Department of Education, Arts and Science, the Education Department of South West Africa and the non-departmental schools (private and provincially subsidised schools). The views of principals, vice-principals and assistants and lecturers in regard to conditions of service and associated conditions, prospects of promotion and the system of promotion, salary, pension schemes, leave, civic rights, status, factors which influence happiness and contentment, working hours, administrative duties and extramural activities are discussed in this report.
7. I wish to express my gratitude to the Executive Committee of the National Advisory Education Council, as well as to the Sub-committees already referred to, for their share in this survey.
8. A word of thanks is also due to the heads of the six education departments for their permission to conduct this survey in their schools and teachers' training colleges. To the principals and teachers of the schools of the six education departments and of the private and subsidised schools, as well as to the lecturers of institutions which train teachers, the inspectors of education and other persons who have helped in one way or another in connection with the survey, sincere thanks are conveyed for the cooperation without which this survey could not have been carried out.
9. It is hoped that this report will be a source of information on the basis of which the National Advisory Education Council will introduce a new deal for teachers in regard to their conditions of service and associated conditions in the teaching profession. For the teacher who is interested in his profession, this report will provide valuable information.

## P.M. ROBBERTSE DIRECTOR

NATIONAL BUREAU OF EDUCATIONAL AND SOCLAL RESEARCH

June, 1965

# PART I: CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND SERVICE REGULATIONS <br> CHAPTER 1 

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

At its first meeting on 29th March, 1963, the National Advisory Education Council resolved to recommend to the Minister of Education, Arts and Science, that an ad hoc committee with four subcommittees be set up to study the subject of THE TEACHER. The idea was to make a study of all the factors that may have an influence on teachers' happiness and contentment in the profession, as well as factors that might contribute to or detract from the appeal of the profession and its ability to attract and hold teachers. Therefore recruiting procedure, selection, measure of happiness and contentment in the profession, status, the attitude of the teacher to teaching, as well as his views on conditions of service and associated conditions in the profession, would have to be subjected to careful examination. After the Minister had approved the recommendation, the four sub-committees set to work in their respective fields.

At the request of the National Advisory Education Council, the National Bureau of Educational and Social Research undertook the research of two sub-committees, namely the "Sub-committee: Recruitment, selection and wastage of teachers" and the "Sub-committee: Conditions of service of teachers". Although the questionnaires were ready to be sent out by the fourth school term of 1963, they were not sent out before February, 1964 (first school term), as the education departments decided that the questionnaires should not be sent to schools during the fourth school term of 1963 on account of the full programme of work during this term.

This report concentrates firstly on the teacher's views on conditions of service and service regulations, and secondly on the school duties and associated conditions of teachers attached to the schools of the six education departments and to the non-departmental schools, and is intended for the SUB-COMMITTEE: CONDITIONS OF SERVICE OF TEACHERS. The report consists of the following chapters:

PART I: CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND SERVICE REGULATIONS
Chapter 1. Introduction
2. The teachers' views on conditions of service and associated conditions
3. Prospects and system of promotion, and demotion
4. Salary
5. Pension scheme
6. Leave
7. Civic rights
8. Does the teacner feel secure in his profession?
9. The teachers' views on his own status and that of the teaching profession.

PART II: SCHOOL AND EXTRAMURAL DUTIES AND ASSOCIATED CONDITIONS OF SERVICE OF TEACHERS

Chapter 10. The teacher's views on matters in and around the school which may have a good or bad effect on his happiness and contentment in the profession
11. The teacher's views on working hours spent in school, and also on time spent on administration, preparation of work and correction of school work
12. The teacher's views on extramural activities
13. The teacher's views on administrative work

### 1.2 PURPOSE AND METHOD OF THE SURVEY

### 1.2.1 Purpose of the survey

The purpose of this survey is to examine the factors bearing on the attitude of teachers
towards teaching, and also their views on conditions oî service and associated conditions in the teaching profession. Inter alia, the teacher's opinion on work in the ciassroom, administrative duties, extramural activities, civic rights, saiary, promotion and pensions will be deterrnined, and also the extent to which the factors mentioried contribute to or detract from the teacher's happiness and contentment in the teaching profession.

For the purpuses of this report, parts have been taken from the questionnaires sent to principais, vice-principals and assistants at schools, as well as to lecturers which throw light on the following aspects:
(a) The attitude of the teacher tuwards teaching as a profession: Questionnaire NB. 487 to principals of schoois: Parts of Section 4. Questionnaire NB. 488 to vice-principals and assistarts: Parts of Section 3. Questionnaire NB. 489 to lecturers: Parts oí Section 3.
(b) The status of teaching as compared with that of other proiessions:

Questionnaire NB. 487 to principals of schools: 4.7 from Section 4. Questionnaire NB. 488 to vice-principals and assistants: 3.7 from Section 3. Questionnaire NB. 489 to lecturers: 3.7 from Section 3.
(c) The teacher's views on conditions of service and asscciated conditions in the teaching profession:
Questionnaire NB. 487 to principais of schools: Section 5. Questionnaire NB. 488 to vice-principals and assistants: Section 4. Questionnaire NB. 489 to lecturers: Section 4.

### 1.2.2 Method

The questionnaire method was used to obtain the required information. After a thorough study of the literature and consultation with the members of the Executive Comrnittee of the National Advisory Education Councii who acted as liaison members of the "Sub-committee: Conditions of service of teachers" and the "Sub-committee: The recruitment, selection and wastage of teachers", eleven questionnaires were drawn up to obtain the information required for the research of these two sub-committees.

With regard to the conditions of service of teachers and associated conditions, questionnaires were sent to principals, vice-principals and assistants at schools, and also to lecturers, to obtain their views on these matters. The questionnaires were sent out during February, 1964, and received up to the end of June, 1964

### 1.3 THE SURVEY GROUP

In order to obtain a synoptic picture of the conditions of service oí teachers and associated conditions, questionnaires had to ive sent to principals as well as vice-principals and assistants of schools, and also to the lecturers at teachers' training institutions. In the case of both the principais and the vice-principals and assistants of schools, the questionnaires were sent to a random sample of principals and assistants, while questionnaires were sent to all the lecturers at the institutions which train teachers.

### 1.3.1 Sample oî principals of schools

(a) The Universe of schools

In regard to the four provincial education departments of the Cape Province, Natal, the Orange Free State and the Transvaal, as well as the Education Department of South West Afric.a and the non-departmental schools (private and provincially aided schools), a distinction has been made between: high schoois (including agricultural high schoois) with classes form Std. 6 to Std. 10: combined high and primary schools (including junior high schools, secondary schools and Class II schools) with a combination of classes from Grade I/Sub-Standard A, with at least a Sid. 7 class in the secondary department; primary schools from Grade I/Sub-Standard A to Std. $5 / 6$; junior schools from Grade I/Sub-Standard A to Stds. $1 / 2 / 3$; and schools for children with handicaps and deviations (physical, mental and behavioural).

In the case of the Department of Education, Arts and Science, departmental vocational schools (technical high, housecraft high and commercial high schools) and schools for handicapped pupils (schoois for, inter alia, the blind and the deaf), reform schools and schools of industries
and technical colleges are differentiated. In addition there are state-subsidised technical colleges.
(b) Size of the sample of school principals

It was decided to include 1,000 of the 2917 principals of schools in the survey. A proportionate number of schools of the various departments and non-departmental schools were represented in the sample. The sample included 34.2 per cent, or approximately one third of the school principals. Although reminders were sent out, only 814 of the school principals in the sample returned the questionnaires, i.e. 27.9 per cent of the principals. It was consequently necessary to determine whether the 27.9 per cent of the school principals were representative of the universe.

## (c) Reliability of the sample.

To ascertain whether the principals who returned questionnaires were representative of the universe, the universe of schools of the four provincial education departments was first classified into urban and rural schools, according to size of the school, and also on the basis of high and primary schools, and in accordance with these classifications the distribution of the schools was indicated on a percentage basis. Secondly, the universe of schools was classified according to language medium, size of school and high and primary schools, and the distribution of the schools was then expressed in percentages. The schools in the sample were subdivided in the same way as the universe.

The universe and sample of the schools of the Education Department of South West Africa were classified into high and primary schools, and also according to the size of the school, the distribution being shown in percentages. The schools of the Department of Education, Arts and Science were divided according to the type of school, and according to rural or urban locality, and the distribution of the universe and the sample were indicated in percentages. It should be mentioned here that all the principals of schools of the Department of Education, Arts and Science included in the sample returned the completed questionnaires. Since information which was obtainable in regard to provincial schools was not available for the non-departmental schools, the universe and sample of these schools were classified according to province and according to high or primary schools, and the distribution of the schools was shown in percentages.
(i) Distribution of the universe and the sample

The universe and the sample were divided into the following groups according to control:
(a) Education Departments of: Cape Province, Natal, Orange Free State, Transvaal, and South West Africa.

The schools of these five education departments were classified further as follows:

1. Type of school: High and primary schools
2. Medium of instruction: Afrikaans, English, and parallel and dual-medium (excluding South West Africa)
3. Locality: Rural and urban (excluding South West Africa)
4. Size of school: The schools were divided into four groups according to the number of pupils.
(b) Department of Education, Arts and Science.

The schools of this Department were classified further as follows:

1. Type of school: Technical High, Commercial High, Housecraft High and Commer cial and Technical High Schools, Technical Colleges, Schools of Industries and Reform Schools and Special Schools (schools for handicapped and deviate children).
2. Locality: Urban and rural.
(c) Non-departmental schools (private and provincially subsidised schools) which have been classified as follows:
3. Type of school: High and primary schools
4. Province: Cape Province, Natal, Orange Free State, Transvaal and South West Africa.

## (ii) Distribution of the universe and the sample.

The distribution of the universe and the sample of schools according to the above classifications is as follows for the respective education authorities:
(a) Cape Province.
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to urban and rurai schools, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Urban and rural distribution and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Universe |  | Sample |  | Universe |  | Sample |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Urban schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 35 | 57.4 | 10 | 52.6 | 45 | 26.9 | 16 | 26.2 |
| 400-599 | 19 | 31.1 | 6 | 31.6 | 51 | 30.5 | 19 | 31.1 |
| 300-399 | 2 | 3.3 | 1 | 5. 3 | 40 | 23.9 | 15 | 24. ¢ |
| $300-$ | 5 | 8.2 | 2 | 10.5 | 31 | 18.6 | 11 | 18.0 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 167 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Rural schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600+$ | 48 | 23.5 | 12 | 22.6 | 20 | 3.2 | 6 | 3.3 |
| 400-599 | 103 | 50.5 | 27 | 50.9 | 38 | 5.9 | 10 | 5.6 |
| 300-399 | 51 | 25.0 | 13 | 24.5 | 65 | 10.1 | 19 | 10.6 |
| $300-$ | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.9 | 518 | 80.8 | 144 | 80.4 |
| Sub-total | 204 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 641 | 100.0 | 179 | 100.0 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600+$ | 83 | 31.3 | 22 | 30.5 | 65 | 8.0 | 22 | 9.2 |
| 400-599 | 122 | 46.0 | 33 | 45.8 | 89 | 11.0 | 29 | 12.1 |
| 300-399 | 53 | 20.0 | 14 | 19.4 | 105 | 13.0 | 34 | 14.2 |
| $300-$ | 7 | 2.6 | 3 | 4.2 | 549 | 67.9 | 155 | 64.6 |
| Total | 265 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 808 | 100.0 | 240 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to medium of instruction, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Medium of instruction and size of school | High schooỉs |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Universe |  | Sample |  | Universe |  | Sample |  |
| A. M. Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $60 \mathrm{c}^{+}$ | 19 | 18.8 | 5 | 17.8 | 15 | 3.4 | 5 | 4.0 |
| 40i-599 | 53 | 52.5 | 16 | 57.1 | 17 | 3.8 | 5 | 4.0 |
| 30t-399 | 29 | 28.7 | 7 | 25.0 | 33 | 7.4 | 11 | 8.7 |
| 300 | - | - | - | - | 380 | 85.4 | 105 | 83.3 |
| Sub-total | 101 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 445 | 100.0 | 126 | 100.0 |
| E. .I. Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600+$ | 24 | 58.5 | 8 | 57.1 | 16 | 16.7 | 5 | 17.2 |
| 400-599 | 16 | 39.0 | 5 | 35.7 | 22 | 22.9 | 7 | 24.1 |
| 300-399 | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 7.1 | 23 | 23.9 | 7 | 24.1 |
| $300-$ | - | - | - | - | 35 | 36.4 | 10 | 34.5 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 96 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 |
| P. and D. schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 40 | 32.5 | 9 | 30.0 | 34 | 12.7 | 12 | 14.1 |
| 400-599 | 53 | 43.1 | 12 | 40.0 | 50 | 18.7 | 17 | 20.0 |
| 30)-399 | 23 | 18.7 | 6 | 20.0 | 49 | 18.3 | 16 | 18.8 |
| 300 - | 7 | 5.7 | 3 | 10.0 | 134 | 50.2 | 40 | 47.0 |
| Sub-total | 123 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 267 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 |
| Gra d total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 83 | 31.3 | 22 | 30.5 | 65 | 8.0 | 22 | 9.2 |
| 400-599 | 122 | 46.0 | 33 | 45.8 | 89 | 11.0 | 29 | 12.1 |
| $300-399$ | 53 | 20.0 | 14 | 19.4 | 105 | 13.0 | 34 | 14.2 |
| 300 .. | 7 | 2.6 | 3 | 4.2 | 549 | 67.9 | 155 | 64.6 |
| Total | 265 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 808 | 100.0 | 240 | 100.0 |

(b) Natal
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to urban and rural schools, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Urban and rural distribution and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Universe$\text { N } \%$ |  | $\underset{\%}{\text { Sample }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Universe } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Sample }}$ |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $751+$ | 5 | 17.8 | 1 | 12.5 | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 | 13 | 46.4 | 4 | 50.0 | 21 | 27.6 | 7 | 28.0 |
| 300-500 | 7 | 25.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 31 | 40.8 | 10 | 40.0 |
| 300 - | 3 | 10.7 | 1 | 12.5 | 24 | 31.6 | 8 | 32.0 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 76 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $751+$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 | 4 | 28.6 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 4.3 | 1 | 4.0 |
| 300-500 | 6 | 42.8 | 2 | 50.0 | 8 | 8.5 | 2 | 8.0 |
| $300-$ | 4 | 28.6 | 1 | 25.0 | 82 | 87.2 | 22 | 88.0 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 94 | 100.0 | 25 | 100.0 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $751+$ |  | 11.9 | 1 | 8.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 | 17 | 40.5 | 5 | 41.7 | 25 | 14.7 | 8 | 16.0 |
| 300-500 | 13 | 30.9 | 4 | 33.3 | 39 | 22.9 | 12 | 24.0 |
| $300-$ | 7 | 16.7 | 2 | 16.7 | 106 | 62.3 | 30 | 60.0 |
| Total |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 170 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to medium of instruction, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Medium of instruction and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Univ } \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | verse | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | Uni N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iverse } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Sample |  |
| A. MI, schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $751+$ | 2 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 | 3 | 50.0 | 2 | 66.7 | 3 | 16.6 | 1 | 16.7 |
| 300-500 | 1 | 16.7 | - | - | 2 | 11.1 | 1 | 16.7 |
| $300-$ | - | - | - | - | 13 | 72.2 | 4 | 66.7 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
| E. I.I. schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $75.1+$ |  | 12.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 |  | 44.0 | 3 | 50.0 | 9 | 11.0 | 2 | 11.1 |
| 300-500 | 8 | 32.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 24 | 29.3 | 6 | 33.3 |
| $300-$ | 3 | 12.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 49 | 59.7 | 10 | 55.5 |
| Sub-total | 25 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 |
| P. and D. schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $751+$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 | 3 | 27.3 | - | - | 13 | 18.6 | 5 | 19.2 |
| 300-500 | 4 | 36.4 | 2 | 66.7 | 13 | 18.6 | 5 | 19.2 |
| $300-$ |  | 36.4 | 1 | 33.3 | 44 | 62.8 | 16 | 61.5 |
| Sub-total | 11 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 | 26 | 100.0 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $751+$ | 5 | 11.9 | 1 | 8.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 501-750 |  | 40.5 | 5 | 41.7 | 25 | 14.7 | 8 | 16.0 |
| 300-500 |  | 30.9 | 4 | 33.3 | 39 | 22.9 | 12 | 24.0 |
| $300-$ |  | 16.7 | 2 | 16.7 | 106 | 62.3 | 30 | 60.0 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 | 170 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |

(c) Orange Free State
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to urban and rural schools, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Urban and rural school distribution and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Universe |  | Sample |  | Universe |  | Sample |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 2 | 16.7 | 1 | 20.0 | 5 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| 400-599 | 3 | 25,0 | 1 | 20.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 300-399 | 5 | 41.7 | 2 | 40.0 | 5 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| $300-$ | 2 | 16.7 | 1 | 20.0 | 5 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| Sub-total | 12 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 3 | 100,0 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 3 | 3.9 | 1 | 5.3 | 12 | 6.2 | 2 | 11.1 |
| 400-599 | 9 | 11.8 | 2 | 10.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 5.5 |
| 300-399 | 12 | 15, 8 | 3 | 15.8 | 15 | 7.8 | 1 | 5.5 |
| $300-$ | 52 | 68.4 | 13 | 68.4 | 163 | 84.9 | 14 | 77.8 |
| Sub-total |  | 100, 0 | 19 | 100.0 | 192 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 5 | 5.7 | 2 | 8.3 | 17 | 8.2 | 3 | 14.3 |
| 400-599 | 12 | 13.6 | 3 | 12.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 4.8 |
| 300-399 | 17 | 19.3 | 5 | 20.8 | 20 | 9.7 | 2 | 9.5 |
| $300-$ | 54 | 61.4 | 14 | 58.3 | 168 | 81.1 | 15 | 71.4 |
| Total | 88 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 207 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to mediur? of instruction, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Medium of instruction and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary sehools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Universe } \\ & \mathrm{N} \quad \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \mathrm{N} \quad \% \end{aligned}$ |  | Universe <br> N \% |  | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\text { Sample }}$ |  |
| A. in. schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600^{+}$ | 2 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 66.7 |
| 400-599 | 2 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 300-399 | 1 | 16.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $300-$ | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 28.6 | 1 | 33.3 |
| Sub-total | 6 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 7 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 |
| E. II. schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600+$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 400-599 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 300-399 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 50.0 |
| $300-$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 50.0 |
| Sub-total | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| P. and D, schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 3 | 3.8 | 1 | 5.5 | 12 | 6.1 | 1 | 6.2 |
| 400-599 | 9 | 11.4 | 1 | 5.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 6.2 |
| 300-399 | 14 | 17.7 | 3 | 16.7 | 18 | 9.1 | 1 | 6.2 |
| $300-$ | 53 | 67.1 | 13 | 72.2 | 165 | 83.7 | 13 | 81.3 |
| Sub-total | 79 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 197 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 5 | 5.7 | 2 | 8.3 | 17 | 8.2 | 3 | 14.3 |
| 400-599 | 12 | 13.6 | 3 | 12.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 4.8 |
| 300-399 | 17 | 19.3 | 5 | 20.8 | 20 | 9.7 | 2 | 9.5 |
| $300-$ | 54 | 61.4 | 14 | 58.3 | 168 | 81.1 | 15 | 71.4 |
| Total | 88 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 207 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 |

(d) Transvaal
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to urban and rural schools, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Urban and rural distribution and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Universe |  | Sample |  | Universe |  | Sample |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  | $\%$ |  | $\%$ |
| Urban schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 66 | 69.5 | 21 | 70.0 | 68 | 22.4 | 23 | 22.1 |
| 400-599 | 19 | 20.0 | 6 | 20.0 | 109 | 35.8 | 37 | 35.6 |
| 300-399 | 10 | 10.5 | 3 | 10.0 | 52 | 17.1 | 18 | 17.3 |
| $300-$ | - | - | - | - | 75 | 24.7 | 26 | 25.0 |
| Sub-total | 95 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 304 | 100.0 | 104 | 100.0 |
| Rural schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 25 | 34.7 | 8 | 34.8 | 21 | 5.4 | 6 | 5.7 |
| 400-599 | 22 | 30.5 | 7 | 30.4 | 42 | 10.7 | 11 | 10.4 |
| 300-399 | 15 | 20.8 | 5 | 21.7 | 26 | 6.7 | 7 | 6.6 |
| 300 - | 10 | 13.9 | 3 | 13.0 | 301 | 77.2 | 82 | 77.3 |
| Sub-total | 72 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 390 | 100.0 | 106 | 100.0 |
| Grand total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 91 | 54.5 | 29 | 54.7 | 89 | 12.8 | 29 | 13.8 |
| 400-599 | 41 | 24.5 | 13 | 24.5 | 151 | 21.7 | 48 | 22.8 |
| 300-399 | 25 | 15.0 | 8 | 15.1 | 78 | 11.2 | 25 | 11.9 |
| $300-$ | 10 | 6.0 | 3 | 5.7 | 376 | 54.2 | 108 | 51.4 |
| Total | 167 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 694 | 100.0 | 210 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to medium of instruction, size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Medium of instruction and size of school | High schools |  |  |  | Primary schools |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Universe } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | Sample |  | Universe |  | Sample |  |
| A.M. Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 42 | 68.8 | 13 | 68.4 | 53 | 26.5 | 15 | 23.4 |
| 400-599 | 14 | 22.9 | 4 | 21.1 | 73 | 36.5 | 24 | 37.5 |
| 300-399 | 4 | 6.5 | 2 | 10.5 | 35 | 17.5 | 12 | 18.7 |
| 300 . | 1 | 1.6 | - | - | 39 | 19.5 | 13 | 20.3 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 200 | 100.0 | 64 | 100.0 |
| E. M. Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{-}$ | 26 | 56.5 | 8 | 57.1 | 23 | 18.1 | 8 | 19.0 |
| 400-599 | 12 | 26.1 | 4 | 28.6 | 45 | 35.4 | 15 | 35.7 |
| 300-399 | 7 | 15.2 | 2 | 14.3 | 25 | 19.7 | 8 | 19.0 |
| 300 - | 1 | 2.1 | - | - | 34 | 26.8 | 11 | 26.2 |
| Sub-total | 46 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 127 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| P. an d D. Schools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{-}$ | 23 | 38.3 | 8 | 40.0 | 13 | 3.5 | 6 | 5.8 |
| 400-593 | 15 | 25.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 33 | 9.0 | 9 | 8.6 |
| 300-399 | 14 | 23.3 | 4 | 20.0 | 18 | 4.9 | 5 | 4.8 |
| 300 - | 8 | 13.3 | 3 | 15.0 | 303 | 82.6 | 84 | 80.6 |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 | 20 | 100.0 | 367 | 100.0 | 104 | 100.0 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 91 | 54.5 | 29 | 54.7 | 89 | 12.8 | 29 | 13.8 |
| 400-599 |  | 24.5 | 13 | 24.5 | 151 | 21.7 | 48 | 22.8 |
| 300-399 | 25 | 15.0 | 8 | 15.1 | 78 | 11.2 | 25 | 11.9 |
| 300 - | 10 | 6.0 | 3 | 5.7 | 376 | 54.2 | 108 | 51.4 |
| Total | 167 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 | 694 | 100.0 | 210 | 100.0 |

(e) South West Africa

Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to size of school, and high and primary schools.

| Size of school | Universe |  | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| High Schools |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ |  | 35.7 | 2 | 40.0 |
| 400-599 |  | 21.4 | 1 | 20.0 |
| 300-399 |  | 42.8 | 2 | 40.0 |
| 300 - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 14 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 |
| Primary Schools |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 12 | 26.7 | 2 | 22.2 |
| 400-599 | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 11.1 |
| 300-399 | 31 | 68.9 | 6 | 66.7 |
| 300 - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-total |  | 100.0 | 9 | 100.0 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |
| $600{ }^{+}$ | 17 | 28.8 | 4 | 28.6 |
| 400-599 | 5 | 8.5 | 2 | 14.3 |
| 300-399 | 37 | 62.7 | 8 | 57.1 |
| 300 - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 59 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 |

(f) Education, Arts and Science

Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to urban and rural schools, and type of school.

| Type of school | Universe |  | Sample \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban Schools |  |  |  |  |
| Technical High Schools | 13 | 25.5 | 4 | 23.5 |
| Commercial High Schools | 9 | 17.6 | 3 | 17. 6 |
| Commercial and Technical High Schools | 4. | 7.8 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Technical Colleges | 5 | 9.8 | 2 | 11.7 |
| State-aided Technical Colleges | 5 | 9.8 | 2 | 11.7 |
| Housecraft High Schools | - | - | - | - |
| Schools of Industries | 2 | 3.9 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Reform Schools | 2 | 3.9 | 1. | 5.9 |
| Special Schools | 7 | 13.7 | 2 | 11.7 |
| Schools for the Cerebral Palsied | 4 | 7.8 | 1 | 5.9 |
| Sub-total | 51 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |
| Rural Schools |  |  |  |  |
| Technical High Schools | 10 | 21.3 | 3 | 18.7 |
| Commercial High Schools | 6 | 12.8 | 2 | 12.5 |
| Commercial and Technical High Schools | 2 | 4.2 | 1 | 6.2 |
| Technical Colleges | 2 | 4.2 | 1 | 6.2 |
| State-aided Technical Colleges | - | - | - | - |
| Housecraft High Schools | 9 | 19.1 | 3 | 18.7 |
| Schools of Industries | 15 | 31.9 | 5 | 31.2 |
| Reform Schools | - | - | - | - |
| Special Schools | 3 | 6.4 | 1 | 6.2 |
| Schools for the Cerebral Palsied | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-total | 47 | 100.0 | 16 | 100.0 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |
| Technical High Schools | 23 | 23.5 | 7 | 21.2 |
| Commercial High Schools | 15 | 15.3 | 5 | 15.1 |
| Commercial and Technical High Schools | 6 | 6.1 | 2 | 6.1 |
| Technical Colleges | 7 | 7.1 | 3 | 9.1 |
| State-aided Technical Colleges | 5 | 5.1 | 2 | 6.1 |
| Housecraft High Schools | 9 | 9.2 | 3 | 9.1 |
| Schools of Industries | 17 | 17.3 | 6 | 18.2 |
| Reform Schools | 2 | 2.0 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Special Schools | 10 | 10.2 | 3 | 9.1 |
| Schools for the Cerebral Palsied | 4 | 4.1 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Total | 98 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 |

(g) Non-departmental schools

Distribution of the universe and sample of schools according to province, and high and primary schools.

| Province/Territory |  | High Schools |  | Primary Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| Cape Province | N | 62 | 12 | 96 | 33 | 158 | 45 |
|  | \% | 37.8 | 42.8 | 61.93 | 57.9 | 49.52 | 52.9 |
| Natal | N | 25 | 6 | 22 | 8 | 47 | 14 |
|  | \% | 15.24 | 21.4 | 14.19 | 14.0 | 14.73 | 16.5 |
| O. F.S. | N | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 4 |
|  | \% | 5.48 | 7.1 | 1.29 | 3.5 | 3.44 | 4.7 |
| Transvaal | N | 64 | 6 | 33 | 12 | 97 | 18 |
|  | \% | 39.02 | 21.4 | 21.29 | 21.0 | 30.40 | 21.2 |
| S.W.A. | N | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
|  | \% | 2.43 | 7.1 | 1. 29 | 3.5 | 1.88 | 4.7 |
| Grand Total | N | 164 | 28 | 155 | 57 | 319 | 85 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(iii) Conclusion regarding the reliability of the sample of school principals

The distribution of the universe and the sample, according to the classifications mentioned in paragraph 1.3 .1 (c)(i), pp. 3 and 4 shows that the percentage proportion of the respective groupings of the sample of schools is practically identical with that of the universe of schools. The sample should not be regarded as unreliable because of the slight percentage disparities which do occur since the numbers of schools in single groupings of the universe from which the single groupings in the sample deviate slightly, are small, and the percentages create a false picture. In these cases the number of schools in the universe as well as in the sample should be taken into account. For the purposes of this report the sample of schools, and therefore of school principals, is representative of the universe.

### 1.3.2 Sample of vice-principals and assistants

(a) The universe and the size of the sample

The sample of assistants is based on the 1962 educational statistics which were the most up-todate figures available at the time of drawing the sample.
A sample was taken of 10 per cent of the assistants (vice-principals and assistants combined) attached to the schools of the four provincial education departments of the Cape Province, Natal, the Orange Free State, and the Transvaal, and the Education Department of South West Africa, the Department of Education, Arts and Science and the non-departmental schools (private and provincially aided schools). The schools were classified according to control, urban or rural, by medium of instruction and size, and also according to whether they were high or primary schools (see paragraph 1.3 .1 (c)(i), pp. 3 and 4, for an explanation of the classifications of the schools under the various education departments and the non-departmental schools). The number of teachers was calculated by multiplying the average number of assistants per subsection by ten and then dividing it by the total number of teachers in the sub-section to obtain the number of schools per sub-section which should be included. The schools were chosen at random and the assistants attached to these schools constitute 10 per cent of the assistants of the subsections concerned.

The number of assistants attached to the respective education departments was as follows: Cape Province 7864, Natal 2768, Orange Free State 2997, Transvaal 11461, South West Africa 577 and Education, Arts and Science 1760, while the number of assistants attached to the nondepartmental schools totalled 3215. A random sample of 10 per cent means that 786, 276, 299, 1146, 57, 176 and 321 assistants from the Cape Province, Natal, the Orange Free State, the Transvaal, South West Africa, Education, Arts and Science and the non-departmental schools, respectively, had to be included in the survey. The number and percentage of assistants who returned questionnaires are as follows for the respective education authorities: Cape Province 746 or 9.5 per cent, Natal 233 or 8.4 per cent, Orange Free State 231 or 7.7 per cent, Transvaal 1157 or 10.1 per cent, South West Africa 48 or 8.3 per cent, Education, Arts and Science 191 or 10.8 per cent and the non-departmental schools 88 or 2.7 per cent.
The percentage of assistants of the four provincial education departments who returned questionnaires is 9.4 per cent ( 2367 out of 25090 assistants). The percentage of assistants of the six education departments who returned questionnaires is 9.5 per cent ( 2606 out of 27427 assistants), while the percentage of teachers in the country as a whole who returned questionnaires totalled 8.8 per cent ( 2694 out of 30552 assistants). It is therefore necessary to determine whether the assistants who returned questionnaires are representative of the universe.
(b) Reliability of the sample of assistants.

In order to determine the reliability of the sample, both the universe and the sample of the assistants of the four provincial education departments were classified according to (a) urban and rural schools, and the medium of instruction, and (b) urban and rural and high and primary schools. In the case of South West Africa the classification was made on the basis of (a) medium of instruction, and (b) high and primary schools, while the classification for the Department of Education, Arts and Science was made according to the type of school. For the non-departmental schools, the classification was made according to province, data concerning medium of instruction and high and primary schools were not available for all the areas.
(i) Distribution of the assistants in the universe and in the sample

The distribution of the assistants in the universe and the sample for the various education authorities, according to the above-mentioned classifications, is as follows:
(a) Cape Province
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to urbarı and rural schools and medium of instruction.

| Medium of instruction |  | Uriban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| Afrikaans-medium Schools | N | 636 | 61 | 1821 | 172 | 2457 | 233 |
|  | $\%$ | 20.4 | 20.5 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 31.2 | 31.2 |
| English-medium Schools | N | 1275 | 122 | 246 | 23 | 1521 | 145 |
|  | $\%$ | 40.9 | 40.9 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 19.3 | 19.4 |
| P. and J. -medium Schools | N | 1207 | 115 | 2679 | 253 | 3886 | 368 |
|  | $\%$ | 38.7 | 38.6 | 56.4 | 56.5 | 49.4 | 49.4 |
| Total | N | 3118 | 298 | 4746 | 448 | 7864 | 746 |
|  | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to urban and rural, and high and primary schools.

| T'ype of School |  | Urijan |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| High Schools | N | 1189 | 114 | 2990 | 283 | 41.76 | 397 |
|  | \% | 38.1 | 38.3 | 63.0 | 63.2 | 53.1 | 53.2 |
| Primary Schools | N | 1929 | 184 | 1756 | 165 | 3687 | 349 |
|  | \% | 61.9 | 61.7 | 37.0 | 36.8 | 46.9 | 46.8 |
| Total | N | 3118 | 298 | 4746 | 448 | 7864 | 746 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(b) Natal
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to urban and rural schools and medium of instruction.

| Medium of instruction |  | Uriban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sarnple | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| Afrikaans-medium Schools | N | 261 | 22 | 45 | 7 | 306 | 29 |
|  | \% | 16.6 | 16.7 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 11.1 | 12.4 |
| English-medium Schools | N | 1138 | 95 | 370 | 30 | 1508 | 125 |
|  | \% | 72.4 | 72.0 | 30.9 | 29.7 | 54.5 | 53.7 |
| P. and D. -medium Schools | N | 172 | 15 | 782 | 64 | 954 | 79 |
|  | \% | 10.9 | 11.3 | 65.3 | 63.4 | 34.5 | 33.9 |
| Total | N | 1571 | 132 | 1197 | 101 | 2768 | 233 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to urban and rural, and high and primary schools.

| Type of School |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| Hig Schools | N | 644 | 55 | 449 | 37 | 1093 | 92 |
|  | \% | 41.0 | $41.7{ }^{\prime}$ | 37.5 | 36.6 | 39.5 | 39.5 |
| Primary Schools | N | 927 | 77 | 748 | 64 | 1675 | 141 |
|  | \% | 59.0 | 58.3 | 62.5 | 63.4 | 60.5 | 60.5 |
| Tot. 1 | N | 1571 | 132 | 1197 | 101 | 2768 | 233 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(c) Orange Free State
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of assi stants according to urban and rural schoois and medium of instruction.

| Medium of Instruction |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| Afri aans-medium Schools | N | 258 | 20 | 67 | 6 | 325 | 26 |
|  | \% | 23.5 | 23.3 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 10.8 | 11.3 |
| $\overline{\text { English-medium Schools }}$ | N | 123 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 135 | 13 |
|  | \% | 11.2 | 11.6 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 5.6 |
| P. a:ld D.-medium Schools | N | 717 | 56 | 1820 | 136 | 2537 | 192 |
|  | \% | 65.3 | 65.1 | 95.8 | 93.8 | 84.7 | 83.1 |
| Total | N | 1098 | 86 | 1899 | 145 | 2997 | 231 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to urban and rural, and high and primary schools.

| Type of School |  | Úrban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| High Schools | N | 403 | 32 | 739 | 56 | 1142 | 88 |
|  | \% | 36.7 | 37.2 | 38.9 | 38.6 | 38.1 | 38.1 |
| Primary Schools | N | 695 | 54 | 1160 | 89 | 1855 | 143 |
|  | \% | 63.3 | 62.8 | 61.1 | 61.4 | 61.9 | 61.9 |
| Total | N | 1098 | 86 | 1899 | 145 | 2997 | 231 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(d) Transvaai
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to urban and rural schools and medium of instruction.

| Medium of Instruction |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Totsl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
| Afrikaans-medium Schools | N | 3955 | 392 | 801 | 83 | 4756 | 475 |
|  | \% | 54.1 | 53.8 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 41.5 | 41.0 |
| English-medium Schools | N | 2647 | 266 | 251 | 26 | 2898 | 292 |
|  | \% | 36.2 | 36.5 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 25.3 | 25.2 |
| P.and D.-medium Schools | N | 702 | 70 | 3105 | 320 | 3807 | 390 |
|  | \% | 9.6 | 9.6 | 74.7 | 74.6 | 33.2 | 33.7 |
| Total | N | 7304 | 728 | 4157 | 429 | 11461 | 1157 |
|  | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and siample of assistants according to urban and rural, and high and primary schools.

| Type of School |  | Urian |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample | Universe | Sample |
|  | N | 3057 | 303 | 1849 | 195 | 4900 | 498 |
|  | $\%$ | 41.9 | 41.6 | 44.5 | 45.4 | 42.8 | 43.0 |
| Primary Schools | N | 4247 | 425 | 2308 | 234 | 6555 | 659 |
|  | $\%$ | 58.1 | 58.4 | 55.5 | 54.5 | 57.2 | 56.9 |
| Total | N | 7304 | 728 | 4157 | 429 | 11461 | 1157 |
|  | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(e) South West Africa
(i) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to medium of instruction.

| Medium of Instruction |  | Universe | Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afrikaans-medium Schools | N | 448 | 37 |
|  | $\%$ | 77.6 | 77.1 |
| English-medium Schools | N | 12 | 1 |
|  | $\%$ | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| P. and D. -medium Schools | N | 117 | 10 |
|  | $\%$ | 20.3 | 20.8 |
| Total | N | 577 | 48 |
|  | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(ii) Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to high and primary schools.

| Type of School |  | Universe | Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High Schools | N | 201 | 17 |
|  | $\%$ | 34.8 | 35.4 |
| Primary Schools | N | 376 | 31 |
|  | $\%$ | 65.2 | 64.6 |
| Total | N | 577 | 48 |
|  | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(f) Education, Arts and Science

Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to type of school.

| Institutions | Universe |  | Sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| Corımercial High Schools | 223 | 13.3 | 25 | 13.1 |
| Corımercial and Technical High Schools | 113 | 6.4 | 12 | 6.3 |
| Housecraft High Schools | 31 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Technical High Schools | 317 | 18.0 | 34 | 17.8 |
| Technical Colleges | 251 | 14.3 | 28 | 14.7 |
| State-aided Technical Colleges | 523 | 29.7 | 56 | 29.3 |
| Schools of Industries | 103 | 5.8 | 10 | 5.2 |
| Reform Schools | 11 | 0.6 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Special Schools | 188 | 10.7 | 20 | 10.5 |
| Total | 1760 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 |

(g) Non-departmental schools

Distribution of the universe and sample of assistants according to province.

| Provinces |  | Universe | Sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cape Province | N | 1205 | 22 |
|  | $\%$ | 37.5 | 25.0 |
| Natal | N | 534 | 28 |
|  | $\%$ | 16.6 | 31.8 |
| Orange Free State | N | 134 | 11 |
|  | $\%$ | 4.2 | 12.5 |
| Transvaal | N | 1229 | 23 |
|  | $\%$ | 38.2 | 26.1 |
| South West Africa | N | 113 | 4 |
|  | $\%$ | 3.5 | 4.5 |
| Total | N | 3215 | 88 |
|  | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(ii) Conciusions regarding the reliability of the sample of assistants

As far as the Transvaal Education Department and the Department of Education, Arts and Science are concerned, the sample must be accepted as representative on the grounds of the percentages of responcients, which are 10.1 and 10.8 per cent, respectively According to the distribution of the universe and sample of the assistants, as snown on p. 18 paragraph (d) and p. 19 paragraph (f), the percentages of the various caregories correspond.

Except for the non-acparmental schools, where the distribution of the universe and that of the sampie show consiaerable differences, the sample of the assistants in the Cape Province, Natai, the Crange Free State and South West Africa can be accepied as reliable, because there are oniy slignt percentage differences between the universe and the sarnple.

For the purposes of this survey the samples of assistants of the six education departments may be regaraed as representative of the universe of these education departments. However, the sampie of asssistants of the non-departmental schools is urreliable. If it is taken into accouric that the assistants at the non-departmencail schools constitute only 10.5 peir cent of the assistants in the country, and that the sampie represents 89.5 per cent of the assistants (that is, the assistants of the six education departments) - i.e. 89.5 per cent of the teacners are represented in the sample - the national picture of the views of the assistanis on che concitions of service of the teacner may be accepted as reliable.

## The reliability oi the sampie of iecturers.

Quesionnare Nf. 489 was senc to the ieciurers concerned with teacher training at the teachers' training colleges of the four provincial educaion departments, the ceachers' training colleges and the teachers' traming departments of rechnical coileges of the Deparment of Education, Arts and Science, and the facuities of education at universities, in orater to obrain the views of the lecturers on the conditions of service of teachers and assochated conditions. Since few lecturers of the faculties of education at universities returned questionnaires, tney have been left out oí account for the purposes of this report.

The numbers and percentages of lecturers who returned or did not return questionnaires are as foliows for the various education departments:

| Education departments | Questionnaires returned |  | Questionnaires not returned |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Cape Provirice | 96 | 67.6 | 46 | 32.4 | 142 | 100.0 |
| Natal | 50 | 86.2 | 8 | 13.8 | 58 | 100.0 |
| Orange Free State | 36 | 90.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 40 | 100.0 |
| 'I'ransvaal | 256 | 60.7 | 166 | 39.3 | 422 | 100.0 |
| Education, Arts and Science | 71 | 77.2 | 21 | 22.8 | 92 | 100.0 |
| Total | 509 | 67.5 | 245 | 32.5 | 754 | 100.0 |

Questiomaires were returned by 509 or 67.5 per cent of the 754 lecturers of the five education departments, and the percentages of questionnaires recurned from the five departments range from 60.7 to 90.0 per cent. Since the lecturers who returned questionnaires represent ail the teachers ${ }^{\gamma}$ training institutions of the various departments, the views of these respondents on the conditions of service of teachers may be accepted as reliable for the purposes of this report, and also as representative of the group.

### 1.4 EXPLANATION OF TERMS

### 1.4.1 Education authorities

This report distinguishes between the following education authorities: Cape Province,

Natal, Orange Free State, Transvaal, Soữ Wesć Airıca, Educaion, Aris and Scrence and the nondepartmental schoois. Except in the case of the non-departmentai scnoois, the six eaucation autnorities are departments of eaucation.

For the purposes of this repori, scnoois regaraed as faling unaer ine various eaucation departments are those which are whoily under the control of the education depariment concerned, while the non-departmental schools comprise a group of provincially aiaed and privaie scnoois, that is, schools which are not entirely controiled by a depariment of eaucation. It must pe pointed out that the names of the education departments nave been shorienea for the sake of brevity, for example, Cape Province or Cape instead of Education Depariment of the Cape Province, and Education, Arts and Science instead of Depariment of Education, Arts and Science.

## 1.4..: Principais of schools

Under the heading principais of scrioois nave peen groupea iogetiner the answers received from all principais oî secondary and primary schools.
1.4.: Vice-principais and assisiants of scnools.

Under the heading vice-principaís and assistants have been groupea fogetner the ans'ners received from vice-principais and assistanis at secoñciary and primary schooĩs.

## 1.4.. Lecturers

The heading lecturers inciuaes the vice-principais anä assistants at teachers ${ }^{i}$ training colleges which fall under a specific education autnority. In the case of the Department of Education, Arts and science, this is the "department for the trainng of teacners" at tecnnicall colleges and teachers ${ }^{7}$ training colieges. The lecturers in the facuities of education at universities have been left out of account.

## 1.4. ${ }^{\text {Symbols used in tables }}$

In the tabies the symboī $\mathbb{U}$ is used throughout to indicate the number of respondents who left a particuiar question unanswered.

### 1.5 GENERAL

### 1.5.1 Non-ȧeparimentaí schools

Since large percentages of the respondents trom the non-aeparmental schools often left questions unanswered, the response of this group is probabiy not sufficientily reliable to be regarded as an absolute basis for comparison. The response of this group - principails as well as viceprincipals and assistants - has therefore been inaicated in brackets.

### 1.5.2 Interpretation of data in taples

It must be pointed out that the percentages shouid aiways ibe compared with the actual numbers, especially since the total number of respondents unaer an authority may often be small, with the result that percentages in such cases may give a distorted picture.

## CHAPTER 2

## THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON CONDITIONS OF SERVICE AND ASSOCIATED CONDITIONS

2.1 Certain groups of questions in the questionnaires are designed to obtain clarity on the teacher's views on those conditions of service and associated conditions which may contribute greatly to his happiness and contentment in his profession, if they are satisfactory. On the other hand, if they are a source of serious grievances, they may contribute much to his unhappiness and dissatisfaction in his profession. Where happiness and contentment in a profession add zest to work and bring fulfilment, conditions of service which cause unhappiness, kill this zest and engender a negative approach which prevents an individual from giving of his best, and therefore from deriving enjoyment from his work.
2.2 The measure of happiness and contentment in the teaching profession can be gauged mainly by four questions asked in the questionnaires, namely:

1. Are you happy and contented in your profession? (Table 2.1)
2. Do you often wish that you had been trained for some other occupation? (Table 2.1)
3. With your present knowledge of the teaching profession, would you again choose teaching as an occupation? (Table 2.2)
4. Would you advise other persons to become teachers? (Table 2.3)

### 2.2.1 Are you happy and contented in your profession? (Table 2.1)

(a) National picture

This question was answered in the affirmative by $81.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $82.5 \%$ of the principals, and $88.0 \%$ of the lecturers. It is gratifying to note that more than $8 \mathbf{0} \%$ of the total number of respondents stated that teachers are happy and contented in their profession. The other side of this picture also deserves attention, however, since $12 \%$ of the lecturers, $17.5 \%$ of the principals and $18.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants state that teachers are not happy, or indicated that they are uncertain on this point, or leave this cardinal question unanswered.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

As far as the principals are concerned, the percentages who state that they are happy and contented vary from $90.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $78.3 \%$ for the Transvaal; for vice-principals and assistants from $93.7 \%$ for South West Africa to $78.2 \%$ for the Transvaal; and for lecturers from $94.0 \%$ for Natal to $85.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
2.2.2 Do you often wish that you had been trained for some other occupation? (Table 2.1)

## (a) National Picture

This question was answered in the affirmative by $14.7 \%$ of the lecturers, $15.3 \%$ of the principals and $20.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants. Thus the figures indicate that the abovementioned considerable percentages of the three groups (which, incidentally, largely correspond with the percentages who answered in the negative the question whether they were happy and contented in the profession) are so unhappy and dissatisfied in the teaching profession that they wish that they had qualified for some other occupation.
If the percentages of the various groups who answered the first question on happiness and contentment in the affirmative are compared with the percentages who replied in the negative to the second question about the desire to have qualified for some other occupation, an interesting picture emerges which even tends to be contradictory. It would be reasonable to expect that, if $80 \%$ of the respondents indicated their happiness and contentment in the profession with a categorical "yes", the same $80 \%$ would also have given a categorical "no" to the question whether they have often wished that they had trained for some other occupation. From Table 2.1, however, it now appears very clearly that there are significant differences in this respect. Whereas $82.5 \%$ of the principals give a categorical "yes" to the question about their happiness and contentment, only $74.7 \%$ are prepared to answer "no" categorically about a desire to have
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[^0]been trained for some other occupation. In the case of vice-principals and assistants the percentages are $81.4 \%$ and $69.4 \%$ and in the case of lecturers $88.0 \%$ and $78.8 \%$, respectively. It would therefore appear that there are a considerable number of cases among the group of respondents who state that they are happy and contented in their profession and yet wish that they had qualified for some other occupation, but that this desire is not so strong as to prompt them to answer "yes" urhesitatingly to the question, and they are probably included among the "uncertain" answers.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

For principals the percentage who answered in the affirmative regarding a wish to have been trained for another occupation varies from $21.0 \%$ for Natal to $6.7 \%$ for the Orange Free Str.te (non-departmental schools $2.4 \%$ ); for vice-principals and assistants from $23.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $8.3 \%$ for South West Africa (non--departmental schools 6.8\%); and for lecturers from $18.0 \%$ for Natal to $9.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.

## 2. 2.3 With your present knowledge of the teaching profession would you again choose teaching as an occupation? (Table 2.2)

(a) National picture

This was answered in the affirmative by $62.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $64.4 \%$ of the lecturers, and $65.2 \%$ of the principals.
In answering the above question, the teachers apparently weighed their profession against the great variety of other interesting professions to which both high status and big salaries are at present attached. The teaching profession was probably found to compare unfavourably with other professions - hence the fact that, although $82.5 \%$ of the principals state that they are happy and contented in their profession, only $65.2 \%$ state that, with their present knowledge of the profession, they would take up teaching again. In the case of vice-principals and assistants, the figures are $81.4 \%$ as against $62.2 \%$, respectively, and in respect of lecturers $88.0 \%$ as against $64.4 \%$. It is significant and disturbing that as many as $15.5 \%$ of the lecturers, $17.8 \%$ of the principals and $19.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants gave a definite "no" in reply to the question whether, with their present knowledge of the profession they would become teachers again if they had to start afresh. All this suggests that the attractiveness of the teaching profession has declined as more and more other professions have enhanced their attractiveness. This must be seen as a danger signal in that it will make future recruitment for the teaching profession more and more difficult unless active steps are speedily taken to make the image of the teaching profession more attractive than it is at present.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

For principals, the percentage who state that they would become teachers again is $85.9 \%$ for non-departmental schools, varying for the education authorities from $72.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $50.0 \%$ for South West Africa; for vice-principals and assistants from $83.3 \%$ for South West Africa to $56.9 \%$ for the Transvaal; and for lecturers from $75.0 \%$ for the Cape Province to $58.6 \%$ for the Transvaal. The fact that the percentages of Transvaal principals, vice-principals and assistants, and lecturers who would choose teaching as a profession again under present conditions, are as low as $58.2 \%, 56.9 \%$ and $58,6 \%$, respectively (much lower than for the other education authorities), seems to suggest that in the Transvaal there are many other attractive professions with which the teaching profession compares unfayourably at present, or that there are other factors which make teachers unhappy.
2.2.4 Would you advise others to become teachers? (Table 2.3)
(a) National picture

This question was answered in the affirmative by $71.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $80.9 \%$ of the lecturers and $82.9 \%$ of the principals. It is dispiriting however, that $12.2 \%$ of the principals, $13.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $20.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants would not advise anyone to become a teacher.

If the above-mentioned positive reaction is compared with the percentages, already shown, of those who stated that they were happy and contented in the profession (Table 2.1), a considerable measure of agreement is found but important differences also emerge, so for example, $82.5 \%$ of the principals state that they are happy and contented in their profession, and $82.9 \%$ of them state that they would advise others to choose teaching as a profession. In the case of lecturers the respective figures are $88.0 \%$ and $80.9 \%$, but among vice-principals
TARTE ?.?
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With your present knowledge of the teaching profession, would you again choose teaching as an occupation?

|  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts Non-departmenand Science tal schools |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS | 202 | 64.7 | 41 | 66.1 | 31 | 68.9 | 153 | 58.2 | 7 | 50.0 | 24 | 72.7 | 73 | 85.9 | 531 | 65.2 |
|  | 54 | 17.3 | 15 | 24.2 | 6 | 13.3 | 57 | 21.7 | 5 | 35.7 | 6 | 18.2 | 2 | 2.4 | 145 | 17.8 |
|  | 50 | 16.0 | 6 | 9.7 | 5 | 11.1 | 45 | 17.1 | 2 | 14.3 | 2 | 6.1 | 4 | 4.7 | 114 | 14.0 |
|  | 6 | 1.9 | - | - | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | 6 | 7.1 | 24 | 2.9 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND Yes | 461 | 61.8 | 158 | 67.8 | 153 | 66.2 | 658 | 56.9 | 40 | 83.3 | 135 | 70.7 | 70 | 79.5 | 1675 | 62.2 |
| ASSISTANTS No | 130 | 17.4 | 32 | 13.7 | 37 | 16.0 | 279 | 24.1 | 4 | 8.3 | 26 | 13.6 | 7 | 8.0 | 515 | 19.1 |
| Uncertain | 131 | 17.6 | 33 | 14.2 | 30 | 13.0 | 199 | 17.2 | 2 | 4.2 | 26 | 13.6 | 6 | 6.8 | 427 | 15.9 |
| U | 24 | 3.2 | 10 | 4.3 | 11 | 4.8 | 21 | 1.8 | 2 | 4.2 | 4 | 2.1 | 5 | 5.7 | 77 | 2.9 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated : | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| $\underline{\text { LECTURERS }}$ Yes | 72 | $75.0$ | 37 | $74.0$ | 25 | 69.4 | 150 | 58.6 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  | 328 | 64.4 |
| No | 5 | $5.2$ | 8 | $16.0$ | 5 | 13.9 | 50 | 19.5 |  |  | 11 | 15.5 |  |  | 79 | 15.5 |
| Uncertain | $18$ | $18.7$ | 5 | $10.0$ | 6 | 16.7 | 53 | 20.7 |  |  | 16 | 22.5 |  |  | 98 | 19.3 |
| U | 1 | $1.0$ |  | - |  | 16.7 | 3 | 1.2 |  |  | 16 | 22. |  |  | 4 | 0.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated : | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  | 71 | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

TABLE 2.3

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS

Would you advise others to become teachers?

and assistants, compared with the $81.4 \%$ who declare that they are happy and contented, only $71.8 \%$ would advise others to choose the same profession. Among lecturers and viceprincipals and assistants, there is obvious hesitation on the part of a group of those who declare themselves to be happy and contented in the profession, to encourage others to take it up. This hesitation, seen together with the considerable percentage of all three groups who state unequivocally that they would not advise anyone to become a teacher, may possibly be explained by the fact that there are many other occupations today which offer better opportunities and to which a better salary and/or higher status are attached than to teaching. The possibility that there may be other contributory factors is by no means ruled out.

