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## ORIENTATION

THE REQUEST

In June 1980 the Cabinet requested the Human Sciences Research Council to conduct an in-depth investigation into all facets of education in the RSA. The request to the HSRC read as follows:
"Your Council, in co-operation with all interested parties, must conduct a scientific and co-ordinated investigation and within 12 monthṣ make recommendations to the Cabinet on:
(a) guiding principles for a feasible education policy in the RSA in order to
(i) allow for the realization of the inhabitants' potential, (ii) promote economic growth in the RSA, and (iii) improve the quality of life of all the inhabitants in the country
(b) the organization and control structure and financing of education
(c) machinery for consultation and decision making in education
(d) an education infrastructure to provide for the manpower requirements of the RSA and the self-realization of its Inhabitants, and
(e) a programme for making available education of the same quality for all population groups.

The investigation must be conducted in the light of, among other things, the present educational situation, the population composition in South African society and the means that can be made avallable for education in the national economy. The investigation must cover all levels of education, i.e. pre-primary, primary, secondary and tertiary.

In accordance with the South African Plan for Research into the Human Sciences, the following plan of action was decided on.
(a) Prof. J.P. de Lange, Rector of the Rand Afrikaans University would be appointed as research leader.
(b) After the necessary consultation a high-level co-ordinating committee would be appointed to guide and co-ordinate the Investigation and guarantee its scientific character. Members of the committee would include representatives of interested government departments, the private sector as well as eminent scientists from all the disciplines able to make a contribution to the development of education.
(c) Representatives of education institutions would be invited to serve on the subcommittees and work groups of the Investigation.
(d) All population groups would be involved in the co-ordinated conduct of the investigation.
(e) The investigation would be conducted in a spirit of positive coordination, i.e. the available research manpower both within and outside the HSRC and all research activities which had either already been concluded or were still going on, would be included in the Investigation on a basis of voluntary co-operation.
(f) The HSRC would undertake parts of the investigation itself, but would for the greatest part make its research structure available to contract researchers for the Investigation.
(g) Priority would be given to the most pressing problem areas so that the investigation could be expedited and interim reports submitted to the Cabinet in good time.
(h) Where applicable, alternative solutions for problems in education would be submitted.

## the main committee

The Main Committee of the Investigation into Education, whose members were appointed by the Council of the HSRC, was as follows:

Prof. J.P. de Lange (Chairman)
Prof. A.N. Boyce
Dr S.S. Brand
Dr R.R.M. Cingo
Dr J.G. Garbers
Mr J.B. Haasbroek
Dr K.B. Hartshorne

Prof. J.H. Jooste
Prof. S.R. Maharaj
Dr P.R.T. Nel
Prof. A.C. Nkabinde
Mr R.D. Nobin
Mr M.C. O'Dowd
Mr A. Pittendrigh
Miss C.C. Regnart
Dr P. Smit
Mr F.A. Sonn
Mr J.F. Steyn
Prof. N.J. Swart
Mr L.M. Taunyane
Dr P.J. van der Merwe
Prof. R.E. van der Ross
Prof. F. van der Stoep
Prof. N.T. van Loggerenberg
Dr R.H. Venter
Prof. W.B. Vosloo

Rector: Rand Afrikaans University
Rector: Johannesburg College of Education
Head: Financial Policy, Dept. of Finance
Inspector of Schools: Kroonstad East Circuit, Dept. of Education and Training
President: Human Sciences Research Council
Director: SA Institute for Educational Research, HSRC
Centre of Continuing Education, University of the Witwatersrand
Director: Transvaal Education Department
Dean: Faculty of Education, University of DurbanWestville
Former Director: Natal Dept. of Education; Dept. of Indian Education
Principal: University of Zululand
Inspector of Education: Dept. of Internal Affairs (Indian Affairs)
Anglo-American Corporation of SA Ltd
Director: Natal Technikon
Westerford High School
Vice-President: HSRC
Director: Peninsula Technikon; President: Union of Teachers' Associations of SA
Chief Secretary: Tv1. Onderwysersvereniging; Secretary: Federal Council of Teachers' Associations
Vice-Rector: Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education
President: Transvaal United African Teachers' Association
Deputy Director-General: Dept. for Manpower;
Deputy Chairman: National Manpower Commission
Principal: University of the Western Cape Dean: Faculty of Education, University of Pretoria Dean: Faculty of Education, University of the OFS; Chairman: SA Teachers' Council for Whites
Director: Univ. Affairs, Dept. of National Education
Head: Dept. of Political Science and Public Adminis= tration, University of Stellenbosch

After the investigation had been in progress for some months, a request was received from the Department of National Education of South West Africa that it be granted observer status on the Main Committee - this was approved.

