No proof of ‘child farming’ in awarding of Child Support Grants

Contrary to widespread public perception, arising largely from moral and cultural concerns, there is no evidence that provision of the Child Support Grant (CSG) is a cause of increased youth fertility, conclude MONDE MAKIWANE and ERIC UDJO in a report prepared for the Department of Social Development.

A SCHOOL OF THOUGHT has developed in South Africa which claims that the CSG has some perverse incentives, one of which is to encourage women, especially teenagers, to have more children.

It is widely acknowledged in South Africa that teenage fertility is high. About half of all young people between 15 and 19 years of age, slightly more men than women, report having had sex. By age 19, close to 80% of South African women have had sex, and about 37% have been pregnant.

We analysed existing national datasets to examine whether there was a relationship between the CSG and teenage fertility, namely the 1995 and 1998 October Household Surveys, the 1998 South African Demographic and Health Survey, and the 2001 Census. Data from the Social Pension Fund Grant System, available since 1999, were also used.

The widespread belief that young women are having children specifically so they can have access to the grant is unfounded. What we did find, firstly, was that the upsurge in teenage fertility (for young women aged 15–19 years) predated the introduction of the CSG, and is now declining. If anything, the upsurge coincides with the major political changes that were taking place in South Africa, and may be similar to a post-war boom.

In the second place, throughout the eight-year existence of the CSG, teenage direct beneficiaries of the grant are fewer than 3% of the total number of beneficiaries, yet teen mothering comprises 15% of all fertility.

If young women were bearing children to benefit from the grant one would expect a higher proportion of teenagers to take advantage of the money. In line with older women taking on the care of younger women, the data show that persons of 35 years and older, whose fertility has been declining, are more likely to be direct beneficiaries of the CSG.

Finally, the increase in youthful fertility has occurred across the board, including among sections of society that do not qualify for the means-tested CSG.

The HSRC report says there are possible alternative explanations for the high rates of youthful fertility. The rising proportion of births to young women is visible in most countries of the world. The general trend, worldwide, is that where fertility rates are lower, the share of births to young women is among the highest. In line with this trend, as the general rate of fertility in South Africa declines, so the rate of fertility among young women is increasing.

Figure 1 shows that teenage fertility declined rapidly from 1980 until 1996, when a dramatic upsurge occurred. After that time, teenage fertility appears to level off. The exact pattern of teenage fertility from early 2000 is not known with any accuracy because of the lack of recent reliable data. Unadjusted fertility data from the Demographic and Health Survey 2003 (not yet publicly available) suggest that no further increase in teenage fertility was experienced for the few years after the 2001 census.

Although overall fertility levels of the African population group have been higher than those of other population groups, it has recently experienced the highest rate of decline. It is against this background that the fertility rates of different population groups are examined in Table 1, which shows the estimated trends in total fertility rate (TFR).
and age-specific fertility rate (ASFR) for teenagers, by race, in 1995 and 2000.

Total fertility rates in South Africa have been declining over the past few decades, as illustrated in Table 1. Eric Udjo estimates that the total fertility rate in South Africa declined from about 4.9 in 1970 to about 3.2 in 1998. In contrast, teenage fertility has increased in all race groups except Indians, suggesting that overall fertility has been accompanied by a shift in childbearing towards younger women.

Table 2 shows the estimated proportion of children who received grants in each province in 1999 and 2005. The proportion was compiled by dividing the number of children who received the grant in March 1999 by projections from Statistics South Africa of the number of children in the age group 0–7 at mid-year 1999; and, for the 2005 estimates, the number of recipients was divided by the number of children in the age group 0–14.

It is estimated that about 45% of all children in South Africa received the child grant in March 2005, from a low of 0.27% in 1999. These findings concur with another research report commissioned by the Department of Social Development, released in January 2007, of a quantitative analysis of the data on the social pensions system (Socpen). That report found that in October 2005, teenagers (women younger than 20 years) represent only 5% of all CSG recipients, which is considerably lower than the proportion of teenage mothers (13%) in the South African population.

Debates about perverse effects of welfare, and proposals for punitive exclusion and withdrawal, have occurred in other countries, also with respect to teen motherhood. The issue attracts strong personal, moral and cultural opinions. Based on the data we have analysed, we conclude that there are no grounds to believe that young South African girls are deliberately having children in order to access welfare benefits. However, the issue can only be settled conclusively by a specially designed study.

Dr Monde Makiwane is a chief research specialist in the Child, Youth, Family and Social Development research programme and Dr Eric Udjo is a research director in the Social Aspects of HIV/AIDS and Health (SAHA) research programme. For a copy of the report, e-mail media@hsrc.ac.za.
NO PROOF OF ‘CHILD FARMING’ in awarding of Child Support Grants