It is also interesting to compare the percentages of the three groups who would advise others to choose teaching as a profession (Table 2.3), and the percentages who would become teachers again themselves, after taking into account their present knowledge of the profession. (Table 2.2). Of the principals, $82.9 \%$ state that they would advise others to take up the profession, but only $65.2 \%$ would become teachers again themselves, after taking their present knowledge of the profession into account, if they were to start out again. The figures for vice-principals and assistants are $71.8 \%$ and $62.2 \%$ and for lecturers $80.9 \%$ and $64.4 \%$, respectively. This readiness on the part of a considerable group to advise others to take up a profession which they themselves would not choose again, after taking their present knowledge of the profession into account, possibly stems from two considerations, namely (a) the deep realisation of the importance of the teacher's task, which makes it essential to encourage people to make teaching their vocation, and (b) the fact that, although over $80 \%$ of the body of teachers are happy and contented, there are nevertheless those in the ranks of the satisfied who feel that they would have been much better off in one or another of the many professions where positions are readily obtainable and which offer better remuneration, more attractive conditions of service, and often a higher status.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under different authorities (Table 2.3)

For principals the percentage who would advise others to choose teaching as a profession varies from $92.9 \%$ for South West Africa to $78.8 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; in respect of viceprincipals and assistants from $83.3 \%$ for South West Africa to $68.3 \%$ for the Transvaal (nondepartmental schools $85.2 \%$; and in the case of lecturers from $86.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $76.0 \%$ for Natal.
2.3 From the answers to the above questions, in which the teacher could give his views on such matters as happiness and contentment in the profession, on the wish to have been trained for some other profession, and whether he would choose teaching as a profession again or advise others to choose teaching as a profession, the following picture emerges:-

1. Four out of every five teachers are happy and contented in their profession, as against one out of five who is not happy and contented.
2. Almost one out of every five teachers wishes that he had been trained for some other profession.
3. Less than two-thirds of the respondents would become teachers again with their present knowledge of the profession.
4. Almost three out of every four teachers would advise others to choose teaching as a profession, as against one out of every four who would not do so.

Seen as a whole, the picture shows a considerable percentage of teachers who are not happy and contented in their profession, and who wish that they had qualified for some other profession. Under present conditions, this group would not have become teachers again either, while a large proportion of them would also not advise others to take up teaching. This attitude is probably due in part to the present attractiveness of other occupations, but also seems to be based on inherent weaknesses in the structure, in other words, shortcomings in the conditions of service and associated conditions in the teaching profession. The part that such aspects of the conditions of service play in making the teacher unhappy in his profession to the extent shown above, will be analysed later on the basis of 15 questions on conditions of service as summarised in Table 2.4.
2.4 WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS OF THE CONDITIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A TEACHER'S CONDITIONS OF SERVICE MAKE HIM UNHAPPY IN HIS PROFESSION? (Table 2.4)
(a) National picture

If all the factors are arranged in order of importance according to the number of respondents who indicated each factor as a source of dissatisfaction, the following ranking emerges:

TABLE 2.4

ASPECTS OF THE CONDITIONS OF SERVICE WHICH RESULT IN UNHAPPINESS

| Aspects | Principals |  |  | Vice-principals and Assistants |  |  | Lecturers |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | Order |
| 1. The teacher's work in the classroom | 15 | 1.8 | 14 | 67 | 2.5 | 14 | 9 | 1.8 | 14 | 91 | 14 |
| 2. Teaching hours | 7 | 0.9 | 15 | 50 | 1.9 | 15 | 7 | 1.4 | 15 | 54 | 15 |
| 3. Administrative work | 363 | 44.6 | 4 | 608 | 22.6 | 6 | 278 | 54.6 | 3 | 1249 | 6 |
| 4. Extramural or extracurricular activities | 162 | 19.9 | 10 | 288 | 10.7 | 12 | 171 | 33.6 | 7 | 621 | 11 |
| 5. Restrictions on civic rights | 268 | 32.9 | 8 | 393 | 14.6 | 10 | 117 | 23.0 | 9 | 778 | 8 |
| 6. Procedure followed when a teacher is involved in disciplinary action | 193 | 23.7 | 9 | 471 | 17.5 | 8 | 74 | 14.5 | 12 | 738 | 9 |
| 7. System of promotion | 449 | 55.2 | 1 | 998 | 37.0 | 4 | 339 | 66.6 | 1 | 1786 | 1 |
| 8. Leave regulations | 113 | 13.9 | 12 | 358 | 13.3 | 11 | 78 | 15.3 | 11 | 54.9 | 12 |
| 9. Salary | 386 | 47.4 | 2 | 1021 | 37.9 | 3 | 236 | 46.4 | 4 | 1643 | 3 |
| 10. Pension scheme | 156 | 19.2 | 11 | 400 | 14.8 | 9 | 98 | 19.3 | 10 | 654 | 10 |
| 11. Status | 367 | 45.1 | 3 | 830 | 30.8 | 5 | 225 | 44.2 | 5 | 1422 | 5 |
| 12. Married women teachers precluded from permanent appointment | 324 | 39.8 | 7 | 1104 | 41.0 | 1 | 191 | 37.5 | 6 | 1619 | 4 |
| 13. Hostel duties | 108 | 13.3 | 13 | 111 | 4.1 | 13 | 27 | 5.3 | 13 | 246 | 13 |
| 14. Examination system - over -emphasis of results | 358 | 44.0 | 5 | 1049 | 38.9 | 2 | 309 | 60.7 | 2 | 1716 | 2 |
| 15. Training not adequate equipment for later task | 328 | 40.3 | 6 | 591 | 21.9 | 7 | 123 | 24.2 | 8 | 1042 | 7 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 814 | 100.0 |  | 2694 | 100.0 |  | 509 | 100.0 |  | 4017 |  |

1. System and prospects of promotion.
2. The examination system which leads to over-emphasis of examination results.
3. Salary.
4. The fact that women are precluded by marriage from permanent appointment.
5. The status of teachers.
6. The administrative work a teacher is required to do.
7. The fact that a teacher's training does not equip him adequately for his task.
8. Restrictions on the teacher's rights as an ordinary citizen.
9. The procedure followed when a teacher is charged with misconduct.
10. The pension scheme.
11. The extramural or extracurricular activities a teacher is called upon to undertake.
12. Leave regulations.
13. The duties expected of a teacher in the hostel.
14. The teacher's work in the classroom.
15. Teaching hours.

### 2.5 AN ANALYSIS OF THE SEVEN FACTORS WHICH ARE THE GREATEST SOURCE OF DISSATISFACTION TO TEACHERS

(a) National picture:

1. System of promotion: $37.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $55.2 \%$ of the principals and $65.6 \%$ of the lecturers were dissatisfied.
2. The examination system with its over-emphasis on results: $38.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $44.0 \%$ of the principals and $60.7 \%$ of the lecturers complained about this.
3. Salary: $37.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $46.4 \%$ of the lecturers and $47.4 \%$ of the principals expressed dissatisfaction under this heading.
4. Married women teachers precluded from permanent appointment: Objections were voiced by $37.5 \%$ of the lecturers, $39.8 \%$ of the principals and $41.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants. The last-mentioned group ranked this aspect first, probably because of the predominance in this group of women, who are most certainly affected adversely under the present system in most of the provinces.
5. Status: $30.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $44.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $45.1 \%$ of the principals consider that the teacher's status is not as high as it should be.
6. Administrative work: $22.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $44.6 \%$ of the principals and $54.6 \%$ of the lecturers complained about this.
7. Training not adequate equipment for later task: This was felt by $21.9 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants, $24.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $40.3 \%$ of the principals.

While the above-mentioned 7 sources of dissatisfaction were indicated by percentages of respondents varying from $44.5 \%$ in the case of the system of promotion to $25.9 \%$ in the case of inadequate training, the remaining eight factors were indicated by much smaller percentages of the respondents and can therefore be regarded as much less important than the seven discussed above.
'b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities: (Tables 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3)
It goes without saying that there will be difference of opinion about certain aspects of conditions of service not only as between the three groups of principals, vice-principals and assistants, and lecturers, but also as between the respondents within a particular group under different education authorities. Such differences between the above-mentioned three groups, as well as the trends according to education authorities, are traced in Tables 2.4.1 (Principals), 2. 4.2 (Vice-principals and assistants) and 2.4.3 (Lecturers).
2.6 The ranking in a definite order of importance of aspects of conditions associated with teaching

PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Aspects of the conditions of service which result in unhappiness

| Aspects which cause dissatisfaction |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. The teacher's work in the classroom | Yes | 4 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 2.2 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | - | - | 15 | 1.8 | 14 |
|  | U | 308 | 98.7 | 61 | 98.4 | 44 | 97.8 | 255 | 97.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 32 | 97.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 799 | 98.2 |  |
| 2. Teaching hours | Yes | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1.5 | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | 2 | 2.4 | 7 | 0.9 | 15 |
|  | U | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 259 | 98.5 | 14 | 100.0 | 32 | 97.0 | 83 | 97.6 | 807 | 99.1 |  |
| 3. The administrative work the teacher is required to do | Yes | 139 | 44.6 | 34 | 54.8 | 21 | 46.7 | 119 | 45.2 | 8 | 57.1 | 17 | 51.5 | 25 | 29.4 | 363 | 44.6 | 4 |
|  | U | 173 | 55.4 | 28 | 45.2 | 24 | 53.3 | 144 | 54.8 | 6 | 42.9 | 16 | 48.5 | 60 | 70.6 | 451 | 55.4 |  |
| 4. The extramural or extracurricular activities the teacher is called upon to undertake | Yes | 59 | $18.9$ | 12 | $19.4$ | 6 | $13.3$ | 67 | $25.5$ | 4 | $28.6$ | 5 | $15.2$ | 9 | $10.6$ | $162$ | $19.9$ | 10 |
|  | U | $253$ | $81.1$ | 50 | $80.6$ | 39 | $86.7$ | $196$ | $74.5$ | 10 | $71.4$ | 28 | $84.8$ | 76 | $89.4$ | $652$ | $80.1$ |  |
| 5. Restrictions on the teacher's rights as a citizen | Yes | 117 | 37.5 | 34 | 54.8 | 16 | 35.6 | 68 | 25.9 | 4 | 28.6 | 14 | 42.4 | 15 | 17.6 | 268 | 32.9 | 8 |
|  | U | 195 | 62.5 | 28 | 45.2 | 29 | 64.4 | 195 | 74.1 | 10 | 71.4 | 19 | 57.6 | 70 | 82.4 | 546 | 67.1 |  |
| 6. The procedure followed when a teacher is involved in disciplinary action | Yes | 80 | 25.6 | 6 | 9.7 | 14 | 31.1 | 78 | 29.7 | 7 | 50.0 | 6 | 18.2 | 2 | 2.4 | 193 | 23.7 | 9 |
|  | U | 232 | 74.4 | 56 | 90.3 | 31 | 68.9 | 185 | 70.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 27 | 81.8 | 83 | 97.6 | 621 | 76.3 |  |
| 7. Prospects of promotion and the system of promotion | Yes | 185 | 59.3 | 32 | 51.6 | 35 | 77.8 | 163 | 62.0 | 8 | 57.1 | 14 | 42.4 | 12 | 14.1 | 449 | 55.2 | 1 |
|  | U | 127 | 40.7 | 30 | 48.4 | 10 | 22.2 | 100 | 38.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 19 | 57.6 | 73 | 85.9 | 365 | 44.8 |  |
| 8. Leave regulations | Yes | 57 | 18.3 | 7 | 11.3 | 5 | 11.1 | 41 | 15.6 | 2 | 14.3 | - | - | 1 | 1.2 | 113 | 13.9 | 12 |
|  | U | 255 | 81.7 | 55 | 88.7 | 40 | 88.9 | 222 | 84.4 | 12 | 85.7 | 33 | 100.0 | 84 | 98.8 | 701 | 86.1 |  |
| 9. Salary | Yes | 108 | 34.6 | 35 | 56.5 | 21 | 46.7 | 173 | 65.8 | 5 | 35.7 | 17 | 51.5 | 27 | 31.8 | 386 | $47.4$ | 2 |
|  | U | 204 | 65.4 | 27 | 43.5 | 24 | 53.3 | 90 | 34.2 | 9 | 64.3 | 16 | 48.5 | 58 | 68.2 | 428 | $52.6$ |  |
| 10. The pension scheme | Yes | 58 | 18.6 | 16 | 25.8 | 11 | 24.4 | 58 | 22.1 | 3 | 21.4 | 4 | 12.1 | 6 | 7.1 | 156 | 19.2 | 11 |
|  | U | 254 | 81.4 | 46 | 74.2 | 34 | 75.6 | 205 | 77.9 | 11 | 78.6 | 29 | 87.9 | 79 | 92.9 | 658 | 80.8 |  |
| 11. The status of the teacher | Yes | 123 | 39.4 | 31 | 50.0 | 22 | 48.9 | 153 | 58.2 | 7 | 50.0 | 16 | 48.5 | 15 | 17.6 | 367 | 45.1 | 3 |
|  | U | 189 | 60.6 | 31 | 50.0 | 23 | 51.1 | 110 | 41.8 | 7 | 50.0 | 17 | 51.5 | 70 | 82.4 | 447 | 54.9 |  |
| 12. The fact that a married woman is precluded from permanent appointment | Yes | 123 | 39.4 | 8 | 12.9 | 13 | 28.9 | 131 | 49.8 | 5 | 35.7 | 15 | 45.5 | 29 | 34.1 | 324 | 39.8 | 7 |
|  | U | 189 | 60.6 | 54 | 87.1 | 32 | 71.1 | 132 | 50.2 | 9 | 64.3 | 18 | 54.5 | 56 | 65.9 | 490 | 60.2 |  |
| 13. The duties expected of the teacher in the hostel | Yes | 33 | 10.6 | 4 | 6.5 | 7 | 15.6 | 41 | 15.6 | 8 | 57.1 | 8 | 24.2 | 7 | 8.2 | 108 | 13.3 | 13 |
|  | U | 279 | 89.4 | 58 | 93.5 | 38 | 84.4 | 222 | 84.4 | 6 | 42.9 | 25 | 75.8 | 78 | 91.8 | 706 | 86.7 |  |
| 14. The examination system which leads to over-emphasis of examination results | Yes | 132 | 42.3 | 28 | 45.2 | 32 | 71.1 | 99 | 37.6 | 8 | 57.1 | 20 | 60.6 | 39 | 45.9 | 358 | $44.0$ | 5 |
|  | U | 180 | 57.7 | 34 | 54.8 | 13 | 28.9 | 164 | 62.4 | 6 | 42.9 | 13 | 39.4 | 46 | 54.1 | 456 | 56.0 |  |
| 15. Training not adequate equipment for later task | Yes | 109 | 34.9 | 21 | 33.9 | 19 | 42.2 | 136 | 51.7 | 10 | 71.4 | 7 | 21.2 | 26 | 30.6 | 328 | 40.3 | 6 |
|  | U | 203 | 65.1 | 41 | 66.1 | 26 | 57.8 | 127 | 48.3 | 4 | 28.6 | 26 | 78.8 | 59 | 69.4 | 486 | 59.7 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 2.4.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Aspects of the conditions of service which result in unhanniness


TABLE 2.4 .3
LECTURERS
Aspects of the conditions of service which result in unhappiness

| Aspects which cause dissatisfaction |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Transval | South West Africa |  |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart-mentalSchools$\mathrm{N} \quad \%$ | Order |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% |  |  | N | \% | N | \% |  | N | \% | N \% | N | \% | N | $\sigma^{2}$ |  |
| 1. The teacher's work in the | Yes | 1 | 1. 0 | -. | - | - | - | 5 | 2.0 |  | 3 | 4.2 |  | 9 | 1.8 | 14 |
| classroom | U | 95 | 99.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 251 | 98.0 |  | 68 | 95.8 |  | 500 | 98.2 |  |
| 2. Teaching hours | Yes | 1 | 1.0 | - | - | 1 | 2.8 | 1 | 0.4 |  | 4 | 5.6 |  | 7 | 1.4 | 15 |
|  | U | 95 | 99.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 35 | 97.2 | 255 | 99.6 |  | 67 | 94.4 |  | 502 | 98.6 |  |
| 3. The administrative work the | Yes | 39 | 40.6 | 32 | 64.0 | 16 | 44.4: | 153 | 59.8 |  | 38 | 53.5 |  | 278 | 54.6 | 3 |
| teacher is required to do | U | 57 | 59.4 | 18 | 36.0 | 20 | 55.6 | 103 | 40. 2 |  | 33 | 46.5 |  | 231. | 45.4 |  |
| 4. The extramural or extracurricular | Yes | 25 | 26.0 | 15 | 30.0 | 10 | 27.8 | 93 | 36.3 |  | 28 | 39.4 |  | 171. | 33.6 | 7 |
| activities the teacher is called upon to undertake | U | 71 | 74.0 | 35 | 70.0 | 26 | 72.2 | 163 | 63.7 |  | 43 | 60.6 |  | 338 | 66.4 |  |
| 5. Restrictions on the teacher's | Yes | 28 | 29.2 | 17 | 34.0 | 15 | 41.7 | 47 | 18.4 |  | 10 | 14.1 |  | 117 | 23,0 | 9 |
| rights as a citizen | U | 68 | 70.8 | 33 | 66.0 | 21 | 58.3 | 209 | 81.6 |  | 61 | 85.9 |  | 392 | 77.0 |  |
| 6. The procedure followed when a | Yes | 8 | 8.3 | 5 | 10.0 | 6 | 16.7 | 48 | 18.7 |  | 7 | 9.9 |  | 74 | 14.5 | 12 |
| teacher is involved in disciplinary action | U | 88 | 91.7 | 45 | 90.0 | 30 | 83.3 | 208 | 81.2 |  | 64 | 90.1 |  | 435 | 85.5 |  |
| 7. Prospects of promotion and the | Yes | $66$ | $68.7$ | $31$ | $62.0$ | $28$ | $\overline{77.8}$ | 167 | $65.2$ |  | $47$ | $66.2$ |  | $339$ | $66.6$ | 1. |
| system of promotion | $\mathrm{U}$ | $30$ | $31.2$ | $19$ | $38.0$ | 8 | $22.2$ | 89 | $34.8$ |  | $24$ | $33.8$ |  | $170$ | $33.4$ |  |
| 8. Leave regulations | Yes | 23 | 24.0 | 4 | 8.0 | 7 | 19.4 | 32 | 12.5 |  | 12 | 16.9 |  | 78 | 15.3 | 11 |
|  | U | 73 | 76.0 | 46 | 92.0 | 29 | 80.6 | 224 | 87.5 |  | 59 | 83.1 |  | 431 | 84.7 |  |
| 9. Salary | Yes | 32 | 33.3 | 37 | 74.0 | 19 | 52.8 | 117 | 45.7 |  | 31 | 43.7 |  | 236 | 46.4 | 4 |
|  | U | 64. | 66.7 | 13 | 26.0 | 17 | 47.2 | 139 | 54.3 |  | 40 | 56.3 |  | 273 | 53.6 |  |
| 10. The pension scheme | Yes | 18 | 18.7 | 10 | 20.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 54 | 21.1 |  | 7 | 9.9 |  | 98 | 19.3 | 10 |
|  | U | 78 | 81. 2 | 40 | 80.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 202 | 78.9 |  | 64 | 90.1 |  | 411 | 80.7 |  |
| 11. The status of the teacher | Yes | 31. | 32.2 | 24 | 48.0 | 15 | 41.7 | 126 | 49.2 |  | 29 | 40.8 |  | 225 | 44.2 | 5 |
|  | U | 65 | 67.7 | 26 | 52.0 | 21 | 58.3 | 130 | 50.8 |  | 42 | 59.2 |  | 284 | 55.8 |  |
| 12. The fact that a married woman is | Yes | $35$ | $36.5$ | $12$ | $24.0$ | $13$ | $36.1$ | 102 | $39.8$ |  | 29 | $40.8$ |  | 191 | 37.5 | 6 |
| precluded from permanent appointment | U | $61$ | $63.5$ | 38 | $76.0$ | 23 | 63.9 | 154 | 60.2 |  | 42 | $59.2$ |  | 318 | 62.5 |  |
| 13. The duties expected of the teacher | Yes | 4 | 4.2 | 3 | 6.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 11 | 4.3 |  | 7 | 9.9 |  | 27 | 5.3 | 13 |
| in the hostel | U | 92 | 95.8 | 47 | 94.6 | 34 | 94.4 | 245 | 95.7 |  | 64. | 90.1 |  | 482 | 94.7 |  |
| 14. The examination system which | Yes | 63 | 65.6 | 31 | 62.0 | 26 | 72.2 | 146 | 57.0 |  | 43 | 60.6 |  | 309 | 60.7 | $\overline{2}$ |
| leads to over-emphasis of examination results | U | 33 | 34.4 | 19 | 38.0 | 10 | 27.8 | 110 | 43.0 |  | 28 | 39.4 |  | 200 | 39.3 |  |
| 15. Training not adequate equipment | Yes | 30 | 31.8 | 12 | 24.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 58 | 22.7 |  | 15 | $2 \overline{1.1}$ |  | 122 | 24.2 | 8 |
| for later task | U | 66 | 68.7 | 38 | 76.0 | 28 | 77.8 | 198 | 77.3 |  |  | 78.9 |  | 386 | 75.8 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 509 | 100.0 |  |

akour which the respondents feel dissatisfied will be useful in the following chapters in which these aspects are discussed. It will now be possible, whenever one of these aspects is under discussion, to attach a certain value to it on the strengih of its ranking. Thus, for example, active attention will have to be paid to respondents' dissatisfaction about prospects of promotion, in view of the fact that this headed the list of the conditions with which the teacher is dissatisfied. It is also clear that aspects which appear low down on the list because few teachers complain about them, may be regarded as relatively unimportant, and therefore merit no discussion.

## CHAPTER 3

## PROSPECTS AND SYSTEM OF PROMOTION, AND DEMOTION

Since the prospects of promotion in a profession, the system of promotion, and the satisfaction or dissatisfaction of those concerned with the prospects and policy of promotion are all factors which play a very important and even decisive role in the measure of happiness and contentment a person enjoys in his profession, a group of questions on these lines was included in the questionnaires to ascertain exactly what teachers' views on these matters are.

It has already emerged from replies to the group of questions about aspects of a teacher's conditions of service which make him unhappy (Table 2.4) that dissatisfaction with the promotion system heads the list of factors on which teachers' views were elicited. This table shows that both lecturers at teachers' training institutions and principals of schools place the system of promotion first among the factors about which they are not happy, while vice-principals and assistants place it fourth. This feeling is reflected even more forcibly by the percentages of lecturers, $66.6 \%$, of principals, $55.2 \%$, and of vice-principals and assistants, $37.0 \%$, who feel very strongly on this matter. Where there are considerable percentage differences between the views of the vice-principals and assistants and those of the two groups who already occupy promotion posts, namely, principals and lecturers, the difference may be accounted for by the greater knowledge and experience of the promotion system which the last two groups have already acquired. It should also be borne in mind that a large proportion of women was included in the group of vice-principals and assistants in the survey, mainly because of the predominance of women in primary schools, and that, the promotion system is not such a vital issue to women as to men.

The overall picture of a large measure of dissatisfaction with the system of promotion is decidedly alarming and calls for an attempt to determine, by means of a further set of questions about the prospects of promotion, the system of promotion, and so on, which aspects of the problem give rise to the greatest dissatisfaction and possibly contribute to the high position occupied by the promotion system on the list of causes of dissatisfaction.

Tables $3.1,3.2$ and 3.3 reflect a small group of questions about prospects of promotion, whether promotions are made fairly, to what extent the system of promotion gives rise to resentment and also whether there should be automatic promotion at some stage, and if so, whether this should also apply to principals' and vice-principals' posts.
3.2 ARE THERE ADEQUATE PROSPECTS OF PROMOTION FOR TEACHERS? (Table 3.1)
(a) National picture

The percentages who answered this question in the affirmative vary from $20.5 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants and $26.8 \%$ of the principals, to $28.7 \%$ of the lecturers. It is alarming, however, that $42.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $49.7 \%$ of the lecturers and $63.1 \%$ of the principals say that there are definitely not sufficient promotion posts for teachers.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities:

While the foregoing is an indication of the national picture as regards the question whether or not there are sufficient promotion posts for men, it appears from Table 3.1, that there are also considerable differences of opinion, on this question between teaching groups under the various education authorities. So for example, the number of principals who answer "yes" varies from $15.6 \%$ in the Orange Free State to $41.8 \%$ in the Transvaal (non-departmental schools $11.8 \%$ ). As far as the four provincial education authorities alone are concerned, the figure varies from $15.6 \%$ in the Orange Free State to $17.3 \%$ in the Cape Province, $33.9 \%$ in Natal and $41.8 \%$ in the Transvaal. A possible explanation for the great difference between the Cape Province, with $17.3 \%$ and the Transvaal, with $41.8 \%$, may be that at the time of the completion of the questionnaire there were still far fewer promotion posts in the Cape than in the Transvaal. However, this matter has been largely rectified in the Cape since 1st July, 1964 by the creation of a considerable number of new promotion posts. Vice-principals and assistants are not, however, equally satisfied with the number of promotion posts for men, as is apparent from the much lower percentages who answered "yes", namely from $13.0 \%$ in the Cape, to $33.0 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science. (The increase in the number of promotion posts in the Cape Province from 1st July, 1964, naturally also applies to the assistants.) In the case of lecturers, the percentages who are satisfied range

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Promotion: Are there adequate prospects of promotion?

| PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Adequate prospects of promotion for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers (Men). Yes | 54 | 17.3 | 21 | 33.9 | 7 | 15. 6 | 110 | 41.8 | 5 | 35.7 | 11 | 33.3 | 10 | 11.8 | 218 | 26.8 |
| No | 233 | 74.7 | 38 | 61.3 | 35 | 77.8 | 144 | 54.8 | 9 | 64.3 | 18 | 54.5 | 37 | 43.5 | 514 | 63.1 |
| Uncertain | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U | 25 | 8.0 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 6.7 | 9 | 3.4 | - | - | 4 | 12.1 | 38 | 44.7 | 82 | 10.1 |
| Teachers (Women) Yes | 49 | 15.7 | 17 | 27.4 | 3 | 6.7 | 79 | 30.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 5 | 15.2 | 4 | 4.7 | 160 | 19.7 |
| No | 234 | 75.0 | 43 | 69.4 | 38 | 84.4 | 171 | 65.0 | 10 | 71.4 | 23 | 69.7 | 47 | 55.3 | 566 | 69.5 |
| Uncertain | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |
| U | 29 | 9.3 | 2 | 3.2 | 4 | 8.9 | 13 | 4.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 5 | 15.2 | 34 | 40.0 | 88 | 10.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 263 | 100. 0 | 14 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers (Men) Yes | 97 | 13.0 | 44 | 18.9 | 39 | 16.9 | 284 | 24.5 | 7 | 14.6 | 63 | 33.0 | 19 | 21.6 | 553 | 20.5 |
| No | 412 | 55.2 | 87 | 37.3 | 108 | 46. 8 | 454 | 39.2 | 20 | 41.7 | 59 | 30.9 | 16 | 18.2 | 1156 | 42.9 |
| Uncertain | 122 | 16.4 | 81 | 34.8 | 41 | 17.7 | 251 | 21.7 | 7 | 14.6 | 45 | 23.6 | 32 | 36.4 | 579 | 21.5 |
| U | 115 | 15.4 | 21 | 9.0 | 43 | 18.6 | 168 | 14.5 | 14 | 29.2 | 24 | 12.6 | 21 | 23.9 | 406 | 15.1 |
| Teachers (Women) Yes | 93 | 12.5 | 63 | 27.0 | 29 | 12.6 | 175 | 15.1 | 5 | 10.4 | 30 | 15.7 | 17 | 19.3 | 412 | 15.3 |
| No | 381 | 51.1 | 74 | 31.8 | 122 | 52.8 | 606 | 52.4 | 24 | 50.0 | 53 | 27.7 | 23 | 26. 3 | 1283 | 47.6 |
| Uncertain | 170 | 22.8 | 70 | 30.0 | 45 | 19.5 | 242 | 20.9 | 11 | 22.9 | 61 | 31.9 | 30 | 34.1 | 629 | 23.3 |
| U | 1.02 | 13.7 | 26 | 11.2 | 35 | 15.2 | 134 | 11.6 | 8 | 16.7 | 47 | 24.6 | 18 | 20.5 | 370 | 13.7 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers (Men) Yes | 7 | 7.3 | 12 | $24.0$ | 3 |  | 106 | 41.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 | 28.7 |
| No | 62 | $64.6$ | 22 | $44.0$ | 25 | $69.4$ | 112 | 43.7 |  |  | 32 | 45.1 |  |  | 253 | 49.7 |
| Uncertain | 18 | $18.7$ | 10 | 20.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 27 | 10.5 |  |  | 11 | 15.5 |  |  | 69 | 13.6 |
| U | 9 | 9.4 | 6 | 12.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 11 | 4.3 |  |  | 10 | 14.1 |  |  | 41 | 8.1 |
| Teachers (Women) Yes | 6 | 6.2 | 9 | 18.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 34 | 13.3 |  |  | 14 | 19.7 |  |  | 67 | 13.2 |
| No | 59 | 61.5 | 25 | 50.0 | 24 | 66. 7 | 142 | 55.5 |  |  | 44 | 62.0 |  |  | 294 | 57.8 |
| Uncertain | 19 | 19.8 | 8 | 16.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 44 | 17.2 |  |  | 7 | 9.9 |  |  | 83 | 16.3 |
| U | 12 | 12.5 | 8 | 16.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 36 | 14.1 |  |  | 6 | 8.5 |  |  | , 65 | 12.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  | 71 | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

from $7.3 \%$ for the Cape to $41.4 \%$ for the Transvaal.
3.2.1 Are there sufficient promotion posts for women teachers?
(a) National picture

The percentages of affirmative replies here vary from $13.2 \%$ for lecturers, to $15.3 \%$ for viceprincipals and assistants to $19.7 \%$ for principals. The percentage of negative replies is, however, much greater still than in the case of the previous question about promotion posts for male teachers, namely $47.6 \%$ for vice-principais and assistants, $57.8 \%$ for lecturers and $69.5 \%$ for principals.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The views of the respondents about the availability of promotion posts for women teachers aiso differ from one education authority to another. The percentages of those who feel that there are sufficient promotion posts for women teachers vary in the case of principals from $30.0 \%$ for the Transvaal to $6.7 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools $4.7 \%$ ); in the case of vice-principals and assisiants from $27.0 \%$ for Natal to $10.4 \%$ for South West Africa; and in the case of lecturers from $19.7 \%$ for Eaucation, Arts and Science to $6.2 \%$ for the Cape Province. These figures cleariy indicate that while the lack of sufficient prospects of promotion for men teachers is already feit by the respondents to be serious, the position is considered far more serious in the case of women teachers, for whom the respondents see few opportunities for promotion.

### 3.3 ARE TEACHERS PROMOTED FAIRLY? (Table 3.2)

## (a) National picture

The percentage of affirmative answers to this question varies from $22 \%$ for lecturers, to $30.3 \%$ for vice-principals and assistants and $31.3 \%$ for principals. An overwhelming $55 \%$ of viceprincipals and assistants, $55.4 \%$ of principals and $68.6 \%$ of lecturers are of the opinion, however, that promotions are not granted on a just basis.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

Here, too, there are notable differences between the percentages of persons under the different education authorities who feel that promotions are fair. In the case oif principals, the percentages " 10 answer in the affirmative vary from $21.4 \%$ for South West Africa to $46.8 \%$ for Natal; in the case of vice-principals and assistants from $24.9 \%$ for the Cape Province to $45.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; and in the case of lecturers from $13.9 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $40.0 \%$ for Natal. A striking fact is that the percentages who are satisfied that promotion takes place fairly are considerably higher for Natal and Education, Arts and Science, than for the other education authorities. (It should be borne in mind that under these two authorities promotions are granted more or less on a Public Service basis, that is on grourds of merit.)

The fact that, according to the national picture, over $55 \%$ of ali teachers are unhappy about the way in which promotions are made, points strongly to the absolute necessity of rectifying this matter so that confidence will be restored to the body of teachers that this extremely important aspect of their employment will be dealt with correctly in all cases.
3.3.1 To what extent does the system of promotion make the teacher unhappy?

Here respondents could indicate whether the system of promotion made them very, slightly, or not at all unhappy.
(a) National picture

The national picture for the three groups here shows considerable differences in percentages, only $19.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants indicating that they are very unhappy about the system of promotion, as compared with $45.3 \%$ of the principals and $52.5 \%$ of the lecturers who give this answer. Further, $27.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants assert that they are not at all unhappy about the systern, as compared with the low figure of $4.9 \%$ of lecturers and $5.5 \%$ of principals. (Here it should be borne in mind that a large number of the group of vice-principals and assistants are women who are not affected so directly and consequently do not feel so strongly about everything connected with the system of promotion.) The views of the lecturers and principals are apparently aiso coloured by their greater experience of and

TABLE 3.2
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS. LECTURERS
Promotion: Are teachers promoted fairly? To what extent does the system of promotion make the teacher unhappy?

involvement with, promotion practices.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The position with regard to the different education authorities is as follows: In non-departmental schools $10.6 \%$ of the principals are very unhappy about the system of promotion, as compared with $30.6 \%$ in Natal, $33.3 \%$ under Education, Arts and Science, $48.9 \%$ in the Orange Free State, $52.1 \%$ in the Transvaal, $52.2 \%$ in the Cape Province and $57.1 \%$ in South West Africa. For viceprincipals and assistants the percentages are $5.7 \%$ for non-departmental schools, $8.6 \%$ for Natal, $11.0 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science, $18.9 \%$ for the Transvaal, $19.9 \%$ for the Orange Free State, $25.3 \%$ for the Cape Province and $31.2 \%$ for South West Africa. The respective figures for lecturers are $38.0 \%$ for Natal, $40.8 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science, $51.0 \%$ for the Cape Province, $56.6 \%$ for the Transvaal, and $69.4 \%$ for the Orange Free State.

### 3.4 SHOULD THERE BE AUTOMATIC PROMOTION TO A HIGHER POST AT SOME STAGE?

 (Table 3.3)(a) National picture

Of the lecturers, $45.6 \%$ replied to the above question in the affirmative, while $46.1 \%$ of the principals and $55.9 \%$ of the assistants also answered "yes". In this case, however, there are also a large percentage who do not think that there should be automatic promotion: $33.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $45.5 \%$ of the principals and $49.1 \%$ of the lecturers replied in the negative to this question. Only among the lecturers, however, are there more who answered this question in the negative than in the affirmative. It thus appears that the majority of the respondents who answered this question are in favour of automatic promotion at some stage.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages of principals under the various education authorities who are in favour of automatic promotion vary from $27.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $57.1 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools $23.5 \%$ ); the percentages of vice-principals and assistants range from $43.3 \%$ for Natal to $62.8 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools $36.4 \%$ ); and the percentages of lecturers vary from $32.4 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $52.7 \%$ for the Transvaal.

### 3.4.1 If so, should this also apply to the posts of principal and vice-principal?

(a) National picture

For the country as a whole, $18.9 \%$ of the lecturers, $26.3 \%$ of the principals and $28.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants are in favour of the inclusion of the posts of principal and viceprincipal in the whole framework of automatic promotion. It is also true, however, that $38.6 \%$ of the principals, $41.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $46.6 \%$ of the lecturers answered "no" to this question. What does detract somewhat from any inferences which may be made from the above-mentioned replies, however, is that as many as $30.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $34.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $35.1 \%$ of the principals gave no answer to this question, which may be regarded as a sub-division of the previous question, by reason of their replies to it. One may, however, make the inference that as many as $25 \%$ or more of the teachers in the country are in favour of automatic promotion up to and including the posts of principal and viceprincipal.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

If the respondents are grouped according to authorities, the percentages of principals who answered in the affirmative vary from $11.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $42.9 \%$ for South West Africa; the percentages of vice-principals and assistants from $20.8 \%$ for South West Africa to $34.2 \%$ for the Orange Free State; and the percentages of lecturers from $14.0 \%$ for Natal to $20.8 \%$ for the Cape Province.

### 3.5 IF PROMOTION IS NOT FAIR, TO WHAT DO YOU ATTRIBUTE THIS?

Since there are indications among the body of teachers, as clearly appears from their views already quoted, of dissatisfaction with various aspects of promotion policy, it is important to note the teacher's views on the causal and contributory factors in regard to unfairness in the system of promotion. In Table 3.4 ten factors which possibly contribute to unfairness in promotions are

TABLE 3.3
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LLCTURERS
Promotion: Should there be automatic promotion to a higher post?
Should this also apply to the posts of principal and vice-principal?

summarised. In the questionnaire the question was put in such a manner that the respondents vere able to indicate one or more of the given factors which are a source of resentment in this connection.
(a) National picture (See Table 3.4)

For the country as a whole, the factors mentioned were ranked as follows by the respondents:

1. Whom you know is more important than what you know: This factor was placed first by $43.7 \%$ of the principals and $45.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, and second by $56.8 \%$ of the lecturers.
2. Too often qualities and abilities other than teaching ability are decisive in promotions: This was placed second by $36.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, and by $43.0 \%$ of the principals, bet first by $58.9 \%$ of the lecturers.
3. School committees have too much say in promotions: $23.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $35.6 \%$ of the principals placed this factor third, while $29.3 \%$ of the lecturers placed it fourth.
4. Religious denomination plays an important part: This was placed fourth by $19.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and by $27.6 \%$ of the principals, while it was ranked third by $38.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
5. Political views play an important part: All three groups of respondents placed this fifth on the list, namely $13.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $20.6 \%$ of the principals and $23.2 \%$ of the lecturers.
6. Governing bodies have too much say in promotions: $9.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $12.3 \%$ of the principals placed this factor seventh, while $21.2 \%$ of the lecturers placed it sixth.
7. School boards have too much say in promotions: This takes sixth place with $9.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $13.0 \%$ of the principals, while it ranks seventh in the opinion of $15.3 \%$ of the lecturers.

The remaining three factors, namely (a) the department has too much say in promotions, (b) the principal has too much say in promotions, and (c) the inspector has too much inflaence, compete for the last three places with percentages ranging from $3.8 \%$ to $11.2 \%$. In view of the low percentages of respondents who object to these aspects of the system of promotion, they do not seem sufficiently important to justify any further discussion.

From the above it is clear that the main objections concerned two factors which should not enter into promotion at all, namely
(1) whom you know is more important than what you know; and
(2) too often qualities and abilities other than teaching ability are decisive in promotions.

Associated with these are objections that religious denomination (4) and political views (No. 5) play too great a part in promotions.

The say of school committees in matters connected with promotion is a source of grievance to approximately $25 \%$ of all the respondents and is therefore one of the most important causes of dissatisfaction with the promotion system. The say of school boards and governing bodies in promotions also came under fire but a far lower percentage of the respondents are dissatisfied about this than about the amount of say school committees have.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups according to authorities (See Tables 3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.3)

There are occasionally considerable differences in the percentages of teachers under the different education authorities who indicate a certain factor as a source of grievance. In the case, for example, of the most general complaint, whom you know is more importani than what you know, $83.3 \%$ of the lecturers in the Orange Free State indicate dissatisfaction on this score as compared with $66.0 \%$ in the Transvaal, $44.8 \%$ in the Cape Province, $47.9 \%$ under Education, Arts and Science, and only $26.0 \%$ in Natal. The percentage of principals varies from $55.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $25.8 \%$ for Natal, with the low figure of $12.9 \%$ for the non-departmental schools, which is probably unreliable because the number of respondents in this group was very small. In the case of vice-principals and assistants the percentages vary from $51.2 \%$ for the Transvaal to $22.7 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $12.5 \%$ ).

As far as the second complaint is concerned, namely that qualities and abilities other than teaching ability are too often decisive in promotions, the picture for the various education

TABLE 3.4
PROMOTION
If promotion is unfair, to what do you attribute this?


PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Promotion: If promotion is unfair, to what do you attribute this?


VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Promotion: If promotion is unfair, to what do you attribute this?


TABLE 3.4.3
LECTURERS
Promotion: If promotion is unfair, to what do you attribute this?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ducation | ties |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reasons |  |  | Cape |  | Natal | Oran <br> St | ge Free ate | Tran | svaal | Educ and | tion, Arts Science | To |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. School committees have too much say | Yes | 39 | 40.6 | 4 | 8.0 | 17 | 47.2 | 73 | 28.5 | 16 | 22.5 | 149 | 29.3 |
| in promotions | U | 57 | 59.4 | 46 | 92.0 | 19 | 52.8 | 183 | 71.5 | 55 | 77.5 | 360 | 70.7 |
| 2 . School boards have too much say | Yes | 10 | 10.4 | 4 | 8.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 51 | 19.9 | 8 | 11.3 | 78 | 15.3 |
| in promotions | U | 86 | 89.6 | 46 | 92.0 | 31 | 86.0 | 205 | 80.1 | 63 | 88.7 | 431 | 84.7 |
| 3. Governing bodies have too much say in | Yes | 10 | 10.4 | 3 | 6.0 | 6 | 16.7 | 77 | 30.1 | 12 | 16.9 | 108 | 21.2 |
| promotions | U | 86 | 89.6 | 47 | 94.1 | 30 | 83.3 | 179 | 69.9 | 59 | 83.1 | 401 | 78.8 |
| 4. Too often qualities and abilities other | Yes | 58 | 60.4 | 16 | 32.0 | 23 | 63.9 | 168 | 65.6 | 35 | 49.3 | 300 | 58.9 |
| than teaching ability are decisive in promotions | U | 38 | 39.6 | 34 | 68.0 | 13 | 36.1 | 88 | 34.4 | 36 | 50.7 | 209 | 41.1 |
| 5. The principal has too much say in | Yes | 8 | 8.3 | 3 | ¢. 0 | 5 | 13.9 | 30 | 11.7 | 11 | 15.5 | 57 | 11.2 |
| promotions | U | 88 | 91.7 | 47 | 94.0 | 31 | 86.1 | 226 | 88.3 | 60 | 84.5 | 452 | 88.8 |
| 6. The department has too much say in | Yes | 3 | 3.1 | 1 | 2.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 17 | 6.6 | 10 | 14.1 | 33 | 6.5 |
| promotions | U | 93 | 96.9 | 49 | 98.0 | 34 | 94.4 | 239 | 93.4 | 61 | 85.9 | 476 | 93.5 |
| 7. The inspector has too much influence | Yes | 3 | 3.1 | 4 | 8.0 | 10 | 27.8 | 29 | 11.3 | 8 | 11.3 | 54 | 10.5 |
|  | U | 93 | 96.9 | 46 | 92.0 | 26 | 72.2 | 227 | 88.7 | 63 | 88.7 | 455 | 89.4 |
| 8. Religious denomination plays an im- | Yes | 22 | 22.9 | 7 | 14.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 125 | 48.8 | 22 | 31.0 | 194 | 38.1 |
| portant part in promotions | U | 74 | 77.1 | 43 | 86.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 131 | 51.2 | 49 | 69.0 | 315 | 61.9 |
| 9. Political views play an important | Yes | 22 | 22.9 | 7 | 14.0 | 13 | 36.1 | 65 | 25.4 | 11 | 15.5 | 118 | 23.2 |
| part in promotions | U | 74 | 77.1 | 43 | 86.0 | 23 | 63.9 | 191 | 74.6 | 60 | 84.5 | 391 | 76.8 |
| 10. Whom you know is more important | Yes | 43 | 44.8 | 13 | 26.0 | 30 | 83.3 | 169 | 66.0 | 34 | 47.9 | 289 | 56.8 |
| than what you know | U | 53 | 55.2 | 37 | 74.0 | 6 | 16.7 | 87 | 34.0 | 37 | 52.1 | 220 | 43.2 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96100.0 |  | 50100.0 |  | $36 \quad 100.0$ |  | 256 | 100.0 | 71100.0 |  | 509100.0 |  |

authorities is as follows:
This is indicated as a grievance by the lecturers in percentages ranging from $65.6 \%$ for the Transvaal to $32 \%$ for Natal. The percentages of principals vary from $78.6 \%$ for South West Africa to $25.8 \%$ for Natal (9.4\% for non-departmental schools).

The range in the case of vice-principals and assistants is from $50.0 \%$ for South West Africa to $21 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $13.6 \%$ ).

In connection with complaint No. 3, namely, that school committees have too much say in promotions, there is considerable divergence of opinion among the respondents who fall under different education authorities, which is due to the different types of promotion systems in force under the various authorities and the extent to which school committees play a deciding role. While $47.2 \%$ of the lecturers from the Orange Free State complain on this score, the figure for Natal is only $8.0 \%$. (Moreover, this $8 \%$ does not reflect the true position in Natal, since there is no question of school committees playing a part in promotions, and the replies are, therefore, apparently based upon subjective judgement, or on experience in other provinces.) As far as principals are concerned, the percentages who are dissatisfied in this case vary from $46.8 \%$ for the Cape to $6.5 \%$ for Natal. It is difficult to account for the $42.9 \%$ for South West Africa, since school committees also play no part there. A possible explanation is that the respondents, who all originally came from other education authorities, ans vered on the basis of their experience of this system elsewhere where school committees do have a say. For vice-principals and assistants the percentages vary from $34.2 \%$ for the Cape Province to $4.7 \%$ for Natal.

The trend mentioned above, namely, considerable differences of opinion according to the education authority under which respondents fall, is also observable in the percentage differences in answers given by groups of respondents under the respective authorities in connection with the remaining seven factors which may contribute to the unfairness of the system of promotion as reflected in Tables 3.4.1, 3.4.2 and 3.4.3.

### 3.6 DEMOTION:

SHOULD A TEACHER SOMETIMES BE DEMOTED?

Since it is evident from the foregoing that teachers feel very strongly about the lack of prospects of promotion, and also about certain factors which, in their opinion, result in promotions not being impartial, it is also of interest to note their views or demotion. Table 3.5 shows the replies given to the question whether a teacher should sometimes be demoted.
(a) National picture

The vast majority, namely $69.7 \%$ of the lecturers, $57.9 \%$ of the principals and $47.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, hold the definite view that demotion should sometimes take place.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The picture presented by the respondents grouped according to the different education authorities also reflects the decided views of the three main groups about the necessity of demotion in some cases. In the case of lecturers, who, as a group, feel most strongly about the necessity of demotion, the percentages vary from $76.0 \%$ for Natal to $51.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State. In the case of principals, the percentages range from $82.3 \%$ for Natal to $51.6 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $44.7 \%$ ). The percentage in respect of vice-principals and assistants varies from $67.0 \%$ for Natal to $40.3 \%$ for the Orange Free State.
By taking this definite stand on demotion, the body of teachers shows that it is in earnest about the whole question of promotion. The teachers make it plain that they are not pleading merely for reforms which will benefit them as a group, but also for reforms which could adversely affect individual members of the group, namely those who do not pull their weight in their promotion or other posts.

### 3.6.1 If so, what form should this demotion take?

In regard to their views about the previous question, respondents were also asked if such demotion should be effected by withholding the normal sajary increment, or by appointing the person concerned to a post of a lower grade.

TABLE 3.5

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Demotion: Should a teacher sometimes be demoted?
If so, how should this be done?

(a) National picture

As far as the national picture is concerned, $40.5 \%$ of the lecturers feel that this would be the proper action, in comparison with $33.3 \%$ of the principals and $24.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

As far as the picture in regard to groups classified according to different education authorities is concerned, the percentages of lecturers vary from $49.0 \%$ for the Cape Province to $25.0 \%$ for the Orange Free State, with the average in the neighbourhood of $40.5 \%$. The percentage of principals ranges from $48.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $14.3 \%$ for South West Africa, with the average at $33.3 \%$, while figures for vice-principals and assistants vary from $33.5 \%$ for Natal to $15.6 \%$ for the Orange Free State, with an average of $24.1 \%$.

## Appointment to a post of a lower grade

(a) National picture

Here $32.4 \%$ of the lecturers, $25.9 \%$ of the principals and $25.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants feel that this would be the proper course.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different aùthorities

The percentages of lecturers who hold this opinion vary from $41.7 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $26.0 \%$ for both the Cape Province and Natal, with an average of $32.4 \%$ for all the authorities.

The percentages of school principais range from $50.0 \%$ for South West Africa to $21.2 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (18.8\% for non-departmental schools), with an average of $25.9 \%$.

In the case of vice-principals and assistants the percentages vary from $35.4 \%$ for South West Africa to $19.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science, with an average of $25.7 \%$.

According to the data it therefore seems that the first of the two possible methods of demotion, namely withholding of the normal increment, is regarded as the proper form of punishment, with the second, i.e. appointment to a post of a lower grade, following close on the heels of the first. Once again it is quite clear from the large number of respondents who recommend these two drastic methods of demotion that they are prepared on their part to recommend radical action in order to remedy the whole position in regard to promotion and demotion, by eliminating the shortcomings which they see in the present system.

### 3.7 ON WHAT GROUNDS SHOULD A TEACHER BE PROMOTED?

In order to obtain the teacher's views on the proper basis for promotion, six generally accepted qualities which should qualify a teacher for promotion were put before the respondents. They were asked to list the qualities in order of importance by placing what they regard as the most important quality first, and what they consider the least important sixth. From this ranking from one to six which was assigned by the respondents to each of the given qualities, Table 3.6 was compiled. It shows in what order of importance the principals, vice-principals and assistants and lecturers placed the factors mentioned.

This table shows that there is complete unanimity among the three groups mentioned in regard to the two grounds for promotion indicated as the most important, namely:

1. The teacher's ability as a teacher in the classroom.
2. The teacher's personality in his relationships with others.

There is the same unanimity among the three groups about what is regarded as the least important qualification for promotion, namely, the teacher's out-of-school activities, which was placed sixth by all groups.

The very nature of the composition of the three groups, however, also gives rise to dif ferences in the views on the importance of certain other grounds for promotion. Principals, who have more to do with such matters, place activity in administrative matters third, whereas the other groups place it fifth.

## TABLE 3.6

ON WHAT GROUNDS SHOULD A TEACHER BE PROMOTED?

| Principals | Vice-principals and assistants | Lecturers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The teacher's alility as a teacher in the classroom | 1. The teacher's ability as a teacher in the classroom | 1. The teacher's ability as a teacher in the classroom |
| 2. The teacher's personality in his relationships with others | 2. The teacher's personality in his relationships with others | 2. The teacher's personality in his relationships with others |
| 3. The teacher's activity in administrative matters | 3. Length of experience | 3. Learning |
| 4. Length of experience | 4. Learning | 4. Length of experience |
| 5. Learning | 5. The teacher's activity in administrative matters | 5. The teacher's activity in administrative matters |
| 6. The teacher's out-of-school activities | 6. The teacher's out-of-school activities | 6. The teacher's out-of-school activities. |

The lecturers, again, possibly because of their concern with improving the knowledge and qualifications of students, accord third piace to "learning" as a qualification for promotion, compared with the fourth and fifth places, assigned to this by vice-principals and assistants, as a group, and principals, as a group, respectively.

There is also considerable agreement regarding the relative importance of long experience as a basis for promotion, since it is placed third by vice-principals and assistants and fourth by both the principals and the lecturers.

### 3.8 THE COMPLAINTS RAISED IN THE PRESENT SURVEY AGAINST THE SYSTEM OF PROMOTION, COMPARED WITH EARLIER SOUTH AFRICAN INVESTIGATIONS OF THE MATTER, AS WELL AS VIEWS HELD ON THIS SUBJECT IN OTHER COUNTRIES

In the present survey more than haif of ail respondents allege that promotions are not granted on a jusi basis in South Africa today. That matters in connection with the promotion of teachers are not what they should be in America, either, is apparent from the following: "Teaching is one of the few occupations where promotion does not necessarily depend on a person's ability to perform the tasks for which he was trained" (17, p. 21).