From the fifth meeting of the Main Committee Mr J.A. de Jager, Secretary of the Department, therefore also attended meetings of the Main Committee.

At the beginning of the Investigation $\operatorname{Dr}$ S.W.H. Engelbrecht was appointed secretary and Dr F.P. Groenewald co-ordinator of the Investigation. In due course the secretariat was expanded with the appointment of Dr D.J. van den Berg, after which the abovementioned three persons acted as secretary-coordinators. Mr C.P. Serfontein was later appointed assistant co-ordinator. During the last phase of the Investigation the secretariat was further expanded when Prof. J. McG. Niven of the University of Natal was seconded to the HSRC for three months, from February to May 1981. The administrative staff consisted of Mrs I.S. Samuel, Mrs A. van der Lingen, Miss J.M.M. Botha, Mrs S. van der Walt and other temporary staff.

## OPERATIONALIZATION OF THE RESEARCH REQUEST

The operationalization of the research request resulted in the establishment of 18 work committees each being responsible for a different aspect of education. Although all the work committees were not identified at the first meeting, the following work committees were eventually established. (For each work committee the name of the Chairman is given who in all cases had to be a member of the Main Committee. The Chairman of the Main Committee is ex officio member of all the work committees.)

| Educational principles and policy | Prof. F. van der Stoep |
| :--- | :--- |
| Education Management | Dr K.B. Hartshorne |
| Education financing | Dr S.S. Brand |
| Education system planning | Mr J.B. Haasbroek |
| Curriculum development Prof. F. van der Stoep <br> Guidance Miss C.C. Regnart <br> Education for children with special <br> educational needs Dr J.G. Garbers <br> Building Services Mr F.A. Sonn <br> Health, medical and paramedical <br> services <br> Demography, Education and Manpower Mr R.D. Nobin <br> Teaching of the Natural Sciences,  <br> Mathematics and technical subjects  | Mr P.J. van der Merwe |

Recruiting and training of teachers Innovation strategies in education A programme for education of equal quality
Legal matters
Educational technology
Language and language instruction Education bibliography

Prof. N.T. van Loggerenberg Prof W.B. Vosloo

Prof. R.E. van der Ross
Mr M.C. O'Dowd
Mr A. Pittendrigh
Dr P.R.T. Nel

Only in the case of the last work committee was a chairman not appointed from the Main Committee. Miss H.J. Otto of the HSRC library compiled the bibliography for each of the work committees.

During the last stages of the Investigation a Synthesis Conmittee was appointed to consolidate especially the work of three work committees, namely Education management, Education system planning and Education financing. The chairman of the Main Committee.of the Investigation into Education was appointed chairman of the Synthesis Committee.

THE SCOPE OF THIS REPORT AND THE CONSTITUTION OF THE WORK COMMITTEE
This report deals with the activities of the work committee: Demography, education and manpower. The first meeting of this committee took place on 16 September 1980 followed by a further three meetings before the final report was ready for submission to the Main Committee.

Dr P.J. van der Merwe was appointed as chairman of this work committee. He acted as chairman at the first two meetings but, owing to parliamentary duties, could not attend the last two meetings and Dr P. Smit took the chair.

The following persons were appointed members of the work committee.
Dr P.J. van der Merwe - Chairman
Dr P. Smit
Mr M.C. O'Dowd
Mr A. Pittendrigh
Mr F.A. Sonn
Dr R.H. Venter

Mr C. van Niekerk

| Dr S.W.H. Engelbrecht - | Secretary |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mr D.J. Bezuidenhout | - | Recording secretary |

The work committee met on the following dates.
16 September 1980
10 November 1980
15 Januarie 1981
16 March 1981

## RESEARCH AND RESEARCH ASSISTANCE

The research fell under the following three headings. Demography, Education and Manpower
(a) Demography: Dr P. Smit, assisted by Mr P.C. Kok, handed in a report illustrated by means of maps on the growth and migration of the population. This report was included, without any changes as part of the final report.
(b) Education: Mr C. van Niekerk, assisted by Messrs D.J. Bezuidenhout and P.J.T. Hamman submitted three reports to the work committee in which historical data, with projections up to 2020, were provided in respect of numbers of pupils and students for all population groups and all educational institutions from the primary to the tertiary levels. These data were also made available to other work committees e.g. to the work comnittee Financing of education and the work committee for Building services for the calculation of education financing and accommodation.
(c) Manpower: A report of the SA Institute for Manpower research of the HSRC was received by the work committee. Frequent use was also made of the findings of the Manpower commission as reflected in its report on high-level manpower, when the final report of the work committee was compiled.