Apart from the great amount of space devoted in South African educational journals to correspondence and articies about appointment procedures and the system of promotion, considerable attention has also been given to this subject in theses, particularly at the University of Stellenbosch. Notable examples are the comparative critical study by Loik (18), which deals with the appointment and conditions of service of White teachers and that of Visser (19) on the appointment of teachers, as well as Simpson's study dealing with the promotion of teachers in South Africa (20). With some of this research as a basis, these studies were extended in 1964 under the guidance of Professor P.S. du Toit with the appearance of five publications on aspects of the system of service of White teachers under the education departments of Natal (21), the Transvaal (22), the Cape Province (23), the Orange Free State (24), South West Africa (25) and the Department of Education, Arts and Science (26). In each of these publications a chapter is devoted to the appointment procedure, so that similarities and differences in the procedures of the various authorities are easy to determine. Because of differences in the regulations of different education authorities in regard to appointment and promotion procedures, each aspect of the system of promotion will be seen by the respondents in the light of conditions under the education authority concerned. There is nevertheless considerable agreement throughout the country among dissatisfied teachers, particularly concerning the role played by certain bodies and factors in the promotion procedure.
3.8.2 $\frac{\text { Aspects of promotion policy about which complaints have been expressed both in the present and in }}{\text { previous surveys }}$
(a) In the present survey a particular grievance of the respondents is that, for promotion purposes whom you know is far more important than what you know. Associated with this is their further complaint that qualities and abilities other than teaching ability often count as the decisive factor in promotion. Both these complaints are to a certain extent implicit in a question in the 1951 survey, namely: "Are you convinced that one needs a "boot" to obtain promotion in teaching?" This was answered in the affirmative by $54 \%$ of the male principals, $71 \%$ of the male assistants and $47 \%$ of the women assistants ( $7, \mathrm{p} .13$ ). Olckers inferred from this that the majority of the teachers in this survey group had lost all confidence in the fairness and justice of the existing system of appointment and promotion (7, p. 13).
(b) In the present survey there are many who object to the part played by school committees, school boards and governing bodies in the nomination of teachers for appointment. In the 1951 survey the question was asked: "Are you in favour of the nomination of teachers by school committees and school boards for appointment by the department?" The reaction to this was an overwhelming "No" from $66 \%$ of the principals, $71 \%$ of the male and $45 \%$ of the women assistants. Olckers concluded from this that the majority of the group were opposed to the nomination of teachers by schooil committees and school boards (7, pp. 13, 14).

In connection with this matter, a further question was asked in the 1951 survey: "Do you thin's that you would have a fairer chance of promotion if the recommendations for appointments were made by a professional council under your departrnent?" To this $68 \%$ of the principals, $71 \%$ of the male and $46 \%$ of the female assistants replied in the affirmative.
The replies to a further question: "Would you prefer to be appointed or promoted direct by the department, as in the P:ablic Service?", showed that the respondents did not have much more
confidence in the authorities than in the school committees, since only $45 \%$ of the principals, $56 \%$ of the male assistants and $32 \%$ of the women assistants would have preferred to be appointed or promoted direct by the department ( $7, \mathrm{pp} .13 / 14$ ). It would surely be justifiable to conclude from this that teachers, as a professional group, would prefer to have a share in their own merit assessment and promotion through a professional council.
(c) The present survey shows that $42.9 \%$ of the respondents feel that there are not sufficient promotion posts for teachers, while $47.6 \%$ complain about the lack of promotion posts for women teachers. From the 1951 survey it also appeared that the respondents felt strongly about the lack of promotion prospects. In answer to the question: "Do you think that every teacher who has the ability and is prepared to work hard has sufficient prospects of promotion in the teaching profession?", $74 \%$ of the principals, $78 \%$ of the male and $71 \%$ of the women assistants replied in the negative ( $7, \mathrm{pp}, 13-14$ ). This reaction shows clearly that the vast majority of the teachers in the group mentioned thought that they did not have a fair chance of promotion in the teaching profession. The respondents in the present survey attribute the lack of promotion prospects partly to the fact that there are too few promotion posts open to men and women teachers.

The complaint of the group of respondents included in the present survey, namely that married women may not hold permanent posts, should be seen as one of their reasons for dissatisfaction with promotion procedure. Lotz mentions that not one of the provinces subscribes to the policy of employing married women in a permanent capacity (18, p. 54). Completely different is the position in England, on which Gunter reports as follows:

Furthermore, women are paid the same salary as men and, when they marry, they may retain their posts with all the rights and privileges attached to them, or, after leaving the service, return to teaching at any time and be appointed on a permanent basis (10, p. 369).
3.8.3 There can therefore be no doubt that serious dissatisfaction exists among teachers about the present system of appointment and promotion, and that they have a strong desire for professional independence (7, p. 14). Here, as in America, teachers believe that appointments should be made purely on the grounds of suitability, and that there should be no discrimination for any other reasons (Recommendation of the "Committee for the White House Conference on Education") (27, pp. 156-160).

## CHAPTER 4

## SALARY

The answer to the question whether the teacher is satisfied with his salary or not is very closely bound up with the measure of happiness and contentment respondents experience in their profession; this also contributes to the power of the profession to hold its members, and to a large extent also influences the attractiveness of the profession to new entrants. Because of the intimate connection between the salary a person receives and the status accorded to him by contemporary society mainly on the strength of this salary, the salary structure of a profession is to a great extent a factor which determines status today. Table 4.1 summarises the replies of the respondents to two cardinal questions: (1) Is the teacher satisfied with his salary? and (2) Is he dissatisfied with the general salary structure for teachers?
4.: IS THE TEACHER SATISFIED WITH HIS SALARY?

## (a) National picture

The percentages who answered this question in the affirmative were $32.1 \%$ of the principals, $32.8 \%$ of the lecturers and $45.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants. A much higher percentage, however, gave a decided "No" to this question, nameiy, $48.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $59.3 \%$ of the principals and $60.3 \%$ of the lecturers. A clear picture therefore emerges on the part of over $48 \%$ of all the respondents in the three groups who express dissatisfaction with their present salaries. Moreover, the respondents in the promotion posts, namely lecturers and principals, express dissatisfaction in much higher percentages, which in turn suggests that they consider that the salaries of promotion posts do not rise high enough, as compared with those of other professions.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentage of dissatisfied school principals varies from $79.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $35.7 \%$ for South West Africa; that of vice-principals and assistants from $57.0 \%$ for the Transvaal to $27.1 \%$ for South West Africa; and that of lecturers from $77.8 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $44.8 \%$ for the Cape Province. The high percentage of respondents in the Transvaal who are dissatisfied with their salaries, namely $79.5 \%$ of the principals, $57.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $63.7 \%$ of the lecturers, may possibly also be attributed to the fact that the high posts in the teaching profession are weighed by these respondents against the numerous well-paid posts in mining, industry, commerce and scientific fields which exist in the Transvaal.

The overall picture of a very large body of teachers who are dissatisfied with their salaries is particularly disquieting in view of the detrimental effect that this has on a teacher's happiness and contentment in the profession. Moreover, the result may be that the profession will lose its power to hold its present members, as well as its attraction for recruits.
4.2 Linking up with the previous question is the next which reads as follows:
"Is the teacher dissatisfied with the general salary structure for teachers?" (See Table 4.1)
(a) National picture

This question was answered in the affirmative by $53.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $61.1 \%$ of the principals and $64.4 \%$ of the lecturers.

## (b) Differentiated teaching groups according to the different authorities

Here the percentages of respondents who are dissatisfied with the salary structure vary, in the case of principals, from $76.0 \%$ for the Transvaal to $52.6 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $37.6 \%$ ); in respect of vice-principals and assistants from $57.4 \%$ for the Transvaal to $41.7 \%$ for South West Ifrica (non-departmental schools $27.3 \%$ ); and in the case of lecturers from $68.0 \%$ for Natal to $59.4 \%$ for the Cape Province. From this it appears that more than $53.6 \%$ of all the respondents are dissatisfied with the salary structure.

TABLE 4.1
SALARY: SATISFACTION OR DISSATISFACTION WITH THE SALARY STRUCTURE

|  |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West <br> Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart mental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Is the teacher satisfied with his | Yes | 144 | 46.2 | 18 | 29.0 | 17 | 37.8 | 49 | 18.6 | 9 | 64.3 | 8 | 24.2 | 16 | 18.8 | 261 | 32.1 |
| salary? | No | 146 | 46.8 | 40 | 64.5 | 26 | 57.8 | 209 | 79.5 | 5 | 35.7 | 24 | 72.7 | 33 | 38.8 | 483 | 59.3 |
|  | U | 22 | 7.1 | 4 | 6.5 | 2 | 4.4 | 5 | 1.9 | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | 36 | 42.4 | 70 | 8.6 |
| 2. Is he dissatisfied with the general | Yes | 164 | 52.6 | 40 | 64.5 | 29 | 64.4 | 200 | 76.0 | 9 | 64.3 | 23 | 69.7 | 32 | 37.6 | 497 | 61.1 |
| salary structure for teachers? | No | 118 | 37.8 | 20 | 32.3 | 14 | 31.1 | 53 | 20.2 | 5 | 35.7 | 8 | 24.2 | 14 | 16.5 | 232 | 28.5 |
|  | U | 30 | 9.6 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 10 | 3.8 | - | - | 2 | 6.1 | 39 | 45.9 | 85 | 10.4 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 1.00 .0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS <br> 1. Is the teacher satisfied with his | Yes | $371$ | 49.7 | $106$ | 45.5 | 120 | 51.9 | 446 | 38.5 | 31 | 64.6 | 1.04 | 54.5 | 35 | 39.8 | 1213 | 54.0 |
| salary? | No | $322$ | $43.2$ | $109$ | $46.8$ | 98 | 42.4 | 660 | 57.0 | 13 | 27.1 | 79 | 41.4 | 24 | 27.3 | 1305 | 48.4 |
|  | U | 53 | 7.1 | 18 | 7.7 | 13 | 5.6 | 51 | 4.4 | 4 | 8.3 | 8 | 4.2 | 29 | 33.0 | 176 | 6.5 |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{Yes}}$ | 405 | 54.3 | 127 | 54.5 | 116 | 50.2 | 664 | 57.4 | 20 | 41.7 | 89 | $46.6$ | 24 | 27.3 | 1445 | 53.6 |
| salary structure for teachers? | No | $236$ | $31.6$ | $69$ | $29.6$ | 86 | $37.2$ | 360 | $31.1$ | $24$ | $50.0$ | 84 | $44.0$ | 35 | $39.8$ | $894$ | $33.2$ |
|  | U | 105 | 14.1 | 37 | 15.9 | 29 | 12.6 | 133 | 11.5 | 4 | 8.3 | 18 | 9.4 | 29 | 33.0 | 355 | 13.2 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Is the teacher satisfied with his salary? | Yes | 42 | 43.7 | 13 | 26.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 84 | 32.8 |  |  | 25 | 35.2 |  |  | 167 | 32.8 |
|  | Ne | 43 | 44.8 | 33 | 66.0 | 28 | 77.8 | 163 | 63.7 |  |  | 40 | 56.3 |  |  | 307 | 60.3 |
|  | U | 11 | 11.5 | 4 | 8.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 9 | 3.5 |  |  | 6 | 8.5 |  |  | 35 | 6.9 |
| 2. Is he dissatisfied with the general salary structure for teachers? | Yes | 57 | 59.4 | 34 | 68.0 | 23 | 63.9 | 167 | 65.2 |  |  | 47 | 66.2 |  |  | 328 | 64.4 |
|  | No | 33 | 34.4 | 12 | 24.0 | 6 | 16.7 | 73 | 28.5 |  |  | 19 | 27.8 |  |  | 143 | 28.1 |
|  | U | 6 | 6.2 | 4 | 8.0 | 7 | 19.4 | 16 | 6.2 |  |  | 5 | 7.0 |  |  | 38 | 7.5 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

4. $\quad$ It is now necessary to analyse the views of the respongents on the six possible objections which could be leveiled against the salary structure, as summarised in Table 4.2, arid on this basis to try to determine what the teaching body regards as the grearest weaknesses in the structure.

## What do you consider the greatest weaknesses in the salary structure? (See Taiole 4. 2 )

Here the respondents could indicate one or more of the six possible shortcomings as their objections to the salary structure.

Because the questions were pui in such a manner that, among otner things, they bring out group interests strongly, as in the case of Questions 1 and 2, there was considerable divergence in the views of the three groups. F'or this reason it is desirable to consider the national picture in the present case from the viewpoint of the three groups of respondents.

## (a) National picture

The groups rank the weaknesses in the salary structure as follows:

## Principals:

| 1. The saiary ceiling of the more senior posts is too low: | $38.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. There are too few posts for promotion for men teachers: | $37.5 \%$ |
| 3. Application and adjustment are not satisiactory: | $37.0 \%$ |
| 4. Annuai ineremenis are too small: | $34.3 \%$ |
| 5. There is no possibility of accelerated advancement for |  |
| special merit:  <br> 6. There are too few posts for promotion f̈or women teachers: $31.8 \%$ |  |

## Vice-principals and assistants:

1. Annual incrernents are too small: $42.4 \%$
2. There is no possibility of accelerated advancement for
special merit:
3. Appiication and adjustrient are not satisiactory: $32.1 \%$
4. There are too few posts for promotion for mea reachers: $29.8 \%$
5. There are too few posts for promotion ior women teachers: $28.4 \%$
6. The salary ceiling of the more senior posts is too low: $2 \mathrm{i} .7 \%$

## Lecturers:

1. Application anủ adjustment are nor satistactory: $47.9 \%$
2. There is no possioility of acceierated advancement for
special merit:
3. The salary ceiling oif the more senior posts is too low: $45.2 \%$
4. There are too few posts ior promotion for women teachers: $38.7 \%$
5. There are toc few posis for promotion for men teachers: $\quad 37.7 \%$
6. Annual increments are too small: $36.2 \%$

The considerable differences in the evaluations. Which are probably to some extent due to each group's specific concern with and particular view of its own immediate problems, emerge clearly from each respective ranking of the weamesses in the salary structure.

While the cinef objection of the principais is that the salaries of the more senior posis have too low a ceiling, this aspect is placed thicd and sixth by the lecturers, and the vice-principals and assistants, respectively. Whereas the vice-principals and assistants object mainiy to the iow annual increments, the principais and lecturers as groups place this aspeci fourth and sixth respectively.

TABLE 4.2
WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER THE GREATEST WEAKNESS IN THE SALARY STRUCTURE?

| Weaknesses |  | Principals |  |  | Vice-principals and Assistants |  |  | Lecturers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order |
| 1. Too few posts for promotion for men teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { U } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 509 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.5 \\ & 62.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 803 \\ 1891 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.8 \\ & 70.2 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 192 \\ & 317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.7 \\ & 62.3 \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
| 2. Too few posts for promotion for women teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { U } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 259 \\ & 555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.8 \\ & 68.2 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 765 \\ 1929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.4 \\ & 71.6 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 197 \\ & 312 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.7 \\ & 61.3 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| 3. The salary ceiling of the more senior posts is too low | Yes <br> U | $\begin{aligned} & 313 \\ & 501 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.5 \\ & 61.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 585 \\ 2109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & 78.3 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 279 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.2 \\ & 54.8 \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
| 4. Annual increments are too low | Yes <br> U | $\begin{aligned} & 279 \\ & 535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.3 \\ & 65.7 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1142 \\ & 1552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.4 \\ & 57.6 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \\ & 330 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.2 \\ & 64.8 \end{aligned}$ | 6 |
| 5. There is no possibility of accelerated advancement for special merit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \mathrm{U} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 261 \\ & 553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.1 \\ & 67.9 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 906 \\ 1788 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.6 \\ & 66.4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \\ & 277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.6 \\ & 54.4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
| 6. Application and adjustment are not satisfactory | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \mathrm{U} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301 \\ & 513 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.0 \\ & 63.0 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 864 \\ 1830 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.1 \\ & 67.9 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 244 \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.9 \\ & 52.1 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 814 | 100.0 |  | 2694 | 100.0 |  | 509 | 100.0 |  |

As a group the lecturers are dissatisfied with the application and adjustment, while this aspect is placed third by both the principals and the vice-principals and assistants.

The complaint that there is no opportunity for accelerated advancement for special merit weighs so heavily with vice-principals and assistants, and also with lecturers, that they place this second, while the principals assign it only fifth place.

As regards promotion posts for men teachers, it seems that the principals, who place this point second, feel more dissatisfied on this score than the vice-principals and assistants and also the lecturers, who place it fourth and fifth, respectively. The lack of promotion posts for women teachers, however, weighs more heavily with the lecturers, who place it fourth, than with the other two groups who place it fifth and sixth.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under different authorities: (Tables 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.3)

Views on weaknesses in the salary structure aiso differ considerably according to the various education authorities under which the groups of respondents fall, and are probably due to differences in the conditions of service in the various provinces.

1. Too few posts for promotion for men teachers: The percentage of principals who agree with this varies from $51.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $29.0 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $10.6 \%$ ) ; in the case of vice-principals and assistants, from $42.1 \%$ for the Cape Province to $22.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $13.6 \%$ ); and in the case of lecturers, from $52.1 \%$ for the Cape Province to $32.0 \%$ for Natal. The high measure of dissatisfaction about this matter in the Cape Province, as compared with, for example, a comparatively low figure for the Transvaal, is probably directly due to the fact that at the time of the completion of the questionnaire there were still far fewer promotion posts in the Cape Province than in the Transvaal - a matter which has in the meantime been rectified in the Cape Province since 1st July, 1964.
2. Too few promotion posts for women teachers: Here the percentage of principals who assert that there are too few promotion posts for women teachers varies from $46.7 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $26.2 \%$ for the Transvaal (non-departmental schools $12.9 \%$ ); in the case of vice-principals and assistants, from $47.9 \%$ for South West Africa to $14.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; and in respect of lecturers, from $45.1 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $35.5 \%$ for the Transvaal.
3. The salary ceiling of the more senior posts is too low: The percentage of principals who agree with this varies from $55.1 \%$ for the Transvaal to $29.5 \%$ for the Cape Province (nondepartmental schools $15.3 \%$ ); in the case of vice-principals and assistants from $\mathbf{3 0 . 9 \%}$ for Natal to $8.3 \%$ for South West Africa; and in respect of lecturers from $52.0 \%$ for Natal to $28.1 \%$ for the Cape Province.
4. The annual increments are too small: On this point, the percentage of principals who are dissatisfied varies from $51.0 \%$ for the Transvaal to $20.0 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools 15.3\%); in respect of vice-principals and assistants from $48.1 \%$ for both Natal and the Transvaal to $33.3 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools $22.7 \%$ ); and in the case of lecturers from $44.0 \%$ for Natal to $21.9 \%$ for the Cape Province. A large section of the total number of respondents, particularly in the group of vice-principals and assistants, therefore feels that the low annual increments are a serious shortcoming.
5. There is no possibility of accelerated advancement for special merit: In respect of principals who agree with this, the percentages range from $50.0 \%$ for South West Africa to $21.2 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $20.0 \%$ ), while the figure for vice-principals and assistants varies from $35.2 \%$ for Natal to $27.1 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools $14.8 \%$ ). In the case of lecturers the percentage varies from $53.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $40.6 \%$ for the Cape Province. Here again it is notable that a large section of the total number of respondents indicates the fact that the salary structure makes no provision for accelerated advancement for special merit as one of the more serious shortcomings of the structure.
6. Application and adjustment are not satisfactory: This aspect was indicated as a shortcoming by from $52.1 \%$ of the principals in the Transvaal to $18.2 \%$ of those under Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $4.7 \%$ ). In the case of vice-principals and assistants the figure varies from $36.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $20.8 \%$ for South West Africa (nondepartmental schools $5.7 \%$ ), and for lecturers from $66.7 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $36.6 \%$

TABLE 4.2.l
PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Salary: What do you consider the greatest wealmess in the salary structure?


TABLE 4.2.2

## VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS

Salary: What do you consider the greatest weakness in the salary structure?

| Weaknesses |  | Education authorties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-departmental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Too few posts for promotion for men teachers | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 314 \\ & 432 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.1 \\ & 57.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57 \\ 176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.5 \\ & 75.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 160 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 69.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 293 \\ & 864 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \\ & 74.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \\ & 72.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 148 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.5 \\ & 77.5 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \\ & 86.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 803 \\ 1891 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.8 \\ & 70.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Too few posts for promotion for women teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { U } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242 \\ & 504 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32.4 \\ & 67.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.6 \\ & 79.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 162 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29.9 \\ & 70.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 342 \\ & 815 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \\ & 70.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.9 \\ & 52.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 28 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.7 \\ & 85.3 \end{aligned}$ | 13 75 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14.8 \\ & 85.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 765 \\ 1929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28.4 \\ & 71.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. The salary ceiling of the more senior posts is too low | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 623 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \\ & 83.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72 \\ 161 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9 \\ & 69.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 1.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.3 \\ & 85.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 299 \\ & 858 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.8 \\ & 74 ? \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ 91.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ 152 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.4 \\ & 79.6 \end{aligned}$ | 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17.0 \\ & 83.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 585 \\ 2109 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & 78.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Annual increments are too low | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \text { U } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 271 \\ & 475 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.3 \\ & 63.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.1 \\ & 51.9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41.6 \\ & 58.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 556 \\ & 601 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.1 \\ & 51.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.3 \\ & 66.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 37.2 \\ & 62.8 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.7 \\ & 77.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1142 \\ & 1552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.4 \\ & 57.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. There is no possibility of accelerated advancement for special merit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yes } \\ \mathrm{U} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 259 \\ & 487 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.7 \\ & 65.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 151 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.2 \\ & 64.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0 \\ & 68.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 402 \\ & 755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.7 \\ & 65.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \\ & 72.9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 33.0 \\ & 67.0 \end{aligned}$ | 13 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.8 \\ & 85.2 \end{aligned}$ | 906 1788 | $\begin{aligned} & 33.6 \\ & 66.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Application and adjustment are not satisfactory | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 223 \\ & 523 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.9 \\ & 70.1 \end{aligned}$ | 80 153 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.3 \\ & 65.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 160 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 69.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 422 \\ & 735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.5 \\ & 63.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20.8 \\ & 79.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 138 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \\ & 72.3 \end{aligned}$ | 5 83 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ 94.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 864 \\ 1830 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.1 \\ & 67.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Totals on which \% was caiculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 4. 2.3
LECTURERS
Salary: What do you consider the greatest weakness in the salary structure?

for Education, Arts and Science. Once again the high percentages of discontented respondents show that this is an aspect of the salary problem which causes widespread dissatisfaction.

The present South African survey points to a large measure of dissatisfaction among teachers about their present salary scales and also shows that there are various aspects of the salary structure about which many teachers are not happy. It is apparent from previous South African surveys that this dissatisfaction is no new phenomenon, and that there is reason for concern about this matter in other countries as well, including America.

Olckers states that the 1951 survey showed that the great majority of the respondents found it difficult to maintain their standard of living and to live on an equal footing with other employees with whom they come into daily contact. To the question: "Does your salary enable you to live on an equal footing with other employees in the Public Service, banks, commerce and industry?", $59 \%$ of the principals, $74 \%$ of the male and $55 \%$ of the female assistants answered in the negative ( $7, \mathrm{pp} .19,20$ ).

Teachers (and especially the vice-principals and assistants) in the present survey complain that the salary structure offers no opportunity for extra increases on grounds of merit, and this grievance also corresponds to a large extent with the views of the respondents in the 1951 survey, who declared themselves in favour of special salary increases for teachers who perform excellent work. As many as $62 \%$ of the principals, $65 \%$ of the male and $62 \%$ of the female assistants of this survey group were in favour of special salary increases on grounds of merit (7, pp. 19, 20).
4.4.:! In the 1951 survey more than $70 \%$ of the respondents gave a definite "no" in reply to the question whether the maximum salary of a teacher compared well with that of other professional persons with a training of the same duration (7, p. 20).

This situation in South Africa corresponds with the position in America at that time. In the Unesco report on educational conditions in America, the following statement is made in this connection: "The compensation of teachers bears an unfavourable relation to the earnings of other groups of similar education in the United States" (4, p. $335-6$ ), and further:
"In general, teachers are at about the middle of the economic scale when all earners in the country are considered, but low compared to other professions." In 1951 the average income of dentists, attorneys and doctors was three times as high as that of teachers (4, pp. $300-304$ ).
4.4.8 A comparison with the salary structure in England in so far as it has a bearing on complaints expressed in South African surveys shows that:
(a) In England a teacher's salary is based solely on his qualifications, and not on his school, class or subject. The salaries of school principals are, however, based both on their qualifications and on the grade or group under which the school is classified.
(b) In England far greater recognition, in the form of higher salaries, is given to persons with higher academic qualifications than in South Africa.
(c) Women are paid the same salaries as men.
(d) There are abundant prospects of promotion in England in the form of graded posts in different subjects, and posts as heads of departments, to which considerable extra remuneration is attached.
(e) The ceiling of the salary structure in England is much higher than in this country. A wellqualified principal of a high school with 1200 pupils may earn between $£ 3500$ and $£ 3600$ (10, pp. 369, 370).

### 4.4.4 Salary and status

In the final analysis the salary structure of a profession, being so closely bound up with the status attached to the profession, also plays a role in determining the position of the profession in the status ranking of professions. The Unesco report mentioned above formulates this close relationship between status and salary as follows: "The status and the salary scale of any occupation interact in a complex and subtle way. The esteem in which the occupation is generally
held is an important factor in determining the salary scale which those who follow that occupation can secure: On the other hand, once a salary scale is established it is itself a factor in determining the degree of esteem in which the occupation is held" (4, p. 96). Improvement of the salary structure of a profession will therefore not only relieve economic pressure and make for greater satisfaction, but will also give a boost to the prestige of the profession.

## CHAPTER 5

## PENSION SCHEME

5.1 As the respondents were asked to indicate their satisfaction or dissatisfaction with their pension scheme, and since they were also given the opportunity of pointing out specific weaknesses in the scheme, it is now important first to note where they place the pension scheme in the order of importance of conditions of service about which teachers are not happy (See Table 2.4). The table mentioned shows that the pension scheme was placed ninth in a ranking of fifteen items by the vice-principals and assistants, tenth by the lecturers and eleventh by the principals, From the percentages of the different groups who assigned these places to the pension scheme, it appears that relatively low percentages (compared with the percentages who complained about other aspects of conditions of service) consider that they have reason for complaint about pensions, namely, $14.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $19.2 \%$ of the principals and $19.3 \%$ of the lecturers.

That complaints about the pension scheme do indeed have a place in the ranking of conditions of service with which the teacher is dissatisfied cannot be deried, but what is equally true is that a whcle group of other conditions of service, according to their placing in the ranking mentioned, contribute far more to a general feeling of dissatisfaction in the teaching body.
5.2 In the questionnaire, principals and also vice-principals and assistants were asked "Are you satisfied with your pension scheme?", while principals and lecturers were asked to express an opinion on the question: "Is the teacher satisfied with his pension scheme?". In Table 5.1 the answers to these two questions are combined.

TABLE 5.1

SATISFACTION OR DISSATISFACTION WITH PENSION SCHEME


To the question: "Are you satisfied with your pension scheme?", $59.3 \%$ of the principals and $60.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants replied in the affirmative, while $22.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $28.4 \%$ of the principals answered that they were not satisfied.

To the question put to principals and lecturers: "Is the teacher satisfied with his pension scheme $?^{\prime \prime}, 51.9 \%$ of the lecturers and $54.7 \%$ of the principals answered in the affirmative, while $25.7 \%$ of the principals and $39.1 \%$ of the lecturers said that this was not the case.

The respondents were also given the opportunity of indicating aspects of the pension scheme which give rise to dissatisfaction. A noteworthy feature manifests itself here, namely that, whereas only $28.4 \%$ of the principals said that they were dissatisfied with their pension schemes (see Table 5.1), Tabie 5. 2 (in which the number and percentage of persons in the respective groups who are dissatisfied with one or more aspects of the pension scheme are given), shows that $57.1 \%$ of the principals raised some or other complaint. This can probably be explained by the fact that the respondents concerned could indicate one or more complaints about the pension scheme. In addition, some of those who felt satisfied with the pension scheme in general might nevertheless

TABLE 5.2
PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED PERSONS IN DIFFERENT GROUPS UNDER THE VARIOUS EDUCATION DEPARTMENTS

|  | Cape | Natal | Orange Free State | Transvaal | South West Africa | Education, Arts and Science | Non-departmental schools | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 312 | 62 | 45 | 263 | 14 | 33 | 85 | 814 |
| C | 125 | 35 | 34 | 256 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 465 |
| \% | 40.1 | 56.5 | 75.6 | 97.3 | 21.4 | 12.1 | 9.4 | 57.1 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS 4150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 746 | 233 | 231 | 1157 | 48 | 191 | 88 | 2694 |
| C | 170 | 103 | 40 | 260 | - | 24 | 7 | 604 |
| \% | 22.8 | 44.2 | 17.3 | 22.5 | - | 12.6 | 7.9 | 22.4 |
| LECTURERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 96 | 50 | 36 | 256 | - | 71 | - | 509 |
| C | 25 | 24 | 25 | 141 | - | 9 | - | 224 |
| \% | 26.0 | 48.0 | 69.4 | 55.1 | - | 12.7 | - | 44.0 |
| TOTALS FOR ALL THREE GROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 1154 | 345 | 312 | 1676 | 62 | 295 | 173 | 4017 |
| C | 320 | 162 | 99 | 657 | 3 | 37 | 15 | 1293 |
| \% | 27.7 | 47.0 | 31.7 | 39.2 | 4.8 | 12.5 | 8.7 | 32.2 |

$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of persons who completed questionnaires in group concerned.
C = Number of persons among those who completed questionnaires who are dissatisfied with pension schemes.
still have had objections to specific aspects of the scheme and indicated them here. The same phenomenon is also apparent in the other two groups. It now emerges from Table 5.2 that the percentage of respondents who expressed one or more complaints was relatively high.

## (a) National picture

In this connection, $57.1 \%$ of the principals, $44 \%$ of the lecturers and $22.4 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants expressed grievances, with an average of $32.2 \%$ for all three groups.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages of respondents who are dissatisfied with aspects of the pension scheme vary in the case of principals from $97.3 \%$ for the Transvaal to $12.1 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $9.4 \%$ ). In respect of vice-principals and assistants the percentage is much lower, ranging from $44.2 \%$ for Natal to $0 \%$ for South West Africa, while the percentage of lecturers varies from $69.4 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $12.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science. A striking feature in all three groups is the consistently low percentage of dissatisfied persons (less than $13 \%$ ) under Education, Arts and Science, where pension matters are modelled on Public Service lines.

## 5.4

## AN ANALYSIS OF COMPLAINTS ABOUT PENSIONS

Unfortunately many people who stated that they were dissatisfied with the pension schemes of their respective departments, failed to mention the reasons for their dissatisfaction, and to some extent this fact detracts from the reliability of certain data. The complaints raised by the dissatisfied persons have been grouped under fourteen different headings. Table 5.3 shows the fourteen complaints, with the number of persons who complained about each under the different education authorities. The complaints have been placed in order of importance according to each education authority, where possible, and also for the country as a whole. As the tables show, the number of dissatisfied persons in respect of specific aspects is, in the case of certain education authorities, from the nature of circumstances so low in some instances that their specific ranking may be misleading.
(a) National picture

The national picture shows the foilowing ranking of complaints:

1. Contributions too high for too small an annuity.
2. Temporary staff, especially women, not permitted to contribute to pension fund or unemployment insurance.
3. Period of payment too short: widow not provided for after $\pm 5$ years.
4. Pension annuity does not make sufficient allowance for the depreciation of money.
5. Requirement regarding submission of acceptable medical certificate on commutation of one third of pension into cash unreasonable.
6. Repayment of pension contributions gives rise to grievances among women.
7. Investments offer benefits more favourable than those offered by the pension scheme.

The ranking of the remaining eight complaints, each indicated by fewer than 60 respondents, is not given here, but may be determined from the table.

### 5.5 ANALYSIS OF THE PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFİED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OF THE FOUR COMPLAINTS RANKED HIGHEST

5.5.1 Contributions too high for too small an annuity (Table 5.4)

In the national picture, $36.8 \%$ of all respondents indicate this as a complaint. In the case of the three groups, this is shown as a grievance by $38.1 \%$ of the principals, $37.1 \%$ of the lecturers and $35.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
5.5.2 Temporary staff, especially women, not permitted to contribute to pension fund or unemployment insurance (Table 5.4.1)

As far as the national picture is concerned, $11.3 \%$ of those who are dissatisfied about

TABLE 5.3

## PENSION COMPLAINTS

Dissatisfied persons under the various education departments


TABLE 5.4

PERCENTAGES OF DISSATIGFIED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OF COMPLAINTIS RANKED HIGHEST
Contributions too high for too small an annuity

| Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape | Natal | Orange Free State | Transvaal | South West Africa | Education, Arts and Science | Non-departmental schools | Total |
| PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 125 | 35 | 34 | 256 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 465 |
| C | 42 | 18 | 11 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 177 |
| \% | 33.6 | 51.4 | 32.4 | 39.1 | 33.3 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 38.1 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 170 | 103 | 40 | 260 | - | 24 | 7 | 604 |
| C | 72 | 46 | 20 | 68 | - | 8 | 2 | 21.6 |
| \% | 42.4 | 44.7 | 50.0 | 26.2 | - | 33.3 | 28.6 | 35.8 |
| LECTURERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 25 | 24 | 25 | 141 | - | 9 | - | 224 |
| C | 5 | 11 | 10 | 52 | - | 5 | - | 83 |
| \% | 20.0 | 45.8 | 40.0 | 36.9 | - | 55.6 | - | 37.1 |
| TOT'ALS FOR ALL THREE GROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 320 | 162 | 99 | 657 | 3 | 37 | 15 | 1293 |
| C | 119 | 75 | 41 | 220 | 1 | 16 | 4. | 476 |
| \% | 37.2 | 46.3 | 41.4 | 33.5 | 33.3 | 43.2 | 26.7 | 36.8 |

$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of persons in the group with complaints about pensions.
$C=$ Number of complainexs about pensions who indicate the complaint concerned.

TABLE 5.4.1
PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OF COMPLAINTS RANKED HIGHEST
Temporary staff, especially women, not permitted to contribute to pension fund or unemployment insurance

| Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape | Natal | Orange Free State | Transvaal | South West Africa | Education, Arts and Science | Non-departmental schools | Total |
| PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 125 | 35 | 34 | 256 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 465 |
| C | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | - | - | 1 | 13 |
| \% | 2.4 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 2.0 | - | - | 12.5 | 2.8 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 170 | 103 | 40 | 260 | - | 24 | 7 | 604 |
| C | 13 | 5 | 3 | 100 | - | 4 | 4 | 129 |
| \% | 7.6 | 4.9 | 7.5 | 38.5 | - | 16.7 | 57.1 | 21.4 |
| LECTURERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 25 | 24 | 25 | 141 | - | 9 | - | 224 |
| C | $2$ | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 4 |
| \% | 8.0 | - | - | 1.4 | - | - | - | 1.8 |
| TÖTALS FOR ALL THREE GROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 320 | 162 | 99 | 657 | 3 | 37 | 15 | 1293 |
| C | 18 | 6 | 6 | 107 | - | 4 | 5 | 146 |
| \% | 5.6 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 16.3 | - | 10.8 | 33.3 | 11.3 |

Period of payment too short; if pensioner dies after about five years, his widow is not provided for

pensions expressed this objection. The greatest dissatisfaction is found among the vice-principals and assistants (21.4\%), while only $2.8 \%$ of the principals and $1.8 \%$ of the lecturers have a complaint on this score. The explanation for the large percentage of dissatisfied persons among the vice-principals and assistants shouid be sought in the fact that women, who, in particular, are adversely affected, form a large section of this group.
5.5.3 Period of payment too short: if pensioner dies after about five years, his widow is not provided for (Table 5.4.1)

The national picture shows that $11.1 \%$ of all those who complained about the pension scheme are concerned about this aspect, while the respective percentages for the groups of principals, lecturers, and vice-principals and assistants are $14.6 \%, 12.9 \%$ and $7.6 \%$.
5.5.t Pension annuity does not make adequate allowance for the depreciation of money (Table 5.4.2)

According to the national picture, $9.4 \%$ of the dissatisfied respondents express this grievance, while the percentages for the groups of lecturers, principals, and vice-principals and assisiunts, are $17.4 \%, 10.1 \%$ and $6.0 \%$ respectively.
5.5.i It may seem somewhat strange now that the respondents from the Cape Province ranked complaint No. 5: "Requirement regarding submission of an acceptable medical certificate on commutation of one third of the pension into cash" (see Table 5.3) second as compared with the eighth or ninth place assigned to it by teachers under other education authorities, but the explanation is that this requirement still applied in the Cape Province at the time of the completion of the questionnaires. It has, however, fallen away in the Cape since 1st July, 1964, and along with this possibly also any objection to it. Other authorities, however, also require this certificate.
5.6 Some of the objections listed above were mentioned by Bouwer as long ago as 1954 in his critical comparative study of pension funds for teachers:

1. The pension schemes do not make sufficient provision for the widows and dependants of teachers.
2. Teacher-pensioners are in poor financial circumstances as a result of the decline in value of money and the consequent decrease in its buying power (28, pp. 196-7).

The position in regard to the first complaint mentioned by Bouwer has in the meantime been improved somewhat by the establishment by some authorities of a widows' pension fund, into which an additional premium has to be paid.

The poor financial position of pensioners continues, however, and still has the effect of scaring away prospective teachers: recruitment to the profession is adversely affected by the circumstances in which pensioners find themselves. Prospective teachers, while they are still pupils, are often weli aware of the present straitened circumstances of those whom they formerly respected as teachers ( $28, \mathrm{pp}, 210-211$ ). Since no one would blithely choose a profession which wili leave him poorly provided for financially in his old age, it is essential to improve the lot of pensioners in this respect, and in this way also to enhance the esteem in which the profession is held, as well as its attractiveness.

TABLE 5.4.2
PERCENTAGES OF DISSATISFIED RESPONDENTS IN RESPECT OF COMPLAINTS RANKED HIGHEST
Pension annuity does not make sufficient allowance for depreciation of money

| Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape | Natal | Orange Free State | Transvaal | South West Africa | Education, Arts and Science | Non-departmental schools | Total |
| PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 125 | 35 | 34 | 256 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 465 |
| C | 6 | 6 | 1 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 47 |
| \% | 4.8 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 11.3 | 66.7 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 10.1 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 170 | 103 | 40 | 260 | - | 24 | 7 | 604 |
| C | 12 | 18 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 36 |
| \% | 7.1 | 17.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | - | - | 14.3 | 6.0 |
| LECTURERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 25 | 24 | 25 | 141 | - | 9 | - | 224 |
| C | 3 | 8 | 2 | 25 | - | 1 | - | 39 |
| \% | 12.0 | 33.3 | 8.0 | 17.7 | - | 11.1 | - | 17.4 |
| TOTALS FOR ALL THREE GROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ | 320 | 162 | 99 | 657 | 3 | 37 | 15 | 1293 |
| C | 21 | 32 | 4 | 58 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 122 |
| \% | 6.6 | 19.8 | 4.1 | 8.8 | 66.7 | 5.4 | 20.0 | 9.4 |

## CHAPTER 6

## LEAVE

6. In any attempt to determine the measure of happiness and contentment that an employee experiences in his cccupation, one naturally finds many contributory factors. Among the factors which may contribuie to an employee's happiness and contentment in his profession are the leave privileges he enjoys, including vacation, sick and other types of leave. Needless to say, inadequate leave privileges may also have the opposite effect on the employee.

In the questionnaire, the teacher was asked to express his views on those leave regulations which he considers inadequate, and the result of this survey is given in Table 6.1. According to the replies, the types of leave in regard to which dissatisfaction exists, may be listed in the following order of importance according to the degree of dissatisfaction.
6.:. 1 Vacation leave(i.e. long leave)
(a) National picture ('iable 6.1)

The regulations relating to long leave are regarded as inadequate by $27.8 \%$ of the principals, $25.1 \%$ of the lecturers and $23.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3)

As far as the respondenis, grouped according to the respective education authorities, are concerned, there are considerable differences in the percentages who express dissatisfaction with this aspect of the leave regulations. The percentage of dissatisfied principals varies from $35.9 \%$ in the Cape Province to only $6.1 \%$ under Education, Arts and Science; in the case of lecturers, the range is from $40.6 \%$ for the Cape Province to $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 \%}$ for Natal, while the percentage of vice-principals and assistants varies from $32.7 \%$ for the Cape Province to $2.1 \%$ for South West Africa.

### 6.2.2 Study leave

(a) National picture (Table 6.1)

This aspect is placed second throughout the country by $26.5 \%$ of the principals and $18.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, while it is placed first by $46.4 \%$ of the lecturers.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3)

Under the respective education authorities dissatisfaction among principals varies from $35.7 \%$ for South West Africa to $16.1 \%$ for Natal ( $10.6 \%$ for non-departmental schools). The figure for vice-principals and assistants ranges from 26.7\% for the Cape Province to $14.3 \%$ for the Transvaal, and in the case of lecturers from $60.0 \%$ for Natal to $40.6 \%$ for the Transvaal. The lecturers, as a group, feel most strongly about this matter, $46.4 \%$ asserting that the regulations in connection with study leave do not satisfy them. The reason is probably that the lecturers realise the necessity of further study more strongly and that they are possibly hampered to a certain extent in their attempts to study further by the present regulations relating to study leave.

## 6.z.3 Occasional leave

(a) National picture (Table 6.1)

This aspect, which takes third place in the country as a whole, is ranked fourth by $21.7 \%$ of the principals and $18.9 \%$ of the lecturers, while $17.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants place it third.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3) The percentage of principals who are dissatisfied on this score varies from $33.9 \%$ for Natal to $14.3 \%$ for South West Africa; in the case of vice-principals and assistants the figure ranges from $23.6 \%$ for Natal to $12.6 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science, and in respect of

TABLE 6.1
LEAVE
Which of the leave regulations does the teacher consider inadequate?

| Kind of leave |  | Principals |  |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  |  | Lecturers |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | Order |
| 1. Sick leave | Yes | 87 | 10.7 | 6 | 337 | 12.5 | 5 | 49 | 9.6 | 6 | 473 | 6 |
|  | U | 727 | 89.3 |  | 2357 | 87.5 |  | 460 | 90.4 |  | 3544 |  |
| 2. Occasional leave | Yes | 177 | 21.7 | 4 | 483 | 17.9 | 3 | 96 | 18.9 | 4 | 756 | 3 |
|  | U | 637 | 78.3 |  | 2211 | 82.1 |  | 413 | 81.1 |  | 3261 |  |
| 3. Study leave | Yes | $216$ | 26.5 | 2 | $501$ | $18.6$ | 2 | 236 | $46.4$ | 1 | 953 | 2 |
|  | U | $598$ | 73.5 |  | 2193 | 81.4 |  | 273 | $53.6$ |  | 3064 |  |
| 4. Special leave | Yes | 97 | 11.9 | 5 | 387 | 14.4 | 4 | 76 | 14.9 | 5 | 560 | 5 |
|  | U | 717 | 88.1 |  | 2307 | 85.6 |  | 433 | 85.1 |  | 3457 |  |
| 5. Leave for visiting other schools | Yes | 193 | 23.7 | 3 | 283 | 10.5 | 6 | 127 | 25.0 | 3 | 603 | 4 |
|  | U | 621 | 76.3 |  | 2411 | 89.5 |  | 382 | 75.0 |  | 3414 |  |
| 6. Vacation leave (long leave) | Yes | 226 | 27.8 | 1 | 622 | 23.9 | 1 | 128 | 25.1 | 2 | 998 | 1 |
|  | U | 588 | 72.2 |  | 2050 | 76.1 |  | 381 | 74.9 |  | 3019 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 814 | 100.0 |  | 2694 | 100.0 |  | 509 | 100.0 |  | 4017 |  |

TABLE 6.1.1

## PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS

Leave: Which of the leave regulations does the teacher consider inadequate?


TABLE 6.1.2

## VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS

Leave: Which of the leave regulations does the teacher consider inadequate?

| Kind of leave |  | Cape |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Natal |  | Orange FreeState |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N | \% |
| 1. Sick leave | Yes | 98 | 13.1 | 19 | 8.2 | 30 | 13.0 | 155 | 13.4 | 3 | 6.2 | 19 | 9.9 | 13 | 14.8 | 337 | 12.5 |
|  | U | 648 | 86.9 | 214 | 91.8 | 201 | 87.0 | 1002 | 86.6 | 45 | 93.7 | 172 | 90.1 | 75 | 85.2 | 2357 | 87.5 |
| 2. Occasional leave | Yes | 122 | 16.4 | 55 | 23.6 | 37 | 16.0 | 232 | 20.1 | 7 | 14.6 | 24 | 12.6 | 6 | 6.8 | 483 | 17.9 |
|  | U | 624 | 83.6 | 178 | 76.4 | 194 | 84.0 | 925 | 79.9 | 41 | 85.4 | 167 | 87.4 | 82 | 93.2 | 2211 | 82.1 |
| 3. Study leave | Yes | 199 | 26.7 | 39 | 16.7 | 38 | 16.5 | 166 | 14.3 | 7 | 14.6 | 39 | 20.4 | 13 | 14.8 | 501 | 18.6 |
|  | U | 547 | 73.3 | 194 | 83.3 | 193 | 83.5 | 991 | 85.7. | 41 | 85.4 | 152 | 79.6 | 75 | 85.2 | 2193 | 81.4 |
| 4. Special leave | Yes | 110 | 14.7 | 34 | 14.6 | 38 | 16.5 | 172 | 14.9 | 1 | 2.1 | 26 | 13.6 | 6 | 6.8 | 387 | 14.4 |
|  | U | 636 | 85.3 | 199 | 85.4 | 193 | 83.5 | 985 | 85.1 | 47 | 97.9 | 165 | 86.4 | 82 | 93.2 | 2:307 | 85.6 |
| 5. Leave for visiting other schools | Yes | 97 | 10.6 | 29 | 12.4 | 17 | 7.4 | 112 | 9.7 | 9 | 18.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 13 | 14.8 | 283 | 10.5 |
|  | U | 667 | 89.4 | 204 | 87.6 | 214 | 92.6 | 1045 | 90.3 | 39 | 81.2 | 167 | 87.4 | 75 | 85.2 | 2411 | 89.5 |
| 6. Vacation leave (long leave) | Yes | 244 | 32.7 | 50 | 21.5 | 51 | 22.1 | 262 | 22.6 | 1 | 2.1 | 27 | 14.1 | 9 | 10.2 | 644 | 23.9 |
|  | U | 502 | 67.3 | 183 | 78.5 | 180 | 77.9 | 895 | 77.4 | 47 | 97.9 | 164 | 85.9 | 79 | 89.8 | 2050 | 76.1 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 6.1.3
LECTURERS
Leave: Which of the leave regulations does the teacher consider inadequate?

| Kind of leave |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Sick leave | Yes | 11 | 11.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 30 | 11.7 | 3 | 4.2 | 49 | 9.6 |
|  | U | 85 | 88.5 | 47 | 94.0 | 34 | 94.4 | 226 | 88.3 | 68 | 95.8 | 460 | 90.4 |
| 2. Occasional leave | Yes | 13 | 13.5 | 8 | 16.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 66 | 25.8 | 6 | 8.5 | 96 | 18.9 |
|  | U | 83 | 86.5 | 42 | 84.0 | 33 | 91.7 | 190 | 74.2 | 65 | 91.5 | 413 | 81.1 |
| 3. Study leave | Yes | 47 | 49.0 | 30 | 60.0 | 19 | 52.8 | 104 | 40.6 | 36 | 50.7 | 236 | 46.4 |
|  | U | 49 | 51.0 | 20 | 40.0 | 17 | 47.2 | 152 | 59.4 | 35 | 49.3 | 273 | 53.6 |
| 4. Special leave | Yes | 11 | 11.5 | 9 | 18.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 43 | 16.8 | 8 | 11.3 | 76 | 14.9 |
|  | U | 85 | 88.5 | 41 | 82.0 | 31 | 86.1 | 213 | 83.2 | 63 | 88.7 | 433 | 85.1 |
| 5. Leave for visiting other | Yes | 19 | 19.8 | 17 | 34.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 63 | 24.6 | 20 | 28.2 | 127 | 25.0 |
| schools | U | 77 | 80.2 | 33 | 66.0 | 28 | 77.8 | 193 | 75.4 | 51 | 71.8 | 382 | 75.0 |
| 6. Vacation leave (long leave) | Yes | 39 | 40.6 | 9 | 18.0 | 10 | 27.8 | 54 | 21.1 | 16 | 22.5 | 128 | 25.1 |
|  | U | 57 | 59.4 | 41 | 82.0 | 26 | 72.2 | 202 | 78.9 | 55 | 77.5 | 381 | 74.9 |
| '「otals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |

(a) National picture (Table 6.1)

Here $23.7 \%$ of the principals and $25.0 \%$ of the lecturers place this aspect third, while it is ranked only sixth by a mere $10.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants. These figures reflect the greater need of principals and lecturers to visit other schools and colleges to study matters such as organisation, schemes of work and other aspects.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3) Under this heading, $35.7 \%$ of the principals in South West Africa feel that this is a shortcoming, as compared with $17.0 \%$ in the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $12.9 \%$ ). In the case of vice-principals and assistants the percentages vary from $18.7 \%$ for South West Africa to $7.4 \%$ for the Orange Free State, and in respect of lecturers from $34.0 \%$ for Natal to $19.8 \%$ for the Cape Province.

### 6.2.5 Special leave

(a) National picture (Table 6.1)

This aspect was assigned fifth place by $11.9 \%$ of the principals and $14.9 \%$ of the lecturers, while it was placed fourth by $14.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3)

The percentage of principals who are dissatisfied on this score varies from $17.8 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $10.3 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $5.9 \%$ ); in the case of vice-principals and assistants from $16.5 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $2.1 \%$ for South West Africa; and in respect of lecturers from $18.0 \%$ for Natal to $11.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
6.2.6 Sick leave
(a) National picture (Table 6.1)

This was ranked sixth by $10.7 \%$ of the principals and $9.6 \%$ of the lecturers, while $12.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants placed it fifth.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.1.3) The principals who are dissatisfied on this point vary from $15.4 \%$ for the Cape Province to $6.1 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; in respect of vice-principals and assistants the percentage varies from $13.4 \%$ for the Transvaal to $6.2 \%$ for South West Africa; and in the case of lecturers from $11.7 \%$ for the Transvaal to $4.2 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
6.3.1 As the education authorities generally make provision for adequate long-leave privileges,
the reason for complaints about long leave should probably be sought not in the amount of leave
which is allowed, but in the difficulty of obtaining leave. It is of no use to the teacher if the leave
is available but cannot be taken because of the difficulty of finding a substitute, or for some other
reason.
6.3.2

As regards study leave, the leave regulations of most departments give the impression that departments do not attach so much value to further study that they are prepared to subsidise it by paying a teacher his full salary while he is studying. Most departments at present grant study leave without remuneration, or expect the teacher to use the long leave to his credit for this purpose (18, p. 93).

## CHAPTER 7

## CIVIC RIGHTS

7.1 Complaints are frequently aired in the correspondence columns of teachers' journals and at meetings of the various teachers' associations about the restrictions imposed on the teacher as a citizen of his country. For this complaint to be seen in its true perspective, however, it must be evaluated within the context of all the conditions of service.

From Table 2.4, which deals with certain of the conditions of service which cause dissatisfaction, it may be inferred from the place accorded to the restrictions imposed upon him as a citizen of his country within the framework of fifteen aspects of his conditions of service, that this is far from being considered as restrictive as some other aspects. In the country as a whole, the complaint about restrictions on civic rights takes eighth place, and is ranked eighth by $32.9 \%$ of the principals, ninth by $23.0 \%$ of the lecturers and tenth by $14.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.

What is of interest, however, is that $32.9 \%$ of the principals and $23.0 \%$ of the lecturers have much stronger feelings about the restrictions placed on the teacher as a citizen of his country. as is reflected in the far higher percentages who complain about this, than appears to be the case with the vice-principals and assistants, of whom only $14.6 \%$ indicated dissatisfaction. The explanation may be sought in the fact that principals and lecturers, because of their seniority, probably more often have to refuse requests to fill certain positions on the grounds of certain restrictions imposed upon them, while vice-principals and assistants are not placed in a similar position as frequently. It should be remembered, furthermore, that a large section of the group of vice-principals and assistants consists of women who will not feel any restriction of their civic rights as strongly as groups consisting predominantly of men.
7.2 Table 7.1 shows the teachers' reaction to the question: Does the teacher consider the restrictions on his civic rights to be a disadvantage of his profession?
(a) National picture

To this question, $34.3 \%$ of the principals gave an affirmative answer, as compared with $29.7 \%$ of the lecturers and $21.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

Here the percentage of affirmative answers given by the principals varies from $62.9 \%$ for Natal to $28.9 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools $21.2 \%$ ); in the case of vice-principals and assistants from $30.0 \%$ for Natal to $4.2 \%$ for South West Africa; and in respect of lecturers from $56.0 \%$ for Natal to $23.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science. A striking feature is that far higher percentages in each group in Natal feel unhappy on this point (principals 62.9\%, vice-principals and assistants $30.0 \%$ and lecturers $56.0 \%$ ) than under the other education authorities.

### 7.3 REQUIREMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH CIVIC RIGHTS TO WHICH TEACHERS OBJECT

Following on the previous question teachers who were dissatisfied were also asked to indicate to which of eleven demands or requirements in connection with civic rights they object. From the replies, which are summarised in Tables $7.1 .1,7.1 .2$ and 7.1 .3 , the following ranking emerges:
(a) National picture (Table 7.1.3)
7.3.1 That he is not permitted to have an additional source of income as other people do. This is placed first in order of importance by $33.7 \%$ of the principals, $22.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $27.7 \%$ of the lecturers.
7.3.2 That he cannot take part in ordinary forms of entertainment to a reasonable extent because he is not financially able to do so. This is listed third by $29.0 \%$ of the principals, second by $19.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $22.8 \%$ of the lecturers.

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Civic rights: Does the teacher consider the restrictions on his civic rights to be a disadvantage of his profession?

|  |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ i_{1}^{\prime} \\ i \end{gathered}$ | PRINCIPALS: Yes | 110 | 35.3 | 39 | 62.9 | 13 | 28.9 | 81 | 30.8 | 14 | 42.9 | 12 | 36.4 | 18 | 21.2 | 279 | 34.3 |
|  | No | 182 | 58.3 | 21 | 33.9 | 32 | 71.1 | 173 | 65.8 | 8 | 57.1 | 17 | 51.5 | 43 | 50.3 | 476 | 58.5 |
|  | U | 20 | 6.4 | 2 | 3.2 | - | - | 9 | 3.4 | - | - | 4 | 12.1 | 24 | 28.2 | 59 | 7.2 |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
|  | VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: Yes | 205 | 27.5 | 70 | 30.0 | 43 | 18.6 | 223 | 19.3 | 2 | 4.2 | 38 | 19.9 | 6 | 6.8 | 587 | 21.8 |
|  | - No | 496 | 66.5 | 146 | 62.7 | 174 | 75.3 | 877 | 75.8 | 43 | 89.6 | 147 | 77.0 | 62 | 70.5 | 1945 | 72.2 |
|  | U | 45 | 6.0 | 17 | 7.3 | 14 | 6.1 | 57 | 4.9 | 3 | 6.2 | 6 | 3.1 | 20 | 22,7 | 162 | 6.0 |
|  | 'rotals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
|  | LECTURERS: Yes | 28 | 29.2 | 28 | 56.0 | 12 | 33.3 | 66 | 25.8 |  |  | 17 | 23.9 |  |  | 151 | 29.7 |
|  | No | 66 | 68.7 | 21 | 42.0 | 23 | 63.9 | 181 | 70.7 |  |  | 45 | 63.4 |  |  | 336 | 66.0 |
|  | U | 2 | 2.1 | 1 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 9 | 3.5 |  |  | 9 | 12.7 |  |  | 22 | 4.3 |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |


| Restrictive demands | Cape |  | Natal |  | Fducation guthorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Order of importance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Orange Free State | Transvaal |  | South West <br> Africa |  | ibulualion, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voin-ciepall- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | \% |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. That the teacher is not free to take part in party politics | 77 | 24.7 | 21 | 33.9 | 11 | 24.4 | 56 | 21.3 | 4 | 28.6 | 10 | 30.3 | 10 | 11.8 | 189 | 23.2 | 7 |
|  | 56 | 17.9 | 20 | 32.3 | 4 | 8.9 | 37 | 14.1 | 3 | 21.4 | 3 | 9.1 | 8 | 9.4 | 131 | 16.1 |  |
|  | 179 | 57.4 | 21 | 33.9 | 30 | 66.7 | 170 | 64.6 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 67 | 78.8 | 494 | 60.7 |  |
| 2. That he cannot do as he pleases in the social field | '74 | 23.7 | 21 | 33.9 | 7 | 15.6 | 52 | 19.8 | 3 | 21.4 | 8 | 24.2 | 9 | 10.6 | 174 | 21.4 | 8 |
|  | 65 | 20.8 | 18 | 29.0 | 8 | 17.8 | 43 | 16.3 | 3 | 21.4 | 4 | 12.1 | 9 | 10.6 | 150 | 18.4 |  |
|  | 173 | 55.4 | 23 | 37.1 | 30 | 66.7 | 168 | 63.9 | 8 | 57.1 | 21 | 63.6 | 67 | 78.8 | 490 | 60.2 |  |
| 3. That he cannot always do as he likes in the field of sport | 31 | 9.9 | 12 | 19.4 | 1 | 2.2 | 29 | 11.0 | 2 | 14.3 | 5 | 15.2 | 4 | 4.7 | 84 | 10.3 | 11 |
|  | 103 | 33.0 | 28 | 45.2 | 14 | 31.1 | 62 | 23.6 | 5 | 35.7 | 8 | 24.2 | 13 | 15.3 | 233 | 28.6 |  |
|  | 178 | 57.1 | 22 | 35.5 | 30 | 66.7 | 172 | 65.4 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 68 | 80.0 | 497 | 61.1 |  |
| 4. That he is always expected to be a model of discretion | 55 | 17.6 | 15 | 24.2 | 7 | 15.6 | 50 | 19.0 | 5 | 35.7 | 8 | 24.2 | 2 | 2.4 | 142 | 17.4 | 9 |
|  | 76 | 24.4 | 24 | 38.7 | 8 | 17.8 | 41 | 15.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 5 | 15.2 | 14 | 16.5 | 170 | 20.9 |  |
|  | 181 | 58.0 | 23 | 37.1 | 30 | 66.7 | 172 | 65.4 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 69 | 81.2 | 502 | 61.7 |  |
| 5. That he is always expected to take the lead in organising and/or attending inational festivals | 84 | 26.9 | 22 | 35.5 | 10 | 22.2 | 61 | 23.2 | 5 | 35.7 | 10 | 30.3 | 12 | 14.1 | 204 | 25.1 | 6 |
|  | 48 | 15.4 | 17 | 27.4 | 5 | 11.1 | 34 | 12.9 | 2 | 14.3 | 3 | 9.1 | 6 | 7.1 | 115 | 14.1 |  |
|  | 180 | 57.7 | 23 | 37.1 | 30 | 66.7 | 168 | 63.9 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 67 | 78.8 | 495 | 60.8 |  |
| 6. That it is taken for granted that he is always obliged to serve the community | $95$ | 30.4 | 29 | $46.8$ | 10 | 22.2 | 77 | 29.3 | 6 | 42.9 | 10 | $30.3$ | 12 | $14.1$ | 239 | 29.4 | 2 |
|  | 41 | 13.1 | 11 | $17.7$ | 5 | 11.1 | 18 | 6.8 | 1 | 7.1 | 3 | $9.1$ | 8 | $9.4$ | 87 | 10.7 |  |
|  | 176 | 56.4 | 22 | 35.5 | 30 | 66.7 | 168 | 63.9 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 65 | 76.5 | 488 | 60.0 |  |
| 7. That he may make no demands in this field but is nevertheless always expected to serve | 93 | 29.8 | 24 | 38.7 | 11 | 24.4 | 73 | 27.8 | 5 | 35.7 | 10 | 30.3 | 9 | 10.6 | 225 | 27.6 | 5 |
|  | 44 | 14.1 | 13 | 21.0 | 4 | 8.9 | 21 | 8.0 | 2 | 14.3 | 3 | 9.1 | 11 | 12.9 | 98 | 12.0 |  |
|  | 175 | 56.1 | 25 | 40.3 | 30 | 66.7 | 169 | 64.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 65 | 76.5 | 491 | 60.3 |  |
| 8. That he may not play a full part in local authorities | 92 | 29.5 | 33 | 53.2 | 13 | 28.9 | 67 | 25.5 | 5 | 35.7 | 10 | 30.3 | 7 | 8.2 | 227 | 27.9 | 4 |
|  | 43 | 13.8 | 6 | 9.7 | 2 | 4.4 | 28 | 10.6 | 2 | 14.3 | 3 | 9.1 | 11 | 12.9 | 95 | 11.7 |  |
|  | 177 | 56.7 | 23 | 37.1 | 30 | 66.7 | 168 | 63.9 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 67 | 78.8 | 492 | 60.4 |  |
| 9. That he is not permitted to have an additional source of income as other people do | 105 | 33.7 | 38 | 61.3 | 14 | 31.1 | 87 | 33.1 | 6 | 42.9 | 11 | 33.3 | 13 | 15.3 | 274 | 33.7 | 1 |
|  | 33 | 10.6 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 11 | 4.2 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 6.1 | 7 | 8.2 | 58 | 7.1 |  |
|  | 174 | 55.8 | 22 | 35.5 | 29 | 64.4 | 165 | 62.7 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 65 | 76.5 | 482 | 59.2 |  |
| 10. That he cannot take part in ordinary forms of entertainment, to a reasonable extent because he is not $U$ financially able to do so | 88 | 28.2 | 29 | 46.8 | 9 | 20.0 | 88 | 33.5 | 3 | 21.4 | 9 | 27.3 | 3.0 | 11.8 | 236 | 29.0 | 3 |
|  | 46 | 14.7 | 10 | 16.1 | 6 | 13.3 | 10 | 3.8 | 4 | 28.6 | 4 | 12.1 | 9 | 10.6 | 89 | 10.9 |  |
|  | 178 | 57.1 | 23 | 37.1 | 30 | 66.7 | 165 | 62.7 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 66 | 77.6 | 489 | 60.1 |  |
| 11. That he is often reminded, as soon Yes as he excels others in certain fields, that he is not permitted to participate in them | 49 | 15.7 | 15 | 24.2 | 6 | 13.3 | 48 | 18.3 | 1 | 7.1 | 4 | 12.1 | 8 | 9.4 | 131 | 16.1 | 10 |
|  | 78 | 25.0 | 21 | 33.9 | 9 | 20.0 | 39 | 14.8 | 6 | 42.9 | 9 | 27.3 | 10 | 11.8 | 172 | 21.1 |  |
|  | 185 | 59.3 | 26 | 41.9 | 30 | 66.7 | 176 | 66.9 | 7 | 50.0 | 20 | 60.6 | 67 | 78.8 | 511 | 62.8 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 1.00.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 7.1.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Civic rights: Which of the demands made on him does the teacher find restrictive?
Education authorities

| Restrictive demands | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Non-depart- mental schools``` |  | Total |  | Order of importance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. That the teacher is not free to take part in party politics | 110 | 14.7 | 43 | 18.5 | 27 | 11.7 | 108 | 9.3 | 3 | 6.2 | 21 | 11.0 | 4 | 4.5 | 316 | 11.7 | 5 |
|  | 136 | 18.2 | 26 | 11.2 | 37 | 16.0 | 186 | 16.1 | 14 | 29.2 | 36 | 18.8 | 7 | 8.0 | 442 | 16.4 |  |
|  | 500 | 67.0 | 164 | 70.4 | 167 | 72.3 | 863 | 74.6 | 31 | 64.6 | 134 | 70.2 | 77 | 87.5 | 1936 | 71.9 |  |
| 2. That he cannot do as he pleases in the social field | 95 | 12.7 | 26 | 11.2 | 27 | 11.7 | 140 | 12.1 | 1 | 2.1 | 19 | 9.9 | 3 | 3.4 | 311 | 11.5 | 7 |
|  | 151 | 20.2 | 39 | 16.7 | 54 | 23.4 | 189 | 16.3 | 18 | 37.5 | 42 | 22.0 | 6 | 6.8 | 499 | 18.5 |  |
|  | 500 | 67.0 | 168 | 72.1 | 150 | 64.9 | 828 | 71.6 | 29 | 60.4 | 130 | 68.1 | 79 | 89.8 | 1884 | 69.9 |  |
| 3. That he cannot always do as he likes in the field of sport | 45 | 6.0 | 9 | 3.9 | 14 | 6.1 | 57 | 4.9 | 1 | 2.1 | 7 | 3.7 | - | - | 133 | 4.9 | 11 |
|  | 195 | 26.1 | 56 | 24.0 | 66 | 28.3 | 257 | 22.2 | 16 | 33.3 | 53 | 27.7 | 9 | 10.2 | 652 | 24.2 |  |
|  | 506 | 67.8 | 168 | 72.1 | 151 | 65.4 | 843 | 72.9 | 31 | 64.6 | 131 | 68.6 | 79 | 89.8 | 1909 | 70.9 |  |
| 4. That he is always expected to be a model of discretion | 105 | 14.1 | 23 | 9.9 | 24 | 10.4 | 125 | 10.8 | 2 | 4.2 | 16 | 8.4 | 5 | 5.7 | 300 | 11.1 | 8 |
|  | 134 | 18.0 | 41 | 17.6 | 53 | 22.9 | 187 | 16.2 | 16 | 33.3 | 45 | 23.6 | 4 | 4.5 | 480 | $17.8$ |  |
|  | 507 | 68.0 | 169 | 72.5 | 154 | 66.7 | 845 | 73.0 | 30 | 62.5 | 130 | 68.1 | 79 | 89.8 | 1914 | 71.0 |  |
| 5. That te is always expected to take Yes the lead in organising and/or atten- No ding national festivals <br> U | 108 | 14.5 | 21 | 9.0 | 24 | 10.4 | 136 | 11.8 | 5 | 10.4 | 18 | 9.4 | 2 | 2.3 | 314 | 11.7 | 6 |
|  | 138 | 18.5 | 45 | 19.3 | 53 | 22.9 | 177 | 15.3 | 12 | 25.0 | 42 | 22.0 | 8 | 9.1 | 475 | 17.6 |  |
|  | 500 | 67.0 | 167 | 71.7 | 154 | 66.7 | 844 | 72.9 | 31 | 64.6 | 131 | 68.6 | 78 | 88.6 | 1905 | 70.7 |  |
| 6. That it is taken for granted that he is always obliged to serve the community | 157 | 21.0 | 41 | 17.6 | 44 | 19.0 | 210 | 18.2 | 4 | 8.3 | 29 | 15.2 | 4 | 4.5 | 489 | 18.2 | 3 |
|  | 109 | 14.6 | 31 | 13.3 | 30 | 13.0 | 112 | 9.7 | 11 | 22.9 | 35 | 18.3 | 6 | 6.8 | 334 | 12.4 |  |
|  | 480 | 64.3 | 161 | 69.1 | 157 | 68.0 | 835 | 72.2 | 33 | 68.7 | 127 | 66.5 | 78 | 88.6 | 1871 | 69.5 |  |
| 7. That he may make no demands in this field but is nevertheless always expected to serve | 145 | 19.4 | 25 | 10.7 | 34 | 14.7 | 169 | 14.6 | 4 | 8.3 | 29 | 15.2 | 6 | 6.8 | 412 | 15.3 | 4 |
|  | 112 | 15.0 | 36 | 15.5 | 38 | 16.5 | 135 | 11.7 | 9 | 18.7 | 32 | 16.8 | 6 | 6.8 | 368 | 13.7 |  |
|  | 489 | 65.5 | 172 | 73.8 | 159 | 68.8 | 853 | 73.7 | 35 | 72.9 | 130 | 68.1 | 76 | 86.4 | 1914 | 71.0 |  |
| 8. That he may not play a full part in local authorities | 98 | 13.1 | 26 | 11.2 | 33 | 14.3 | 110 | 9.5^ | 2 | 4.2 | 24 | 12.6 | 2 | 2.3 | 295 | 11.0 | 9 |
|  | 151 | 20.2 | 38 | 16.3 | 42 | 18.2 | 191 | 16.5 | 11 | 22.9 | 38 | 19.9 | 8 | 9.1 | 479 | 17.8 |  |
|  | 497 | 66.6 | 169 | 72.5 | 156 | 67.5 | 856 | 74.0 | 35 | 72.9 | 129 | 67.5 | 78 | 88.6 | 1920 | 71.3 |  |
| 9. That he is not permitted to have an additional source of income as other people do | 167 | 22.4 | 59 | 25.3 | 50 | 21.6 | 268 | 23.2 | 6 | 12.5 | 42 | 22.0 | 6 | 6.1 | 598 | 22.2 | 1 |
|  | 99 | 13.3 | 20 | 8.6 | 29 | 12.6 | 80 | 6.9 | 9 | 18.7 | 21 | 11.0 | 5 | 5.7 | 263 | 9.8 |  |
|  | 480 | 64.3 | 154 | 66.1 | 152 | 65.8 | 809 | 69.9 | 33 | 68.7 | 128 | 67.0 | 77 | 87.5 | 1833 | 68.0 |  |
| 10 . That he cannot take part in ordinary Yes forms of entertainment to a rea- No sonable extent because he is not U financially able to do so | 158 | 21.2 | 47 | 20.2 | 37 | 16.0 | 231 | 20.0 | 4 | 8.3 | 34 | 17.8 | 8 | 9.1 | 519 | 19.3 | 2 |
|  | 104 | 13.9 | 27 | 11.6 | 39 | 16.9 | 101 | 8.7 | 9 | 18.7 | 29 | 15.2 | 4 | 4.5 | 313 | 11.6 |  |
|  | 484 | 64.9 | 159 | 68.2 | 155 | 67.1 | 825 | 71.3 | 35 | 72.9 | 128 | 67.0 | 76 | 86.4 | 1862 | 69.1 |  |
| 11. That he is often reminded, as soon as he excels others in certain fields, that he is not permitted to participate in them |  |  | 20 | $8.6$ |  | $5.6$ | 81 | 7.0 | 6 | $12.5$ | 10 | 5.2 | 2 | 2.3 | $188$ | $7.0$ | 10 |
|  | $184$ | $24.7$ | 46 | $19.7$ | 61 | $26.4$ | 214 | $18.5$ | 11 | $22.9$ | 46 | $24.1$ | 9 | $10.2$ | $571$ | $21.2$ |  |
|  | 506 | 67.8 | 167 | 71.7 | 157 | 68.0 | 862 | 74.5 | 31 | 64.6 | 135 | 70.7 | 77 | 87.5 | 1935 | 71.8 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |  |

Civic rights: Which of the demands made on him does the teacher find restrictive?
Education authorities

7.3.3 That it is taken for granted that he is always obliged to serve the cornmunity. This is placed second by $29.4 \%$ of the principals, third by $18.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and fifth by $19.6 \%$ of the lecturers.
7.3.4 That he may make no demands in this field but is nevertheless always expected to secve. This is ranked fifth by $27.6 \%$ of the principals, fourth by $15.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, and third by $22.6 \%$ of the lecturers.
7.3.5 That he may not play a full part in local authorities. This is placed fourch by $27.9 \%$ of the principals, ninth by $11.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and fourth by $21.4 \%$ of the lecturers.
7.3.6 That he is always expected to take the lead in organising and/or attending national festivals. This is placed sixth throughout by $25.1 \%$ of the principals, $11.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $18.7 \%$ of the lecturers.
7.3.7 That the teacher is not free to take part in party politics. This is listed seventh by $23.2 \%$ of the principais and $17.5 \%$ of the lecturers, while it is placed fifth by $11.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
7.3.8 That he cannot do as he pieases in the social field. This is assigned eightriplace by $21.4 \%$ of the principals and $17.1 \%$ of the lecturers, while $11.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants piace it seventh.
7.3.9 That he is always expected to be a model of discretion. This is ranked ninth by $17.4 \%$ of the principais and $12.4 \%$ of the lecturers, while it is placed eighth by $11.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
7.3.10 That he is often reminded, as soon as he excels others in cerrain fields, that he is not permitted to participate in them. 'This is ranked tenth throughout by $16.1 \%$ of the principals, $11.0 \%$ of the lecturers and $7.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
7.3.11 That he cannot ailways do as he iikes in the field of sport. This is ranked eleventh throughout by $10.3 \%$ of the principals, $9.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $4.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Ditferentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

Differences in emphasis laid by teachers of different education authorities on each of the above-mentioned demands may be ascertained from Tables 7.1.1, 7.1.2 and 7.1.3.
7.4 The first three objections in order of importance are in all cases indicated as irksome by more than $20 \%$ of ail the respondents, probably because they feel that these are the most irritating aspects which encroach upon their personal rights as citizens. There is, however, also a considerable body of over $14 \%$ of all respondents who complain about the restriction of their participation in local and political affairs on an organisationai level.
7.5 Lotz gives the foilowing definition of civic rights: (Translation) "'Civic rights' means the right which every citizen possesses to contribute directly to the government of his country. This implies the rigint to vote and to be eligible for election. This means, further, that ali posts in the legislature, government and judiciary should be open to every citizen" (18, p. 198). Civic rights ailso imply certain freedoms: "To be able to make full use of civic rights, it is essential that a person should be at liberty to express his ideas (freedom of speech), to hold meetings (to attend and address them) and to write. Implicit in all these kinds of freedom is the right to criticise" (Van Pittius, as quoted by Lotz) (18, p. 198).

If we now test the restrictions imposed on teachers by certain authorities in connection with participation in local government and in politics, and aiso the prohibition of public criticism of departmental policy by teachers, in the light of the freedoms implicit in full civic rights, there definitely seems to be some curtailment of the civic rights of teachers. Lotz, however, doubts whether this curtailment should always be interpreted as an injustice (18, pp. 19s-199).
7.5.1 Teachers' views according to previous surveys

The survey conducted by Olckers shows that the opinion of teachers as a body is that their status as citizens is prejudiced by the restrictions on their civic rights as a result of which
they cainnot take part freely in public life (7, pp. 12-13).
7.5. $\quad$ Public opinion according to previous surveys

Langenhoven states that $72.9 \%$ of the public are in favour of teachers' enjoying fuil civic rights and being free to take part in public life and to play a leading part in the community ( $8, \mathrm{pp} .91-92$ ).

## CHAPTER 8

DOES THE TEACHER FEEL SECURE IN HIS PROFESSION?
8.1 Everyone has a need for a feeling of safety and security. Everyone wants to feel safe in his own profession and sure that he is adequately protected not only against the authorities, but also against the attacks of the outside world. It goes without saying that a sense of security in a profession contributes to the happiness and contentment of its members. In order to obtain teachers' views on these matters, the following questions were included in the questionnaire:
8.1.1 Is there satisfaction among teachers about the manner in which disciplinary matters involving teachers are conducted?

From T'able 2.4, in which the teacher could indicate which aspects of his conditions of service make him unhappy, it appears that "the procedure foilowed when a teacher is involved in disciplinary action' was assigned ninth place. This was ranked ninth by $23.7 \%$ of the principals and eighth by $17.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, while $14.5 \%$ of the lecturers placed it twelfth. Seen in the whole framework of factors which cause dissatisfaction, no particular weight is therefore attached to this aspect by the teaching body.

## 8. 2 IS THE TEACHER ADEQÜATELY PROTECTED AGAINST

(1) the principal
(2) the inspector
(3) the department
(4) the parent
(5) the child

No teacher could feel completely secure in his profession if he felt that he was not adequately protected against the principal, the department, the parents or others. In order to determine whether or not teachers are satisfied with the measure of protection they enjoy, they were asked to indicate whether or not they feel adequately protected against the persons or bodies concerned.
8.2.1 The following percentages of principals do not think that the teacher is adequately protected against -

| (1) the parent: | $47.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| (2) the child: | $35.6 \%$ |
| (3) the department: | $24.7 \%$ |
| (4) the inspector: | $23.6 \%$ |
| (5) the principal: | $15.4 \% \quad$ (Table 8.2) |

TABLE 8.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Discipline: Is the teacher satisfied with the way in which disciplinary matters involving teachers are conducted in the school?


PRINCIPALS
Does the teacher feel adequately protected?

8. .. 2

In the case of vice-principals and assistants the foilowing percentages feel that protection is inadequate against -
(1) the parent:
36.3\%
(2) the child:
28.9\%
(3) the department:
25.7\%
(4) the inspector:
$21.2 \%$
(5) the principal:
15.5\% (Table 8.2.1)
(i) the inspector:
42.4\%
(2) the parent:
41.1\%
(3) the principal:
40.5\%
(4) the department:
$38.5 \%$
(5) the child: $34.8 \%$
(Table 8.2.2)

As may be seen from the foregoing, there is considerable agreemerit beiveen the views of principals and those of vice-principals and assistants in regard to therr feeiing of madequate protection against certain persons and authorities, this being indicated by the ranking and the percentages expressing dissatisfaction in each case. The lecturers deviate from this patiern by, for example, placing the inspector first as the person against whom the teacher is not adequately protected, whereas the other two groups piace the inspector fourtn. Leciurers also assign third place to inadequate protection against the principal, whereas other groups rank tnis firth. That the teacher is not adequately protected against the child is placed fifth by the lecturers, as compared with second place assigned by the other two groups. The foregoing rernarks on the views of lecturers must, however, now be viewed in the light of the high percentages of lecturers who indicate each of the above-mentioned aspects as a grievance, as compared with the considerabiy lower percentages in most cases among the other groups who have complaints on this score. So, for example, the percentage of lecturers who complain about the aspeci, which they piace last ( $34.8 \%$ ) is higher than the percentage of principals who complain about the aspeci which they piace third $(24.7 \%$ ), and also than the percentage of vice-principais and assistants who compian about the aspect which they place second $(28.9 \%)$.

## 8. 3 DOES THE TEACHER FEEL SECLRE IN HIS PROFESSION? (Table 3.3)

The answer to this question, if in the aftirmative, may be regarcied as a pusitive confirmation of the teacher's feeling of happiness and contentment in his profession. Oni the other hand, an indication that the teacher feels insectire in his profession will surely aiso reveal a lack of happiness and contentment, and this sense of insecurity may not only make him hanker ater other proiessions, but may also make him fail to give of his best in his present occupation.
(a) National picture (Tabie 8.3)

About two-thirds of ali teachers assert that they feel secure in their profession. The percentages of the three groups who feel secure are $69.8 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants, $61.2 \%$ of the principals and $54.6 \%$ of the ieciurers.
It is, disquieting however, that aimost a quarter oif all teachers state that they do not feel secure in their profession. This group is composed of $30.8 \%$ of the lecturers, $28.9 \%$ of the principals, and $20.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teachng groups under the diüferent authorities

The percentage of priricipals who state that they feei secure in the professioñ varies from $78.8 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $23.6 \%$ for South West Atrica, while from $71.4 \%$ for South West Africa to $9.1 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schocis $4.7 \%$ ) do not feel secure.

The percentages of vice-principals and assistants who feel secure vary from $83.2 \%$ for Education, Aris and Science to $65.0 \%$ for the Transval, whie from $26.5 \%$ tor the Transvaal to $9.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science feel insecure.

TABLE 8.2.1
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Does the teacher feel adequately protected?

|  |  |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-departmental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | 1. In your opinion, is the teacher adequately protected against the principal? | Yes | 504 | 67.6 | 179 | 76.8 | 187 | 81.0 | 799 | 69.1 | 31 | 64.6 | 143 | 74.9 | 66 | 75.0 | 1909 | 70.9 |
|  |  | No | 117 | 15.7 | 25 | 10.7 | 19 | 8.2 | 221 | 19.1 | 9 | 18.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 3 | 3.4 | 418 | 15.5 |
|  |  | U | 125 | 16.8 | 29 | 12.4 | 25 | 10.8 | 137 | 11.8 | 8 | 16.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 19 | 21.6 | 367 | 13.6 |
|  | 2. In your opinion, is the teacher adequately protected against the inspector? | Yes | 463 | 62.1 | 167 | 71.7 | 171 | 74.0 | 699 | 60.4 | 29 | 60.4 | 140 | 73.3 | 66 | 75.0 | 1735 | 64.4 |
|  |  | No | 157 | 21.0 | 37 | 15.9 | 34 | 14.7 | 305 | 26.4 | 11 | 22.9 | 25 | 13.1 | 1 | 1.1 | 570 | 21.2 |
|  |  | U | 126 | 16.9 | 29 | 12.4 | 26 | 11.3 | 153 | 13.2 | 8 | 16.7 | 26 | 13.6 | 21 | 23.9 | 389 | 14.4 |
|  | 3. In your opinion, is the teacher adequately protected against the department? | Yes | 435 | 58.3 | 157 | 67.4 | 151 | 65.4 | 618 | 53.4 | 31 | 64.6 | 136 | 71.2 | 57 | 64.8 | 1585 | 58.8 |
|  |  | No | 174 | 23.3 | 48 | 20.6 | 51 | 22.1 | 379 | 32.8 | 9 | 18.7 | 31 | 16.2 | 1 | 1.1 | 693 | 25.7 |
|  |  | U | 137 | 18.4 | 28 | 12.0 | 29 | 12.6 | 160 | 13.8 | 8 | 16.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 30 | 34.1 | 416 | 15.4 |
|  | 4. In your opinion, is the teacher adequately protected against the parent? | Yes | 370 | 49.6 | 171 | 73.4 | 108 | 46.8 | 538 | 46.5 | 28 | 58.3 | 126 | 66.0 | 66 | 75.0 | 1407 | 52.2 |
|  |  | No | 275 | 36.9 | 38 | 16.3 | 102 | 44.2 | 500 | 43.2 | 12 | 25.0 | 44 | 23.0 | 6 | 6.8 | 977 | 36.3 |
|  |  | U | 101 | 13.5 | 24 | 10.3 | 21 | 9.1 | 119 | 10.3 | 8 | 16.7 | 21 | 11.0 | 16 | 18.2 | 310 | 11.5 |
|  | 5. In your opinion, is the teacher adequately protected against the child? | Yes | 403 | 54.0 | 173 | 74.2 | 126 | 54.5 | 622 | 53.8 | 27 | 56.2 | 129 | 67.5 | 66 | 75.0 | 1546 | 57.4 |
|  |  | No | 222 | 29.8 | 32 | 13.7 | 79 | 34.2 | 392 | 33.9 | 11 | 22.9 | 38 | 19.9 | 4 | 4.5 | 778 | 28.9 |
|  |  | U | 121 | 16.2 | 28 | 12.0 | 26 | 11.3 | 143 | 12.4 | 10 | 20.8 | 24 | 12.6 | 18 | 20.5 | 370 | 13.7 |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 8.2 .2
LECTURERS
Does the teacher feel adequately protected?


TABLE 8.3
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Does the teacher feel secure in his profession?


From $72.0 \%$ of the lecturers in Natal to $44.1 \%$ in the Transvaal feel secure in their profession, while from $42.6 \%$ for the Transvaal to $10.0 \%$ for Natal feel insocure.

The overall picture which emerges shows that a large body of teachers does not consider itseif secure in the profession, with appreciable variations between the percentages who feel insecure under the various education aithoxities. The fact that almost a quarter of the total number of respondents feel insecure in their profession for one reason or another, is alarming in view of the negative influence that this may have on the hapniness and contentment of the respondents in their profession, and also on the power of the profession to bold its members. In addition, this may seriously diminish the attractiveness of the profession to recruits.

## THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON HIS OWN STATUS AND THAT OF THE TEACHING PROFESSION

Status generally determines the esteem in which a profession is held. The attractiveness or unattractiveness of a profession largely depends on the status accorded to its members by society. When one contemplates entering a profession, the status it will give one is an important consideration. No parent would readily permit his child to enter a profession which lacks prestige or status. Viewed in this light, the high status attached to a profession partly guarantees the happiness and contentment of those who practice it, and this is also an incentive to others to enter it. In addition, a profession with a high status has the power to hold its members.

To sum up, it may therefore be said that a profession to which a high status is attached will retain its present members, and that it will also attract new entrants because of its desirable status. Teachers feel that the status (or lack of it) which is at present accorded to the teacher and the teaching profession is one of the aspects associated with the conditions of service of the teacher which make him unhappy (see Table 2.4). In this table the group of respondents in the country as a whole ranks "Status" fifth in the series of 15 aspects of conditions of service which give rise to dissatisfaction. Only the promotion system, the examination system, salary and the fact that married women may not hold permanent posts, evoke more criticism than the status of the teacher. As far as the three different groups are concerned, no less than $47.4 \%$ of the principals, $44.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $30.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants are dissatisfied on this point.

Because of the great and determining influence that the status of a profession has on happiness and contentment in the profession, on its holding power and on recruitment to the profession, it is desirable that an attempt should be made to determine the teacher's views on his own status, but particularly also on the status of the teaching profession in relation to other professions.

In the questionnaires a list of fifteen occupations, including those of school principals, high school teacher and primary school teacher, was given and respondents were requested to rank these occupations according to status by allotting the figure 1 to the occupation with the highest status and the figure 15 to the occupation with the lowest status in their estimation. The data obtained are summarised in Table 9.1, which includes in addition the opinions of the groups already discussed, namely, principals of schools, vice-principals and assistants at schools and lecturers at higher training institutions, also those of principals of higher training institutions. From the four status rankings by the four groups mentioned, a surprising measure of agreement emerges in regard to the order of importance accorded to some professions.
(1) All four groups place the occupation of "Doctor (Medicine)" at the top of the list.
(2) Three of the groups place "Minister (clergyman)" second on the list, while principals of higher training institutions accord it third place.
(3) The occupation of "Dentist" is placed seventh on the list by all four groups.
(4) The occupation of "High school teacher" is rated eighth by all four groups.
(5) The occupation of "Farmer" is placed eleventh except by the principals of higher training institutions, who place this tenth.
(6) Three of the four groups put "Primary school teacher" twelfth on the list, while principals of higher training institutions place it eleventh.
(7) The occupation of "Pilot" is rated thirteenth in all cases. This low ranking may be due to ignorance about the high requirements, thorough training and good remuneration now attached to the occupation.
(8) The placing of the occupations of "Civil servant" and "Nurse" by the four groups varies in all cases between the fourteenth and fifteenth positions.

TABLE 9.1

STATUS RANKING OF OCCUPATIONS
Principals of Higher Training Institutions $\quad$ Principals of Schools $\quad$ Vice-principals and assistants

Lecturers

| 1. Doctor (medici $)^{\text {) }}$ | 1. Doctor (medicine) | 1. Doctor (medicine) | 1. Doctor (medicine) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Engineer | 2. Minister (clergyman) | 2. Minister (clergyman) | 2. Minister (clergyman) |
| 3. Minister (clergyman) | 3. Engineer | 3. Engineer | 3. Architect |
| 4. School principal | 4. School principal | 4. Architect | 4. Engineer |
| 5. Architect | 5. Architect | 5. Lawyer | 5. Lawyer |
| 6. Lawyer | 6. Lawver | 6. School principal | 6. School principal |
| 7. Dentist | 7. Dentist | 7. Dentist | 7. Dentist |
| 8. High school teacher | 8. High school teacher | 8. High school teacher | 8. High school teacher |
| 9. Businessman | 9. Businessman | 9. Auditor/Accountant | 9. Auditor / Accountant |
| 10. Farmer | 10. Auditor/Accountant | 10. Businessman | 10. Businessman |
| 11. Primary school teacher | 11. Farmer | 11. Farmer | 11. Farmer |
| 12. Auditor/Accountant | 12. Primary school teacher | 12. Primary school teacher | 12. Primary school teacher |
| 13. Pilot | 13. Pilot | 13. Pilot | 13. Pilot |
| 14. Nurse | 14. Civil servant | 14. Nurse | 14. Civil servant |
| 15. Civil servant | 15. Nurse | 15. Civil Servant | 15. Nurse |

# 9.2 HOW DOES THE STATUS ACCORDED TO MEMBERS OF THE TEACHING PRGFESSION COMPARE WITH THAT OF OTHER OCCUPATIONS? 

### 9.2.1 <br> School principal

Fourth place was assigned to the school principal in the order of status by both the principals of higher training institutions and the principals of schools themselves, but both the viceprincipals and assistants and the lecturers place the school principal only sixth in the order of importance. Occupations ranked higher in status than that of school principal by most respondents are, in order of importance: doctor (medicine), minister (clergyman), engineer, architect and lawyer and dentist. Between the occupation of school principal and that of high school teacher, three other occupations, namely those of architect, lawyer and dentist, are placed in the order given by the two groups of principals, while the vice-principals and assistants and also the lecturers insert the occupation of dentist in the ranking between school principal and high school teacher.
9.2.2 High school teacher

The high school teacher is assigned eighth place in the list by all four groups. The training requirements of three of the occupations not connected with teaching, namely those of architect, engineer and lawyer, may be regarded as more or less equal to those of the average graduate high school teacher, while many high school teachers have Honours or Masters' degrees which bring their period of training close to that of a doctor, minister and dentist. Here, then, we have the phenomenon that teachers are not accorded equal status on the strength of their equivalent qualifications. The same, of course, applies to some other professions with more or less equal periods of training, such as those of dentist, doctor and minister, which are allotted different places in the order of status, probably on other grounds.
9.2.3 Primary school teacher

The occupation of primary school teacher is ranked twelfth by three groups, while principals of higher training institutions place it eleventh. Only three occupations, namely those of pilot, nurse and civil servant, are given lower places in the ranking. In addition, three out of the four groups place the primary school teacher as many as three positions lower on the list than the high school teacher by inserting three occupations, namely, auditor/accountant, businessman and farmer, in between.
9.2.4 If the status ranking in Table 9.1 is divided into three equal sections, namely upper, middle and lower, the primary school teacher may expect to be classified approximately at the top of the lower section as far as status is concerned, the high school teacher falling approximately in the centre of the middle section, and the school principal at the margin between the upper and middle sections.

The problem which now arises is why teachers, whose profession compares favourably with other occupations both as regards qualifications and service to the community, are assigned far lower status. It would appear that the shortcoming in the teacher's status is to be found in the third important cornerstone of the status structure, namely the salary or remuneration attached to the profession, which, in the case of teaching, compares very unfavourably with that of other professions.
9.3 The next question that arises is whether the teachers' rating of the status of their profession as compared with others is not unduly pessimistic, and whether it is in line with the general public's estimation of the status of teachers.
9.3.1 Surveys in other countries concerning the status accorded to the teaching profession by students and other members of the public.

The question may be answered in the light of a number of surveys, both foreign and local.

## (a) An Indonesian Survey

A survey carried out in the city of Bandung in Indonesia concerning the prestige of teachers in Indonesia gives us a decisive answer to the question whether teachers, for one reason or another possibly, rate the status of their profession lower than the general public would do.

In the survey mentioned, 939 high school students were selected at random. Of this group, 148 were students at high schools concerned with the training of teachers for elementary schools. The 939 students were asked to arrange 30 occupations, including those of lecturer, high school teacher and elementary school teacher, according to a prestige ranking. The group as a whole placed lecturer second, high school teacher thirteenth and elementary school teacher twenty-first on the list.
The 148 aspirant elementary school teachers, however, placed lecturer first, high school teacher ninth and primary school teacher fourteenth on their list.

As in the case of surveys conducted in the United States of America, this also goes to show that "people in a given vocational field generally rate occupations in their field higher than do people outside their area" (1, pp. 150-152).
It may also be concluded from the Indonesian survey that prestige within the teaching profession itself is accorded to lecturers, high school teachers and primary school teachers "in relation to the level on which they teach in the school system" (1, p.150). This is in agreement with the findings of the present survey in South Africa, in which a higher status is accorded to principals than to high school teachers, who in turn are rated much higher than primary school teachers.
The standard of education required to attain and teach at each level probably also has an influence on the status rating; in other words, the higher the qualifications required by the post, the higher the prestige attached to it.

## (b) American Surveys

That all is not well with the public's status rating of teachers in America is apparent from the following quotation:
"The most perplexing problem affecting the teacher concerns the status accorded him by the American public" (2, p.19).

The author states that, while each teacher probably thinks of himself as a member of a profession comparable with other learned professions, his professional status is in reality constantly being challenged by the public ( $2, \mathrm{p} .19$ ).

How do American teachers classify their own status?
In the research project of Verner M. Sims, teachers were asked to identify themselves with one of the following classes:
(a) Upper class
(b) Upper-middle class
(c) Middle class
(d) Working class
: surgeons, mayors of large cities, corporation lawyers.
: newspaper editors, ministers, civil engineers, colonels.
: druggists, real estate salesmen.
: factory workers, mechanics, telephone operators.

Sims found that no teachers identified themselves with the highest or the lowest groups mentioned above. By far the greater number of the teachers, namely, $85 \%$, classified themselves in the ratio of two to one in the middle and upper-middle classes, respectively (3, pp. 331-38).

What is the estimation of American students of the status of the teacher?
Because young people often choose occupations on the grounds of the prestige associated with them, students were asked in two surveys conducted at the University of Indiana, in about 1934 and again in 1951 to rank 18 occupations according to status (4, pp. 310-311). In both the first ( $5, \mathrm{pp} .144-52$ ) and the second surveys ( $6, \mathrm{pp} .33-36$ ) high school teachers were placed fifth, while primary school teachers were placed seventh in the first survey and sixth in the second.

As far as the various teaching occupations are concerned, they were consistently arranged in this order: college professor, high school teacher, primary school teacher.
In both of tine surveys mentioned, the four occupations which were assigned the first four places were ranked as follows: medical doctor, attorney, college professor, clergyman.
(a) The teachers' estimation of their status

In 1951, a group of 2,745 teachers was included in a questionnaire inquiry conducted by the National Bureau of Educational and Social Research into the reasons for dissatisfaction among teachers (7, p.5).

Reporting on this inquiry, Olckers stated that teachers reacted as follows to the question: "Do you feel that the status you enjoy as a teacher is lower than that of persons in other occupations with the same number of years of university or post-secondary training?" This was answered in the affirmative by $71 \%$ of the male principals, $78 \%$ of the male assistants and $60 \%$ of the female respondents. Only $23 \%$ of the male principals, $18 \%$ of the male assistants and $34 \%$ of the female assistants felt that their status was no lower than that of persons in other occupations with more or less the same training requirements (7, p. 8).
(b) The public's estimation of the status of the teacher

In 1951 the National Bureau of Educational and Social Research in another questionnaire survey also obtained the views of 1,792 members of the public on the teaching profession (8, pp. 85-86).

In his report on the survey, Langenhoven has the following to say about the reaction of the public to the question: "Do you place teachers in your community on the same level, as regards status, as other professional workers such as the doctor, the dentist, the lawyer, etc. ?" This was answered in the affirmative by $71.1 \%$ of all the respondents, while $21.8 \%$ replied that they did not accord teachers the same status as the professional workers mentioned. There is a very significant difference between the views of Afrikaans -speaking people, of whom $82.5 \%$ held the opinion that teachers were on the same level as cther professional workers, and those of EngIish-speaking people of whom only $57.9 \%$ agree with this. These data also indicate, inter alia the close agreement between a group's estimation of the status of a profession and willingness to enter it; among Afrikaans-speaking people, who accord the teaching profession a higher status than do English-speaking people, there is a greater disposition to enter the profession (8, p. 91).
Langenhoven sums up the views of parents on certain cardinal aspects as follows: There is general agreement that:
(1) teachers should be drawn from the topmost stratum of the population;
(2) teachers should be able to live on equal terms with other professional workers;
(3) teachers' salaries should be such as to enable them to maintain a high standard of living without an extra income, and to attract the best young people to the teaching profession. The public feels, however, that the position is not what it should be in regard to all the above factors and the solution seems to be that:
(a) teachers should be enabled to live on equal terms with other professional workers;
(b) teachers should begiven a salary which would enable them to maintain a suitable standard of living without an extra income, and which would attract the best young people to the profession and keep them in it;
(c) teachers should be accorded full civic rights (8, pp. 97, 98).
9.4 ARE THERE SIGNS THAT THE STATUS OF THE TEACHER IS TAKING ITS RIGHTFUL PLACE IN THE STATUS STRUCTURE?

Although compared with earlier South African surveys, the present survey, which gives only the views of teachers on their own status, gives little indication of this, there are nevertheless hopeful signs in the world picture.
9.4 .1

In America, where, for decades, the status of the teaching profession has left much to be desired, there have been encouraging signs of positive growth during the past decade. George Gould et al. report as follows in this connection: In the United States of America, "the teacher's social status varies from community to community, but in general his standing is rapidly approaching that of the practitioners in the most respected professions. In general, however, the public's attitude tcward the teacher has matured to the point that he is on the way to attaining the respect accorded other professional workers." (9, pp. 25-28). Gould advances the following reasons
for the change which is taking place:

1. Since the United States has been projected into a position of world leadership, this change in the country's international status has served to awaken the public to the cardinal importance of education if additional responsibilities are to be properly met.
2. The rapidly expanding national economy also demands an increasing number of technically educated persons.
3. The movement to provide all citizens of the country with the opportunity to satisfy their needs for medical, health, social and other forms of specialised services requires the preparation and education of an ever-increasing number of persons to provide these services of a professional and semi-professional nature.
4. The greatest single factor which has led to the increase in the prestige and status of the teacher in the eyes of the public, is, however, the general improvement in the standard of preparation for his task in the following ways:
(a) Striking progress in the quality and quantity of the professional education of the teacher by colleges and universities.
(b) Greater attention on the part of these institutions to the selection of prospective teachers in order to ensure that they have the personal qualities which are not only a prerequisite for success in teaching, but which will also increase their stature in the eyes of the community (9, p. 28) .

### 9.4.2 <br> Russia

Russia's progress in the armaments race, in particular, but also her phenomenal progress in the technical and academic fields during the past few decades has come as a tremendous shock to the West. It has been an eye-opener to the West to learn that the phenomenal advances in technical and other fields in Russia are directly attributable to a radical reappraisal of the decisive role played by the educator, with the result that educationists are held in high esteem in Russia today. The emphasis is especially on good training, as well as high salary and status, in order to attract only the best material to the teaching profession. The lack of vision in the West and the dilemma with which the West is now faced as a result are summed up as follows by Van der Merwe: (Translation) It is a generally recognised fact that in most Western countries the teacher's remuneration and prestige leave much to be desired, whereas in Russia he is an esteemed and wellpaid man. This is, no doubt, one of the reasons why the West is in such a sorry state, because no nation can, with impunity, neglect the things of the mind (which are fostered by the teaching profession) for any length of time (10, p. 375).

### 9.5 APPLICATION TO SOUTH AFRICA

The reasons given by Gould for the change which is taking place in regard to tne status of teachers in America definitely also apply to the Republic of South Africa. Because of the lead which the Republic is taking and will have to continue to take in Africa, it is incumbent on us to overhaul our educational system in such a way that it will produce only the very best products. As in America, the phenomenal expansion of our national economy requires more and more welltrained people in technical and commercial fields. And as in America, the need for trained people to provide professional and semi-professional services for the masses is increasing all the time. We shall, however, as in America, decidedly also have to give attention to what Gould calls "the greatest single factor which has led to the increase in the status and prestige of the teacher in the eyes of the public" (9, p. 28), namely, the general improvement which has taken place in the standard of preparation for the teacher's task.
9.5.1 As it is generally accepted both by the public and by the teaching body that there is much amiss with the status of the teaching profession in our country, and since there is a danger that lack of status may have a detrimental effect on the power of the profession to attract and hold its practitioners, on their happiness and contentment, and, last but not least, on the quality of the product produced by our schools, it is imperative that we do something positive and do it now - to save this dangerous situation. It is true that most of what is amiss with the status of the teaching body can be remedied by the authorities, but this in no way diminishes the duty of the individual teacher to place his inner qualities, his knowledge, his character and his personality on such an irreproachable level that his personal status can only redound to the credit of the status of his profession.
(a) The professional status of the teaching profession can be improved by the establishment, by legisiation, of a Teachers ${ }^{\text {i Registration Council }}$
Two of the reasons given by Visagie for the fact that the ministry and the medical and legal professions have always enjoyed great prestige, are -
(1) tnat these professions have always had an authoritative character, both as regards their practice and the management of their own professional affairs;
(2) that these professions have, from a very early stage, had at their disposal the machinery required to unite their practitioners, to create a sense of solidarity, and in this way to develop a closed character (11, p.12, 13).

Since as 1 far back as 1946 the Federal Council of Teachers' Associations has been working for the establishment by legislation of a Teachers' Registration Council. Such a Council would take charge of professional matters, lay down the qualifications for admission to the profession, endorse teachers' certificates, keep a register of approved training institutions, and take the necessary disciplinary measures against those who are guilty of improper and unproiessional conduct. The Federal Council was aware of the fact that teachers throughout the country were in agreement about the necessity of ihe establishment oì such a Council. It was universaily felt that teachers should be given what the medical proies sion, the lawyers and the nurses already had ( $12, \mathrm{p} .497$ ).
The question of rhe necessity of a Teachers' Registration Council has since also repeatedly appeared on the agendas of teachers' associations . The National Advisory Education Council broached the subject on a national level in 1963 in a letter to the Federal Council of Teachers ${ }^{\text {' Associations, in which the latter was asked to give its views on the need for the }}$ establishment of a Teachers' Registration Council. In this letter the following important statement was made: The Advisory Council believes that such a Registration Council will make a tangible contribution towards raising the status and prestige of the profession (13, p. 236). In reply, the Federal Council, which agreed with this vieiv, laid down a number of principles on which the establishment of such a Registration Council should we based, and also ouilined its constitution and functions (13, pp. 237-239). The teaching profession is eagerly awaiting the realisation of this ideal of a Registration Council, and the higher prestige which it may lend to the teaching profession.
(b) Economic status of the teaching profession can be improved by the revision of the whole salary structure. In regard to the role played by the financial aspect in determining a person's status Visagie says: If it is true that in the past too much weight has unfortunately been attached by the public to possessions, wealth and high salaries, in the evaluation of a person's status, the position in the materialistic age in which we live, with the distorted sense of values which characterises our time, is much worse today. It would appear that by reason of the exaggerated value attached to the possession of, or ability to earn, transitory things, the puilic is in danger of jettisoning all other criteria according status to a person (11, p, 10). As one of the reasons for the prestige which the three professions of longest standing have always enjoyed, Visagie states that the remuneration attached to the professions has always been such that the public could place their practitioners in more or less the higher incomie brackets (11, p. 121). This statement therefore impiies that the remuneration attached to a profession has always played a decisive role down the years as one of the aspects on which the evaluation of status has been based.

One of the findings of the Committee on the Teaching Profession was also that a person's income has to a large extent become the criterion of his social status. All witnesses were in agreement that the teacher's financial position compares unfavourabiy with that of most other professions. The fact was emphasised that a meagre income makes it impossiole for the teacher to play his rightful part in the public and social life of the community, and that he is therefore in danger of losing the contact with great social movements and developments which is so indispensable to his work. The great importance of the financial factor in the status of the teacher was emphasised by the Committee (14, p. 40).
The teaching body, which, according to the more than 4,000 resporidents involved in the present investigation, stili feeis aggrieved about the financial aspect (so much so that they rate it third in order of importance in the list of conditions which are a source of dissatisfaction) ('see T'able 2.4), probably considers that the remuneration which one receives should not only be in direct proportion to the service rendered, but should also be sufficient to allow one's profession to occupy its deserved place in the status structure of occupations.
(c) Selection and training can be improved

Visagie attributes the high prestige which the three professions of longest standing have aiways enjoyed in particular to the fact that training has always been a requirement for the practice of these professions, and that, in addition, the course has always been a long and difíicult one which couild be taken oníy at a university. Since these professions invoīved specialised techniques which had to be mastered by their members, it was not possible for juist anyone to practice them, and for this reason entrance to the professions was controlled through the selection of entrants (11, p. 121)。

Potgieter emphasises the necessity for training when he says that, in spite of the fact that our profession includes a very large number of highly qualified teachers, we should still study more and study further. Our training of teachers should therefore be so long, so thorough and so efiecrive that our teachers will, in adaition to their learning, be able to develop the highest possibie degree of competerice (15, pp. 458-9).
The following proposals made in the "Onderwysblad" in connection with selection and training seem to be pointed in the rigitt direction:
(1) Student teachers shouid be carefully seiected for admission to a college.
(2) Each prospective teacher should first obtain at least a B. Ed. degree in junior work, primary schooi work or high school subjects, as the case may be. This training, obtained at a teachers' training coilege functioning as the faculty of a university, will immediately give status to the profession.
The writer links his view with the question: "Is the quality of our service not determined by the quality of our training?", and follows with the warning: Because of our (present) half-baked training, we provide a halí-baked service, receive haif-baked compensation and enjoy half-baked status (16, pp. 179-180). Inadequate training is ranked seventh in the order of importance of complaints in the present survey (Table 2.4).
(d) Conditions of service can be improved

Conditions of service play an important roie in the determination of status. The Committee on the Teaching Proifession puts the matter as follows: The conditions of service of an employee necessarily exercise a considerable intluence on the place the public accords him in the social scaile. In fact, conditions of service may be regarded as an indication of the employer's estimation of the employee's social standing in the community. Certain restrictive reguiations and conditions give teachers a feeling of suborciination and of deprivai of necessary freedom - something which is also noticed by the pupils, and theref ore adversely affects recruitment ( $14, \mathrm{pp} .40-41$ ). Potgieter confirms this view when he says that humiliating, irritating conditions oï service lower the status of the teacher (15, p. 459). As far as conditions of service are concerred, the main complaint of the respondents in the present survey was about the system of promotion and the way in which promotions are granted, but they also complained about the fact that married women may not hold permanent posts. Both of these grievances have such a fundamental effect on the happiness and contentment of such a large number of teachers that they call for immediate attention.
9.5.3 What can be done by the individual teacher and by the teaching profession to improve the status of the profession?

Visagie mentions, as an important reason for the high status the three professions of longest standing have always enjoyed, that the members of these professions have, as individuals, enjoyed status or esteem, whicn redounded to the credit of their professions thus enhancing the prestige of their professions (11, p. 121).

According to this view, it is certainly possible for one's personal status to reflect creditably on, and function constructively to promote, the prestige of one ${ }^{\gamma}$ s profession. In enumerating the factors which contribute to one's staius, Van der Merwe singies out the following as the over-riding factor: Your inner qualities, your knowledge, your character, your personality - it is your essential self which uiltimately determines your prestige ( $10, \mathrm{p} .375$ ). Potgieter confirms this view when he says: In personal qualities lies the primary reason for high status, little status, or no status at all (15, p. 459).
(a) By acting with dignity as a body: The more dignity a profession displays towards the public and towards its employers, the higher will be the esteem in which it is held by society. Bodies of people who air their real or fancied grievances in an undignified way, threaten to resort to force, or try to make out their case with irresponsible utterances, cannot expect to be treated with much respect, or to be highly thought of by the public (14, pp. 42-43).
(b) By themselves displaying a high respect for their profession: Society can have no great respect for a profession if the members of that profession do not themselves show such respect and also command it by their daily conduct and attitude. The pride one shows in one's profession in one's daily life must necessarily influence one's fellowmen and lead to an increase in status (14, pp. 41-42).

## How can the individual teacher exert a beneficial influence on his own status and that oif his profession?

Potgieter recommends the following remedies which can be applied by the teacher to improve his own and his profession's status:

1. The acquisition of higher qualifications and the improvement of his competence as a teacher by keeping abreast of modern developments in education.
2. The exercizing of his political and social rights in such a way as neither to give offence nor to interfere with his professional duties.
3. Personal development by study, travel, reading, broadening of general knowledge, or other forms of self-education.
4. The treatment of all children as one's own.
5. Keeping up a standard of dress comparable with the best in the community.
6. Absolute integrity in all human relationships.
7. Infectious enthusiasm and ardent idealism.
8. Absolute loyalty.
9. Rendering service (15, p. 460).

The above-mentioned view can be summarised in the words of Van der Merwe:
Those whose knowledge is both wide and deep, who have firm beliefs and high ideals of conduct, and especially those who have much to give both from the head and the heart and give it gladly, will never lack status (Translation, $10, \mathrm{p} .375$ ).

## CHAPTER 10

## THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON MATTERS CONCERNING THE SCHOOL WHICH MAY HAVE A GOOD OR BAD EFFECT ON HIS HAPPINESS AND CONTENTMENT IN THE PROFESSION

10. 1 It goes without saying that daily working conditions can play an important part in determining the measure of happiness and contentment that an employee experiences in his profession. If there are few things in the service regulations and internal organisation that irk an employee, there is every likelihood that he will find happiness in his work. If, however, there are internal irksome circumstances, he is bound to become dissatisfied.
11. $\because$ In the questionnaires sent to principals, vice-principals and assistants and lecturers, the three groups were given the opporiunity, through their replies to 23 questions, to express an opinion on the extent to which certain matters within the school context help to make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher.
10.: For the purposes of this discussion, the questions have been divided into the following groups:
(a) Matters connected with service regulations and departmental instructions.
(b) Matters connected with the school and its internal organisation.
(c) Matters connected with the preparation of lessons and reporting on them.
10.4 MATTERS CONNECTED WITH SERVICE REGULATIONS AND DEPARTMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS (Table 10.1)

This table summarises eleven questions about matters connected with service regulations and departmental instructions. The respondents were asked to indicate which of the eleven matters they found irksome. The eleven complaints were ranked as follows according to the number of respondents who indicated each as a source of dissatisfaction:

### 10.4.1 Size of the classes

(a) National picture ('íable 10.1)

This cornplaint was ranked first by $91.2 \%$ of the lecturers, $78.7 \%$ of the principals and $58.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.1.1, 10.1.2, 10.1.3)

The fcllowing percentages complain about large classes: Principals: from $90.1 \%$ for the Transvaal to $72.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools 49.4\%); vice-principals and assistants: from $73.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $33.0 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $15.9 \%$ ); lecturers: from $96.0 \%$ for Natal to $84.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
10.4.2 The great volume of correction work caused by the large senior classes.
(a) National picture (Table 10.1)

For the country as a whole this objection was placed second by $82.9 \%$ of the lecturers, $71.1_{\%}^{\%}$ of the principals and $40.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.

TABLE 10.1
PRINOIPAIS: VICE-PRINCDPAIG AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasaint or unateractive to the teacher


TABLE 10.1.1
PRINCTPATS OF SCHONTS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher Education authorities

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Educa | tion aut | horitie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aspects in connection with service regul | ions |  | e |  | atal | Orange State | Free | Tran | nsvaal | South <br> Afri | West <br> ca | Educ Arts Scie | ation, and nce | Nonmen sch | departtal <br> ools | Tot |  | Order |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
|  | 1. The service regulations are toc | Yes | 81 | 26.0 | 8 | 12.9 | 9 | 20.0 | 97 | 36.9 | 8 | 57.1 | 6 | 18.2 | 12 | 14.1 | 221 | 27.1 | 9 |
|  | demanding | No | 198 | 63.5 | 49 | 79.0 | 32 | 71.1 | 146 | 55.5 | 6 | 42.9 | 25 | 75.8 | 50 | 58.8 | 506 | 62.2 |  |
|  |  | U | 33 | 10.6 | 5 | 8.1 | 4 | 8.9 | 20 | 7.6 | - | - | 2 | 6.1 | 23 | 27.1 | 87 | 10.7 |  |
|  | 2. The size of the classes | Yes | 245 | 78.5 | 47 | 75.8 | 34 | 75.6 | 237 | 90.1 | 12 | 85.7 | 24 | 72.7 | 42 | 49.4 | 641 | 78.7 | 1 |
|  |  | No | 45 | 14.4 | 12 | 19.4 | 8 | 17.8 | 18 | 6.8 | 2 | 14.3 | 7 | 21.2 | 23 | 27.1 | 115 | 14.1 |  |
|  |  | U | 22 | 7.1 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 2 | 6.1 | 20 | 23.5 | 58 | 7.1 |  |
|  | $\overline{3 .}$ Restrictions in connection $v_{j i t} h_{1}$ the | Yes | 155 | 50.0 | 27 | 43.5 | 26 | 57.8 | 148 | $56.3$ | 9 | $64.3$ | 15 | $45.5$ | 22 | 25.9 | 403 | $49.5$ | 5 |
|  | maintenance of discipline in the | No | $1.28$ | $41.0$ | 30 | $48.4$ | $16$ | $35.6$ | $104$ | $39.5$ | 5 | $35.7$ | $16$ | $48.5$ | $36$ | $42.4$ | $335$ | $41.2$ |  |
|  | classroom | U | 28 | 9.0 | 5 | 8.1 | 3 | 6.7 | 11 | 4.2 | - | - | 2 | 6.1 | 27 | 31.8 | 76 | 9.3 |  |
|  | 4. The methods prescribed to the | Yes | 105 | 33.7 | 21 | 33.9 | 1.4 | 31.1 | 95 | 36.1 | 7 | 50.0 | 13 | 39.4 | 22 | 25.9 | 277 | 34.0 | 7 |
|  | teacher | No | 170 | 54.5 | 36 | 58.1 | 27 | 60.0 | 148 | 56.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 17 | 51.5 | 36 | 42.4 | 441 | 54.2 |  |
|  |  | U | 37 | 11.9 | 5 | 8.1 | 4 | 8.9 | 20 | 7.6 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 27 | 31.8 | 96 | 11.8 |  |
| $\stackrel{\vdots}{心}$ | $\overline{5}$. Inspection by the inspector | Yes | 61 | 19.6 | 13 | 21.0 | 11 | 24.4 | 78 | 29.7 | 4 | 28.6 | 3 | 9.1 | 7 | 8.2 | 177 | 21.7 | 10 |
| $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  | No | 210 | 67.3 | 42 | 67.7 | 32 | 71.1 | 163 | 62.0 | 10 | 71.4 | 27 | 81.8 | 51 | 60.0 | 535 | 65.7 |  |
|  |  | U | 41 | 13.1 | 7 | 11.3 | 2 | 4.4 | 22 | 8.4 | - | .- | 3 | 9.1 | 27 | 31.8 | 102 | 12.5 |  |
|  | 6. The system of supervision by | Yes | 47 | 15.1 | 14 | $22.6$ | 7 | 15.6 | 54 | 20.5 | 6 | $42.9$ | 5 | $15.2$ | 12 | $14.1$ |  | $17.8$ | 11 |
|  | senior assistant teachers | No | $1.83$ | $58.7$ | 34 | $54.8$ | 34 | $75.6$ | $169$ | $64.3$ | 7 | $50,0$ | $22$ | $66.7$ | 39 | $45.9$ | $488$ | $60.0$ |  |
|  |  | U | 82 | 26.3 | 14 | 22.6 | 4 | 8.9 | 40 | 15.2 | 1 | $7.1$ | 6 | 18.2 | 34 | 40.0 | 181 | 22.2 |  |
|  | 7. The responsivility for pupits involv- | $\overline{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~s}^{-}$ | 76 | $24.4$ | S | 14.5 | 19 | 42.2 | 106 | $40,3$ | 4 | 28.6 | 7 | 21.2 | 14 | 16.5 | 235 | 28.9 | 8 |
|  | edin accidents in Physical Science, | No | 172 | 55.1 | 41 | 66.1 | 21 | 46.7 | 115 | 43.7 | 9 | 64.3 | 23 | 69.7 | 38 | 44.7 | 419 | 51.5 |  |
|  | Chemistry and Physical Education | UT | 64 | 20.5 | 12 | 19.4 | 5 | 11.1 | 42 | 16.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 3 | 9.1 | 33 | 38.8 | 160 | 19,7 |  |
|  | 8. The reduction of the number of pe- | Yes | 188 | 60.3 | 36 | 58.1 | 33 | 73.3 | 170 | 64.6 | 9 | 64.3 | 16 | 48.5 | 28 | 32.9 | 480 | 59.0 | 3 |
|  | riods allotted to the basic subjecis | No | 74 | 22, 7 | 1.9 | 30.6 | 8 | 17.8 | 56 | 21.3 | 5 | 35.7 | 7 | 21.2 | 27 | 31.8 | 196 | 24,1 |  |
|  | because of the increase in compulsory and/or cultural subjects | U | 50 | 16.0 | 17 | 11.3 | 4 | 8.9 | 37 | 14.1 | - | . 7 | 10 | 30.3 | 30 | 35.3 | 138 | 17.0 |  |
|  | 9. Shortening of periods because of | Yes | 188 | 60.3 | 31 | 50.0 | 32 | 71.1 | 163 | 62.0 | 9 | 64.3 | 15 | 45.5 | 29 | 34.1 | 467 | 57.4 | ! |
|  | additional compulsory and/or cul.. | No | 76 | 24.4 | 21 | 33.9 | 6 | 13.3 | 59 | 22.4 | 5 | 35.7 | a | 27.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 203 | 24.9 |  |
|  | tural subjects | U | 48 | 15.4 | 10 | 15.1 | 7 | 15.6 | 41. | 1.5.6 | - | - | $\stackrel{9}{2}$ | 27.3 | 29 | 34.1 | 144 | 17.7 |  |
|  | 10. The great volume of correction | Yes | 205 | 65.7 | 47 | $75.8$ | 34 | 75.6 | 217 | $82.5$ | 11 | 78.6 | 24 | $72.7$ | 41 | $48.2$ | $579$ | $71.1$ | 2 |
|  | work caused by the large senior | No | $43$ | $13, \varepsilon$ | E | $9.7$ | 6 | $13.3$ | 17 | $6.5$ | - |  | 4 | $12.1$ | 15 | $17.6$ | 91 | $11.2$ |  |
|  | classes | U | 64 | 20.6 | c | 14.5 | 5 | 11.1 | 23 | 11. 0 | 3 | 21.4 | 5. | 15.2 | 29 | 34.1 | 144 | 17.7 |  |
|  | 11. Secondment of senior staff at a | Yes | 96 | 30.8 | 34 | 54.8 | 23 | 51.1 | 193 | 73.4 | 9 | 64.3 | 13 | 39.4 | 26 | 30.6 | 394 | 48.4 | 6 |
|  | time when the timetable for the fol- | No | 8 C | 28.5 | 14. | 22.6 | 13 | 28.9 | 34 | 12.9 | 2 | 1.4.3 | 6 | 18.2 | 23 | 27.1 | 181 | 22, 2 |  |
|  | lowing year has been finalised | U | 127 | 40.7 | 14 | 22.6 | 9 | 20.0 | 36 | 13.7 | 3 | 21.4 | 14 | 42.4 | 36 | 42.4 | 239 | 29.4 |  |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100. 0 |  | IG0.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 10.1.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher


Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher

(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.1.1, 10.1.2, 10.1.3)

The three grouns under the various education authorities who are dissatisfied on this score vary in the case of principals from $82.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $65.7 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $48.2 \%$ ) ; in respect of vice-principals and assistants from $51.3 \%$ for the Transvaal to $25.1 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; and in the case of lecturers from $88.9 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $77.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
10.4.3 The reduction of the number of periods allotted to the basic subjects because of the increase in compulsory and/or cultural subjects.
(a) National picture (Table 10.1)

This aspect is placed third for the country as a whole by $59.0 \%$ of the principals, $56.4 \%$ of the lecturers and $39.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.1.1, 10.1.2, 10.1.3)

The percentages of the three groups under the various education authorities who complain about this matter, vary in the case of principals from $73.3 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $48.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-denartmental schools $32.9 \%$; in the case of vice-principals and assistants from $48.7 \%$ for the Transvaal to $16.7 \%$ for South West Africa; and in respect of lecturers from $63.9 \%$ for the Orange Fres State to $30.0 \%$ for Natal.
10.4.4 The shortening of periods because of additional compulsory and/or cultural subjects.
(a) National picture (Table 10.1)

This complaint is placed fourth for the country as a whole by $57.4 \%$ of the principals, $54.8 \%$ of the lecturers and $39.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.1.1, 10.1.2, 10.1.3)

For the three groups of respondents under the different education authorities who complain on this score, the percentages vary as follows: Principals: from $71.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $45.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $34.1 \%$ ); vice-principals and assistants: from $48.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $12.5 \%$ for South West Africa; lecturers: from $62.0 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $26.0 \%$ for Natal.

A striking fact in regard to these first four complaints is that they are ranked in exactly the same order by all three groups of respondents and that their ranking corresponds with the national picture. It is remarkable, furthermore, that such large percentages, ranging from almost $45 \%$ for the complaint placed fourth to about $67 \%$ for the complaint ranked first, are dissatisfied about these four aspects of the daily working conditions of the teacher.
10.4.5 Restrictions in connection with the maintenance of discipline in the classroom.
(a) National picuure (Table 10.1)

For the country as a whole this complaint is placed fifth by $49.5 \%$ of the principals and $27,7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, while $36.3 \%$ of the lecturers rank it seventh.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.1.1, 10.1.2, 10.1.3)

For the three groups under the respective authorities who are dissatisfied on this point the percentages vary as follows: Principals: from $64.3 \%$ for South West Africa to $43.5 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $25.9 \%$ ) ; vice-principals and assistants: from $35.9 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $18.7 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools 8.0\%); lecturers: from $41.0 \%$ for the Transvaal to $25.0 \%$ for the Cape Province.
10. . 6 Secondment of senior staff at a time when the timetable for the following year has already been finalised
(a) National picture (Table 10.1)

This matter is placed sixth for the country as a whole by $48.4 \%$ of the princinals and $22.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, while it is ranked fifth by $46.6 \%$ of the lecturers,
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities: (Tables 10.1.1., 10,1.2, 10.1.3)

The three groups under the respective education authorities indicate this aspect as vexations in percentages which vary as follows: principals: from $73.4 \%$ for the Transvasl to $30.8 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $30.6 \%$ ) vice-principals and assistants: from $34.1 \%$ for the Transvaal to $9.7 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $9.1 \%$; lecturers: from $56.0 \%$ for Natal to $26.0 \%$ for the Cape Prevince.
10.4.7 The methods prescribed to the teacher
(a) National picture (Table 10.1)

For the country as a whole this aspect is ranked seventh; $34.0 \%$ of the principals alsc place it seventh, while it takes sixth place among the lecturers with $43.2 \%$, and eighth place among the vice-principals and assistants with $20.1 \%$.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.1.1, 10.1.2, 10.1.3)

The respondents in the three groups under the different education authorities are dissatisfied on this score in percentages which vary as follows: Principals: from $50.0 \%$ for South West Africa to $31.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools 25. $9 \%$ ); viceprincipals and assistants: from $22.6 \%$ for the Transvaal to $12.5 \%$ for Scuth West Africa (nondepartmental schools $4.5 \%$; lecturers: from $48.0 \%$ for the Transvaal to $30,2 \%$ for the Cape Province.
10.4.8 Ranking of the following four complaints which were indicated by less than a quarter of the total number of respondents.

The responsibility for pupils involved in accidents in Physical Science or Physical Education classes was rated eighth by about $23 \%$ of the respondents.

The service regulations which demand too much of the teacher - placed ninth by about $18 \%$ of all respondents.

Inspection by the inspector - ranked tenth by about $16 \%$ of all respondents.
The system of supervision by senior assistant teachers_- placed eleventh by about $12 \%$ of all respondents.
10.4.9 The seven complaints given the highest ranking are all associated with the general feeling among teachers that certain things conspire to make teaching ineffective and make the daily task of the teacher more difficult. Among these, the teaching body includes such factors as excessively large classes, too many pupils in senior classes, reduction in the number and length of periods for essential subjects, restrictions imposed on teachers in connection with the maintenance of discipline in class, secondment of senior staff at inconvenient times, and also the methods prescribed to the teacher by the authorities.
10.4.10 Can some of these views be confirmed by the findings of previous investigations?
(a) The present objections to excessively large classes and to over-large senior classes and the detrimental effect of such conditions, sound like an echo of memoranda on this aspect submitted to the Committee of Inquiry into the Teaching Profession in 1951-52.
(i) On behalf of parents' organisations it was stated that teaching has always been regarded as an exciting and a rewarding task because of the contact with children in their infinite
variety and the creative satisfaction to be gained from sharing in the child's development. Present classroom conditions rob the teacher of this satisfaction because personal contact is lost to the detriment of both teacher and child (14, part 2, p. 27).
(ii) On behalf of teachers' associations it was asserted that classes are often unmanageably large, with the result that teaching becomes less effective and the teacher develops a sense of frustration and futility. The handling of such large classes requires superhuman effort and leads to great nervous strain, and consequently to dissatisfaction and unhappiness. Some witnesses expressed the conviction that the large classes found in all primary schools today are one of the chief reasons for the unpopularity of the teaching profession among intelligent young men and women (14, part 2, pp. 27-28). The teachers' associations contend further that more individual attention to the child is precisely what modern teaching methods require. This in turn makes it essential for more teachers to be attracted to the profession and makes the revision of staff scales not only desirable but also imperative (14, part 2, p. 29).

On the strength of the foregoing and other evidence, the Committee of Inquiry into the Teaching Profession makes two important recommendations namely -

1. that education departments should take steps to eliminate the general complaint about unmanageably large classes; and
2. that the heads of education departments should be allowed discretion to deviate from the fixed stafi scales in exceptional circumstances.
(b) The present complaint about methods prescribed to teachers by the authorities has also been encountered in previous investigations. Olckers reports that a great number of teachers answered the following question in the negative: "Do you think that the teaching profession has sufficient say in the determination of general educational policy?" Altogether $72 \%$ of the male principals, $66 \%$ of the male assistants and $62 \%$ of the female assistants answered this question in the negative.

In reply to the further question: "Do you have sufficient opportunity to try out your own ideas about teaching, to decide yourself what is best for your pupils, and to use your own initiative ?" less than half the respondents gave affirmative answers, while $40 \%$ of the female assistants, $43 \%$ of the male principals and $46 \%$ of the male assistants gave an unequivocal answer in the negative (7, p. 15).

Olckers maintains that the answers to these questions show that there is a strong desire among teachers to be able to act independently, to have more say in the determination of educational policy, to be free to decide themselves what the needs of the pupils are and how to satisfy such needs (7, p. 15).

### 10.5 MATTERS CONNECTED WITH THE SCHOOL AND ITS INTERNAL ORGANISATION (Table 10.2)

This table includes a number of possible complaints which may arise more specifically as a result of the internal organisation of the school, as well as of particular conditions prevailing in the school and in the neighbourhood in which it is situated. The following ranking emerges from the reaction of the respondents to questions about the aspects mentioned:
10.5.1 The teacher is expected to teach a subject for which he has not been trained
(a) National picture (Table 10.2)

This objection is placed first for the country as a whole, and also by the lecturers and principals, with respective percentages of $82.7 \%$ and $80.0 \%$. It is ranked second by viceprincipals and assistants with a percentage of $35.1 \%$.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.2.3)

The percentages for the three groups of respondents who are dissatisfied on this point under the respective education authorities, vary as follows: Principals: from $100 \%$ for South West Africa to $77.2 \%$ for the Cape Province (non-departmental schools $60.0 \%$ ) vice-principals and assistants: from $41.7 \%$ for South West Africa to $16.2 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; lecturers: from $90.0 \%$ for Natal to $78.1 \%$ for the Cape Province.

TABLE 10.2
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher

| Matters in connection with school |  | Principals |  |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  |  | Lecturers |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | \% | Order | N | Order |
| 1. The principal expects too much of the teacher | Yes | 115 | 14.1 | 7 | 114 | 4.2 | 8 | 97 | 19.1 | 7 | 326 | 8 |
|  | No | 585 | 72.0 |  | 2205 | 81.8 |  | 342 | 67.2 |  |  |  |
|  | U | 113 | 13.9 |  | 375 | 13.9 |  | 70 | 13.7 |  |  |  |
| 2. The general discipline of the school | Yes | 214 | 26.3 | 6 | 467 | 17.3 | 5 | 222 | 43.6 | 4 | 903 | 5 |
|  | No | 494 | 60.7 |  | 1876 | 69.6 |  | 233 | 45.8 |  |  |  |
|  | U | 106 | 13.0 |  | 351 | 13.0 |  | 54 | 10.6 |  |  |  |
| 3. The teacher is expected to teach a subject in which he has not been trained | Yes | 651 | 80.0 | 1 | 946 | 35.1 | 2 | 421 | $82.7$ | 1 | 2018 | 1 |
|  | No | 94 | 11.5 |  | 1438 | $53.4$ |  | $60$ | $11.8$ |  |  |  |
|  | U | 69 | 8.5 |  | 310 | 11.5 |  | 28 | 5.5 |  |  |  |
| 4. The teacher is expected to take a class for which he is not trained | Yes | 626 | 76.9 | 2 | 645 | 23.9 | 4 | 401 | 78.8 | 2 | 1672 | 3 |
|  | No | 107 | 13.1 |  | 1661 | 61.7 |  | 73 | 14.3 |  |  |  |
|  | U | 81 | 10.0 |  | 388 | 14.4 |  | 35 | 6.9 |  |  |  |
| 5. Class inspection by the principal | Yes | 101 | 12.4 | 8 | $151$ | $5.6$ | 7 | 80 | $15.7$ | 8 | 332 | 7 |
|  | No | 593 | 72.9 |  | 2194 | 81.4 |  | 374 | $73.5$ |  |  |  |
|  | U | 120 | 14.7 |  | 349 | 13.0 |  | 55 | 10.8 |  |  |  |
| 6. The intercom system |  | 215 | 26.4 | 5 | 398 |  | 6 |  |  | 6 | 769 | 6 |
|  | No | $410$ | $50.4$ |  | $1707$ | $63.4$ |  | $278$ | $54.6$ |  |  |  |
|  | U | 189 | 23.2 |  | 589 | 21.9 |  | 75 | 14.7 |  |  |  |
| 7. Irritating experiences as a result of interference by parents in the professional work in the classroom | Yes | 557 | 68.4 | 3 | 1064 | 39.5 | 1 | 265 | 52.1 | 3 | 1886 | 2 |
|  | No | 171 | 21.0 |  | 1199 | 44.5 |  | 175 | 34.4 |  |  |  |
|  | U | 86 | 10.6 |  | 431 | 16.0 |  | 69 | 13.6 |  |  |  |
| 8. Trivialities in connection with the work, which make the teacher dislike the profession | Yes | 287 | 35.3 | 4 | 647 | 24.0 | 3 | 216 | 42.4 | 5 | 1150 | 4 |
|  | No | 352 | 43.2 |  | 1560 | 57.9 |  | 170 | 33.4 |  |  |  |
|  | U | 175 | 21.5 |  | 487 | 18.1 |  | 123 | 24.2 |  |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 814 | 100.0 |  | 2694 | 100.0 |  | 509 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher


TABLE 10.2.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher


TABLE 10.2.3
LECTURERS
Aspects of school work that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher?

| Matters in connection with school |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. The principal expects too much of the teacher | Yes | 16 | 16.7 | 9 | 18.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 49 | 19.1 | 14 | 19.7 | 97 | 19.1 | 7 |
|  | No | 11 | 74.0 | 35 | 70.0 | 24 | 66.7 | 168 | 65.6 | 44 | 62.0 | 342 | 67.2 |  |
|  | U | 9 | 9.4 | 6 | 12.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 39 | 15.2 | 13 | 18.3 | 70 | 13.7 |  |
| 2. The general discipline of the school | Yes | 40 | 41.7 | 28 | 56.0 | 16 | 44.4 | 112 | 43.7 | 26 | 36.6 | 222 | 43.6 | 4 |
|  | No | 47 | $49.0$ | 17 | 34.0 | 16 | $44.4$ | $121$ | $47.3$ | 32 | 45.1 | 233 | 45.8 |  |
|  | U | 9 | 9.4 | 5 | 10.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 23 | 9.0 | 13 | 18.3 | 54 | 10.6 |  |
| 3. The teacher is expected to teach a subject in which he has not been $\operatorname{tr}$ ained | Yes | 75 | 78.1 | 45 | 90.0 | 29 | 80.6 | 215 | 84.0 | 57 | 80.3 | 421 | 82.7 | 1 |
|  | No | 13 | 13.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 7 | 19.4 | 28 | 10.9 | 9 | 12.7 | 60 | 11.8 |  |
|  | U | 8 | 8.3 | 2 | 4.0 | - | - | 13 | 5.1 | 5 | 7.0 | 28 | 5.5 |  |
| 4. The teacher is expected to take a class for which he is not trained | Yes | 71 | 74.0 | 40 | 80.0 | 28 | 77.8 | 211 | 82.4 | 51 | 71.8 | 401 | 78.8 | 2 |
|  | No | $16$ | 16.7 | 8 | 16.0 | 7 | $19.4$ | 32 | $12.5$ | 10 | $14.1$ | 73 | $14.3$ |  |
|  | U | 9 | 9.4 | 2 | 4.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 13 | 5.1 | 10 | 14.1 | 35 | 6.9 |  |
| 5. Class inspection by the principal | Yes | 10 | 10.4 | 5 | 10.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 47 | 18.4 | 14 | 19.7 | 80 | 15.7 | 8 |
|  | No | 74 | 77.1 | 41 | 82.0 | 28 | 77.8 | 187 | 73.0 | 44 | 62.0 | 374 | 73,5 |  |
|  | U | 12 | 12.5 | 4 | 8.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 22 | 8.6 | 13 | 18.3 | 55 | 10.8 |  |
| 6. The intercom system | Yes | 21 | 21.9 | 31 | 62.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 71 | 27.7 | 24 | 33.8 | 156 | 30.6 | 6 |
|  | No | 56 | 58.3 | 14 | 28.0 | 24 | $66.7$ | $151$ | $59.0$ | 33 | $46.5$ | $278$ | $54.6$ |  |
|  | U | 19 | 19.8 | 5 | 10.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 34 | 13.3 | 14 | 19.7 | 75 | 14.7 |  |
| 7. Irritating experiences as a result of interference by parents in the professional work in the classroom | Yes | 50 | 52.1 | 27 | 54.0 | 25 | 69.4 | 135 | 52.7 | 28 | 39.4 | 265 | 52.1 | 3 |
|  | No | 31 | 32.3 | 17 | 34.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 92 | 35.9 | 27 | 38.0 | 175 | 34.4 |  |
|  | U | 15 | 15.6 | 6 | 12.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 29 | 11.3 | 16 | 22.5 | 69 | 13.6 |  |
| 8. Trivialities in connection with the work which give the teacher a dislike of the profession |  |  |  | 15 | 30.0 | 20 | 55.6 | 123 | 48.0 | 28 | 39.4 | 216 | 42.4 | 5 |
|  | No | 44 | 45.8 | 20 | 40.0 | 10 | 27.8 | 76 | 29.7 | 20 | 28.2 | 170 | 33.4 |  |
|  | U | 22 | 22.9 | 15 | 30.0 | 6 | 16.7 | 57 | 22.3 | 23 | 32.4 | 123 | 24.2 |  |
| Totals on which \% was culculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |

10.5 2 Irritating experiences as a result of interference by parents in the professional work in the_ classroom
(a) National picture (Table 10.2)

This aspect is rated second by the total group of respondents, while it is placed third by the principals and lecturers with respective percentages of $68.4 \%$ and $52.1 \%$. The feeling about interference by parents in professional work is much stronger among the vice-principals and assistants, however, so that this complaint is ranked first with a percentage of $39.5 \%$ in this group. The strong reaction of this group is probably due to the fact that, in their position as class or subject teachers, they have more often suffered the bitter results of interference by parents in professional matters. The view of the other two groups about this matter is, perhaps, somewhat tempered by their greater distance from the classroom situation.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.2.3)

For this grievance, the percentages of respondents according to education authorities vary as follows: Principals: from $92.9 \%$ for South West Africa to $63.6 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools 45.9\%); vice-principals and assistants: from $45.8 \%$ for South West Africa to $21.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; and lecturers: from $69.4 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $39.4 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
10.5.3 The teacher is expected to take a class for which he is not trained
(a) National picture (Table 10.2)

For the country as a whole, this complaint is rated third by the respondents, while it is ranked second by the lecturers and principals, with respective percentages of $78.8 \%$ and $76.9 \%$. It ranks fourth with $23.9 \%$ in the case of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.2.3)

Under the various education authorities the respondents indicate dissatisfaction on this score in percentages varying as foillows: principals: from $92.9 \%$ for South West Africa to $74.1 \%$ for the Cape Province inon-departmental schools 57.6\%); vice-principals and assistants: from $29.2 \%$ for South West Africa to $15.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; lecturers: from $82.4 \%$ for the Transvaal to $71.8 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
10.5. Trivialities in connection with the work which make the teacher dislike the profession.
(a) National picture (Table 10.2)

This objection is rated fourth for the country as a whole, and also by the principals, with $35.3 \%$. It is ranked third by the vice-principals and assistants with $24.0 \%$, and fifth by the lecturers with $42.4 \%$.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the differerit authorities (Tables 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.2.3)

The percentages of respondents under the respective education authorities who indicated the above as irksome vary as follows: Principals: from $57.1 \%$ for South West Africa to $27.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schooīs $9.4 \%$; vice-principals and assistants: from $28.6 \%$ for the Transvaal to $18.2 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools $3.4 \%$; lecturers: from $55.6 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $30.0 \%$ for Natal.
10.5.5 Ranking of the following four points repeatedly indicated as complaints by considerably less than a quarter of the total group of respondents
The general discipline of the school - placed fifth by about $22 \%$ of all respondents.
The intercom system - rated sixth by about $19 \%$ of all respondents.
Class inspection by the principal_ranked seventh by about $8 \%$ of all respondents.
The school principal expects too much of the teacher - placed eighth by about $8 \%$ of all respondents.
10.6.2 The increase in preparation work, tests, etc., resulting from the system of differentiated education
(a) National picture (Table 10.3)

This aspect is rated second for the country as a whole, and also second by the lecturers, with $38.5 \%$ and the vice-principals and assistants with $21.3 \%$, while it is ranked first by the principais with $41.8 \%$.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Tables 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.3)

The groups under the various authorities indicate this as a complaint in percentages which vary as foliows: principals: from $85.7 \%$ for South West Africa to $30.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools 29.4\%); vice-principals and assistants: from $29.6 \%$ for the 'f'ransvaal to $10.8 \%$ for the Orange Free State; and lecturers: from $43.7 \%$ for Education, Aris and Science to $28.1 \%$ for the Cape Province.
10.6.3 Ranlang of the next two complaints which were indicated in each case by less than a quarter of all respondents.

The way in which record books have to be kept - placed third by about $24 \%$ of alì respondents.

## PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS

Aspects of schoolwork that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher


TABLE 10.3.1
PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Aspects of schoolwork that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the tes.cher

rabter 10.2.2

## VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS

Aspects of schoolwork that make the profession unpleasant $\mathfrak{o r}$ unattractive to the teacher

| Matters in connection with preparation and reporting | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  | Order |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. The way in which record books have to be kept | 235 | 31.5 | 32 | 13.7 | 32 | 13.9 | 227 | 19.6 | 10 | 20.8 | 19 | 9.9 | 5 | 5.7 | 560 | 20.8 | 3 |
|  | 429 | 57.5 | 168 | 72.1 | 160 | 69.3 | 781 | 67.1 | 32 | 66.7 | 157 | 82.2 | 64 | 72.7 | 1791 | 66.5 |  |
|  | 82 | 11.0 | 33 | 14.2 | 39 | 16.9 | 149 | 12.9 | 6 | 12.5 | 15 | 7.9 | 19 | 21.6 | 343 | 12.7 |  |
| 2. The way in which preparation books have to be kept | 128 | 17.2 | 26 | 11.2 | 31 | 13.4 | 278 | 24.0 | 10 | 20.8 | 17 | 8.9 | 4 | 4.5 | 494 | 18.3 | 4 |
|  | 439 | 58.8 | 169 | 72.5 | 152 | 65.8 | 737 | 63.7 | 32 | 66.7 | 148 | 77.5 | 60 | 68.2 | 1737 | 54.5 |  |
|  | 179 | 24.0 | 38 | 16.3 | 48 | 20.8 | 142 | 12.3 | 6 | 12.5 | 26 | 13.6 | 24 | 27.3 | 463 | 17.2 |  |
| 3. The fact that both record books and preparation books have to be kept | 208 | 27.9 | 46 | 19.7 | 48 | 20.8 | 473 | 40.9 | 17 | 35.4 | 33 | 17.3 | 13 | 14.8 | 838 | 31.1 | 1 |
|  | 372 | 49.9 | 157 | 67.4 | 136 | 58.9 | 571 | 49.4 | 25 | 52.1 | 124 | 64.9 | 50 | 56.8 | 1435 | 53.3 |  |
|  | 166 | 22.3 | 30 | 12.9 | 47 | 20.3 | 113 | 9.8 | 6 | 12.5 | 34 | 17.8 | 25 | 28.4 | 421 | 15.6 |  |
| 4. The increase in preparation work, tests, etc., resulting from the system of differentiated education | 117 | 15.7 | 41 | 17.6 | 25 | 10.8 | 342 | 29.6 | 13 | 27.1 | 23 | 12.0 | 14 | 15.9 | 575 | 21.3 | 2 |
|  | 391 | 52.4 | 121 | 51.9 | 120 | 51.9 | 524 | 45.3 | 25 | 52.1 | 114 | 59.7 | 46 | 52.3 | 1341 | 49.8 |  |
|  | 238 | 31.9 | 71 | 30.5 | 86 | 37.2 | 291 | 25.2 | 10 | 20.8 | 54 | 28.3 | 28 | 31.8 | 778 | 28.9 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 10. 3.3
LECTURERS
Aspects of schoolwork that make the profession unpleasant or unattractive to the teacher


The way in which preparation books have to be kept - rated fourth by about $22 \%$ of all responaents.

1. .6.4 The above data indicate that the teaching body does not object so much to the keeping of preparation or record books as to the fact that both preparation and record books have to be kept. In addition, many of the teachers (probably most of those at high schools) complain about the increase in preparation work as a result of the introduction of the system of streaming.

# THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON WORKING HOURS SPENT IN SCHOOL, AND ALSO ON TIME SPENT ON ADMINISTRATION, PREPARATION OF WORK, AND CORRECTION OF SCHOOL WORK 


#### Abstract

11.1 Members of the public are wont to refer to the so-called "short" working day of the teacher as if this working day consisted only of the five hours during which the teacher stands in front of his class. This view does not of course, take into account the great number of hours spent by the teacher on extramural activities, administrative work, the preparation of lessons, and also the correction of school work.


(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities
(i) Under the respective education authorities, the percentages of the three groups of respondents who consider that the working hours make teachers dislike the profession, vary as follows: principals: from $14.3 \%$ for South West Africa to $0 \%$ for the Orange Free State; vice-principals and assistants: from $6.7 \%$ for the Transvaal to $3.5 \%$ for the Orange Free State; lecturers: from $9.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $5.2 \%$ for the Cape Province.
(ii) The percentages of those who do not think that working hours make the teacher dislike the profession vary as follows: principals: from $93.3 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $84.8 \%$ for the Transvaal (non-departmental schools $75.3 \%$ ); vice-principals and assistants: from $91.6 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $83.3 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools $81.8 \%$ ); lecturers: from $91.7 \%$ for the Cape Province to $81.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.

The general feeling among the vast majority of the total group of respondents therefore shows that school working hours are not such as to make the teacher dislike the profession. This certainly does not mean, however, that the teacher has no criticism to make of school hours, as will be clearly shown by the replies to the following two questions.
11.4 ARE SCHOOL WORKING HOURS ADEQUATE (EXCLUDING EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES) (Table 11.2)

In reply to this question, respondents could indicate whether they found the working hours long enough, too long or too short.

TABLE 11.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Working hours: Are the working hours such as to make the teacher dislike the profession?


TABLE 11.2
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Working hours: Are school working hours adequate (excluding extramural activities) ?

(a) National picture
(i) Long enough The percentages of the three groups who think that the school hours are long enough are as follows: vice-principals and assistants $86.5 \%$, lecturers $82.5 \%$ and principals $76.7 \%$.
(ii) Too long Only very low percentages of the three groups feel that the working hours are too long, namely $3.3 \%$ of the lecturers and the vice-principals and assistants, as against $2.0 \%$ of the prineipals.
(iii) Too short Much larger percentages consider the working hours too short, namely $17.0 \%$ of the principals, $12.4 \%$ of the lecturers, and $7.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities
(i) Long enough The percentage of principals who feel that the working hours are long enough varies from $92.9 \%$ for South West Africa to $68.6 \%$ for the Cape Province (nondepartmental schools $64.7 \%$ ); in the case of vice-principals and assistants from $93.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $82.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $80.8 \%$ ); and in respect of lecturers from $94.0 \%$ for Natal to $70.8 \%$ for the Cape Province.
(ii) Too long The percentages who complain about hours being too long vary as follows: principals: from $3.4 \%$ for the Transvaal to $0 \%$ for Natal, South West Africa, and also Education, Arts and Science; vice-principals and assistants: from $12.6 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to 0.9\% for the Orange Free State; lecturers: from 6.0\% for Natal to $2,1 \%$ for the Cape Province.
(iii) Too short The percentages of respondents who complain that the working hours are too short vary as follows: principals: from $27.2 \%$ for the Cape Province to $7.1 \%$ for South West Africa; vice-principals and assistants: from $11.9 \%$ for the Cape Province to $2.1 \%$ for South West Africa; and lecturers: from $24.0 \%$ for the Cape Province to $0 \%$ for Natal.


#### Abstract

11.4 .1

From the analysis it appears that the percentages of respondents in the three groups who feel that the working hours are long enough are significantly lower in the case of the respondents in the Cape Province than for the groups under other education authorities (the only exception being the vice-principals and assistants, where the percentage of those under Education, Arts and Science is slightly lower than that of the Cape group). Correspondingly, it should be noted that the percentages who state that the hours are too short are again significantly higher for the Cape group than for the groups under the other education authorities. For the country as a whole, about $10 \%$ of the respondents feel that the working hours are too short, but for the Cape group about $17 \%$ hold this opinion,

\subsection*{11.5 ARE WORKING HOURS LOST AS A RESULT OF INCIDENTAL ACTIVITIES NOT DIRECTLY CONNECTED WITH SCHOOL WORK?}


Since, as the replies to the previous question showed, not all teachers think the working hours are long enough, and as many as $10 \%$ of the whole group of respondents moreover feel that the working hours are too short, it is obvious that some of the available working hours cannot be lost as a result of incidental activities which have no connection with school work.

That some of the valuable working hours are lost in this way is evident from the answers to the above-mentioned question, as given in Table 11,3.
(a) National picture (Table 11.3)
(i) More than $52 \%$ of all respondents maintain that working hours are lost because of incidental activities. This is the opinion of $77.0 \%$ of the lecturers, $56.5 \%$ of the principals and $46.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Working hours: Are working hours lost as a result of incidental activities not directly connected with school work?

|  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS: Yes | 176 | 56.4 | 35 | 56.5 | 30 | 66.7 | 181 | 68.8 | 9 | 64.3 | 13 | 39.4 | 16 | 18.9 | 460 | 56.5 |
| Pripa No | 113 | 36.2 | 24 | 38.7 | 12 | 26.7 | 75 | 28.5 | 5 | 35.7 | 18 | 54.5 | 52 | 61.2 | 299 | 36.7 |
| U | 23 | 7.4 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 6.7 | 7 | 2.7 | - | - | 2 | 6.1 | 17 | 20.0 | 55 | 6.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: Yes | 356 | 47.7 | 99 | 42.5 | 99 | 42.9 | 597 | $51.6$ | 12 | $25.0$ | 71 | $37.2$ | 14 | $15.9$ | 1248 | $46.3$ |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | 338 | 45.3 | 118 | $50.6$ | 118 | $51.1$ | 499 | $43.1$ | 32 | $66.7$ | 113 | $59.2$ | 67 | $76.1$ | 1285 | $47.7$ |
| U | 52 | 7.0 | 16 | 6.9 | 14 | 6.1 | 61 | 5.3 | 4 | 8.3 | 7 | 3.7 | 7 | 8.0 | 161 | 6.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: Yes | 75 | 78.1 | 34 | 68.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 202 | 78.9 |  |  | 54 | 76.1 |  |  | 392 | 77.0 |
| Lecters No | 17 | 17.7 | 11 | 22.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 46 | 18.0 |  |  | 12 | 16.9 |  |  | 94 | 18.5 |
| U | 4 | 4.2 | 5 | 10.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 8 | 3.1 |  |  | 5 | 7.0 |  |  | 23 | 4.5 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

(ii) Just over $40 \%$ of all respondents state that working hours are not lost in this way. This feeling is, however, much stronger among vice-principals and assistants, 47.7\% of whom state that working hours are not lost, than among principals and lecturers, of whom only $36.7 \%$ and $18.5 \%$, respectively, hold this opinion.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities
(i) Among those who say that working hours are lost, the percentages vary as follows: principals: from $68.8 \%$ for the Transvaal to $39.4 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (nondepartmental schools $18.9 \%$; vice-principals and assistants: from $51.6 \%$ for the Transvaal to $25.0 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools $15.9 \%$ ); lecturers: from $78.9 \%$ for the Transvaal to $68.0 \%$ for Natal.
(ii) The percentages of those who state that working hours are not lost vary as follows: principals: from $54.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $26.7 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools 61.2\%); vice-principals and assistants: from 66.7\% for South West Africa to $43.1 \%$ for the Transvaal (non-departmental schools 76.1\%); lecturers: from $22.2 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $16.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
11.5.1 It is disturbing that more than half of the respondents involved in this investigation state that incidental activities not directly connected with school work are responsible for a loss of working hours. Since syllabuses are already very extensive, working hours which are inadequate as it is, cannot be lost.

### 11.6 TIME SPENT ON PREPARATION

To be able to meet the child on any level, and to present subject matter to him in such a way as to stimulate his interest and motivate him to master the subject, the teacher must make a study of and thoroughly prepare the material that he is going to present. It goes without saying that such thorough preparation will take up many hours a week. In the questionnaires, teachers were requested to indicate how many hours a week they spend on preparation.
11.6.1 Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on preparation (Tables 11.4, 11.4.1)
(a) National picture (Table 11.4)

1. About $28 \%$ of all respondents say that teachers spend 6 hours and more a week on preparation. This was asserted by $41.5 \%$ of the lecturers, $29.5 \%$ of the principals and $25.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
2. Approximately $20 \%$ of all respondents maintain that teachers spend $5+$ hours a week on preparation. This is the estimate of $22.4 \%$ of the principals, $20.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $18.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
3. Roughly $16 \%$ of all respondents state that teachers spend $3+$ hours a week on preparation. This is stated by $17.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $16.2 \%$ of the principals, and $9.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
4. About $11 \%$ of the respondents assert that teachers spend $2+$ hours a week on preparation. This statement is made by $13.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $10.1 \%$ of the principals, and $3.8 \%$ of the lecturers.
5. Approximately $11 \%$ of all respondents state that the teacher spends $4+$ hours a week on preparation. This is the estimate of $11.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $11.0 \%$ oi the principals and $10.6 \%$ of the lecturers.
6. Roughly $4 \%$ of all resporidents state that teachers spend $1+$ hours on preparation. This $\mathbf{i}$ maintained by $4.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $2.7 \%$ of the principals and 0.6 , of the lecturers.

TABLE 11.4
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on preparation?

| Time spent a week: |  | Principals |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
|  | $1+$ hours | 22 | 2.7 | 133 | 4.9 | 3 | 0.6 | 158 | 6 |
|  | $2+$ hours | 82 | 10.1 | 371 | 13.8 | 19 | 3.8 | 472 | 4 |
|  | $3+$ hours | 132 | 16.2 | 470 | 17.4 | 46 | 9.1 | 648 | 3 |
|  | $4+$ hours | 90 | 11.0 | 319 | 11.8 | 54 | 10.6 | 463 | 5 |
|  | $5+$ hours | 182 | 22.4 | 550 | 20.4 | 92 | 18.1 | 824 | 2 |
|  | $6+$ hours | 240 | 29.5 | 676 | 25.1 | 211 | 41.5 | 1127 | 1 |
|  | U | 66 | 8.1 | 175 | 6.5 | 84 | 16.5 |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 814 | 1.00 .0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |  |

TABLE 11.4.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPATS ANII ASSTRTANTG, LPRT!
Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on preparation?


Briefly, the time spent a week on preparation can be shown as follows:
$1+$ hours according to about $4 \%$ of all respondents
$2+$ hours according to about $11 \%$ of all respondents
$3+$ hours according to about $16 \%$ of all respondents
$4+$ hours according to about $11 \%$ of all respondents
$5+$ hours according to about $20 \%$ of all respondents
$6+$ hours according to about $28 \%$ of all respondents
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities: (Table 11.4.1)

Differences are evident in the time spent on preparation by the respondents under the various education authorities, and these can be studied by consulting Table 11.4.1.

### 11.7 TIME SPENT IN CORRECTING AND CHECKING CLASSWORK

The teacher who wishes to correct classwork thoroughly and to check the work of pupils carefully in order to determine the reasons for mistakes with a view to their rectification, must be prepared to spend a good deal of time on this important part of his work. That the teaching body does take this function seriously is apparent from the replies to the question:

### 11.7.1 About what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on the marking and checking of classwork? (Tables 11.5, 11.5.1)

(a) National picture (Table 11.5)

1. About $42 \%$ of all the respondents state that teachers spend 6 hours and more a week on the marking and checking of classwork. This is maintained by $46.7 \%$ of the principals, $42.8 \%$ of the lecturers and $41.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
2. Approximately $17 \%$ of all the respondents maintain that teachers spend $5+$ hours a week on marking and checking. This estimate is given by $18.2 \%$ of the principals, $16.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, and $13.8 \%$ of the lecturers.
3. Roughly $10 \%$ of the respondents assert that teachers spend $3+$ hours a week on marking and checking. This is the estimate of $11.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $10.3 \%$ of the principals, and $6.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
4. About $10 \%$ of the respondents state that teachers spend an average of $4+$ hours on marking and checking. This is maintained by $10.7 \%$ of the lecturers, $10.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $8.8 \%$ of the principals.
5. Approximately $6 \%$ of the respondents state that teachers spend an average of $2+$ hours a week on marking and checking. This estimate is given by $7.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $5.5 \%$ of the lecturers and $4.5 \%$ of the principals.
6. Roughly $4 \%$ of the respondents believe that teachers spend $1+$ hours a week on marking and checking. This is maintained by $4.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $2.5 \%$ of the principals, and $2.2 \%$ of the lecturers.

The time spend by teachers weekly on marking and checking classwork may therefore be summarised as follows:
$1+$ hours according to about $4 \%$ of all respondents
$2+$ hours according to about $6 \%$ of all respondents
$3+$ hours according to about $10 \%$ of all respondents
$4+$ hours according to about $10 \%$ of all respondents
$5+$ hours according to about $17 \%$ of all respondents
$6+$ hours according to about $42 \%$ of all respondents
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities: (Table 11.5.1)

Table 11.5.1 may be referred to for differences in the time spent on marking and checking classwork by the three groups under the various education authorities.

## TABLE 11.5

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on the marking and checking of classwork?


TABLE 11.5.1
PRINCIPAIS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on the marking and checking of classwork?

| Time spent a week | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS: $1+$ hours | 14 | 4.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | 5 | 5.9 | 20 | 2.5 |
| ( $2+$ hours | 20 | 6.4 | 3 | 4.8 | 1 | 2.2 | 7 | 2.6 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 3 | 3.6 | 37 | 4.5 |
| $3+$ hours | 37 | 11.9 | 13 | 20.9 | 3 | 6.6 | 22 | 8.4 | 2 | 14.3 | 1 | 3.0 | 6 | 7.1 | 84 | 10.3 |
| $4+$ hours | 37 | 11.9 | 2 | 3.2 | 3 | 6.6 | 20 | 7.6 | 1 | 7.1 | 4 | 12.1 | 5 | 5.9 | 72 | 8.8 |
| $5+$ hours | 61 | 19.6 | 16 | 25.8 | 9 | 20.0 | 43 | 16.3 | 3 | 21.4 | 4 | 12.1 | 12 | 14.1 | 148 | 18.2 |
| $6+$ hours | 126 | 40.4 | 21 | 33.9 | 26 | 57.8 | 161 | 61.2 | 8 | 57.1 | 16 | 48.5 | 22 | 25.9 | 380 | 46.7 |
| U | 17 | 5.4 | 7 | 11.3 | 3 | 6.7 | 10 | 3.8 | - | - | 4 | 12.1 | 32 | 37.6 | 73 | 9.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPAIS AND 1 + hours | 45 | 6.1 | 15 | 6.4 | 24 | 10.4 | 21 | 1.9 | 4 | 8.4 | 12 | 6.3 | 4 | 4.5 | 125 | 4.7 |
| ASSISTANTS: $2+$ hours | 77 | 10.4 | 22 | 9.5 | 14 | 6.1 | 58 | 5.1 | 7 | 14.6 | 23 | 12.0 | 3 | 3.4 | 204 | 7.6 |
| $3+$ hours | 90 | 12.1 | 30 | 12.9 | 19 | 8.2 | 130 | 11.2 | 6 | 12.5 | 26 | 13.6 | 12 | 13.7 | 313 | 11.7 |
| $4+$ hours | 76 | 10.1 | 25 | 10.8 | 27 | 11.7 | 102 | 8.8 | 6 | 12.5 | 27 | 14.1 | 13 | 14.8 | 276 | 10.2 |
| $5+$ hours | 138 | 18.5 | 41 | 17.6 | 46 | 19.9 | 189 | 16.3 | 4 | 8.3 | 21 | 11.0 | 14 | 15.9 | 453 | 16.8 |
| 6 + hours | 252 | 33.8 | 76 | 32.6 | 83 | 35.9 | 610 | 52.7 | 13 | 27.1 | 61 | 31.9 | 19 | 21.6 | 1114 | 41.4 |
| U | 68 | 9.1 | 24 | 10.3 | 18 | 7.8 | 47 | 4.1 | 8 | 16.7 | 21 | 11.0 | 23 | 26.1 | 209 | 7.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: $1+$ hours | 4 | 4.2 | 1 | $2.0$ | - | - | 5 | 2.0 |  |  | 1 | 1.4 |  |  | 11 | 2.2 |
| 2+hours | 8 | $8.3$ | 5 | $10.0$ | - | - | 13 | $5.1$ |  |  | 2 | $2.8$ |  |  | 28 | $5.5$ |
| $3+\text { hours }$ | 5 | $5.2$ | 5 | $10.0$ | 2 | $5.6$ | 13 | $5.1$ |  |  | 6 | $8.4$ |  |  | 31 | $6.1$ |
| $4+$ hours | 13 | $13.6$ | 3 | $6.0$ | 5 | $13.9$ | 25 | $9.7$ |  |  | 8 | $11.3$ |  |  | 54 | $10.7$ |
| $5+$ hours | 18 | 18.7 | 5 | 10.0 | 6 | $16.7$ | 31 | 12.1 |  |  | 10 | 14.1 |  |  | 70 | 13.8 |
| 6 + hours | 32 | 33.3 | 15 | 30.0 | 14 | 38.9 | 131 | 51.2 |  |  | 26 | 36.6 |  |  | 218 | 42.8 |
| U | 16 | 16.7 | 16 | 32.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 38 | 14.8 |  |  | 18 | 25.4 |  |  | 97 | 19.1 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 96 | 100. 0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

## 11. TIME SPENT ON ADMINISTRATIVE WORK

Teachers frequently complain about the time consumed by administrative work. Two questions were put to give the teachers an opportunity to indicate how much time on the average is spent on this a week:
(a) Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on administration, (that is, in the course of the term)?
(b) Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on administration. at the end of the term?
11. ". 1 Approximaiely what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on administration (in the course of the term)?
(a) National picture (Table 11.6)

1. Approximately $37 \%$ of the respondents consider that teachers spend $1+$ hours a week on administration. This estimate is given by $40.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $37.9 \%$ of the principals and $20.3 \%$ of the lecturers.
2. Roughly $17 \%$ of the respondents answered that teachers spend $2+$ hours a week on administration. This view is heid by $22.4 \%$ of the lecturers, $20.8 \%$ of the principals and $15.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
3. Approximately $9 \%$ of the respondents estimate that teachers spend $3+$ hours a week on administration. This is the opinion of $11.6 \%$ of the lecturers, $10 \%$ of the principals and $7.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
4. Roughly $8 \%$ of the respondents consider that teachers spend $6+$ hours a week on administration. This is the estimate of $9.2 \%$ of the principais, $8.3 \%$ of the lecturers and $7.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
5. About $4 \%$ of the respondents state that teachers spend $4+$ hours a week on administration. This is the estimate of $6.9 \%$ of the lecturers, $4.7 \%$ of the principals and $3.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
6. Approximately $4 \%$ of the respondents estimate that teachers spend $5+$ hours a week on administration. This view is expressed by $4.5 \%$ of the principals and the lecturers, and $3.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.

The time spent by teachers weekly on administration in the course of the term can be summarised as follows:
$1+$ hours according to about $37 \%$ of all respondents
$2+$ hours according to about $17 \%$ of all respondents
$3+$ hours according to about $9 \%$ of all respondents
$4+$ hours according to about $4 \%$ of all respondents
$5+$ hours according to about $4 \%$ of all respondents $6+$ hours according to about $8 \%$ of all respondents
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities ('Table 11.6.1)

Table 11.6.1 may be consulted about differences in the views of the three groups under the various education authorities on time spent on administration.
11.7.2 Approximately how many hours does the teacher spend on administration at the end of the term?

As the end of a term approaches, both the marking and the administrative duties of the teacher increase as a result of the programme of tests which has to be carried out. Much more time is required for the drawing up of mark sheets, and the completion of reports, Ed. Lab. cards, official forms and so on. This increase in administrative duties is clearly reflected by the extra time which has to be spent on such duties, as emerges from the replies of the respondents to this question.

TABLE 11.6
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on administration?

| Time spent a week | Principals |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
| $1+$ hours | 309 | 37.9 | 1092 | 40.5 | 103 | 20.3 | 1504 | 1 |
| $2+$ hours | 169 | 20.8 | 419 | 15.6 | 114 | 22.4 | 702 | 2 |
| $3+$ hours | 81 | 10.0 | 213 | 7.9 | 59 | 11.6 | 353 | 3 |
| $4+$ hours | 38 | 4.7 | 90 | 3.4 | 35 | 6.9 | 163 | 5 |
| $5+$ hours | 37 | 4.5 | 102 | 3.8 | 23 | 4.5 | 162 | 6 |
| $6+$ hours | 75 | 9.2 | 201 | 7.5 | 42 | 8.3 | 318 | 4 |
| U | 105 | 12.9 | 577 | 21.4 | 133 | 26.1 |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 814 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 | 4017 |  |

TABLE 11.6.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on administration?

(a) Nationai picture (Table 11.7)

1. Approximateiy $27 \%$ of all respondents assert that teacher's spend an average of $10+$ hours a week on administration at the end of the term. This is the estimate of $32.1 \%$ of the principals, $26.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $23.8 \%$ of the lecturers.
2. About $9 \%$ of the respondents consider that teachers spend an average of $8+$ hours a week on such work. This is stated by $9.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, 8.4\% of the lectureis and $8.0 \%$ of the principals.
3. Roughly $8 \%$ of the respondents state that teachers spend an average of $5+$ hours a week on administration. This estimate is also given by $9.7 \%$ of the principals, $7.6 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants and $6.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
4. Roughly $7 \%$ oî the respondents estimate that teachers spend an average of $3+$ hours a week on administration. This is the view of $7.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $7.6 \%$ of the principals, and $6.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
5. The reply of approximately $6 \%$ of the respondents is that a teacher spends an average of $4+$ hours a week on administration. This is stated by $7.6 \%$ of the principals, $6.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assisiants, and $6.1 \%$ of the lecturers.
6. A further $6 \%$ state that the teacher spends ar average of $2+$ hours on administration. This is the estimate of $8.4 \%$ of the principals, $5.8 \%$ of the vice-principais and assis tants, and $5.7 \%$ of the iecturers.
7. Also about $6 \%$ of the respondents consider that the teacher sperds an average of $6+$ hours a week on administration. This is the estimate of $6.7 \%$ of the lecturers, $6.1 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants and $6,0 \%$ of the principals.
8. Roughly $4 \%$ of the respondents state that the teacher spends an average of $1+$ hours a week on administration. This estimate is also given by $4.8 \%$ of the principals, $4.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $1.4 \%$ of the lecturers.
9. Approximateiy $2 \%$ of the respondents estimate that the teacher spends an average of $\vartheta+$ hours on administration. This is stated by $2.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $1.4 \%$ of the lecturers and $1.1 \%$ of the principals.
10. Also aoout $2 \%$ of the 2 Gispondents state that the teacher spends an average of $7+$ hours a week on administration. This is also the estimate of $2.2 \%$ of the lecturers, $2.1 \%$ of the principalis and $1.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.

The average time spent weekly by teachers on administration at the end of the term can be summarised as follows:
$1+$ hours according to about $4 \%$ of all responcients
$2+$ hours according to about $6 \%$ of all respondents
$3+$ hours according to about $7 \%$ of all respondents
$4+$ nours according to about $6 \%$ of all respondents
$5+$ hours according to about $8 \%$ of all respondents
$6+$ nours according to about $8 \%$ of all respondents
7 + hours according to about $2 \%$ of all respondents
$3+$ hours according to about $9 \%$ of all respondents
$9+$ hours according to about $2 \%$ of all respondents
$10+$ hours according to about $27 \%$ of all respondents
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities (Table 11.7.1)

Table 11.7.1 may be consuited for a clear picture of the differences in the time spent on administration by the various groups under the different education authorities.
11.3.3 Whereas it appears irom Table 11.6 that approximately $8 \%$ of the respondents assert that teachers spend an average of 6 hours or more a week on administration in the course of the term, Table 11.7 reveals that no less than approximately $46 \%$ of the respondents state that teachers have to devote 6 hours or more a week to administrative duties at the end of the term.

TABLE 11.7
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS


TABLE 11.7.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Administration: Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on administration at the end of the term?


These figures confirm the assertion that there is a great increase in administrative work at the end of a term. The further question that now arises is whether the great number of man-hours spent on administration by people intensively trained to teach does not amount to a misuse of manpower. This administrative work could be done just as well by clerical staff with far lower qualifications, who would also receive far lower salaries than teachers. At the same time this would free teachers to concentrate their full attention and energies on teaching, which is, after all, the task for which they have been trained.
11.6 IS THE PICTURE OF A TEACHING BODY WHICH HAS TO DO A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF ROUTINE ADMINISTRATIVE WORK CONFIRMED BY OTHER INVESTIGATIONS?
(i) The answer seems to be in the affirmative in the light of the reaction of teachers (as reported by Olckers) to the question: Are you overloaded with routine work, such as the completion of forms, the correcting of examination papers, the keeping of record cards, etc.? To this question, $63 \%$ of the male principals, $42 \%$ of the male assistants and $35 \%$ of the female assistants answered in the affirmative. Olckers contends that the groups reacted in this way because teachers do not regard such things as an essential part of their work. They feel that they are there to teach - the administrative work can be done by others (7, pp. 16-17).
(ii) That misgivings exist in America as well, even among the general public, in regard to the amount of administrative work teachers have to do, is shown by a recommendation made in 1956 by the "Committee for the White House Conference on Education", namely that the real task of the teacher should be carefully analysed to determine which activities could be entrusted safely and economically to persons not trained as teachers. The teacher could thus be relieved of many time-consuming duties, and his professional status would be raised (27, pp. 156-160).

## CHAPTER 12

## THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES


#### Abstract

12.1 A large number of questions in the questionnaires gave teachers the opportunity of ewpressing their views on the necessity for, as well as the extent of, extramural activities. In addition, they could give their opinions on who should plan and carry out the activities; what is regarded as a reasonable amount of time to spend on extramural activities; responsibility for pupils injured during the activities; the transportation of pupils by teachers; and the effect of extramural activities on the schoolwork, health, status and spirit of the teacher.


### 12.2 DOES THE TEACHER CONSIDER IT ESSENTIAL FOR THE SCHOOL TO MAKE PROVISION FOR EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES? (Table 12.1)

## (a) National picture

There is a considerable measure of agreement among teachers on the necessity for schools to make provision for extramural activities. Altogether $91.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $87.8 \%$ of the lectureirs and $86.6 \%$ of the principals think that the school ought to make provision for extramural activities. Only very low percentages (in the region of $5 \%$ ) of all three groups consider that it is not necessary to make provision for extramural activities.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages of respondents under the various education authorities who regard provision for extramural activities as essential vary as follows: Principals: from $100.0 \%$ for South West Africa to $84.8 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $60.0 \%$ ) ; vice-principals and assistants: from $95.8 \%$ for South West Africa to $85.0 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $76.1 \%$ ); lecturers: from $94.8 \%$ for the Cape Province to $77.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.

Thus, about $90 \%$ of the total group of respondents think that it is essential for schools to make provision for extramural activities.
12.3 ARE FXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES IN THE SCHOOL SO EXTENSIVE THAT TEACHERS' WORK SUFFERS? (Table 12.2)

Although the majority of the respondents are in favour of the provision of extramural activities by the school, there is nevertheless a group of them who are concerned about the extent oif such activities and the fact that the teacher's work sometimes suffers as a result.
(a) National picture

The percentages of respondents who are concerned about the extent of extramural activities and the resultant detrimental effect on the teacher's work, vary as follows: Principals, $11.5 \%$; vice-principals and assistants, $11.8 \%$; and lecturers, $32.0 \%$. Large percentages, especially of the first two groups, state, however, that the extent of extramural activities is not such that the teacher's work suffers as a result.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

As far as the groups of respondents under the various education authorities are concerned, the percentages who consider that the extent of extramural activities has a detrimental effect on the teacher's work, vary as follows: Principals: from $50.0 \%$ for South West Africa to $0 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science; vice-principals and assistants: from $15.2 \%$ for the Transvaal to $7.4 \%$ for the Orange Free State; lecturers: from $42.2 \%$ for the Transvaa! to $1.8 .3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
The total picture therefore shows that approximately one out of every seven respondents considers that extramural activities are so extensive that they have a detrimental effect on the teacher's work.

TARIP: : 1

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Does the teacher consider it essential for the school to make provision for extramural activities?

|  |  | Education Authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, <br> Arts and Science |  | Non-departmental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS: | Yes | 274 | 87.8 | 55 | 88.7 | 41 | $91.1$ | 242 | 92.0 | 14 | $100.0$ | 28 | 84.8 | 51 | 60.0 | 705 | 86.6 |
|  | No | 18 | 5.8 | 6 | $9.7$ | 1 | $2.2$ | $13$ | 4.9 | - | - | 2 | 6.1 | 13 | 15.3 | 53 | 6.5 |
|  | U | 20 | 6.4 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 21 | 24.7 | 56 | 6.9 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: | Yes | 691 | 92.6 | 198 | 85.0 | 209 | 90.5 | 1065 | 92.0 | 46 | 95.8 | 179 | 93.7 | 67 | $76.1$ | 2455 | 91.1 |
|  | No | 23 | 3.1 | 15 | 6.4 | 10 | 4.3 | 62 | 5.4 | - | - | 7 | 3.7 | 6 | 6.8 | 123 | $4.6$ |
|  | U | 32 | 4.3 | 20 | 8.6 | 12 | 5.2 | 30 | 2.6 | 2 | 4.2 | 5 | 2.6 | 15 | 17.0 | 116 | 4.3 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: | Yes | 91 | 94.8 | 45 | 90.0 | 34 | 94, 4 | 222 | 86.7 |  |  | 55 | 77.5 |  |  | 447 | 87.8 |
|  | No | 2 | 2.1 | 3 | 6.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 25 | 9.8 |  |  | 6 | 8.5 |  |  | 37 | 7.3 |
|  | U | 3 | 3.1 | 2 | 4.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 9 | 3.5 |  |  | 1.0 | 14. $i$ |  |  | 2.5 | 4.9 |
| '「otals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

TABLE 12.2
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Are extramural activities in the school so extensive that teachers' work suffers?


The teachers were given the opportunity of indicating who, in their opinion, are the proper persons or groups of persons to plan extramural activities (Table 12.3).
(a) National picture (Table 12.3)
(i) More than $63 \%$ of all respondents selected Group No. 2 "The school principal together with the staff", from seven possible choices as the proper group to plan extramural activities. This choice was indicated by $67.2 \%$ of the principals, $63.5 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants and $55.2 \%$ of the lecturers.
(ii) The group placed second (but indicated by only about $32 \%$ of the respondents) was Group No. 5 'The school principal together with the staff and the parents".
(iii) The remaining five groups in Table 12.3 were indicated by so few of the respondents that they may safely be left out of account.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities. (Tables $12.3 .1,12.3 .2,12.3 .3$ )

These tables may be consulted to compare the differences in the views of the three groups under the various education authorities.

To sum up, it may therefore be said that the greater part of the teaching body is of the opinion that extramural activities ought to be planned by the school principal and staff, while almost a third of the respondents would also include parents in the planning.
12.5 THE ORGANISATION OF EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES

The views of the teachers about the person or group of persons who ought to organise extramural activities are summarised in Table 12.4.
(a) National picture (Table 12.4)
(i) Of the seven possible groups which could be chosen, Group No. 6, namely "The school principal together with the staff and the parents", was indicated as first choice by about $31 \%$ of all respondents, comprising $36.3 \%$ of the lecturers, $36.2 \%$ of the principals and $29.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(ii) Group No. 3, 'The school principal together with the staff', was placed second, being indicated by about $25 \%$ of all respondents, comprising $31.0 \%$ of the principals, $24.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $22.2 \%$ of the lecturers.
(iii) Choice No. 7, "A teacher specially appointed for this purpose", was ranked third, being indicated by about $24 \%$ of all respondents, comprising $14.5 \%$ of the principals, $25.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $29.7 \%$ of the lecturers.
(iv) Choice No. 1, "The staff", was placed fourth by about $17 \%$ of the respondents. This was the choice of $20.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $12.7 \%$ of the principals and $11.0 \%$ of the lecturers.
(v) The remaining three choices were indicated by so few respondents that they may be left out of account.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The differences in the views of the three groups as to who should organise the activities can be seen from Tables 12.4.1, 12.4.2, and 12.4.3.
12.5.1 The feeling of a large part of the body of teachers seems to be that the school principal, the staff and the parents ought to organise extramural activities together, while there are als:o a considerable number who think that this should be the task of the school principal and staff, and a further group would entrust this duty to a teacher specially appointed for the purpose. This reflects a desire on the part of the teaching body to enlist the parents, probably on the grounds, among other things, of the achievements and knowledge of many parents in the fields of various types of sport, youth movements and so forth.

TABLE 12.3
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPAIS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Who should plan the activities?

| Who should plan the activities? |  | Principals |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
| 1. The school principal alone | Yes | 17 | 2.1 | 51 | 1.9 | 3 | 0.6 | 71 | 6 |
|  | U | 797 | 97.9 | 2643 | 98.1 | 506 | 99.4 |  |  |
| 2. The school principal together with the staff | Yes | 547 | 67.2 | 1711 | 63.5 | 281 | 55.2 | 2539 | 1 |
|  | U | 267 | 32.8 | 983 | 36.5 | 228 | 44.8 |  |  |
| 3. The parents alone | Yes | 11 | 1.4 | 44 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.4 | 62 | 7 |
|  | U | 803 | 98.6 | 2650 | 98.4 | 502 | 98.6 |  |  |
| 4. The school principal together with the parents | Yes | 26 | 3.2 | 65 | 2.4 | 4 | 0.8 | 95 | 5 |
|  | U | 788 | 96.8 | 2629 | 97.6 | 505 | 99.2 |  |  |
| 5. 'The school principal together with the staff and the parents | Yes | 231 | 28.4 | 881 | 32.7 | 195 | 38.3 | 1307 | 2 |
|  | U | 583 | 71.6 | 1813 | 67.3 | 314 | 61.7 |  |  |
| 6. The staff alone | Yes | $15$ | $1.8$ | $84$ | $3.1$ | $7$ | $1.4$ | 106 | 3 |
|  | U | 799 | 98.2 | 2610 | $96.9$ | 502 | $98.6$ |  |  |
| 7. The school committee alone or together with the school | Yes | 36 | 4.4 | 59 | 2.2 | 7 | 1.4 | 102 | 4 |
|  | U | 778 | 95.6 | 2635 | 97.8 | 502 | 98.6 |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was cailculated: |  | 814 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |  |

TABLE 12.3.1

## PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS

Extramural activities: Who should plan the activities?

| Who should plan the activities? |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | ```Non-depart- mental schools``` |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. The school principal alone | Yes | 9 | 2.9 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1.5 | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | 3 | 3.5 | 17 | 2.1 | 5 |
|  | U | 303 | 97.1 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 259 | 98.5 | 14 | 100.0 | 32 | 97.0 | 82 | 96.5 | 797 | 97.9 |  |
| 2. The school principal together with the staff | Yes | 222 | 71.2 | 43 | 69.4 | 35 | 77.8 | 178 | 67.7 | 12 | 85.7 | 24 | 72.7 | 33 | 38.8 | 547 | 67.2 | 1 |
|  | U | 90 | 28.8 | 19 | 30.6 | 10 | 22.2 | 85 | 32.3 | 2 | 14.3 | 9 | 27.3 | 52 | 61.2 | 267 | 32.8 |  |
| 3. The parents alone | Yes | 8 | 2.6 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2.4 | 11 | 1.4 | 7 |
|  | U | 304 | 97.4 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 262 | 99.6 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 83 | 97.6 | 803 | 98.6 |  |
| 4. The school principal together with the parents | Yes | 17 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5.9 | 26 | 3.2 | 4 |
|  | U | 295 | 94.6 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 259 | 98.5 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 80 | 94.1 | 788 | 96.8 |  |
| 5. The school principal together with the staff and the parents | Yes | 87 | 27.9 | 18 | 29.0 | 8 | 17.8 | 79 | 30.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 6 | 18.2 | 32 | 37.6 | 231 | 28.4 | 2 |
|  | U | 225 | 72.1 | 44 | 71.0 | 37 | 82.2 | 184 | 70.0 | 13 | 92.9 | 27 | 81.8 | 53 | 62.4 | 583 | 71.6 |  |
| 6. The staff alone | Yes | 6 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.6 | - | - | 1 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 8.2 | 15 | 1.8 | 6 |
|  | U | 306 | 98.1 | 61 | 98.4 | 45 | 100.0 | 262 | 99.6 |  | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 78 | 91.8 | 799 | 98.2 |  |
| 7. The school committee alone or together with the school | Yes | 23 | 7.4 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1.9 | 1 | 7.1 | - | - | 7 | 8.2 | 36 | 4.4 | 3 |
|  |  | 289 | 92.6 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 258 | 98.1 | 13 | 92.9 | 33 | 100.0 | 78 | 91.8 | 778 | 95.6 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 12.3.2
VICE-PRINCIPAIS AND ASSISTANTS
Extramural activities: Who should plan the activities?
Education authorities

|  | Who should plan the activities? |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West <br> Africa |  | Education, <br> Arts and Science |  | Non-depart-mentalschools |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
|  | 1. The school principal alone | Yes | 26 | 3.5 | 7 | 3.0 | - | - | 10 | 0.9 | 1 | 2.1 | 2 | 1.0 | 5 | 5.7 | 51 | 1.9 | 6 |
|  |  | U | 720 | 96.5 | 226 | 97.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1147 | 99.1 | 47 | 97.9 | 189 | 99.0 | 83 | 94.3 | 2643 | 98.1 |  |
|  | 2. The school principal together with the staff | Yes | 526 | 70.5 | 128 | 54.9 | 173 | 74.9 | 678 | 58.6 | 35 | 72.9 | 137 | 71.7 | 34 | 38.6 | 1711 | 63.5 | 1 |
|  |  | U | 220 | 29.5 | 105 | 45.1 | 58 | 25.1 | 479 | 41.4 | 13 | 27.1 | 54 | 28.3 | 54 | 61.4 | 983 | 36.5 |  |
|  | 3. The parents alone | Yes | 23 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.3 | - | - | 11 | 1.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 2 | 1.0 | 4 | 4.5 | 44 | 1.6 | 7 |
|  |  | U | 723 | 96.9 | 230 | 98.7 | 231 | 100.0 | 1146 | 99.0 | 47 | 97.9 | 189 | 99.0 | 84 | 95.5 | 2650 | 98.4 |  |
|  | 4. The school principal together with the parents | Yes | 28 | 3.8 | 6 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.9 | $21$ | $1.8$ | 1 | $2.1$ | $2$ |  | $5$ | $5.7$ | $65$ | $2.4$ | 4 |
| 」 |  | U | 718 | 96.2 | 227 | 97.4 | 229 | 99.1 | $1136$ | $98.2$ | 47 | $97.9$ | $189$ | $99.0$ | $83$ | $94.3$ | $2629$ | $97.6$ |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { P } \\ \hline}}{\text { N }}$ | 5. The school principal together with the staff and the parents | Yes | 208 | 27.9 | 81 | 34.8 | 47 | 20.3 | 454 | 39.2 | 12 | 25.0 | 43 | $22.5$ | $36$ | $40.9$ | $881$ | $32.7$ | 2 |
|  |  | U | 538 | 72.1 | 152 | 65.2 | 184 | 79.7 | 703 | 60.8 | 36 | 75.0 | 148 | 77.5 | 52 | $59.1$ | $1813$ | $67.3$ |  |
|  | 6. The staff alone | Yes | 40 | 5.4 | 6 | 2.6 | 6 | 2.6 | 19 | 1.6 | 3 | 6.2 | 4 | 2.1 | 6 | 6.8 | 84 | 3.1 | 3 |
|  |  | U | 706 | 94.6 | 227 | 97.4 | 225 | 97.4 | 1138 | 98.4 | 45 | 93.7 | 187 | 97.8 | 82 | 93.2 | 2610 | 96.9 |  |
|  | 7. The school committee alone or together with the school | Yes | 28 | 3.8 | 7 | 3.0 | 4 | 1.7 | 15 | 1.3 | 1 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 3 | 3.4 | 59 | 2.2 | 5 |
|  |  | U | 718 | 96.2 | 226 | 97.0 | 227 | 98.3 | 1142 | 98.7 | 47 | 97.9 | 190 | 99.5 | 85 | 96.6 | 2635 | 97.8 |  |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 12.3.3

## LECTURERS

Extramural activities: Who should plan the activities?

| Who should plan the activities? |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. The school principal alone | Yes | 1 | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 1.4 | 3 | 0.6 | 7 |
|  | U | 95 | 99.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 255 | 99.6 | 70 | 98.6 | 506 | 99.4 |  |
| 2. The school principal together with the staff | Yes | 63 | 65.5 | 26 | 52.0 | 29 | 80.6 | 132 | 51.6 | 31 | 43.7 | 281 | 55.2 | 1 |
|  | U | 33 | 34.4 | 24 | 48.0 | 7 | 19.4 | 124 | 48.4 | 40 | 56.3 | 228 | 44.8 |  |
| 3. The parents alone |  | - |  | 3 |  | - |  | 2 | 0.8 | 2 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.4 | 3 |
|  | $\mathrm{U}$ |  | $100.0$ | 47 | $94.0$ | 36 | $100.0$ | 254 | 99.2 | 69 | 97.2 | 502 | 98.6 |  |
| 4. The school principal together with the parents |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} - \\ 71 & - \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 505 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 99.2 \end{gathered}$ | 6 |
|  | $\mathrm{U}$ | 96 | $100.0$ | 50 | $100.0$ | 35 | $97.2$ | $253$ | $98.8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The school principal together with the staff and the parents | Yes | 32 | 33.3 | 19 | 38.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 112 | 43.7 | 28 | 39.4 | 195314 | 38.361.7 | 2 |
|  | U | 64 | 66.7 | 31 | 62.0 | 32 | 88.9 | 144 | 56.2 | 43 | 60.6 |  |  |  |
| 6. The staff alone | Yes | - | - | 1 | 2.0 | - | - | 4 | 1.6 | 2 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.4 | 3 |
|  | U | 96 | 100.0 | 49 | 98.0 |  | 100.0 | 252 | 98.4 | 69 | 97.2 | 502 | 98.6 |  |
| 7. The school committee alone or together with the school | Yes | - |  | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2.0 | 2 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.4 | 3 |
|  | U | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 251 | 98.0 | 69 | 97.2 | 502 | 98.6 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 12.4
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Who should carry out the activities?


TABTER12.4.!

## PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS

Extramural activities: Who should organise the activities?


TABLE 12.4.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Extramural activities: Who should organise the activities?

| Who should organise the activities | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  | Order |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. The staff | 172 | 23.1 | 53 | 22.7 | 53 | 22.9 | 183 | 15.8 | 12 | 25.0 | 55 | 28.8 | 17 | 19.3 | 545 | 20.2 | 4 |
|  | 574 | 76.9 | 180 | 77.3 | 178 | 77.1 | 974 | 84.2 | 36 | 75.0 | 136 | 71.2 | 71 | 80.7 | 2149 | 79.8 |  |
| 2. The parents | 13 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 26 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.1 | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 2.3 | 48 | 1.8 | 6 |
|  | 733 | 98.3 | 230 | 98.7 | 230 | 99.6 | 1131 | 97.8 | 47 | 97.9 | 189 | 99.0 | 86 | 97.7 | 2646 | 98.2 |  |
| 3. The school principal and the staff | 217 | 29.1 | 49 | 21.0 | 74 | 32.0 | 234 | 20.2 | 10 | 20.8 | 60 | 31.4 | 15 | 17.0 | 659 | 24.5 | 3 |
|  | 529 | 70.9 | 184 | 79.0 | 157 | 68.0 | 923 | 79.8 | 38 | 79.2 | 131 | 68.6 | 73 | 83.0 | 2035 | 75.5 |  |
| 4. The school principal and the parents | 5 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.5 | 1 | 2.1 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 0.6 | 7 |
|  | 741 | 99.3 | 231 | 99.1 | 230 | 99.6 | 1151 | 99.5 | 47 | 97.9 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2679 | 99.4 |  |
| 5. The staff and the parents | $36$ | $4.8$ | $33$ | $14.2$ | 6 | $2.6$ | $67$ | $5.8$ | 5 | 10.4 | 10 | 5.2 | 9 | 10.2 | 166 | 6.2 | 5 |
|  | 710 | 95.2 | 200 | 85.8 | 225 | $97.4$ | 1090 | 94.2 | 43 | 89.6 | 181 | 94.8 | 79 | 89.8 | 2528 | 93.8 |  |
| 6. The school principal, staff and parents | 195 | 26.1 | 54 | 23.2 | 50 | 21.6 | 407 | 35.2 | 11 | 22.9 | 43 | 22.5 | 21 | 23.9 | 781 | 29.0 | 1 |
|  | 551 | 73.9 | 179 | 76.8 | 181 | 78.4 | 750 | 64.8 | 37 | 77.1 | 148 | 77.5 | 67 | 76.1 | 1913 | 71.0 |  |
| 7. A teacher specially appointed for the purpose | 189 | 25.3 | 65 | 27.9 | 55 | 23.8 | 316 | 27.3 | 13 | 27.1 | 41 | 21.5 | 17 | 19.3 | 696 | 25.8 | 2 |
|  | 557 | 74.7 | 168 | 72.1 | 176 | 76.2 | 841 | 72.7 | 35 | 72.9 | 150 | 78.5 | 71 | 80.7 | 1998 | 74.2 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 12.4.3

LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Who should organise the activities?

|  | Who should organise the activities? |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
|  | 1. The staff | Yes | 22 | 22.9 | 5 | 10.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 22 | 8.6 | 5 | 7.0 | 56 | 11.0 | 4 |
|  |  | U | 74 | 77.1 | 45 | 90.0 | 34 | 94.4 | 234 | 91.4 | 66 | 93.0 | 453 | 89.0 |  |
|  | 2. The parents | Yes | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.4 | 4 | 0.8 | 6 |
|  |  | U | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 253 | 98.8 | 70 | 98.6 | 505 | 99.2 |  |
|  | 3. The school principal and the staff | Yes | 16 | 16.7 | 14 | 28.0 | 13 | 36.1 | 55 | 21.5 | 15 | 21.1 | 113 | 22.2 | 3 |
|  |  | U | 80 | 83.3 | 36 | 72.0 | 23 | 63.9 | 201 | 78.5 | 56 | 78.9 | 396 | 77.8 |  |
|  | 4. The school principal and the parents | Yes |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 7 |
| $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ |  | $\mathrm{U}$ | $96$ | $100.0$ | $50$ | $100 . \mathrm{C}$ | 36 | $100.0$ | $256$ | $100.0$ | $71$ | $100.0$ | $509$ | $100.0$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | 5. The staff and the parents | Yes | 5 | $5.2$ | 1 | $2,0$ | - | - | 11 |  | $1$ |  |  | $3.5$ | 5 |
|  |  | U | 91 | $94.8$ | 49 | $98.0$ | 36 | $100.0$ | 245 | $95.7$ | $70$ | $98.6$ | $191$ | $96.5$ |  |
|  | 6. The school principal, staff and parents | Yes | 32 | 33.3 | 14 | 28.0 | 13 | 36.1 | 101 | 39.5 | 25 | 35.2 | 185 | 36.3 | 1 |
|  |  | U | 64 | 66.7 | 36 | 72.0 | 23 | 63.9 | 155 | 60.5 | 46 | 64.8 | 324 | 63.7 |  |
|  | 7. The teacher specially appointed for the purpose | Yes | 27 | 28.1 | 15 | 30.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 76 | 29.7 | 24 | 33.8 | 151 | 29.7 | ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  | U | 69 | 71.9 | 35 | 70.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 180 | 70.3 | 47 | 66.2 | 358 | 70.3 |  |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |

12,6.1 What is regarded as a reasonable lengin of time a week to spend on extramural activities.
(a) National picture (Table 12.5)

The views of the teaching body on what may be regarded as a reasonable length of time a week to spend on extramural activities show the following ranking:

1. $2+$ hours a we $k$ according to about $36 \%$ of the respondents.
2. $1+$ hours a week according to about $26 \%$ of the respondents.
3. $3+$ hours a week according to about $17 \%$ of the respondents.
4. $4+$ hours a week according to about $5 \%$ of the respondents.
5. $5+$ hours a week according to about $3 \%$ of the respondents.
6. $6+$ hours a week according to about $\frac{1}{2} \%$ of the respondents.

About $62 \%$ of the respondents therefore consider that anything between $1+$ and $2+$ hours a week represents a reasonabie length of time to spena on extramurai activities, while aiout $17 \%$ feel that the teacher could spend $3+$ hours on them. There are few respondents, however, who think that there is any justification for a teacher to spend more than 4 hours a week on extramural activities.
(b) Diffierentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The views of the groups under the various education authorities, on what can be regarued as a reasonabie length of time, a week to spend on extramural activities, are reflected in Table 12.5.1.
12.6.2 Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on extramural activities?
(a) National picture (Tabie 12.6)

The following data emerge from the respondents ${ }^{\dagger}$ replies to this question:
$1+$ hours are spent by teachers according to approximately $19 \%$ of the respondents.
$2+$ hours are spent by teachers according to approximately $20 \%$ of the respondents.
$3+$ hours are spent by teachers according to approximately $16 \%$ of the respondents.
$4+$ hours are spent by teachers according to approximately $10 \%$ of the respondents.
$5+$ hours are spent by teachers according to approximately $6 \%$ of the respondents.
$6+$ hours are spent by teachers according io approximateiy $9 \%$ of the respondents.
It therefore appears that about $39 \%$ of the respondents consider that teachers spend from $1+$ hours to $2+$ hours on extramural activities. A further $16 \%$ think that teachers spend $3+$ hours on these activities, while no less than $25 \%$ assert that teachers spend from $4+$ hours to $6+$ hours on such activities.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities:

Consult Table 12.6.1 for differences in the time spent by the various groups.

### 12.7 A COMPARISON OF THE LENGTH OF TIME A WEEK REGARDED BY TEACHERS AS REASONABLE, AND THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF HOURS THAT THEY SPEND ON EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES AT PRESENT:

(a) According to Table 12.5 , about $62 \%$ of the respondents indicate that they regard $1+$ hours to $2+$ hours as a reasonable length of time to spend a week, whereas it appears from Table 12.6 that only about $39 \%$ of the respondents consider that teachers do spend this length of time on such activities.
(b) According to Table 12.5 , about $17 \%$ of the respondents indicate that they regard $3+$ hours as a reasonable length of time to spend, while it appears from Table 12.6 that at present,

TABLE 12.5

## PRINCIPAIS, VCE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS

Extramural activities: If the staff has to perform these duties, what do you regard as a reasonable length of time to spend on them per week?

| Time spent a week | Principals |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
| 1 + hours | 180 | 22.1 | 731 | 27.2 | 1.39 | 27.4 | 1050 | 2 |
| $2+$ hours | 296 | 36.3 | 958 | 35.5 | 193 | 37.9 | 1447 | 1 |
| $3+$ hours | 157 | 19.3 | 449 | 16.7 | 70 | 13.8 | 676 | 3 |
| $4 \div$ hours | 55 | 6.8 | 151 | 5.6 | 22 | 4.3 | 228 | 4 |
| $5+$ hours | 21 | 2.6 | 93 | 3.5 | 15 | 2.9 | 129 | 5 |
| $6+$ hours | 3 | $0.4$ | 22 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.6 | 28 | 6 |
| U | 102 | 12.5 | 290 | 1.0 .8 | 67 | 13.1 | 459 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 814 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |  |

TABLE 12.5.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: If the staff has to perform these duties, what do you regard as a reasonable length of time for each teacher to spend on them per week?

| Time spent a week | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS: $1+$ hours | 75 | 24.0 | 25 | 40.3 | 4 | 8.9 | 51 | 19.4 | 6 | 42.9 | 3 | 9.1 | 16 | 18.8 | 180 | 22.1 |
| - $2+$ hours | 115 | 36.9 | 25 | 40.3 | 23 | 51.2 | 105 | 39.9 | 4 | 28.6 | 10 | 30.3 | 14 | 16.5 | 296 | 36.3 |
| $3+$ hours | 56 | 17.9 | 6 | 9.7 | 11 | 24.4 | 59 | 22.5 | - | - | 12 | 36.4 | 13 | 15.3 | 157 | 19.3 |
| $4+$ hours | 18 | 5.8 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 23 | 8.7 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 6.1 | 7 | 8.2 | 55 | 6.8 |
| $5+$ hours | 4 | 1.3 | 2 | 3.2 | - | - | 8 | 3.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 2 | 6.1 | 2 | 2.4 | 21 | 2.6 |
| 6 + hours | 2 | 0.6 | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | . | - | - | 1 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.4 |
| U | 42 | 13.5 | 2 | 3.2 | 5 | 11.1 | 17 | 6.5 | - | - | 4 | 12.1 | 32 | 37.6 | 102 | 12.5 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 1+hours | 228 | 30.6 | 90 | 38.6 | 59 | 25.6 | 281 | 24.3 | 14 | 29.2 | 36 | 28.9 | 23 | 26.2 | 731 | 27.2 |
| $2+$ hours | 261 | 35.0 | 68 | 29.2 | 79 | 34.2 | 433 | 37.5 | 20 | 41.7 | 72 | 37.7 | 25 | 28.4 | 958 | 35.5 |
| $3+$ hours | 111 | 14.9 | 26 | 11.2 | 50 | 21.6 | 212 | 18.3 | 3 | 6.2 | 33 | 17.3 | 14 | 15.9 | 449 | 16.7 |
| $4+$ hours | 25 | 3.4 | 19 | 8.2 | 8 | 3.5 | 70 | 6.1 | 2 | 4.2 | 24 | 12.6 | 3 | 3.4 | 151 | 5.6 |
| 5 + hours | 19 | 2.5 | 6 | 2.6 | 7 | 3.0 | 49 | 4.2 | 2 | 4.2 | 9 | 4.7. | 1 | 1.1 | 93 | 3.5 |
| 6 + hours | 3 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.9 | 3 | 1.3 | 10 | 0.9 | - | - | 3 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.1 | 22 | 0.8 |
| U | 99 | 13.3 | 22 | 9.4 | 25 | 10.8 | 102 | 8.8 | 7 | 14.6 | 14 | 7.3 | 21 | 23.9 | 290 | 10.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: $1+$ hours | 25 | 26.0 | 24 | $48.0$ | 7 | $19.4$ | 60 | 23.4 |  |  | 23 | 32.4 |  |  | 139 | $27.4$ |
| $2+\text { hours }$ | 31 | $32.2$ | 11 | $22.0$ | 15 | $41.7$ | $116$ | $45.3$ |  |  | 20 | $28.1$ |  |  | 193 | $37.9$ |
| $3+\text { hours }$ | 10 | $10.4$ | 4 | $8.0$ | 7 | $19.5$ | 42 | $16.4$ |  |  | 7 | $9.9$ |  |  | 70 | 13.8 |
| $4+$ hours | 3 | $3.1$ | 3 | $6.0$ | 2 | 5.6 | 12 | $4.7$ |  |  | 2 | 2.8 |  |  | 22 | 4.3 |
| $5+$ hours | 5 | E. 2 | 1 | 2.0 | - | - | 7 | 2.7 |  |  | 2 | 2.8 |  |  | 15 | 2.9 |
| 6 + hours | , | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1.2 |  |  | - | - |  |  | 3 | 0.6 |
| U | 22 | 23.0 | 7 | 14.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 16 | 6.2 |  |  | 17 | £3.9 |  |  | 67 | 13.1 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  | 71 | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

## TABLE 12.6

PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Approximately what average
number of hours a week does
the teacher spend on extramural
activities?

|  | Time spent a week | Principals |  | Vice-principals and Assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
|  | 1 + hours | 132 | 16.2 | 619 | 23.0 | 24 | 4.7 | 775 | 2 |
|  | $2+$ hours | 201 | 24.6 | 562 | 20.8 | 58 | 11.4 | 821 | 1 |
|  | $3+$ hours | 178 | 21.9 | 378 | 14.0 | 88 | 17.3 | 644 | 3 |
|  | $4+$ hours | 85 | 10.5 | 233 | 8.7 | 79 | 15.5 | 397 | 4 |
|  | $5+$ hours | 46 | 5.7 | 176 | 6.5 | 36 | 7.1 | 258 | 6 |
|  | $6+$ hours | 49 | 6.0 | 256 | 9.5 | 79 | 15.5 | 384 | 5 |
| - | U | 123 | 15.1 | 470 | 17.4 | 145 | 28.5 |  |  |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: | 814 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  | - |

TABLE 12.6.1
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LEC'TURERS
Extramural activities: Approximately what average number of hours a week does the teacher spend on extramural activities?

according to about $16 \%$ of the respondents, $3+$ hours per week are spent by teachers on these activities.
(c) According to Table 12.5 , only about $8 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of the respondents indicate that they regard between $4+$ hours and $6+$ hours as a reasonable length of time to spend, whereas Table 12.6 shows that no less than about $25 \%$ of all respondents consider that teachers spend this length of time on extramural activities at present. From this it appears that, the number of teachers who are called upon to spend between $4+$ and $6+$ hours on these activities, is three times greater than the number who (according to Table 12.5) feel that this amount of time is justified. A large number of teachers are therefore obliged (possibly by circumstances) against their better judgement to spend far more time on extramural duties than they feel is justified.

## 12. 8 THE QUESTION OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF TEACHERS IN CASES WHERE PUPILS ARE INJURED DURING EXTRAMURAI, ACTIVITIES

The teachers were asked to express an opinion on the question whether a teacher should be held responsible if a pupil is injured during extramural activities (Table 12.7).

## (a) National picture

This question was answered in the affirmative by $16.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $13.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $11.7 \%$ of the principals. More than three-quarters of all respondents, however, are of the opinion that the teacher should not be held responsible in such cases.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The groups of respondents under the various authorities who are of the opinion that the teacher should be held responsible in the event of an injury vary in the case of principals from $27.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $4.4 \%$ for the Orange Free State; in respect of vice-principals and assistants from $33.3 \%$ for South West Africa to $13.3 \%$ for the Cape Province; and in the case of lecturers from $17.7 \%$ for the Cape Province to $5.6 \%$ for the Orange Free State.

Another question was posed: In what way should a teacher be protected in such a situation (that is, when a pupil is injured)? (Table 12.8). Here, the respondents could indicate whether the teacher should be safeguarded by a group insurance scheme or by exemption from all responsibility.
(i) Group insurance scheme
(a) National picture

The percentages in favour of such a scheme total $54.0 \%$ of lecturers, $47.5 \%$ of prin-cipals and $46.3 \%$ of vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages in favour of group insurance vary as follows: Principals: from $71.4 \%$ for South West Africa to $40.0 \%$ for the Orange Free State; vice-principals and assistants : from $54.5 \%$ for Natal to $39.3 \%$ for the Cape Province; lecturers: from $60.2 \%$ for the Transvaal to $40.6 \%$ for the Cape Province.
(ii) By exempting the teacher from all responsibility
(a) National picture

The percentages in favour of complete exemption from responsibility total $41.7 \%$ for vice-principals and assistants, $36.6 \%$ for principals and $33.0 \%$ for lecturers.
(b) Differentiated teaching; groups under the different authorities

Under the different authorities the groups in favour of complete exemption from responsibility show percentages varying as follows: Principals: from 46. $7 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $27.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools $14.1 \%$ ) ; vice-principals and assistants: from $48,5 \%$ for the Orange Free State to

TABLE 12.7
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Should the teacher be responsible if a pupil is involved in an
accident during extramural activities?

|  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | ```Non-depart- mental schools``` |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS: Yes | 35 | 11.2 | 10 | 16.1 | 2 | 4.4 | 24 | 9.1 | 3 | 21.4 | 9 | 27.3 | 12 | 14.1 | 95 | 11.7 |
| No | 255 | 81.7 | 51 | 82.3 | 38 | 84.4 | 233 | 88.6 | 11 | 78.6 | 20 | 60.6 | 45 | 52.9 | 563 | 80.2 |
| U | 22 | 7.1 | 1 | 1.6 | 5 | 11.1 | 6 | 2.3 | - | - | 4 | 12.1 | 28 | 32.9 | 66 | 8.1 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 99 | 13.3 | 53 | 22.7 | 34 | 14.7 | 177 | 15.3 | 16 | 33.3 | 38 | 19.9 | 19 | 21.6 | 436 | 16.2 |
| No | 594 | 79.6 | 167 | 71.7 | 182 | 78.8 | 940 | 81.2 | 28 | 58.3 | 145 | 75.9 | 57 | 64.8 | 2113 | 78.4 |
| U | 53 | 7.1 | 13 | 5.6 | 15 | 6.5 | 40 | 3.5 | 4 | 8.3 | 8 | 4.2 | 12 | 13.6 | 145 | 5.4 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: Yes | 17 | 17.7 | 6 | 12.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 36 | 14.1 |  |  | 8 | 11.3 |  |  | 69 | 13.6 |
| No | 69 | 71.9 | 41 | 82.0 | 31 | 86.1 | 207 | 80.9 |  |  | 47 | 66.2 |  |  | 395 | 77.6 |
| U | 10 | 10.4 | 3 | 6.0 | 3 | 8.2 | 13 | 5.1 |  |  | 16 | 22.5 |  |  | 45 | 8.8 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  | 71 | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

TABLE 12.8

## PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS

Extramural activities: In what way should a teacher be safeguarded in such a situation (that is, when a pupil is injured)?

|  |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart mental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPA LS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) By a group insurance scheme | Yes | 129 | 41.3 | 27 | 43.5 | 18 | 40.0 | 146 | 55.5 | 10 | 71.4 | 15 | 45.5 | 42 | 49.4 | 387 | 47.5 |
|  | U | 183 | 58.7 | 35 | 56.5 | 27 | 60.0 | 117 | 44.5 | 4 | 28.6 | 18 | 54.5 | 43 | 50.6 | 427 | 52.5 |
| (b) By exemption from all responsi- | Yes | 132 | 42.3 | 23 | 37.1 | 21 | 46.7 | 97 | 36.9 | 4 | 28.6 | 9 | 27.3 | 12 | 14.1 | 298 | 36.6 |
| bility | U | 180 | 57.7 | 39 | 62.9 | 24 | 53.3 | 166 | 63.1 | 10 | 71.4 | 24 | 72.7 | 73 | 85.9 | 516 | 63.4 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) By a group insurance scheme | Yes | 293 | 39.3 | 127 | 54.5 | 94 | 40.7 | 571 | 49.4 | 24 | 50.0 | 86 | 45.0 | 51 | 58.0 | 1246 | 46.3 |
|  | U | 453 | 60.7 | 106 | 45.5 | 137 | 59.3 | 586 | 50.6 | 24 | 50.0 | 105 | 55.0 | 37 | 42.0 | 1448 | 53.7 |
| (b) By exemption from all responsi- | Yes | 343 | 46.0 | 74 | 31.8 | 112 | 48.5 | 483 | 41.7 | 19 | 39.6 | 69 | 36.1 | 23 | 26.1 | 1123 | 41.7 |
| bility | U | 403 | 54.0 | 159 | 68.2 | 119 | 51.5 | 674 | 58.3 | 29 | 60.4 | 122 | 63.9 | 65 | 73.9 | 1571 | 58.3 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) By a group insurance scheme | Yes | 39 | 40.6 | 24 | 48.0 | 16 | 44.4 | 154 | 60.2 |  |  | 42 | 59.2 |  |  | 275 | 54.0 |
|  | U | 57 | 59.4 | 26 | 52.0 | 20 | 55.6 | 102 | 39.8 |  |  | 29 | 40.8 |  |  | 234 | 46.0 |
| (b) By exemption from all responsi- | Yes | 36 | 37.5 | 20 | 40.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 83 | 32.4 |  |  | 11 | 15.5 |  |  | 168 | 33.0 |
| bility | U | 60 | 62.5 | 30 | 60.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 173 | 67.6 |  |  | 60 | 84.5 |  |  | 341 | 67.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

$31.8 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $26.1 \%$ ); lecturers: from $50.0 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $15.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
It therefore appears that a large percentage of the respondents are in favour of protecting the teacher by means of a group insurance scheme, but there are also a considerable number who are of the opinion that the teacher should be exempted from all resyonsibility if a pupil is injured during extramural activities.

### 12.9 TRANSPORTATION OF PUPILS BY TEACHERS

12.9.1 Is the teacher expected to transport pupils for some or other school activity at his own expense? (Table 12.9)
(a) National picture

This question was answered in the affirmative by $27.3 \%$ of the lecturers, $16.0 \%$ of the principals and $13.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants. Large percentages of all three groups, however, state that this is not the case, namely, $78.6 \%$ of the principals, $78.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants and $64.6 \%$ of the lecturers.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages of teachers under the different authorities who say that teachers are expected to transport pupils at their own expense vary as follows: Principals: from $24.3 \%$ for the Transvaal to $6.7 \%$ for the Orange Free State (non-departmental schools $2.4 \%$ ); vice-principals and assistants: from $17.4 \%$ for the Transvaal to $0 \%$ for South West Africa; lecturers: from $36.1 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $11.5 \%$ for the Cape Province.

About $15 \%$ of the respondents, therefore, state that the teacher is expected to transport pupils at his own expense for school activities.
12.9.2 Does the teacher in actual fact transport pupils for school activities at his own expense? (Table 12.10)
(a) National picture

Large percentages of the respondents answered this question in the affirmative, namely, $67.0 \%$ of the lecturers, $60.0 \%$ of the principals and $34.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages of the three groups under the different authorities who state that teachers do transport children at their own expense vary as follows: Principals: from $77.8 \%$ for the Orange Free State to $43.5 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $25.9 \%$ ) vice-principals and assistants: from $40.3 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science to $25.0 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools $13.6 \%$ ) ; lecturers: from $76.2 \%$ for the Transvaal to $49.0 \%$ for the Cape Province.
About $43 \%$ of the respondents therefore, state that teachers do transport pupils for school activities at their own expense.
(a) National picture

Large percentages of the respondents are very definite in their opinion that teachers do object to transporting pupils at their own expense, namely, $73.5 \%$ of the lecturers, $62.5 \%$ of the principals and $54.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentages of the three groups under the different education authorities who assert that the teacher does object to transporting pupils at his own expense vary as follows: Principals: from $78.6 \%$ for South West Africa to $58.7 \%$ for the Cape Province (nondepartmental schools $38.8 \%$ ); vice-principals and assistants : from $57.6 \%$ for the Transvaal to $47.9 \%$ for South West Africa (non-departmental schools 42.0\%) ; lecturers: from $82.0 \%$

TABLE 12.9
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS ANL ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: (Transportation of pupils): Is the teacher expected to transport pupils for some or other school activity at his own expense ?


TABLE 12.10
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: (Transportation of pupils) Does the teacher transport pupils for school activities at his own expense?

|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West <br> Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| PRINCIPALS: | Yes | 187 | 59.9 | 27 | 43.5 | 35 | 77.8 | 192 | 73.0 | 8 | 57.1 | 17 | 51.5 | 22 | 25.9 | 488 | 60.0 |
|  | No | 112 | 35.9 | 34 | 54.8 | 7 | 15.6 | 63 | 24.0 | 6 | 42.9 | 15 | 45.5 | 40 | 47.1 | 277 | 34.0 |
|  | U | 13 | 4.2 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 1 | 3.0 | 23 | 27.1 | 49 | 6.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |
| VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS: | Yes | 225 | 30.2 | 63 | 27.0 | 81 | 35.1 | 445 | 38.5 | 12 | 25.0 | 77 | 40.3 | 12 | 13.6 | 915 | 34.0 |
|  | No | 425 | 57.0 | 146 | 62.7 | 128 | 55.4 | 627 | 54.2 | 31 | 64.6 | 104 | 54.5 | 56 | 63.6 | 1517 | 56.3 |
|  | U | 96 | 12.9 | 24 | 10.3 | 22 | 9.5 | 85 | 7.3 | 5 | 10.4 | 10 | 5.2 | 20 | 22.7 | 262 | 9.7 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |
| LECTURERS: | Yes |  | 49.0 |  | 68.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 195 | 76.2 |  |  | 38 | 53.5 |  |  | 341 | 67.0 |
|  | No | 28 | 29.2 | 12 | 24.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 36 | 14.1 |  |  | 13 | 18.3 |  |  | 94 | 18.5 |
|  | U | 21 | 21.9 | 4 | 8.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 25 | 9.8 |  |  | 20 | 28.2 |  |  | 74 | 14.5 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  |  | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 509 | 100.0 |

TABLE 12.11
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: (Transportation of pupils) Does the teacher object to transporting pupils at his own expense?

for the Transvaal to $47.9 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.
From the foregoing it is therefore evident that roughly $58 \%$ of the respondents maintain that the teacher does have objections to transporting pupils for school activities at his own expense.

### 12.9.4 Summary_(Taioles 12.9, 12.10 and 12.11)

According to the data furnished in the three tables, about $15 \%$ of the respondents say that teachers are expected to transport pupils at their own expense. Far more, namely about $43 \%$, state that teachers (apparently from necessity) do in fact transport pupils at their own expense, and no less than about $58 \%$ of the respondents aver that teachers object to doing so.

IS IT A FINANCIAL SACFIFICE FOR THE TEACHER TO TAKE PART IN EXTRANURAL ACTIVITIES? (Table 12.12)
(a) Nationai picture

Large percentages of the respendents also maintain that participation in extramural activities definitely demands a financial sacrifice of the teacher. This is contended by $63.1 \%$ of the lecturers, $61.1 \%$ of the principals and $33.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The percentage of the respondents under the different education autnorities who assert that participation in extramural activities does indeed demand a financial sacrifice of the teacher vary as follows: Principals: from $78.6 \%$ for South West Africa to $45.5 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science (non-departmental schools 25.9\%); vice-principals and assistants: from $38.5 \%$ for the Transvaal to $24.0 \%$ for Natal (non-departmental schools $14.8 \%$; lecturers: from $68.4 \%$ for the Transvaai to $50.7 \%$ for Education, Arts and Science.

It therefore appears that roughly $43 \%$ of all respondents are of the opinion that participation in extramiural activities, which often invoive the teacher in transport expenses for himself as weil as for the children, entails a financial sacrifice for the teacher. As teachers already go to a great deal of trouble by coaching or acting as referees, and since they spend many hours a week on this selfless service, the question arises whether it is fair to demand a financiail sacrifice of them as well.
12.11 THE EFFEC'T OF PANTICIPATION IN EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES ON THE TEACHER'S SCHOOL WORK, STATUS AND HEAITH (Table 12.13)

The respondents were asked to give an opinion in reply to three questions, on whether or not extramural activities have a detrimental effect on the teacher's school work, status and health. In repiy to another question, they could indicate whether or not participation in extramural activities enhances a teacher's status as a teacher.
(a) National picture (Table 12.13)

1. Does the teacher's participation in extramaral activities ennance his status as a teacher?

Roughly $54 \%$ of all respondents answered this question in the affirmative, namely $68.8 \%$ of the principals, $52.1 \%$ of the lecturers and $49.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
2. Are extramural duties detrimental to the teacher's school work?

About $2 i \%$ of the respondents answered in the affirmative. This group is composed of $45.0 \%$ of the lecturers, $24.7 \%$ of the principais and $16.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
3. Is participation in extramural activities detrimental to the teacher 's heaith? Only about 7\% of the respondents feel that such activities are detrimental to the teacher's health, namely $8.6 \%$ of the lecturers, $7.2 \%$ of the principals and $7.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.

TABT,F 1919
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Is it a financial sacrifice for the teacher to take part in extramural activities?


TABLE 12.13
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: What effect do they have on the teacher's school work, status and health?

4. Is participation in extramural activities detrimental to the teacher's status as a teacher?

Only about $4 \%$ of the respondents thinis that participation in extramural activities is detrimental to the teacher's status as a teacher. This $4 \%$ comprises $11.0 \%$ of the lecturers, $4.1 \%$ of the principals and $3.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
(b) Differentiated teaching groups under the different authorities

The extent to which the views of the groups under the respective authorities vary in regard to these four questions may be ascertained from Tables 12.13.1, 12.13.2 and 12.13.3.
12.12.1 In general, the majority of the respondents are of the opinion that cxtramural activities keep the teacher young, are stimulating, and are the only redeeming feature of an otherwise monotonous life. The opposite view, namely, that extramural activities wear the teacher down, dispirit him or make him want to give up teaching, does not receive much support.
12. 13 A SYNOPTIC PICTURE OF THE VIEWS OF TEACHERS ON EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES AND THE TEACHER'S PARTICIPATION IN THEM
12.13.1 Necessity

Over $90 \%$ of the respondents feel that it is essential for schools to offer extramural activities.

TABLE 12.13.1
PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Extramural activities: What effect do they have on the teacher's school work, status and health?


TAFSTF. 12.13:2
VICE-PRINCIFALS AND ASSISTANTS
Extramural activities: What effect do they have on the teacher's school work, status and health?

|  |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transval |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non--departmental schools |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. Are exiramural activities detrimental to the teacher's school work? | Yes | 108 | 14.5 | 23 | 9.9 | 21 | 9.1 | 248 | 21.4 | 9 | 18.7 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 7 | 8.0 | 433 | 16.1 | 2 |
|  | No | 566 | 75.9 | 1.86 | 79.8 | 195 | 84.4 | 831 | 71.8 | 35 | 72.9 | 154 | 80.6 | 64 | 72.7 | 2031 | 75.4 |  |
|  | IJ | 72 | 9.7 | 24 | 10.3 | 15 | 6.5 | 78 | 6.7 | 4. | 8.3 | 20 | 10.5 | 17 | 19.3 | 230 | 8.5 |  |
| 2. Is participation in extramural activities detrimental to the teacher's status as a teacher? | Yes | 17 | 2.3 | 10 | 4.3 | 6 | $2.6$ | 47 | 4.1 | - |  | 10 | 5.2 | 1 | 1.1 | 91 | $3.4$ | 4. |
|  | No | $650$ | $87.1$ | $203$ | $87.1$ | $211$ | $91.3$ | $.1040$ | $89.9$ | 43 | $89.6$ | $169$ | $88,5$ | $68$ | $77.3$ | $2384$ | $88.5$ |  |
|  | U | 79 | 1.0 .6 | 20 | 8.6 | 14 | $6,1$. | 70 | 6.1 | 5 | $10,4$ | 12 | 6.3 |  | 21. 6 |  | $8.1$ |  |
| 3. Does the teacher's participationin exiramural activities enhancehis status as a teacher? | Yes | 367 | 49.2 | 97 | 41.6 | 13.1 | 56.7 | 575 | 49.7 | 33 | 62.7 | 110 | 57.6 | 31 | 35.2 | 1344 | 49.9 | 1 |
|  | No | 286 | 38.3 | 106 | 45.5 | 83 | 35.c | 477 | 41.2 | 11 | 22.8 | 62 | 32.5 | 31 | 35.2 | 1056 | 39.2 |  |
|  | U | 93 | 12.5 | 30 | 12.9 | 17 | 7.4 | 105 | 9.1 | 4 | E. 3 | 19 | 9.9 | 26 | 29.5 | 294 | 10.9 |  |
| 1. Is participation in extramural activities detrimentai to the teacher's health? | Yes | 36 | 4.8 | 16 | 6. 9 | 13 | 5.6 | 104 | 9.0 | 2 | 4.2 | 17 | 8.9 | 3 | 3.4 | 191 | 7.1 | 3 |
|  | No | 61.9 | 83.0 | 194 | 83.3 | 203 | 87.9 | 978 | 84. 5 | 41 | 85.4 | 159 | 83.2 | 65 | 73.9 | 2259 | 83.9 |  |
|  | U | 91 | 12.2 | 23 | 9.9 | 15 | 6.5 | 75 | 6.5 | 5 | 10.4 | 15 | 7.9 | 20 | 22.7 | 244 | 9.1 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 12.13.3

## LECTURERS

Extramural activities: What effect do they have on the teacher's school work, status and health?

|  |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. Are extramural activities detrimental to the teacher's school work? | Yes | 30 | 31.2 | 15 | 30.0 | 14 | 38.9 | 143 | 55.9 | 27 | 38.0 | 229 | 45.0 | 2 |
|  | No | 56 | 58.3 | 32 | 64.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 91 | 35.5 | 31 | 43.7 | 228 | 44.8 |  |
|  | U | 10 | 10.4 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 22 | 8.6 | 13 | 18.3 | 52 | 10.2 |  |
| 2. Is participation in extramural activities detrimental to the teacher's status as a teacher? | Yes | 6 | 6.2 | 2 | 4.0 | - | - | 40 | 15.6 | 8 | 11.3 | 56 | 11.0 | 3 |
|  | No | 85 | 88.5 | 47 | 94.0 | 33 | 91.7 | 197 | 77.0 | 49 | 69.0 | 411 | 80.7 |  |
|  | U | 5 | 5.2 | 1 | 2.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 19 | 7.4 | 14 | 19.7 | 42 | 8.3 |  |
| 3. Does the teacher's participation in extramural activities enhance his status as a teacher? | Yes | 55 | 57.3 | 27 | 54.0 | 25 | 69.4 | 125 | 48.8 | 33 | 46.5 | 265 | 52.1 | 1 |
|  | No | 31 | 32.3 | 19 | 38.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 106 | 41.4 | 24 | 33.8 | 188 | 36.9 |  |
|  | U | 10 | 10.4 | 4 | 8.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 25 | 9.8 | 14 | 19.7 | 56 | 11.0 |  |
| 4. Is participation in extramural activities detrimental to the teacher's health? | Yes | 5 | 5.2 | 7 | 14.0 | 6 | 16.7 | 23 | 9.0 | 3 | 4.2 | 44 | 8.6 | 4 |
|  | No | 77 | 80.2 | 41 | 82.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 206 | 80.5 | 51 | 71.8 | $40 \%$ | 79.0 |  |
|  | U | 14 | 14.6 | 2 | 4.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 27 | 10.5 | 17 | 23.9 | 63 | 12.4 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |

TABLE 12.14
PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS
Extramural activities: Mental effect on the teacher

|  | Principals |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
| 1. They wear him down Yes | 87 | 10.7 | 220 | 8.2 | 74 | 14.5 | 381 | 3 |
| U | 727 | 89.3 | 2474 | 91.8 | 435 | 85.5 |  |  |
| 2. They dispirit him Yes | 54 | 6.6 | 162 | 6.0 | 65 | 12,8 | 281 | 5 |
| U | 760 | 93.4 | 2532 | 94.0 | 444 | 87.2 |  |  |
| 3. They make him want to give up Yes | 32 | 3.9 | 68 | 2.5 | 35 | 6.9 | 135 | 6 |
| teaching U | 782 | 96.1 | 2626 | 97.5 | 474 | 93.1 |  |  |
| 4. He regards them as the only redeem + Yes | $81$ | $10.0$ | $190$ | $7.1$ |  | $5.9$ | 301 | 4 |
| ing feature of a monotonous life | $733$ | $90.0$ | $2504$ | $92.9$ | $479$ | $94.1$ |  |  |
| 5. They stimulate him Yes | 273 | 33.5 | 952 | 35.3 | 105 | 20.6 | 1330 | 2 |
| U | 541 | 66.5 | 1742 | 64.7 | 404 | 79.4 |  |  |
| 6. They keep him young Yes | 349 | 42.9 | 1082 | 40.2 | 174 | 34.2 | 1605 | 1 |
| U | 465 | 57.1 | 1612 | 59.8 | 335 | 65.8 |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 814 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |  |

TABLE 12.14.1
PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Extramural activities: Mental effect on the teacher
Education authorities


TABLE 12.14.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
Extramural activities: Mental effect on the teacher


## TABLE 12.14.3

## LECTURERS

Extramural activities: Mental effect on the teacher

12.13.2 Extent

As many as $14 \%$ of the respondents are of the opinion that extramural activities are so extensive that teachers' work suffers.
12.13.3
12.13.4
12.13.6
12.13 .7
12.13 .8
12. 3.5

The maiority of the respondents prefer planning to be done by the school principal together with his staff.

Organisation
Most of the respondents would like to see the school principal, staff and parents co-operating in the organisation of extramural activities.

## Time spent

Whereas $62 \%$ of the respondents consider that from $1+$ hours to $2+$ hours is a reasonable length of time to spend on extramural activities, the position among the survey group is that only $39 \%$ state that teachers do spend this length of time on such activities at present, while about $25 \%$ say that teachers are obliged to spend from $4+$ hours to $6+$ hours on these activities.

Injury to pupils.
More than three-quarters of the respondents consider that the teacher should not be held responsible for injuries sustained by pupils during extramural activities. In such a situation the teacher should be protected by a group insurance scheme or by complete exemption from responsibility.

Although, according to only $15 \%$ of the respondents, teachers are expected to transport pupils at their own expense, as many as $43 \%$ state that teachers do in fact do so. A considerable number of them therefore do so voluntarily. Nevertheless, there are about $58 \%$ of the respondents who are dissatisfied about the fact that teachers have to transport pupils at their own expense, while $43 \%$ contend that participation in extramural activities demands a financial sacrifice of the teacher.

## Effect on the teacher

(a) School work

About one-fifth of the respondents say that extramural activities have a detrimental effect on the teacher's school work.
(b) Status

More than half of the respondents state that participation in extramural activities enhances the teacher's status as a teacher.
(c) Health

Most of the respondents are of the opinion that extramural activities do not have a detrimental effect on the teacher's health.
(d) Mental effects

Most of the respondents feel that extramural activities are stimulating and keep the teacher young in spirit.

## CHAPTER 13

## THE TEACHER'S VIEWS ON ADMINISTRATIVE WORK

## 13.1

13.1.1 It may therefore be said that about $39 \%$ of the respondents consider that administrative work serves as good preparation for promotion for the teacher; $24 \%$ of the respondents state that it is immaterial to teachers whether they do administrative work or not; about $22 \%$ are of the opinion that administrative work results in the teacher's neglecting his other work; $1.4 \%$ regard administrative work as a pleasant diversion and a further $\pm 14 \%$ say that administrative work makes the teacher disinclined to do his other work; while roughly $6 \%$ contend that administrative work makes the teacher want to give up teaching.
13.2 WHAT ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES ARE REQUIRED OF THE TEACHER AT PRESENT, AND HOW DO THE RESPONDENTS FEEL ABOUT THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE ADMINTSTRATIVE DUTJES WHICH SHOUJD BE UNDERTAKEN BY TEACHERS?
13.2.1 By means of a large number of questions all three groups of respondents were given the opportunity of indicating, first, what administrative duties teachers are at present required to undertake, and, further, what administrative work they consider should be done by the teacher.
13.2.2 As regards the administrative work the teacher is required to do at present, only

TARTE IR.

## PRINCIPALS, VICE--PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS, LECTURERS

Administration: What effect has administrative work on a teacher's school work?

| Effect |  | Principals |  | Vice-principals and assistants |  | Lecturers |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | Order |
| 1. Neglect | Yes | 151 | 18.6 | 565 | 21.0 | 173 | 34.0 | 889 | 3 |
|  | U | 663 | 81.4 | 2129 | 79.0 | 336 | 66.0 |  |  |
| 2. It makes the teacher disinclined for other work | Yes | 135 | 16.6 | 292 | 10.8 | 128 | 25.1 | 555 | 5 |
|  | U | 679 | 83.4 | 2402 | 89.2 | 381 | 74.9 |  |  |
| 3. It makes the teacher want to give up teaching | Yes | 73 | 9.0 | 105 | 3.9 | 57 | 11.2 | 235 | 6 |
|  | U | 741 | 91,0 | 2589 | 96.1 | 452 | 88.8 |  |  |
| 4. It offers a pleasant diversion | Yes | 117 | 14.4 | 423 | 15.7 | 23 | 4.5 | 563 | 4 |
|  | U | 697 | 85.6 | 2271 | 84.3 | 486 | 95.5 |  |  |
| 5. It is immaterial to the teacher whether he does it or not | Yes | 88 | 10.8 | 827 | 30.7 | 46 | 9.0 | 961 | 2 |
|  | U | 726 | 89.2 | 1867 | 69.3 | 463 | 91.0 |  |  |
| 6. It offers excellent training for promotion purposes | Yes | 521 | 64.0 | 843 | 31.3 | 201 | 39.5 | 1565 | 1 |
|  | U | 293 | 36.0 | 1851 | 68.7 | 308 | 60.5 |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 814 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |  |

TABLE 13.1.1
PRINCIPALS OF SCHOOLS
Administration: What effect has administrative work on a teacher's school work?


TABLE 13.1.2

## VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS

Administration: What effect has administrative work on a teacher's school work?

| Effect |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. Neglect | Yes | 150 | 20.1 | 27 | 11.6 | 41 | 17.7 | 299 | 25.8 | 10 | 20.8 | 30 | 15.7 | 8 | 9.1 | 565 | 21.0 | 3 |
|  | U | 596 | 79.9 | 206 | 88.4 | 190 | 82.3 | 858 | 74.2 | 38 | 79.2 | 161 | 84.3 | 80 | 90.9 | 2129 | 79.0 |  |
| 2. It makes the teacher disinclined for other work | Yes | 69 | 9.2 | 23 | 9.9 | 20 | 8.7 | 160 | 13.8 | 1 | 2.1 | 15 | 7.9 | 4 | 4.5 | 292 | 10.8 | 5 |
|  | U | 677 | 90.8 | 210 | 90.1 | 211 | 91.3 | 997 | 86.2 | 47 | 97.9 | 176 | 92.1 | 84 | 95.5 | 2402 | 89.2 |  |
| 3. It makes the teacher want to give up teaching | Yes | 32 | 4.3 | 9 | 3.9 | 4 | 1.7 | 53 | 4.6 | - | - | 5 | 2.6 | 2 | 2.3 | 105 | 3.9 | 6 |
|  | U | 714 | 95.7 | 224 | 96.1 | 227 | 98.3 | 1104 | 95.4 | 48 | 100.0 | 186 | 97.4 | 86 | 97.7 | 2589 | 96.1 |  |
| 4. It offers a pleasant diversion | Yes | 127 | 17.0 | 39 | 1.6.7 | 30 | 13.0 | 162 | 14.0 | 14 | 29.2 | 38 | 19.9 | 13 | 14.8 | 423 | 15.7 | 4 |
|  | U | 619 | 83.0 | 194 | 83.3 | 201 | 87.0 | 995 | 86.0 | 34 | 70.8 | 153 | 80.1 | 75 | 85.2 | 2271 | 84.3 |  |
| 5. It is immaterial to the teacher whether he does it or not | Yes | 217 | 29.1 | 94 | 40.3 | 77 | 33.3 | 346 | 29.9 | 10 | 20.8 | 55 | 28.8 | 28 | 31.8 | 827 | 30.7 | 2 |
|  | U | 529 | 70.9 | 139 | 59.7 | 154 | 66.7 | 811 | 70.1 | 38 | 79.2 | 136 | 71.2 | 60 | 68.2 | 1867 | 69.3 |  |
| 6. It offers excellent training for promotion purposes | Yes | 256 | 34.3 | 52 | 22.3 | 63 | 29.4 | 355 | 30.7 | 20 | 41.7 | 79 | 41.4 | 13 | 14.8 | 843 | 31.3 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 802 | 69.3 |  |  | 11.2 | 58.6 | 75 | 85.2 |  |  |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 7461 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |  |

## TABLE 13.1.3

## LECTURERS

Administration: What effect has administrative work on a teacher's school work?

| Effect |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  | Order |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1. Neglent | Yes | 19 | 19.8 | 16 | 32.0 | 9 | 25.0 | 108 | 42.2 | 21 | 29,6 | 173 | 34.0 | 2 |
|  | U | 77 | 80.2 | 34 | 68.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 148 | 57.8 | 50 | 70.4 | 336 | 66.0 |  |
| 2. It makes the teacher disinclined for other work | Yes | 17 | 17.7 | 11 | 22.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 81 | 31.6 | 11 | 15.5 | 128 | 25.1 | 3 |
|  | U | 79 | 82.3 | 39 | 78.0 | 28 | 77.8 | 175 | 68.4 | 60 | 84.5 | 381 | 74.9 |  |
| 3. It makes the teacher want to give up teaching | Yes | 3 | 3.1 | 6 | 12.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 36 | 14.1 | 10 | 14.1 | 57 | 1.1.2 | 4 |
|  | U | 93 | 96.9 | 44 | 88.0 | 34 | 94.4 | 220 | 85.9 | 61. | 85.9 | 452 | 88.8 |  |
| 4. It offers a pleasant diversion | Yes | 8 | 8.3 | 1 | 2.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 9 | 3.5 | 3 | 4.2 | 23 | 4.5 | 6 |
|  | U | 88 | 91.7 | 4.9 | 98.0 | 34 | 94.4 | 247 | 96.5 | 68 | 95.8 | 486 | 95.5 |  |
| 5. It is immaterial to the teacher whether he does it or not | Yes | 11 | 11.5 | 10 | 20.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 20 | 7.8 | 2 | 2.8 | 46 | 9.0 | 5 |
|  | U | 85 | 88.5 | 40 | 80.0 | 33 | 91.7 | 236 | 92.2 | 69 | 97.2 | 463 | 91.0 |  |
| 6. It offers excellent training for promotion purposes | Yes | 46 | 47.9 | 13 | 26.0 | 21 | 58.3 | 97 | 37.9 | 24 | 33.8 | 201 | 39.5 | 1 |
|  | U | 50 | 52.1 | 37 | 74.0 | 15 | 41.7 | 159 | 62.1 | 47 | 66.2 | 308 | 60.5 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | $9 ¢$ | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 36 | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |  |

the repiies of the group of vice-principais and assistants have been used in each case. Since each respondent in the group of vice-principals and assistants could answer on his own behalf, these replies will give a fairly reliable picture of the extent to whicn teachers are at present involved in specuric administrative duties. As far as this aspect is concerned, the repilies of the principals and lecturers, who had to answer on behalf of teachers, were not considered usabie.

## 13. 8 COMPLETION OF OFFICIAL AND OTHER FORMS

The extent to which the completion of official and other forms devolves on vice-principais and assistants and, in comparison, the views expressed by the three groups of respondents on what administrative duiies shouid properly be carried out by the teacher are shown below:

## 1. Reporis

(a) What does the reacher ao? (Tabie 13.2)

As many as $86.2 \%$ of the vice--principals and assistants state that they have to complete pupils ${ }^{9}$ reports, while only $9.1 \%$ indicate that they have nothing to do with this work.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables $13,3.13 .3 .1,13.3 .2$ )

Laxge percemtages of all thiree groups indicate that teachers ought to compiete pupils' reports, nameiy $93.4 \%$ of the principals, $90.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, and $86.9 \%$ of the lecturers.

## 2. Regisiers

(a) What does the teacher do? (Tabie 13.2)

Of the vice-principails and assistants, $78.5 \%$ state that they are responsible for the keeping of registers, while $14.4 \%$ indicate that they are not concerned with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tabies 13.3, 13.3.1, 13.3.2)

Large percentages of the three groups consider that registers ought to be completed by teachers, namely, $91.2 \%$ of the principals, $89.4 \%$ of the lecturers and $88.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants.
3. Ed. Lab. cards
(a) What does the teacher do? ('rable 13.2)

Of the group of vice-principais and assistants, $60.8 \%$ state that they are responsible

TABLE 13.2
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work is the teacher required to do?

| Completion of official and other forms |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart- <br> mental <br> schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Marking of registers | Yes | 601 | 80.6 | 175 | 75.1 | 177 | 76.6 | 984 | 85.0 | 32 | 66.7 | 93 | 48.7 | 54 | 61.4 | 2116 | 78.5 |
|  | No | 93 | 12.5 | 38 | 16.3 | 39 | 16.9 | 115 | 9.9 | 10 | 20.8 | 74 | 38.7 | 20 | 22.7 | 389 | 14.4 |
|  | U | 52 | 6.9 | 20 | 8.6 | 15 | 6.5 | 58 | 5.0 | 6 | 12.5 | 24 | 12.6 | 14 | 15.9 | 189 | 7.0 |
| 2. Completion of Ed. Lab. cards | Yes | 398 | 53.4 | 56 | 24.0 | 151 | 65.4 | 912 | 78.8 | 32 | 66.7 | 59. | 30.9 | 29 | 33.0 | 1637 | 60.8 |
|  | No | 210 | 28.2 | 111 | 47.6 | 60 | 26.0 | 175 | 15.1 | 10 | 20.8 | 111 | 58.1 | 38 | 43.2 | 715 | 26.5 |
|  | U | 138 | 18.5 | 66 | 28.3 | 20 | 8.7 | 70 | 6.1 | 6 | 12.5 | 21 | 11.0 | 21 | 23.9 | 342 | 12.7 |
| 3. Completion of pupils' reports | Yes | 640 | 85.8 | 201 | 86.3 | 186 | 80.5 | 1041 | 90.0 | 44 | 91.7 | 144 | 75.4 | 65 | 73.9 | 2321 | 86.2 |
|  | No | 67 | 9.0 | 15 | 6.4 | 33 | 14.3 | 74 | 6.4 | 2 | 4.2 | 40 | 20.9 | 14 | 15.9 | 245 | 9.1 |
|  | U | 39 | 5.2 | 17 | 7.3 | 12 | 5.2 | 42 | 3.6 | 2 | 4.2 | 7 | 3.7 | 9 | 1.0 .2 | 128 | 4.8 |
| 4. Completion of the enrolment register | Yes | 75 | 10.1. | 22 | 9.4 | 26 | 11.3 | 82 | 7.1 | 12 | 25.0 | 22 | 11.5 | 12 | 13.6 | 251 | $9.3$ |
|  | No | $520$ | $69.7$ | $156$ | $67.0$ | 170 | $73.6$ | 905 | 78.2 | 25 | $52.1$ | 143 | $74.9$ | 56 | $63.6$ | 1975 | $73.3$ |
|  | U | 151 | 20.2 | 55 | 23.6 | 35 | 15.2 | 170 | 14.7 | 11 | 22.9 | 26 | 13.6 | 20 | 22.7 | 468 | 17.4 |
| 5. Completion of transfer cards | Yes | 194 | 26.0 | 35 | 15.0 | 39 | 16.9 | 114 | 9.9 | 13 | 27.1 | 23 | 12.0 | 8 | 9.1 | 426 | $15,8$ |
|  | No | 415 | 55.6 | 147 | 63.1 | 154 | 66.7 | 871 | 75.3 | 27 | 56.2 | 146 | 76.4 | 54 | 61.4 | 1814 | $67.3$ |
|  | U | 137 | 18.4 | 51 | 21.9 | 38 | 16.5 | 172 | 14.9 | 8 | 16.7 | 22 | 11.5 | 26 | 29.5 | 454 | 16.9 |
| 6. Compietion of forms supplying personal information on pupils, as requested by universities and other organisations | Yes | 173 | 23.2 | 31 | 13.3 | 17 | 7.4 | 232 | 20.1 | 14 | 29.2 | 56 | 29.3 | 8 | 9.1 | 531 | 19.7 |
|  | No | $416$ | $55.8$ | 137 | $58.8$ | 169 | $73.2$ | 705 | $60.9$ | 22 | $45.8$ | 111 | $58.1$ | 52 | 59.1 | 1612 | 59.8 |
|  | U | 157 | 21.0 | 65 | 27.9 | 45 | 19.5 | 220 | 19.0 | 12 | 25.0 | 24 | 12.6 | 28 | 31.8 | 551 | 20.5 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.3
PRINCIPALS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?


VICE--PRINCIPAIת AND ASSIS'TANTS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Completion of official and other forms |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \end{aligned}$ |  | 'rotals |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Marking of registers | Yes | 663 | 88.9 | 186 | $7 \mathrm{C.8}$ | 215 | 93.1 | 1060 | 91.6 | 45 | 93.7 | 153 | 80.1 | 57 | 64.8 | 2379 | 88.3 |
|  | No | 26 | 3.5 | 28 | 12.0 | 6 | 2.6 | 51 | 4.4 | - | - | 27 | 14.1 | 7 | 8.0 | 145 | 5.4 |
|  | U | 57 | 7.6 | 19 | 8.2 | 10 | 4.3 | 46 | 4.0 | 3 | 6.2 | 11 | 5.8 | 24 | 27.3 | 170 | 6.3 |
| 2. Completion of Ed. Lab. cards | Yes | 457 | 61.3 | 69 | 29.6 | 180 | 77.9 | 759 | 65.1 | 42 | 87.5 | 115 | 60.2 | 31. | 35,2 | 1647 | 61.1 |
|  | No | $137$ | $18.4$ | 10¢ | $44.2$ | 36 | $15.6$ | $314$ | $27.1$ | 3 | $6.2$ | 62 | $32.5$ | 26 | $29.5$ | 681 | $25.3$ |
|  | U | 152 | 20.4 | 61 | 26.2 | 15 | 6.5 | 90 | 7.8 | 3 | 6.2 | 14 | 7.3 | 31 | $35.2$ | 366 | 18.6 |
| 3. Completion of pupils' reports | Yes | 668 | 89.5 | 204 | 87.6 | 216 | 93.5 | 1.079 | 93.3 | 45 | 93.7 | 172 | 90.1 | 61 | 69.3 | 2445 | 90.8 |
|  | No | 24 | 3.2 | 10 | 4.3 | 5 | 2.2 | 32 | 2.8 | - | - | 10 | 5.2 | 8 | 9.1 | 89 | 3.3 |
|  | U | 54 | 7.2 | 19 | 8.2 | 10 | 4,3 | 46 | 4.0 | 3 | 6.2 | 9 | 4.7 | 19 | 21. 6 | 160 | 5.9 |
| 4. Completion of the enrolment register | Yes | 152 | 20.4 | 28 | 12.0 | 50 | 21.6 | 86 | 7.4 | 14 | 29.2 | 18 | 9.4 | 11 | 12.5 | 359 | 13.3 |
|  | No | 462 | 61.9 | 158 | $6{ }^{\prime} 7.8$ | 152 | 65.8 | 94.1 | 81.3 | 25 | 52.1 | 148 | 77.5 | 48 | 54.5 | 1934 | 71.8 |
|  | U | 132 | 17.7 | 47 | 20.2 | 29 | 12.6 | 130 | 11.2 | 9 | 18.7 | 25 | 13.1 | 29 | 33.0 | 4.01 | 14.9 |
| 5. Completion of transfer cards | Yes | 224. | 30.0 | 53 | 22.7 | 71 | 30.7 | 96 | 8.3 | 20 | 41.7 | 29 | 15.2 | 13 | 14.8 | 506 | 18.8 |
|  | No | 380 | 50.9 | 138 | 59.2 | 129 | 55.8 | 921 | 79.6 | 21 | 43.7 | 138 | 72.3 | 47 | 52.4 | 1774 | 65.8 |
|  | U | 142 | 19,0 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 18.0 | 31 | 13.4 | 140 | 12.1 | 7 | 14.6 | 24 | 12.6 | 28 | 31.8 | 414 | 15.4 |
| 6. Completion of forms supplying personal information on pupils, as requested by universities and other organisations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  | 90 |  | 12 |  | 1150 |  |
|  | No | $263$ | $35.3$ | $111$ | $47.6$ | $90$ | $39.0$ | $437$ | $37.8$ | 8 | $16.7$ | 77 | $40.3$ | 43 | $48.9$ | $1029$ | $38.2$ |
|  | U | 163 | 21.8 | 59 | 25.3 | 43 | 18.6 | 182 | 15.7 | 11 | 22.9 | 24 | 12.6 | 33 | 37.5 | 515 | 19.1 |
| Totals on which $\%$ was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.3.2
LECTURERS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Educatio | ritie |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completion of oficial ard other f | ms | Ca | e |  | atal | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Orang } \\ \text { Sta } \end{array}$ | ge Free | Tra | nsvaal | Educa and | tion, Arts <br> Science |  | otal |
|  |  |  | N | 0 | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | 1. Marking of registers | Yes | 88 | 91.6 | 46 | 92.0 | 32 | 88.9 | 223 | 87.1 | 66 | 92.9 | 455 | 89.4 |
|  |  | No | 2 | 2.1 | 2 | 4.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 16 | 6.2 | 1 | 1.4 | 23 | 4.5 |
|  |  | U | 6 | 6.2 | 2 | 4.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 17 | 6.6 | 4 | 5.6 | 31 | 6.1 |
|  | 2. Completion of Ed. Lab. cards | Yes | 62 | 64.6 | 26 | 52.0 | 26 | 72.3 | 145 | 56.7 | 35 | 49.3 | 294 | 57.8 |
|  |  | No | 13 | 13.5 | 17 | 34.0 | 7 | 19.4 | 91 | 35.5 | 16 | 22.5 | 144 | 28.3 |
|  |  | U | 21 | 21.9 | 7 | 14.0 | 3 | 8. 3 | 20 | 7.8 | 20 | 28.2 | 71 | 13.9 |
|  | 3. Completion of pupils' reports | Yes | 87 | 90.7 | 47 | 94.0 | 31 | 86.1 | 218 | 85.2 | 59 | 83.1 | 442 | 86.9 |
|  |  | No | - | - | 1 | 2.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 20 | 7.8 | 3 | 4.2 | 27 | 5.3 |
|  |  | U | 9 | C. 4 | 2 | 4.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 9 | 12.7 | 40 | 7.9 |
|  | 4. Completion of the enrolment |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 22.2 | 38 | 14.8 | 8 | 11.3 | 111 | 21.8 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | register | No |  | 43.7 |  | 60.0 | 25 | 69.4 | 193 | 75.4 | 52 | 73.2 | 342 | 67.2 |
| 个 |  | U | 13 | 13.5 | 4 | 8.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 25 | 9.8 | 11 | 15.5 | 56 | 11.0 |
|  | 5. Completion of transfer cards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | $18,4$ | 8 |  | 129 |  |
|  |  | No | $37$ | $33.5$ | 26 | $52.0$ | 22 | $61.1$ | $185$ | $72.3$ | 52 | $73.2$ | $322$ | $63.3$ |
|  |  | U | 16 | 16.7 | 4 | $8.0$ | 3 | $8.3$ | 24 | 9.4 | 11 | 15.5 | 58 | 11.4 |
|  | 6. Completion of forms supplying | Yes | 66 | 68.7 | 30 | 78.0 | 20 | 55.5 | 168 | 65.6 | 46 | 64.8 | 339 | 66.6 |
|  | personal information on pupils, | No | 2.0 | 20.8 | 8 | 16.0 | 13 | 36.1 | 66 | 25.8 | 12 | 16.9 | 119 | 23.4 |
|  | as requested by universities and other organisations | U | 10 | 10.4 | 3 | 6.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 22 | 8.6 | 13 | 18.3 | 51 | 10.0 |
|  | Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96100.0 |  | 50100.0 |  | 361.00 .0 |  | 256 | 100.0 | 71100.0 |  | 509100,0 |  |

for completing Ed. Lab. cards, while $26.5 \%$ of the group state that they have nothin to do with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.3, 13.3.1, 13.3.2)

The percentages of the three groups who consider that the teacher ought to be responsible for the completion of Ed. Lab. cards vary as follows: Principals: 75.2\%, vice-principals and assistants: $61.1 \%$, lecturers: $57.8 \%$.
4. Forms supplying personal information on pupils.
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.2)

According to the vice-principals and assistants, $19.7 \%$ of them are at present concerned with the completion of forms supplying personal information on pupils, while $59.8 \%$ state that they are not responsible for this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables $13.3,13.3 .1,13.3 .2$ )

On this point it is the opinion of $66.6 \%$ of the lecturers, $51.8 \%$ of the principals and $42.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants that it should be the teacher's task to complete these forms.
5. Transfer cards
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.2)

According to the replies of the vice-principals and assistants, $15.8 \%$ of them are at present concerned with the completion of such cards, while $67.3 \%$ state that they have nothing to do with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.3, 13.3.1, 13.3.2)

The percentages of the three groups who think that transfer cards ought to be completed by teachers are as follows: Principals: $36.8 \%$; lecturers: $25.4 \%$; and vice-principals and assistants: $18.8 \%$.
6. Enrolment register
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.2)

Of the group of vice-principals and assistants, there are $9.3 \%$ who state that they are responsible for the completion of the enrolment register, while the vast majority, namely $73.3 \%$, have nothing to do with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.3, 13.3.1, 13.3.2)

Of the three groups, the following percentages are of the opinion that the enroiment register ought to be completed by the teacher: Principals: $30.1 \%$; lecturers: $21.8 \%$; vice-principals and assistants: $13.3 \%$.
13.3.1 From the data furnished on the completion of official and other forms it appears that large percentages of teachers are at present responsible for the completion of pupils' reports, registers and Ed. Lab. cards, while relatively small percentages are concerned with the completion of forms supplying personal information on pupils, transfer cards and enrolment registers.

It is striking, however, that in virtually every case the percentages of the three groups of respondents who consider that teachers should be responsible for the completion of these forms exceed the percentages of those who are in fact required to do so. The teaching body thus signified its willingness to undertake the completion of official and other forms to a greater extent than at present.
13.4 CONTROL OF SCHOOL FUNDS AND SUNDRY MONEYS:

## 1. Collection of fees for visits to concerts, plays, etc. :

(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.4)

Of the group of vice-principals and assistants, $31.3 \%$ state that they are responsible for the collection of these fees, while $50.7 \%$ state that they are not concerned with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables $13.5,13.5 .1,13.5 .2)$

Of the three groups, $60.8 \%$ of the principals, $48.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $41.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants indicate that teachers should collect such fees.
2. Savings bank
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.4)

In the case of the vice-principals and assistants, $25.2 \%$ indicate that they are at present concerned with the savings bank, while $59.1 \%$ say that they have nothing to do with it.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.5, 13.5.1, 13.5.2)

As far as the three groups are concerned, $51.3 \%$ of the principals, $38.1 \%$ of the lecturers and $35.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants consider that teachers ought to undertake duties in connection with the savings bank.
3. Bookkeeping in connection with school and other funds
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.4)

According to the vice-principals and assistants, $23.9 \%$ of them are at present responsible for such bookkeeping, while $59.1 \%$ state that they have nothing to do with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.5, 13.5.1, 13.5.2)

There are $45.8 \%$ of the principals, $30.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $22.0 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who consider that the teacher should be responsible for such bookkeeping. It should be noted, however, that larger percentages of all three groups think that this is not a task for the teacher, namely, $63.1 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $58.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $46.4 \%$ of the principals.
4. Control of subscriptions to magazines
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.4)

According to the replies, $13.0 \%$ of the group of vice-principals and assistants are responsible for this task, while $66.7 \%$ state that they have nothing to do with it.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables $13.5,13.5 .1,13.5 .2)$

Here it is stated by $56.3 \%$ of the principals, $44.0 \%$ of the lecturers and $31.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants that teachers should exercise control over such funds. Most of the vice-principals and assistants, namely, $51.6 \%$, however, state that this should not be the teachers' duty.

## 5. Collection of examination fees.

(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.4)

There are $4.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who indicate that they undertake this task, while $71.6 \%$ say that they are not concerned with it.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.5, 13.5.1, 13.5.2)

On this point, $26.2 \%$ of the principals, $22.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $21.4 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants are of the opinion that teachers ought to collect these fees. In this case, however, far larger percentages of the three groups consider that teachers should not have to do this, namely, $65.4 \%$ of the lecturers, $55.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, and $42.9 \%$ of the principals.

TABLE 13.4
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work is the teacher required to do?

| Control of school funds and sundry moneys: |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart.mental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Bookkeeping in connection with school funds and other funds | Yes | 196 | 26.3 | 48 | 20.6 | 59 | 25.5 | 298 | 25.8 | 12 | 25.0 | 24 | 12.6 | 8 | 9.1 | 645 | 23.9 |
|  | No | 406 | 54.4 | 141 | 60.5 | 141 | 61.0 | 680 | 58.8 | 26 | 54.2 | 139 | 72.8 | 59 | 67.0 | 1592 | 59.1 |
|  | U | 144 | 19.3 | 44 | 18.9 | 31 | 13.4 | 179 | 15.5 | 10 | 20.8 | 28 | 14.7 | 21 | 23.9 | 457 | 17.0 |
| 2. Control of subscriptions to magazines | Yes | 120 | 16.1 | 25 | 10.7 | 29 | 12.6 | 145 | 12.5 | 18 | 37.5 | 7 | 3.7 | 6 | 6.8 | 350 | 13.0 |
|  | No | 446 | 59.8 | 155 | 66.5 | 162 | 70.1 | 801 | 69.2 | 19 | 39.6 | 158 | 82.7 | 55 | 52.5 | 1796 | 66.7 |
|  | U | 180 | 24.1 | 53 | 22.7 | 40 | 17.3 | 211 | 18.2 | 11 | 22.9 | 26 | 13.6 | 27 | 30.7 | 548 | 20.3 |
| 3. Savings bank | Yes | 21. | 28.3 | 59 | 25.3 | 73 | 31.6 | 300 | 25.9 | 25 | 52.1 | 4 | 2.1 | 7 | 8.0 | 679 | 25.2 |
|  | No | 403 | 54.0 | 131 | 56.2 | 125 | 54.1 | 696 | 60.2 | 17 | 35.4 | 160 | 83.8 | 59 | 67.0 | 1591 | 59.1 |
|  | U | 132 | 17.7 | 43 | 18.5 | 33 | 14.3 | 161 | 13.9 | 6 | 12.5 | 27 | 14.1 | 22 | 25.0 | 424 | 15.7 |
| 4. Collection of examination fees for Stds. 8 and 10 | Yes | 46 | 6.2 | 9 | 3.9 | 10 | 4.3 | 25 | 2.2 | - | - | 19 | 9.9 | 7 | 8.0 | 116 | 4.3 |
|  | N | 494 | 66.2 | 160 | 68.7 | 174 | 75.3 | 871 | 75.3 | 31 | 64.6 | 147 | 7'7.0 | 53 | 60.2 | 1930 | 71.6 |
|  | U | 206 | 27.6 | 64 | 27.5 | 47 | 20.3 | 261 | 22.6 | 1.7 | 35.4 | 25 | 13.1 | 28 | 31.8 | 648 | 24.1 |
| 5. Collection of fees for visits to concerts, plays, etc. | Yes | 216 | 29.0 | 81 | 34.8 | 51 | 22.1 | 421 | 36.4 | 11 | 22.9 | 46 | 24.1 | 17 | 19.3 | 843 | 31.3 |
|  | No | 377 | 50.5 | 109 | 46.8 | 140 | 60.6 | 541 | 46.8 | 27 | 56.2 | 122 | 63.9 | 49 | 55.7 | 1365 | 50.7 |
|  | U | 153 | 20.5 | 43 | 18.5 | 40 | 17.3 | 195 | 16.9 | 10 | 20.8 | 23 | 12.0 | 22 | 25.0 | 486 | 18.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.5
PRINCIPALS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control of school funds and sundry moneys: |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Bookkeeping in connection with school funds and other funds | Yes | 179 | 57.4 | 31 | 50.0 | 31 | 68.9 | 91 | 34.6 | 4 | 28.6 | 13 | 39.4 | 24 | 28.3 | 373 | 45.8 |
|  | No | 108 | 34.6 | 29 | 46.8 | 12 | 26,7 | 157 | 63.5 | 10 | 71.4 | 17 | 51.5 | 35 | 41.2 | 378 | 46.4 |
|  | U | 25 | 8.0 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 5 | 1.9 | - | . | 3 | 9.1 | 26 | 30.6 | 63 | 7.7 |
| 2. Control of subscriptions to magazines | Yes | 192 | 61.5 | 31 | 59.0 | 32 | 71.1 | 143 | 54.4 | 8 | 57.2 | 28 | 84.8 | 24 | 28.2 | 458 | 56.3 |
|  | No | 74 | 23.7 | 22 | 35.5 | 8 | 17.8 | 105 | 39.9 | 6 | 42.9 | - | - | 27 | 31.8 | 242 | 29.7 |
|  | U | 46 | 14.7 | 9 | 14.5 | 5 | 11.1 | 15 | 5.7 | - | - | 5 | 15.2 | 34 | 40.0 | 114 | 14.0 |
| 3. Savings bank | Yes | 187 | 59.9 | 31 | 50.0 | 32 | 71.1 | 121 | 46.0 | 9 | 64.3 | 16 | 48.5 | 22 | 25.8 | 418 | 51.3 |
|  | No | 90 | 28.8 | 26 | 41.9 | 9 | 20.0 | 122 | 46.4 | 5 | 35.7 | 12 | 36.4 | 27 | 31.8 | 291 | 35.7 |
|  | U | 35 | 11.2 | 5 | 8.1 | 4 | 8.9 | 20 | 7.6 | - | . | 5 | 15.2 | 36 | 42.4 | 105 | 12.9 |
| 4. Collectioin of examination fees for Stds. 8 and 10 | Yes | 83 | 26.6 | 24 | 38.7 | 12 | 26.6 | 61 | 23.2 | 4 | 28.6 | 9 | 27.3 | 21 | 24.7 | 214 | 26.2 |
|  | No |  | 40.7 | 20 | 32.3 | 20 | 44.4 | 128 | 48.7 | 6 | 42.9 | 20 | 60.6 | 28 | 32.9 | 349 | 42.9 |
|  | U |  | 32.7 | 18 | 29.0 | 13 | 28.9 | 74 | 28.1 | 4 | 28.6 | 4 | 12.1 | 36 | 42.4 | 251 | 30.8 |
| 5. Collection of fees for visits to concerts, plays, etc. | Yes | 180 | 57.7 | 45 | 72.5 | 35 | 77.7 | 173 | 65.8 | 9 | 64.3 | 24 | 72.7 | 29 | 34.1 | 495 | 60.3 |
|  | No | 79 | 25.3 | 12 | 19.4 | 7 | 15.6 | 74 | 28.1 | 5 | 35.7 | 5 | 15.2 | 23 | 27.1 | 205 | 25.2 |
|  | U | 53 | 17.0 | 5 | 8.1 | 3 | 6.7 | 16 | 6.1 | - | . | 4 | 12.1 | 33 | 38.8 | 114 |  |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 | 62 | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.5.1

## VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS

What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Control of school funds and sundry moneys: | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart-mentalschools |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Bookkeeping in connection with school funds and other funds | 204 | 27.3 | 34 | 14.6 | 99 | 42.9 | 190 | 16.4 | 20 | 41.7 | 36 | 18.8 | 11 | 12.5 | 594 | 22.0 |
|  | 417 | 55.9 | 148 | 63.5 | 103 | 44.6 | 826 | 71.4 | 22 | 45.8 | 132 | 69.1 | 52 | 59.1 | 1700 | 63.1 |
|  | 125 | 16.8 | 51 | 21.9 | 29 | 12.6 | 141 | 12.2 | 6 | 12.5 | 23 | 12.0 | 25 | 28.4 | 400 | 14.8 |
| 2. Control of subscriptions to magazines | 303 | 40.6 | 48 | 20.6 | 109 | 47.2 | 309 | 26.7 | 22 | 45.8 | 47 | 24.6 | 9 | $10.2$ | 847 | $31.4$ |
|  | $289$ | $38.7$ | 135 | $57.9$ | 90 | $39.0$ | 695 | $60.1$ | 15 | $31.2$ | $116$ | $60.7$ | 49 | $55.7$ | $1389$ | $51.6$ |
|  | 154 | 20.6 | 50 | 21.5 | 32 | 13.9 | 153 | 13.2 |  | 22.9 | 28 | 14.7 | 30 | 34.1 | 458 | 17.0 |
| 3. Savings bank | 341 | 45.7 | 60 | 25.8 | 125 | 54.1 | 306 | 26.4 | 30 | 62.5 | 77 | 40.3 | 6 | 6.8 | 945 | 35.1 |
|  | 255 | 34.2 | 128 | 54.9 | 77 | 33.3 | 710 | 61.4 | 13 | 27.1 | 86 | 45.0 | 53 | 60.2 | 1322 | 49.1 |
|  | 150 | 20.1 | 45 | 19.3 | 29 | 12.6 | 141 | 12.2 | 5 | 10.4 | 28 | 14.7 | 29 | 33.0 | 427 | 15.9 |
| 4. Collection of examination fees for stds. 8 and 10 | 198 | 26.5 | 22 | 9.4 | 89 | 38.5 | 196 | 16.9 | 20 | 41.7 | 39 | 20.4 | 12 | 13.6 | 576 | 21.4 |
|  | 345 | 46.2 | 143 | 61.4 | 100 | 43.3 | 730 | 63.1 | 11 | 22.9 | 125 | 65.4 | 47 | 53.4 | 1501 | 55.7 |
|  | 203 | 27.2 | 68 | 29.2 | 42 | 18.2 | 231 | 20.0 | 17 | 35.4 | 27 | 14.1 | 29 | 33.0 | 617 | 22.9 |
| 5. Collection of fees for visits to concerts, plays, etc. | $338$ | 45.3 | 81 | 34.8 | 111 | 48.1 | 445 | 38.5 | 26 | $54.2$ | 93 | 48.7 | 20 | 22.7 | 1114 |  |
|  | $267$ | $35.8$ | $105$ | $45.1$ | 85 | $36.8$ | 550 | $47.5$ | 11 | $22.9$ | 76 | 39.8 | 43 | $48.9$ | $1137$ | $42.2$ |
|  | 141 | 18.9 | 47 | 20.2 | 35 | 15.2 | 162 | 14.0 |  | 22.9 | 22 | 11.5 | 25 | 28.4 | 443 | 16.4 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

1ABLE 13.5.2

## LECTURERS

What administrative work should be done by the teacher?


As regards the control of school and other funds, it appears that smaller percentages are concerned with the handling of such funds than with the handling of official forms. Here again, however, teachers are prepared to do more than they are doing at present. On the other hand, the view that teachers should have rothing to do with this task is supported by large percentages of the three groups.
13.5 CONTROL AND SUPERVISION (PROPERTY AND MATERIAL)

1. Study material such as texibooks, exercise books, etc.
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.6)

Of the group of vice-principals and assistants, $29.0 \%$ indicate that they are responsible for the control of study material, while $55.5 \%$ indicate that they are not concerned with this task.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.7, 13.7.1, 13.7.2)

Of the three groups of respondents, $69.0 \%$ of the principals, $55.0 \%$ of the lecturers and $47.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants think that it should be the task of the teacher to exercise control over the issuing of study material.
2. Supervision of schcol grounds and buildings
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.6)

The replies of the vice-principals and assistants reveal that $27.5 \%$ are responsible for the supervision of the school grounds and buildings, while $54.1 \%$ state that they have no such duties.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.7, 13.7.1, 13.7.2)

In the opinion of $59.2 \%$ of the principals, $34.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $30.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, teachers ought to supervise school grounds and buildings. Over half of the lecturers and also of the vice-principals and assistants, however, hold the opposite view.
3. Duplication of typed notes, programmes, etc.
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.6)

Of the vice-principals and assistants, $25.1 \%$ indicate that they are responsible for the duplication of typed notes, etc., while $56.6 \%$ state that they have nothing to do with this work.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 1.3.7, 13.7.1, 13.7.2)

The view of $57.2 \%$ of the principals, $39.1 \%$ of the lecturers and $31.4 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants is that teachers ought to be responsible for the duplication of typed notes, programmes, etc. Once again, over half of the lecturers, and also of the vice-principals and assistants, express the opinion that a teacher should not be responsible for this task,
4. Library
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.6)

Of the group of vice-principals and assistants, there are $20.7 \%$ who state that they are in charge of the library, while $62,1 \%$ state that they are not concerned with this duty.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.7, 13.7.1, 13.7.2)

Of the three groups, $75.4 \%$ of the principals, $56.0 \%$ of the lecturers and $49.8 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants consider that the control of the library ought to be entrusted to teachers. Just over one third of the lecturers, эnd also of the viceprincipals and assistants, however, are of the opinion that the control of the library ought not to be the teacher's task.

TABt.E 13.6
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work is the teacher required to do?

| Control and supervision (property and materials): |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Control of the library | Yes | 202 | 27.1 | 77 | 33.0 | 59 | 25.5 | 171 | 14.8 | 15 | 31.2 | 17 | 8.9 | 17 | 19.3 | 558 | 20.7 |
|  | No | 401 | 53.8 | 111 | 47.6 | 137 | 59.3 | 806 | 69.7 | 23 | 47.9 | 148 | 77.5 | 48 | 54.5 | 1674 | 62.1 |
|  | U | 143 | 19.2 | 45 | 19.3 | 35 | 15.2 | 180 | 15.6 | 10 | : 20.8 | 26 | 13.6 | 23 | 26.1 | 462 | 17.1 |
| 2. Control of the issuing of study material such as textbooks, exercise books, etc. | Yes | 231 | 31.0 | 64 | 27.5 | 43 | 18.6 | 313 | 27.1 | 14 | 29.2 | 91 | 47.6 | 25 | 28.4 | 781 | 29.0 |
|  | No | 377 | 50.5 | 134 | 57.5 | 147 | 63.6 | 691 | 59.7 | 24 | 50.0 | 81 | 42.4 | 41 | 46.6 | 1495 | 55.5 |
|  | U | 138 | 18.5 | 35 | 15.0 | 41 | 17.7 | 153 | 13.2 | 10 | 20.8 | 19 | 9,9 | 22 | 25.0 | 418 | 15.5 |
| 3. Duplication of typed notes, programmes, etc. | Yes | 168 | 22.5 | 81 | 34.8 | 49 | 21.2 | 297 | 25.7 | 10 | 20.8 | 55 | 28.8 | 16 | 18.2 | 676 | 25.1 |
|  | No | 415 | 55.6 | 111 | 47.6 | 140 | 60.6 | 671 | 58.0 | 29 | 60.4 | 113 | 59.2 | 47 | 53.4 | 1526 | 56.6 |
|  | U | 163 | 21.8 | 41 | 17.6 | 42 | 18.2 | 189 | 16.3 | 9 | 18.7 | 23 | 12.0 | 25 | 28.4 | 492 | 18.3 |
| 4. School magazine and all work in connection with it | Yes | 114 | 15.3 | 21 | 9.0 | 38 | 16.5 | 1.49 | 12.9 | 8 | 16.7 | 45 | 23.6 | 7 | 8.0 | 382 | 14.2 |
|  | No |  | 60.9 | 158 | 67.8 | 152 | 65.8 | 794 | 68.6 | 29 | 50.4 | 124 | 64.9 | 57 | 64.8 | 1768 | 65.6 |
|  | U | 178 | 23.9 | 54 | 23.2 | 41 | 17.7 | 2.14 | 18.5 | 11 | 22.9 | 22 | 11.5 | 24 | 27.3 | 544 | 20.2 |
| 5. Supervision of the school grounds and buildings | Yes | 164 | 22.0 | 67 | 28.8 | 46 | 19.9 | 362 | 31.3 | 20 | 41.7 | 65 | 34.0 | 16 | 18.2 | 740 | 27.5 |
|  | No | 410 | 55.0 | 123 | 52.8 | 145 | 62.8 | 612 | 52.9 | 17 | 35.4 | 100 | 52.4 | 50 | 56.8 | 1457 | 54.1 |
|  | U | 172 | 23.0 | 43 | 18.5 | 40 | 17.3 | 183 | 15.8 | 11 | 22.9 | 26 | 13.6 | 22 | 25.0 | 497 | 18.4 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

## PRINCIPALS

What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Control and supervision (property and material): |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Control of the library | Yes | 235 | 75.3 | 56 | 90.3 | 37 | 82.2 | 200 | 76.0 | 10 | 71.5 | 25 | 75.8 | 51 | $60.0$ | 614 | 75.4 |
|  | No | 51 | 16.3 | 5 | 8.1 | 5 | 11.1 | 57 | 21.7 | 4 | 28.6 | 5 | 15.2 | 7 | $8.2$ | 134 | 16.5 |
|  | U | 26 | 8.3 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 6.7 | 6 | 2.3 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 27 | 31.8 | 66 | 8.1 |
| 2. Control of the issuing of study material such as textbooks, exercise books, etc. | Yes | 213 | 68.3 | 51 | 82.2 | 34 | 75.5 | 191 | 72.6 | 9 | 64.3 | 23 | 69.7 | 41 | 48.2 | 562 | 69.0 |
|  | No | 75 | 24.0 | 10 | 16.1 | 8 | 17.8 | 64 | 24.3 | 5 | 35.7 | 7 | 21.2 | 17 | 20.0 | 186 | 22.9 |
|  | U | 24 | 7.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 27 | 31.8 | 66 | 8.1 |
| 3. Duplication of typed notes, programmes, etc. | Yes | 195 | 62.5 | 47 | 75.8 | 37 | 82.2 | 127 | 48.3 | 7 | 50.0 | 21 | 63.7 | 32 | 37.6 | 466 | 57.2 |
|  | No | 81 | 26.0 | 13 | 21.0 | 5 | 11.1 | 128 | 48.7 | 6 | 42.9 | 10 | 30.3 | 27 | 31.8 | 270 | 33.2 |
|  | U | 36 | 11.5 | 2 | 3.2 | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | 6.1 | 26 | 30.6 | 78 | 9.6 |
| 4. School magazine and all work in connection with it |  | 228 | $73.1$ | 52 |  | 36 | 80.0 | 213 | 81.0 | 12 | 85.7 | 29 | 87.8 | 44 | 51.7 | 614 | 75.4 |
|  | No | 24 | 7.7 | 3 | 4,8 | 4 | 8.9 | 18 | 6.8 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 3.0 | 9 | 10.6 | 60 | 7.4 |
|  | U | 60 | 19.2 | 7 | 11.3 | 5 | 11.1 | 32 | 12.2 | 1 | 7.1 | 3 | 9.1 | 32 | 37.6 | 140 | 17.2 |
| 5. Supervision of the school grounds and buildings | Yes | 198 | 63.5 | 35 | 56.5 | 38 | 84.5 | 147 | 55.9 | 9 | 64.3 | 14 | 42.5 | 41 | 48.2 | 482 | $59.2$ |
|  | No | 81 | 26.0 | 22 | 35.5 | 4 | 8.9 | 109 | 41.4 | 5 | 35.7 | 15 | 45.5 | 21 | $24.7$ | 257 | 31.6 |
|  | U | 33 | 10.6 | 5 | 8.1 | 3 | 6.7 | 7 | 2.7 | - | - | 4 | 12.1 | 23 | 27.1 | 75 | 9.2 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: | - | 312 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.9 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.7.1
VICE-FRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Control and supervision (property and material): |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart-mentalschools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Control of the library | Yes | 451 | 60.5 | 97 | 41.6 | 153 | 66.2 | 480 | 41.5 | 31 | 64.6 | 106 | 55.5 | 24 | 27.3 | 1342 | 49.8 |
|  | No | 199 | 26.7 | 97 | 41.6 | 54 | 23.4 | 558 | 48.2 | 11 | 22.9 | 66 | 34.6 | 39 | 44.3 | 1024 | 38.0 |
|  | U | 96 | 12.9 | 39 | 16.7 | 24 | 10.4 | 119 | 10.3 | 6 | 12.5 | 19 | 9.9 | 25 | 28.4 | 328 | 12.2 |
| 2. Control of the issuing of study material such as textbooks, exercise books, etc. | Yes | 353 | 47.3 | 74 | 31.8 | 112 | 48.5 | 578 | 50.0 | 28 | 58.3 | 107 | 56.0 | 24 | 27.3 | 1276 | 47.4 |
|  | No | 279 | 37.4 | 113 | 48.5 | 89 | 38.5 | 460 | 39.8 | 14 | 29.2 | 66 | 34.6 | 38 | 43.2 | 1059 | 39.3 |
|  | U | 114 | 15.3 | 46 | 19.7 | 30 | 13.0 | 119 | 10.3 | 6 | 12.5 | 18 | 9.4 | 26 | 29.5 | 359 | 13.3 |
| 3. Duplication of typed notes, programmes, etc. | Yes | 315 | 42.2 | 73 | 31.3 | 112 | 48.5 | 231 | 20.0 | 27 | 56.2 | 71. | 37.2 | 18 | 20.5 | 847 | 31.4 |
|  | No | 296 | 39.7 | 111 | 47.6 | 88 | 38.1 | 768 | 66.4 | 13 | 27.1 | 97 | 50.8 | 44 | 50.0 | 1417 | 52.6 |
|  | U | 135 | 18.1 | 49 | 21.0 | 31 | 13.4 | 158 | 13.7 | 8 | 16.7 | 23 | 12.0 | 26 | 29.5 | 430 | 16.0 |
| 4. School magazine and all work in connection with it |  | 436 | 58.4 | $86$ | 36.9 | 182 | 78.8 | 719 | 62.1 | 34 | $70.8$ | 132 | 69.1 | 17 | $19.3$ | 1606 | 59.6 |
|  | No | $170$ | $22.8$ | $102$ | $43.8$ | $18$ | $7.8$ | $266$ | $23,0$ | 8 | $16.7$ | 36 | $18.8$ | 45 | $51.1$ | $645$ | $23.9$ |
|  | U | 140 | 18.8 | 45 | 19.3 | 31 | 13.4 | 172 | 14.9 | 6 | 12.5 | 23 | 12.0 | 26 | 29.5 | 443 | 16.4 |
| 5. Supervision of the school grounds and buildings | Yes | 246 | 33.0 | 67 | 28.8 | 87 | 37.7 | 326 | 28.2 | 29 | 60.4 | 55 | 28.8 | 18 | 20.5 | 828 | 30.7 |
|  | No | 356 | 47.7 | 122 | 52.4 | 112 | 48.5 | 678 | 58.6 | 11 | 22.9 | 112 | 58.6 | 43 | 48.9 | 1434 | 53.2 |
|  | U | 144 | 19.3 | 44 | 18.9 | 32 | 13.9 | 153 | 13.2 | 8 | 16.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 27 | 30.7 | 432 | 16.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100, 0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231. | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

T'ABLE 13.7.2

## LECTURERS

What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

5. School magazine and ail work in connection with it
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.6)

Of the vice-principals and assistants, $14.2 \%$ indicate that they are responsible for the school magazine and everything in connection with it, while $65.6 \%$ state that they are not respensible for the magazine.
(b) What should the teacher io? ('Tables 13.7, 13.7.1, 13.7.2)

Gn this point, $86.1 \%$ of the lecturers, $75.4 \%$ of the principals and $59.6 \%$ of the viceprincipais and assisiants state that this should be the teacher's task.
15.5.1 Once again the picture emerges that, although fewer than one third of the teachers are at present concerned with each of the tasks mentioned, there are considerable percentages who are of the opinion that the teacher ought to be responsible for these tasks. On the other haind, over haif of both the lecturers and the vice-principals and assistants think that teachers should not be concerned with the supervision of school grounds and buildings or with the duplication of typed notes and programmes. A substantial percentage also consider that the control of the library ought not to be the task of the teacher.

### 13.6 CON'TROL OF PUPILS

## 1. Playground cauty

(a) What does the teacher do? ('Table 13.8)

There are $60.8 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants who indicate that they take playground duty, while $27.1 \%$ state that they have no such duties.
(b) What shouid the teacher do? (Tables 13.9, 13.9.1, 13.9.2)

There are $82.1 \%$ of the principals, $69.3 \%$ of the lecturers and $64.7 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who consider that the teacher ought to take playground duty.
2. Assistance with the organisation of medical and dental inspections
(a) What does the teacher do? (Tabie 13. 8)

Altogether $22.3 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants state that they are concerned with this, as compare with $58.4 \%$ who state that this does not apply to them.
(b) What shouid the teacher do? (Tables 13.9, 13.9.1, 13.9.2)

There are $61.0 \%$ of the principals, $40.3 \%$ of the lecturers and $36.1 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who hold the opinion that the teacher ought to lend a hand with the organisation of such inspections. Almost half of the lecturers and of the vice-principals and assistarts, however, consider that such arrangements should not be the task of the teacher.
3. Guidance to prefects
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.8)
-f the vice-principais and assistants, $17.6 \%$ state that they have to give guidance to prefects, while $61.7 \%$ state that they are not concerned with this work.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tabies 13.9, 13.9.1, 13.9.2)

There are $85.1 \%$ of the lecturers, $74.7 \%$ of the principals and $66.4 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who consider that teachers ought to give guidance to prefects.
4. The control and supervision of pupils on buses and trains and the issuing of concessions.
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.8)

As compared with the $13.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who state that they are concerned with this duty, $66.8 \%$ are not concerned with it.

TABLE 13.8
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work is the teacher required to do?

| Control of pupils |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-depart-mentalschools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Selection of matriculants who wish to become teachers | Yes | 16 | 2.1 | 9 | 3.9 | 2 | 0.9 | 78 | 6.7 | 2 | 4.2 | 10 | 5.2 | 3 | 3.4 | 120 | 4.5 |
|  | No | 534 | 71.6 | 156 | 67.0 | 180 | 77.9 | 831. | 71.8 | 32 | 66.7 | 146 | 76.4 | 56 | 63.6 | 1935 | 71.8 |
|  | U | 196 | 26.3 | 68 | 29.2 | 49 | 21.2 | 248 | 21.4 | 14 | 29.2 | 35 | 18.3 | 29 | 33.0 | 639 | 23.7 |
| 2. The control and supervision of pupils on buses and trains and the issuing of concessions | Yes | 76 | 10.2 | 40 | 17.2 | 27 | 11.7 | 158 | 13.7 | 7 | 14.6 | 45 | 23.6 | 8 | 9.1 | 361 | 13.4 |
|  | No | 482 | 64.6 | 146 | 62.7 | 163 | 70.6 | 799 | 69.1 | 31 | 64.6 | 122 | 63.9 | 56 | 63.6 | 1799 | 66.8 |
|  | U | 188 | 25.2 | 47 | 20.2 | 41 | 17.7 | 200 | 17.3 | 10 | 20.8 | 24 | 12.6 | 24 | 27.3 | 534 | 19.8 |
| 3. Care and/or transportation of sick children (sick-room) | Yes | 53 | 7.1 | 19 | 8.2 | 19 | 8.2 | 106 | 9.2 | 4 | 8.3 | 26 | 13.6 | 6 | 6.8 | 233 | 8.6 |
|  | No | 492 | 66.0 | 164. | 70.4 | 169 | 73.2 | 844 | 72.9 | 33 | 68.7 | 139 | 72.8 | 57 | 64.8 | 1898 | 70.5 |
|  | U | 201 | 26.9 | 50 | 21.5 | 43 | 18.6 | 207 | 17.9 | 11 | 22.9 | 26 | 13.6 | 25 | 28.4 | 563 | 20.9 |
| 4. Duties in connection with the attendance officer (truancy) | Yes | 34 | 4.6 | 9 | 3.9 | 9 | 3.9 | 117 | 10.1 | 4 | 8.3 | 29 | 15, 2 | 1 | 1.1 | 203 | 7.5 |
|  | No | 516 | 69.2 | 173 | 74.2 | 177 | 76.6 | 826 | 71.4 | 33 | 68.7 | 136 | 71.2 | 60 | 68.2 | 1921 | 71.3 |
|  | U | 196 | 26.3 | 51 | 21.9 | 45 | 19.5 | 214 | 18.5 | 11 | 22.9 | 26 | 13.6 | 27 | 30.7 | 570 | 21.2 |
| 5. Guidance to prefects | Yes | 100 | 13.4 | 47 | 20.2 | 24 | 10.4 | 221 | 19.1 | 12 | 25.0 | 60 | 31.4 | 10 | 11.4 | 474 | 17.6 |
|  | No | 453 | 60.7 | 130 | $55.8$ | 160 | 69.3 | $734$ | $63.4$ | 25 | $52.1$ | $107$ | $56.0$ | $54$ | $61.4$ | $1663$ | $61.7$ |
|  | U | 193 | 25.8 | 56 | 24.0 | 47 | 20.3 | 202 | 17.5 | 11 | 22.9 | 24 | 12.6 | 24 | 27.3 | 557 | 20.7 |
| 6. Assistance with the organisation of medical and dental inspections | Yes | 150 | 20.1 | 69 | 29.6 | 35 | 15.2 | 315 | 27.2 | 6 | 12.5 | 17 | 8.9 | 9 | 10.2 | 601 | 22.3 |
|  | No | 427 | 57.2 | 117 | 50.2 | 156 | 67.5 | 643 | 55.6 | 31 | 64.6 | 145 | 75.9 | 54 | 61.4 | 1573 | 58.4 |
|  | U | 169 | 22.7 | 47 | 20.2 | 40 | 17.3 | 199 | 17.2 | 11 | 22.9 | 29 | 15.2 | 25 | 28.4 | 520 | 19.3 |
| 7. Playground duty | Yes | 302 | 40.5 | 165 | 70.8 | 75 | 32.5 | 947 | 81.8 | 37 | 77.1 | 73 | 38.2 | 40 | 45.5 | 1639 | 60.8 |
|  | No | 299 | 40.1 | 44 | 18.9 | 121 | 52.4 | 129 | 11.1 | 8 | 16.7 | 95 | 49.7 | 33 | 37.5 | 729 | 27.1 |
|  | U | 145 | 19.4. | 24 | 10.3 | 35 | 15.2 | 81 | 7.0 | 3 | 6.2 | 23 | 12.0 | 15 | 17.0 | 326 | 12.1 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 1.00.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

What administrative work should be done by the teacher?


TABLE 13.9.1
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?


## LECTURERS

What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Control of pupils |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Or ange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Tetal |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \%) | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Selection of matriculants who wish to become teachers | Yes | 66 | 68.7 | 42 | 84.0 | 27 | 75.0 | 190 | 74.2 | 50 | 70.4 | 375 | 73.7 |
|  | No | 18 | 18.7 | 5 | 10.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 45 | 17.6 | 10 | 14.1 | 86 | 16.9 |
|  | U | 12 | 12.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 1. | 2.8 | 21 | 8.2 | 11. | 15.5 | 48 | 9.4 |
| 2. The control and supervision of pupils on buses and trains and the issuing of concessions | Yes | 47 | 48.9 | 23 | 46.0 | 17 | 47.3 | 116 | 45.4 | 25 | 35.2 | 228 | 44.8 |
|  | No | 35 | 36.5 | 23 | $46.0$ | 16 | 44.4 | 119 | 46.5 | 28 | 39.4 | 221. | 43.4 |
|  | U | 14 | 14. 6 | 4 | 8.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 21 | 8.2 | 18 | 25.4 | 60 | 11.8 |
| 3. Care and/or transpertation of sick children (sick-room) | Yes | 52 | 54.1 | 22 | 44.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 101 | 39.4 | 33 | 46.4 | 226 | 44.4 |
|  | NG | 29 | 30.2 | 22 | 44.0 | 14 | 38.9 | 133 | 52.0 | 21 | 29.6 | 219 | 43.0 |
|  | , | 15 | 15.6 | 6 | 12.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 22 | 8.6 | 17 | 23.9 | 64 | 12.6 |
| 4. Duties in connection with the attendance officer (truancy) | Yes | 33 | 34.4 | 19 | 38.0 | 13 | 36.1 | 95 | 37.5 | 20 | 28.1 | 181 | 35.5 |
|  | No | 50 | 52.1 | 25 | 50.0 | 18 | 50.0 | 138 | 53.9 | 33 | 46.5 | 264 | ¢1. 9 |
|  | U | 13 | 12.5 | $\epsilon$ | 12.0 | 5 | 13.9 | 22 | 8.6 | 18 | 25.4 | 64. | 12.6 |
| 5. Guidance to prefects | Yes | 80 | 83.3 | 46 | 92.0 | 29 | $80.6$ | 227 | $88.7$ | 51 | $71.8$ | 433 |  |
|  | No | 5 | $5.2$ | - | - | 4 | $11.1$ | 9 | $3.5$ | 4 | $5.6$ | 22 | $4.3$ |
|  | U | 13. | 11.5 | 4 | 8.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 20 | 7.8 | 16 | 22.5 | 54 | 10.6 |
| 6. Assistance with the organisation of medical and dental inspections | Yes | 47 | 48.9 | 20 | 40.0 | 17 | 47.2 | 92 | 35.9 | 29 | 40.8 | 205 | 40.3 |
|  | No | 38 | 35.6 | 26 | 52.0 | 15 | 41.7 | 1.43 | 55.9 | 26 | 36.6 | 248 | 48.7 |
|  | U | 11 | 11.5 | 4 | 8.0 | 4. | 11.3 | 21 | 8.2 | 16 | 22.5 | 56 | 11.0 |
| 7. Playground duty | Yes | 60 | 62. 5 | 42 | 84.0 | 22 | 61.1 | 188 | 73.4 | 41 | 57.7 | 353 | 69.3 |
|  | No | 21. | 21.9 | 3 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 27.8 | 45 | 17.6 | 11 | 15.5 | 90 | 17.7 |
|  | U | 15 | 15.6 | 5 | 10.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 23 | 9.0 | 19 | 26.8 | 66 | 13.0 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 96 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 36 | 10G。 0 | 256 | 100, 0 | 71. | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |

(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables $13.9,13.9 .1,13.9 .2$ )

There are $52.9 \%$ of the principals, $44.8 \%$ of the lecturers and $28.4 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who hold the opinion that teachers ought to undertake the control and supervision of pupils while they are travelling. Over half of the viceprincipals and assistants, and considerable percentages of the other two groups, however, think that this duty should not be performed by the teacher.
5. Care and/or transportation of sick children (sick -room)
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.8)

As compared with the $8.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who are concerned with these duties, there are $70.5 \%$ who have no such duties.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.9, 13.9.1, 13.9.2)

According to $52.6 \%$ of the principals, $44.4 \%$ of the lecturers and $24.4 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants, the care of sick children should be undertaken by teachers. Once again, however, over half of the vice-principals and assistants and considerable percentages of the other two groups are of the opinion that the teacher ought not to be responsible for this task.
6. Duties in connection with the attendarice officer (truancy)
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.3)

As compared with $7.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who are concerned with these duties, $71.3 \%$ state that this does not in any way apply to them.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.9, 13.9.1, 13.9.2)

There are $38.9 \%$ of the principals, $35.5 \%$ of the lecturers and $23.1 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who state that the teacher ought to assist in this connection. Large percentages, however, consider that the teacher should not be concerned with this, namely $58.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $51.9 \%$ of the lecturers and $46.9 \%$ of the principals.
7. Selection of matriculants who wish to become teachers
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.8)

As compared with $4.5 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who assist in such selection, $71.8 \%$ have nothing to do with it.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.9, 13.9.1, 13.9.2)

There are $73.7 \%$ of the lecturers, $45.3 \%$ of the principais and $35.3 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who think that the selection of matriculants who wish to become teachers ought to be the task of the teacher.
13.6.1 It appears, therefore, that large percentages of the teachers are in favour of teachers taking playground duty, giving guidance to prefects and participating in the selection of prospective teachers. Considerable percentages, however, think that teachers should not be responsible for the care of sick children, the control and supervision of pupils on buses and trains, the organisation of medical and dental inspections at schools, and duties in connection with the school attendance officer and truants.

### 13.7 ORGANISATION OF FUNCTIONS

1. School functions and collection of funds
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.10)

Of the vice-principals and assistants, $54.4 \%$ state that they help with the organisation of school functions, as against $29.1 \%$ who do not.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.11, 13.11.1, 13.11.2)

There are $81.7 \%$ of the principals, $72.5 \%$ of the lecturers and $64.4 \%$ of the vice-

TABLE 13.ió
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work is the teacher required to do?

| Organisation of functions |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non-depart- } \\ \text { mental } \\ \text { schools } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Organisation of the Std. X farewell function | Yes | 64 | 8.6 | 9 | 3.9 | 25 | 10.8 | 154 | 13.3 | 6 | 12.5 | 42 | 22.0 | 3 | 3.4 | 303 | 11.2 |
|  | No | 479 | 64.2 | 159 | 68.2 | 158 | 68.4 | 762 | 65.9 | 29 | 60.4 | 125 | 65.4 | 57 | 64.8 | 1769 | 65.7 |
|  | U | 203 | 27.2 | 65 | 27.9 | 48 | 20.8 | 241 | 20.8 | 13 | 27.1 | 24 | 12.6 | 28 | 31.8 | 622 | 23.1 |
| 2. Organisation of end-of-term functions | Yes | 233 | 31.2 | 89 | 38.2 | 86 | 37.2 | 389 | 33.6 | 12 | 25.0 | 65 | 34.0 | 27 | 30.7 | 901 | 33.4 |
|  | No | 337 | 45.2 | 92 | 39.5 | 111 | 48.1 | 573 | 49.5 | 25 | 52.1 | 102 | 53.4 | 40 | 45.5 | 1280 | 47.5 |
|  | U | 176 | 23.6 | 52 | 22.3 | 34 | 14.7 | 195 | 16.9 | 11 | 22.9 | 24 | 12.6 | 21 | 23.9 | 513 | 19.0 |
| 3. Organisation of prize--giving functions | Yes | 229 | 30.7 | 77 | 33.0 | 61 | 26.4 | 266 | 23.0 | 14 | 29.2 | 58 | 30.4 | 20 | 22.7 | 725 | 26.9 |
|  | No | 336 | 45.0 | 110 | 47.2 | 127 | 55.0 | 656 | 56.7 | 23 | 47.9 | 110 | 57.6 | 41 | 46.6 | 1403 | 52.1 |
|  | U | 181 | 24.3 | 46 | 19.7 | 43 | 18.6 | 235 | 20.3 | 11 | 22.9 | 23 | 12.0 | 27 | 30.7 | 566 | 21.0 |
| 4. Organisation of school functions and collection of funds | Yes | 381 | 51.1 | 113 | 48.5 | 145 | 62.8 | 670 | 57.9 | 30 | 62.5 | 98 | 51.3 | 28 | 31.8 | 1465 | 54.4 |
|  | No | 213 | 28.6 | 78 | 33.5 | 57 | 24.7 | 321 | 27.7 | 5 | 10.4 | 72 | 37.7 | 39 | 44.3 | 785 | 29.1 |
|  | U | 152 | 20.4 | 42 | 18.0 | 29 | 12.6 | 166 | 14.3 | 13 | 27.1 | 21 | 11.0 | 21 | 23.9 | 444 | 16.5 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100.0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.11
PRINCIPALS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Organisation of functions |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, <br> Arts and Science |  | Non-depart-mentalschools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Organisation of the Std. X farewell function | Yes | 170 | 54.5 | 40 | 64.5 | 32 | 71.2 | 160 | 60.8 | 9 | 64.3 | 28 | 84.9 | 36 | 42.3 | 475 | 58.4 |
|  | No | 46 | 14.7 | 5 | 8.1 | 2 | 4.4 | 32 | 12. 2 | 2 | 14.3 | - | - | 12 | 14.1 | 99 | 12.2 |
|  | U | 96 | 30.8 | 17 | 27.4 | 11 | 24.4 | 71 | 27.0 | 3 | 21.4 | 5 | 15.2 | 37 | 43.5 | 240 | 29.5 |
| 2. Organisation of end-of-term functions | Yes | 250 | 80.2 | 58 | 93.5 | 42 | 93, 3 | 238 | 90.5 | 13 | 92.8 | 30 | 90.9 | 45 | 52.9 | 676 | 83.1 |
|  | No | 22 | 7.1 | 1 | 1.6 | - | - | 17 | 6.5 | 1 | 7.1 | - | - | 8 | 9.4 | 49 | 6.0 |
|  | U | 40 | 12.8 | 3 | 4.8 | 3 | 6.7 | 8 | 3.0 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 32 | 37.6 | 89 | 10.9 |
| 3. Organisation of prize-giving functions | Yes | 2.56 | 85.3 | 58 | 93.6 | 42 | 93.3 | 233 | 88.6 | 13 | 92.9 | 29 | 87.8 | 46 | 54.1 | 687 | 84.4 |
|  | No | 13 | 4.2 | 2 | 3.2 | - | - | 19 | 7.2 | 1 | 7.1 | - | - | 4 | 4.7 | 39 | 4.8 |
|  | U | 33 | 10.6 | 2 | 3.2 | 3 | 6.7 | 11 | 4.2 | - | - | 4. | 12.1 | 35 | 41.2 | 88 | 10.8 |
| 4. Organisation of school functions and collection of funds |  |  | 79.5 | 56 | $90.3$ | 42 | 93.3 |  |  | $13$ | $92.9$ | 28 | 84.9 | 53 | $62.3$ | 665 |  |
|  | No | $34$ | $10.9$ | 2 | $3.2$ | - | - | 28 | $10.6$ | 1 | 7.1 | 2 | $6.1$ | 6 | $7.1$ | 73 | $9.0$ |
|  | U | 30 | 9.6 | 4 | 6.5 | 3 | 6.7 | 10 | 3.8 | - | - | 3 | 9.1 | 26 | 30.6 | 76 | 9.3 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 312 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 45 | 100.0 | 263 | 100.0 | 14 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 | 85 | 100.0 | 814 | 100.0 |

TABLE 23.11 .1
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?


TABLE 13.11.2
LECTURERS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

principals and assistants who consider that this ought to be one of the duties of the teacher.
2. End-of-term functions
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.10)

As compared with $33.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who are concerned with arrangements for these functions, $47.5 \%$ have nothing to do with them.
(b) What should the teacher do? ('Tables 13.11, 13.11.1, 13.11.2)

On this point, $83.1 \%$ of the principals, $78.0 \%$ of the lecturers and $65.7 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants state that teachers ought to undertake these arrangements.

## 3. Prize-giving functions.

(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.10)

There are $26.9 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who are concerned with the organisation of such functions, as compared with $52.1 \%$ who state that this duty is not entrusted to them.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.11, 13.11.1, 13.11.2)

There are $84.4 \%$ of the principals, $79.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $64.8 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants who consider that prize-giving functions should be organised by teachers.
4. Std. X Farewell Function
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.10)

As against $11.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who are concerned with this task, there are $65.7 \%$ who have nothing to do with it.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.11, 13.11.1, 13.11.2)

There are $71.7 \%$ of the lecturers, $58.4 \%$ of the principals and $45.7 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who feel that teachers ought to undertake the arrangements for the Std. X farewell function.
13.7.1 It appears, therefore, that large percentages of the respondents feel that teachers ought to undertake the organisation of the various kinds of school functions.
13.3 REQUISITIONS FOR BOOKS AND MISCELLANEOUS MATERIALS

1. Books
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.12)

In this connection $18.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants are concerned with such requisitions as against $63.8 \%$ who indicate that they are not.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.13, 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

On this point, $65.4 \%$ of the principals, $53.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $41.5 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants indicate that teachers ought to handle requisitions for books.
2. Apparatus and supplies for Domestic Science, or Needlework and Crafts.
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.12)

In this connection, $16.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants indicate that they handle such requisitions as against $63.7 \%$ who are not involved.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.13, 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

According to the opinion of the $78.0 \%$ of the lecturers, $73.6 \%$ of the principals and $54.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, teachers ought to handle such requisitions.

TABLE 13.12
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work is the teacher required to do?

| Requisitions for: |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-depart- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { schools } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Jotal |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Books | Yes | 145 | 19.4 | 47 | 20.2 | 30 | 13.0 | 205 | 17.7 | 7 | 14.6 | 44 | 23, 0 | 12 | 13.5 | 490 | 13.2 |
|  | No | 451 | 60.5 | 141 | 60.5 | 156 | 67.5 | 762 | 65.9 | 33 | 68.7 | 121 | 63.4 | 54 | 61.4 | 1718 | 63.8 |
|  | U | 150 | 20.1 | 45 | 19.3 | 45 | 19.5 | 190 | 16.4 | 8 | 16.7 | 26 | 13.6 | 22 | 25. 0 | -486 | 18.0 |
| 2. Laboratory apparatus and | Yes | 58 | 7.8 | 14 | 6.0 | 22 | 9.5 | 69 | 6.0 | 8 | 16. 7 | 22 | 11.5 | 6 | 6.8 | 199 | 7.4 |
| supplies | No | 501 | 67.2 | 159 | 68.2 | 162 | '70.1 | 865 | 74.8 | 30 | 62.5 | 138 | 72.3 | 59 | 67.0 | 1914 | 71.0 |
|  | U | 187 | 25.1 | 60 | 25.8 | 47 | 20.3 | 223 | 19.3 | 10 | 20. 8 | 31 | 16.2 | 23 | 26, 1 | 581 | 21.6- |
| 3. Gardening equipment | Yes | 43 | 5.8 | 25 | 10.7 | '7 | 3.0 | 50 | 4.3 | 6 | 12.5 | 14 | 7.3 | 4 | 4. 5 | 149 | 5.5 |
|  | No | 517 | 69.3 | 160 | 68.7 | 174 | 75.3 | 887 | 76. 7 | 32 | 66.7 | 145 | 75.9 | 61 | 69.3 | 1976 | 73.3 |
|  | U | 186 | 24,9 | 48 | 20.6 | 50 | 21.6 | 220 | 19.0 | 10 | 20.8 | 32 | 16.8. | 23 | \%6. 1 | 569 | 21.1 |
| 4, School furniture | Yes | 52 | 7.0 | 26 | 11.2 | 17 | 7.4 | 71 | 6.1 | 9 | 18.7 | 28 | 14. | 2 | 2.3 | 205 | 7.6 |
|  | No | 518 | 69.4 | 158 | 67.8 | 170 | 73.6 | 873 | 75.5 | 29 | 60.4 | 133 | 69.6 | 62 | 70.5 | 1943 | 72.1 |
|  | U | 176 | 23.6 | 49 | 21.0 | 44 | 19.0 | 213 | 18,4 | 10 | 20. 8 | 30 | 15.7 | 24 | 27.3 | 54.6 | 20.3 |
| 5. Sports equipment | Yes | 109 | 14.6 | 32 | 14.2 | 28 | 12.1 | 153 | 13.2 | 9 | 18.7 | 28 | 14.7 | 15 | 17.0 | 375 | 13,9 |
|  | No | 460 | 61.7 | 150 | 64.4 | 155 | 67.1 | 800 | 69.1 | 29 | 60.4 | 135 | 70.7 | 53 | 60.2 | 1782 | 66.1 |
|  | U | 177 | 23.7 | 50 | 21.5 | 48 | 20.8 | 204 | 17.6 | 10 | 20.8 | 28 | 14.7 | 20 | 22.7 | 537 | 19.: |
|  | Yes | 8 | $1.1$ | 2 | $0.9$ | 2 | 0.9 | 17 | 1.5 | 4 | 8.3 | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 3.4 | 39 | 1.4 |
| sories for the filtration plant of | No | 539 | $72.3$ | 171 | 73.4 | 179 | 77.5 | 901 | 77.9 | 30 | 62.5 | 155 | 81.2 | 61 | 69.3 | 2036 | 75.0 |
| the swimming-bath | U | 199 | 26.7 | 60 | 25.8 | 50 | 21.6 | 239 | 20.7 | 14 | 29.2 | 33 | 17.3 | 24 | 27.3 | 519 | 23.0 |
| 7. Lighting in a modern hall | Yes | 11 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.9 | 24 | 2.1 | 2 | 4.2 | 5 | 2.6 | - | - | 46 | 1.7 |
|  | No | 524 | 70.2 | 173 | 74.2 | 176 | 76.2 | 895 | 77.4 | 33 | 68.7 | 155 | 81,2 | 61 | 69.3 | 2017 | 74.9 |
|  | U | 211 | 28.3 | 58 | 24.9 | 53 | 22.9 | 238 | 20.6 | 13 | 27.1 | 31 | 16,2 | 27. | 30.7 | 631 | 23,4 |
| 8. Films and/or film-strips | Yes | 115 | 15.4 | 24 | 10.3 | 32 | 13.9 | 172 | 14.9 | 19 | 39.6 | 37 | 19.4 | 10 | 11.4 | 409 | 15.2 |
|  | No | 445 | 59.7 | 152 | 65.2 | 155 | 67.1 | 775 | 67.0 | 18 | 37.5 | 129 | 67.5 | 53 | 60.2 | 1727 | 64.1 |
|  | U | 186 | 24.9 | 57 | 24.5 | 44 | 19.0 | 210 | 18.2 | 11 | 22.9 | $\underline{25}$ | 13.1 | 25 | 28.4 | 558 | 20.7 |
| 9. Gramophone records | Yes | 31 | 4.2 | 9 | 3.9 | 16 | 6.9 | 63 | 5.4 | 8 | 16.7 | 18 | 9.4 | 6 | 6.8 | 151 | 5.6 |
|  | No | 512 | 68.6 | 166 | 71.2 | 168 | 72.7 | 867 | 74.9 | 27 | 56.2 | 145 | 75.9 | 56 | 63.6 | 1941 | 72.0 |
|  | U | 203 | 27.2 | 58 | 24,9 | 47 | 20.3 | 227 | 19.6 | 13 | 27.1 | 28 | 14.7 | 26 | 2985 | -602 | 22.3 |
| 10. Apparatus and supplies for | Yes | 159 | 21.3 | 40 | 17.2 | 31 | 13.4 | 170 | 14.7 | 8 | 16.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 10 | 11.4 | 442 | 16.4 |
| Domestic Science, Needlework | No | 411 | 55.1 | 14.1 | 60.5 | 158 | 68.4 | 781 | 67.5 | 31 | 64.6 | 141 | 73.8 | 53 | 60.2 | 1716 | 63.7 |
| and Crafts | U | 176 | 23.6 | 52 | 22.3 | 42 | 18.2 | 206 | 17.8 | 9 | 18.7 | 26 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 28.4 | 536 | 19.9 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100, 0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 12.13
PRINCIPALS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?


TABLAE 13.13.1
VICE-PRINCIPALS AND ASSISTANTS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Requisitions for : |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | South West Africa |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Non-departmental schools |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Books | Yes | 328 | 44.0 | 66 | 28.3 | 114 | 49.4 | 499 | 43.1 | 33 | 68.7 | 57 | 29.8 | 21 | 23.9 | 1118 | 41.5 |
|  | No | 273 | 36.6 | 122 | 52.4 | 87 | 37.7 | 518 | 44.8 | 8 | 16.7 | 108 | 56.5 | 42 | 47.7 | 1158 | 43.0 |
|  | U | 145 | 19.4 | 45 | 19.3 | 30 | 13.0 | 140 | 12.1 | 7 | 14.6 | 26 | 13.6 | 25 | 28.4 | 418 | 15.5 |
| 2. Laboratory apparatus and supplies | Yes | 376 | 50.4 | 50 | 21.5 | 143 | 61.9 | 521 | 45.0 | 33 | 68.7 | 82 | 42.9 | 8 | 9.1 | 1213 | 45.0 |
|  | No | 209 | 28.0 | 123 | 52.8 | 58 | 25.1 | 457 | 39.5 | 9 | 18.7 | 83 | 43.5 | 51 | 58.0 | 990 | 36.7 |
|  | U | 161 | 21.6 | 60 | 25.8 | 30 | 13.0 | 179 | 15.5 | 6 | 12.5 | 26 | 13.6 | 29 | 33.0 | 491 | 18.2 |
| $\overline{3}$. Gardening equipment | Yes | 240 | 32.2 | 32 | 13.7 | 61 | 26.4 | 154 | 13.3 | 26 | 54.2 | 15 | 7.9 | 4 | 4.5 | 532 | 19.7 |
|  | No | 329 | 44.1 | 149 | 63.9 | 135 | 58.4 | 828 | 71.6 | 13 | 27.1 | 149 | 78.0 | 55 | 62.5 | 1658 | 61.5 |
|  | U | 177 | 23.7 | 52 | 22.3 | 35 | 15.2 | 175 | 15.1 | 9 | 18.7 | 27 | 14.1 | 29 | 33.0 | 504 | 18.7 |
| 4. School furniture | Yes | 177 | 23.7 | 30 | 12.9 | 69 | 29.9 | 202 | 17.5 | 20 | 41.7 | 24 | 12.6 | 4 | 4.5 | 526 | 19.5 |
|  | No | 386 | 51.7 | 152 | 65.2 | 130 | 56.3 | 779 | 67.3 | 19 | 39.6 | 138 | 72.3 | 56 | 63.6 | 1660 | 61.6 |
|  | U | 183 | 24.5 | 51 | 21.9 | 32 | 13.9 | 176 | 15.2 | 9 | 18.7 | 29 | 15.2 | 28 | 31.8 | 508 | 18.9 |
| 5. Sports equipment | Yes | 386 | 51.7 | 64 | 27.5 | 146 | 63.2 | 553 | 47.8 | 30 | 62.5 | 89 | 46.6 | 19 | 21.6 | 1287 | 47.8 |
|  | No | 193 | 25.9 | 116 | 49.8 | 54 | 23.4 | 453 | 39.2 | 11 | 22.9 | 77 | 40.3 | 43 | 48.9 | 947 | 35.2 |
|  | U | 167 | 22.4 | 53 | 22.7 | 31 | 13.4 | 151 | 13.1 | 7 | 14.6 | 25 | 13.1 | 26 | 29.5 | 460 | 17.1 |
| 6. Purifying chemicals and accessories for the filtration plant of the swimming-bath | Yes | 165 | 22.1 | 11 | 4.7 | 58 | 25.1 | 161 | 13.9 | 24 | 50.0 | 23 | 12.0 | 6 | 6.8 | 448 | 16.6 |
|  | No | 404 | 54.2 | 163 | 70.0 | 135 | 58.4 | 797 | 68.9 | 16 | 33.3 | 138 | 72.3 | 55 | 62.5 | 1708 | 63.4 |
|  | U | 177 | 23.7 | 59 | 25.3 | 38 | 16.5 | 199 | 17.2 | 8 | 16.7 | 30 | 15.7 | 27 | 30.7 | 538 | 20.0 |
| 7. Lighting in a modern hall | Yes | 130 | 17.4 | 13 | 5.6 | 62 | 26.8 | 178 | 15.4 | 24 | 50.0 | 21 | 11.0 | 4 | 4.5 | 432 | 16.0 |
|  | No | 440 | 59.0 | 162 | 69.5 | 131 | 56.7 | 782 | 67.6 | 18 | 37.5 | 139 | 72.8 | 57 | 64.8 | 1729 | 64.2 |
|  | U | 176 | 23.6 | 58 | 24.9 | 38 | 16.5 | 197 | 17.0 | 6 | 12.5 | 31 | 16.2 | 27 | 30.7 | 533 | 19.8 |
| 8. Films and/or film--strips | Yes | 452 | 60.6 | 84 | 36.1 | 158 | 68.4 | 669 | 57.8 | 34 | '70.8 | 110 | 57.6 | 19 | 21.6 | 1526 | 56.6 |
|  | No | 152 | 20.4 | 100 | 42.9 | 44 | 19.0 | 345 | 29.8 | 8 | 16.7 | 54 | 28.3 | 43 | 48.9 | 746 | 27.7 |
|  | U | 142 | 19.0 | 49 | 21.0 | 29 | 12.6 | 143 | 12.4 | 6 | 12.5 | 27 | 14.1 | 26 | 29.5 | 422 | 15.7 |
| 9. Gramophone records | Yes | 396 | 53.1 | 73 | 31.1 | 156 | 67.5 | 584 | 50.5 | 35 | 72.9 | 96 | 50.3 | 16 | 18.2 | 1356 | 50.3 |
|  | No | 196 | 26.3 | 111 | 47.6 | 45 | 19.5 | 406 | 35.1 | 7 | 14.6 | 66 | 34.6 | 46 | 52.3 | 877 | 32.6 |
|  | U | 154 | 20.6 | 49 | 21.0 | 30 | 13.0 | 167 | 14.4 | 6 | 12.5 | 29 | 15.2 | 26 | 29.5 | 461 | 17.1 |
| 10. Apparatus and supplies for Domestic Science, Needlework and Crafts | Yes | 436 | 58.4 | 79 | 33.9 | 167 | 72.3 | 634 | 54.8 | 32 | 66.7 | 93 | 48.7 | 15 | 17.0 | 1456 | 54.0 |
|  | No | 171 | 22.9 | 100 | 42.9 | 31 | 13.4 | 353 | 30.5 | 8 | 16.7 | 63 | 33.0 | 46 | 52.3 | 772 | 28.7 |
|  | U | 139 | 18.6 | 54 | 23.2 | 33 | 14.3 | 170 | 14.7 | 8 | 16.7 | 35 | 18.3 | 27 | 30.7 | 466 | 17.3 |
| Totals on which $\%$ was calculated: |  | 746 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 231 | 100.0 | 1157 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 191 | 100.0 | 88 | 100,0 | 2694 | 100.0 |

TABLE 13.13.2
LECTURERS
What administrative work should be done by the teacher?

| Requisitions for: |  | Education authorities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cape |  | Natal |  | Orange Free State |  | Transvaal |  | Education, Arts and Science |  | Total |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| 1. Books | Yes | 57 | 59.3 | 29 | 58.0 | 25 | 69.4 | 131 | 51.2 | 29 | 40.9 | 271 | 53.2 |
|  | No | 27 | 28.1 | 18 | 36.0 | 8 | 22.2 | 104 | 40.6 | 26 | 36.6 | 183 | 36.0 |
|  | U | 12 | 12.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 21 | 8.2 | 16 | 22.5 | 55 | 10.8 |
| 2. Laboratory apparatus and supplies | Yes | 73 | 76.0 | 39 | 78.0 | 29 | 80,6 | 179 | 69.9 | 35 | 49.3 | 355 | 69.8 |
|  | No | 7 | 7.3 | 8 | 16.0 | 3 | 8.3 | 55 | 21.5 | 18 | 25.4 | 91 | 17.9 |
|  | U | 16 | 16.7 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 22 | 8.6 | 18 | 25.4 | 63 | 12.4 |
| 3. Gardening equipment | Yes | 51 | 53.1 | 17 | 34.0 | 1.3 | 36.1 | 57 | 22.3 | 14 | 19.7 | 152 | 29.9 |
|  | No | 28 | $29.2$ | 30 | $60.0$ | 19 | $52.8$ | 175 | $68.4$ | 37 | $52.1$ | 289 | $56.8$ |
|  | U | 17 | 17.7 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 24 | 9.4 | 20 | 28.2 | 68 | 13.4 |
| 4. School furniture | Yes | 34 | 35.4 | 16 | 32.0 | 11 | 30.5 | 55 | 21.5 | 16 | 22.5 | 132 | 26.0 |
|  | No | 45 | 46.9 | 31 | 62.0 | 21 | 58.3 | 177 | 69.1 | 40 | 56.3 | 314 | 61.7 |
|  | U | 17 | 17.7 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 24 | 9.4 | 15 | 21.1 | 63 | 12.4 |
| 5. Sports equipment | Yes | 75 | 76.0 | 31 | 62.0 | 30 | 83.4 | 174 | 67.9 | 36 | 50.7 | 344 | 67.6 |
|  | No | 6 | 6.2 | 16 | 32.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 59 | 23.0 | 18 | 25.4 | 101 | 19.8 |
|  | U | 17 | 17.7 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 23 | 9.0 | 17 | 23.9 | 64 | 12.6 |
| 6. Purifying chemicals and accesso-ries for the filtration plant of the swimming-bath | Yes | 35 | 36.4 | 12 | 24.0 | 16 | 44.5 | 66 | 25.8 | 13 | 18.3 | 142 | 27.9 |
|  | No | 45 | 46.9 | 34 | 68.0 | 16 | 44.4 | 164 | 64.1 | 38 | 53.5 | 297 | 58.3 |
|  | U | 16 | 16.7 | 4 | 8.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 26 | 10.2 | 20 | 28.2 | 70 | 13.8 |
| 7. Lighting in a modern hall | Yes | 33 |  | 20 | 40.0 | 1.7 | 47.3 | 85 | 33.2 | 15 | 21.1 | 170 |  |
|  | No | 46 | $47.9$ | 27 | $54.0$ | 15 | $41.7$ | 147 | 57.4 | 36 | 50.7 | 271 | 53.2 |
|  | U | 17 | 17.7 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 24 | 9.4 | 20 | 28.2 | 68 | 13.4 |
| 8. Films and/or film-strips | Yes | 77 | 80.2 | 41 | 82.0 | 31 | 86.1 | 198 | 77.3 | 43 | 60.5 | 390 | 76.6 |
|  | No | 6 | 6.2 | 6 | 12.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 38 | 14.8 | 11 | 15.5 | 62 | 12.2 |
|  | U | 13 | 13.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 20 | 7.8 | 17 | 23.9 | 57 | 11.2 |
| 9. Gramophone records | Yes | 78 | 81.2 | 42 | 84.0 | 31 | 86.1 | 191 | 74.6 | 43 | 60.5 | 385 | 75.6 |
|  | No | 6 | 6.2 | 5 | 10.0 | 1 | 2.8 | 44 | 17.2 | 11 | 15.5 | 67 | 13.2 |
|  | U | 12 | 12.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 21 | 8.2 | 17 | 23.9 | 57 | 11.2 |
| 10. Apparatus and supplies for Domestic Science, Needlework and Crafts | Yes | 76 | 79.1 | 42 | 84.0 | 30 | 83.3 | 198 | 77.3 | 51 | 71.9 | 397 | 78.0 |
|  | No | 4 | 4.2 | 5 | 10.0 | 2 | 5.6 | 37 | 14.5 | 7 | 9.9 | 55 | 10.8 |
|  | U | 16 | 16.7 | 3 | 6.0 | 4 | 11.1 | 21 | 8.2 | 13 | 18.3 | 57 | 11.2 |
| Totals on which \% was calculated: |  |  | . 00.0 | 50 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 256 | 100.0 | 71 | 100.0 | 509 | 100.0 |

## 3. Films and/or film-strips

(a) Whaî does the teacher do? (Table 13.12)

As compared with the $15.2 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who indicate that they at present handle requisitions for such material, there are $64.1 \%$ who state that this is not one of their tasks.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tables 13.13, 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

In the opinion of $80.1 \%$ of the principals, $76.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $56.6 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, teachers ought to handle such requisitions.
4. Sports equipment
(a) What does the teacner do? (Table 13.1.2)

There are $13.9 \%$ who indicate that they handie requisitions for such equipment, as against $66.1 \%$ who are not concerned with this duty.
(b) What shouid the teacher do? (Tables $13.13,13.13 .1,13.13 .2)$

According to $72.2 \%$ of the principals, $67.6 \%$ of the lecturers and $47.8 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants, teachers cught to handle requisitions for sports equiprnent. Just over one thira of the vice-principals and assistants, however, think that this should not be the teacner's task.
5. School furnitire
(a) What does the teacher du? (Table 13.12)

As against $7.6 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants who make out requisitions for school furniture, there are $72.1 \%$ who do not do so.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tabies 13.13, 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

On this point, $40.7 \%$ of the principals, $26.0 \%$ of the lecturers and $19.5 \%$ of the viceprincipais and asssistants state that teachers ought to handie requisitions for schcol furniture. Considerably more than half of the total number of respondents, however, feel that teachers should not be charged with this duty.
6. Laboratory apparatus and supplies.
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.12)

Compared witn the $7.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants who state that they handle requisitions for such material, $71.0 \%$ do not do so.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tainies 13.13, 13.13.i, 13.13.2)

It is asserted by $69.3 \%$ of the lecturers, $60.0 \%$ of the principals and $45.0 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants that these requisitions ought to be handied by teachers. Just over one third of the vice-principals and assistants, however, think that this should not be the teacher's task.
7. Gramopnone records
(a) What does the teacher do? ('Tabie 13.12)

As against the $5.6 \%$ of the vice-princtpais and assistants who handle requisitions for grarnophene records, there are $72.0 \%$ who have nothing to do with this duty.
(b) What shouid the teacher do? (Tables 13.13, 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

In the opinion of $75.6 \%$ of the lecturers, $73.1 \%$ of the principais and $50.3 \%$ of the: vice-principals and assistants, teachers ought to maie out such requisitions. Approximately one third of the vice-principais and assistants, however, consiaer that teachers should not be made responsible for tinis work.

## 8. Gardening equipment

(a) What does the teacher do? (Tabie 13.12)

There are $5.5 \%$ of the vice -principals and assistants who see to this, as compared with $73.3 \%$ who have nothing to do with the matter.
(b) What shouia the teacher do? ('Tables 13.13, 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

On this point $44.3 \%$ of the principais, $29.9 \%$ of the lecturers and $19.7 \%$ of the viceprincipals and assistants are of the opinion that requisitions for gardening equipment should be handled by teachers. Considerably more than half of all respondents, however, think that this should not be the task of the teacher.
9. Lighting of a moaern hall
(a) What does the teacher do? (Table 13.12)

Oniy $1.7 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants state that they hande requisitions in this comection, as compared with $74.9 \%$ who do not.
(b) What should the ieacher do? (Tables $13.13,13.13 .1,13.13 .2$ )

There are $37.1 \%$ of the principais, $33.4 \%$ of the lecturers and $16.0 \%$ of the viceprincipails and assistants who state that teachers ougnt to nandie requisitions in connection with the lignting of a modern hall. Considerable percentages, however, are of the opinion that this shouid not be the teacher's responsibility, namely, $64.2 \%$ of the vice-principais and assistants, $53.2 \%$ of the lecturers and $39.2 \%$ of the principals.
10. Purifying chermeals and accessories for the fiitration plant of the swimming bath
(a) What does the teacner do? ('Table 13.12)

Comparea with $1.4 \%$ of the vice-princípals and assistants who handle such requisitions, there are $75.6 \%$ who state that they do not.
(b) What should the teacher do? (Tabies 13.13 , 13.13.1, 13.13.2)

In this comection, $31.4 \%$ of the principals, $27.9 \%$ of the lecturers and $16.6 \%$ of the vice-principais and assiscants state that teachers ought to handle these requisitions. On the other hand, $63.4 \%$ of the vice-principals and assistants, $58.3 \%$ of the lecturers ana $43.1 \%$ oí ine principals feel that teachers should not be charged with ihis task.

It theretore appears that requisitions for books, apparatus for Domestic Science, Neediework and Crafis, films and/or film-strips and sports equipment are those with which the greatest numbers of teachers are concerned at present. Requisitions for school furniture and laboratory apparatus are atcended to by, just over $7 \%$ of the teachers in each case, while in respect of requisitions for gramopnone records and gardening equipment the figures are just over $5 \%$ in each case, and in respect of requisitions relating to the lighting of the hall and maintenance of the swimming bach the figure is slightiy more than $1 \%$ in each case.

Considerabie percentages of the respondents are of the opinion that teachers ought to be responsible for requisitions for books, apparatus for Lomestic Science, Needlework and Cráts, films andifor film-strips, sports equipment, laboratory apparatus and gramophone records.

As regaras requisitions for school furniture, gardening equipment, the lighting of the hali, swimming iath chemicals and accessories, considerabie percentages of the respondents feel that the teacher should not be made responsible for such requisitions.

## APPENDIX

## QUESTİOĨNAIRES

1. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 482: Questionnaire to permanently appointed teachers, vice principals and principals who were still in service, but who resigned during the period 1st October, 1963 to 30th September, 1964, to assume a post with another education department, or at a private school or any other institution, in order to determine the extent and causes of resignations from teaching.
2. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 483: Questionnaire to principals of schools for completion with regard to the teachers to whom questionnaire N. B. 482 applied.
3. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 484: Questionnaire to education departments and the governing bodies of private and subsidised schools, to determine the extent of resignations of teachers during the period 1st October, 1963 to 30 th September, 1964.
4. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 485: Questionnaire to the six education departments to obtain information on the recruitment, selection and conditions of service of teachers.
5. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 486: Questionnaire to heads of teachers ${ }^{\gamma}$ training colleges, departments for the training of teachers at technical colleges and the deans of the faculties of education at univer sities, to obtain information on the recruitment, selection and wastage of prospective teachers during training.
6. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 487: Questionnaire to principals of schools to obtain information on the recruitment and conditions of service of teachers.
7. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 488: Questionnaire to vice-principals and assistants to obtain information on the recruitment and conditions of service of teachers.
8. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 489: Questionaire to vice-principais and lecturers at teachers' training colieges, departments for the training of teachers at technical colleges and the faculties of education at universities, on the recruitment and conditions of service of teachers.
9. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 490: Questionnaire to chairmen of committees and persons who, by virtue of their position, select candidates for training as teachers (principals of high schools, inspectors of education and persons from bodies which train teachers).
10. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 491: Questionnaire to Std. 10 pupils to determine their attitude rowards and interest in teaching as a profession.
11. QUESTIONNAIRE NB. 492: Questionnaire to employers in the private sector and to government departments to obtain details of recruiting methods.
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[^0]:    *U means "unanswered" in all the tables.