The reports that were received as well as the final draft report of the work committee, were submitted to Prof J.L. Sadie of the University of Stellenbosch for evaluation. He generally agreed with the report with the proviso that the high population projections of the HSRC in respect of the Black population should rather be regarded as medium projections.

The report was compiled by Mr C. van Niekerk of the South African Institute for Educational Research of the Human Sciences Research Council.

THANKS FOR CO-OPERATION
The work committee wishes to thank all the people who submitted reports and especially Prof. Sadie for his evaluation of the projection methods.

REPORT OF THE WORK COMMITTEE: DEMOGRAPHY, EDUCATION AND MANPOWER

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Work Committee, as its name indicates paid attention to three aspects of the investigation, namely demography, education and manpower as applicable to the Investigation into Education.

This synopsis of the reports dealt with by the Work Committee, only provides an overall view of the conclusions that were drawn - and frequent reference will be made to the original reports and documents for more detailed information. A large number of tables and graphs on each of the four population groups of the RSA appear in the original reports, but for the purposes of this report a few abridged tables and graphs have been included as appendixes for all the different population groups together. The different techniques used for the projections are also not fully dealt with in the report, but nevertheless, appear in abridged form as appendixes. Five maps of South Africa are also included to indicate the mobility of the different population groups.

The following factors must be kept in mind when the report is being dealt with:
(i) The data basis of the South African population is incomplete in many respects; reliable figures for the number of births and deaths of Blacks are lacking. This makes any projection difficult.
(ii) The South African population is undergoing structural changes and the urbanization of the Black population especially could influence the projections to a great extent.
(iii) Owing to the political development in South Africa immigration figures have been very uncertain during the past few years. There has for instance, been an increase of White immigrants from Zimbabwe recently and future trends will depend on political deve lopment.
(iv) As far as projections for figures regarding secondary and tertiary education are concerned, it is assumed that differentiation will remain unchanged in the education system.
(v) Finally it must be pointed out that any projections for a period as long as 40 years must be treated with great circumspection
2. DEMOGRAPHY

Demographic data in respect of the present and expected numbers of the different population groups in South African are of the utmost importance to the Investigation into Education. Knowledge of fertility, mortality, migration and mobility of the population groups is essential for planning purposes with a view to projections of the total and school population as well as the labour force of the future.

During 1976 the Department of Statistics (DOS) made population projections based on the 1970 census and mid-year estimates. 1) In 1980 the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC), however released a publication ${ }^{2)}$ in which new projections were made that differed considerably from those of DOS for the following reasons:
> "Official statistics show that the birth-rate of Coloured people and Asians decreased during the period 1970 to 1977 by 25,3\% and 24,4\% respectively. The corresponding decrease in the White birth-rate was 26,7\%. .No official fertility data are available for the Blacks, but according to an investigation by the BSRC it appears as if a decrease in the birht-rate of this population group did occur though not to the same extent as was the case with the Whites, Coloureds and Asians. ${ }^{3}$ )

Since only preliminary figures are available in respect of the 1980 census, it was decided to use the population projections of the HSRC as the basis

1. Department of Statistics: Report 02-06-01 1976
2. Van Tonder J.L. and Mostert W.P. Population projections for
Southern Africa for the period 1970-2020. HSRC Report S 731980
3. Ibid. p.1
for the projection of numbers of pupils and not those of DOS in view of the sharp decrease in the birth-rate of the different population groups. The HSRC made two population projections based on a high and a low fertility level respectively.

The projection based on the low fertility level was accepted for use in further projections. The projections of the HSRC include the three independent Black states. ${ }^{\text {4) }}$

The percentages by which the population is expected to increase over 40 years and the annual growth-rate will be as follows:

|  | White | Coloured |  | Asian |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Black |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage increase | 17,05 |  | 56,95 |  | 55,40 |
|  |  | 88,96 |  |  |  |
| Annual growth-rate | 0,39 | 1,13 |  | 1,11 | 1,60 |

For purposes of comparison the two different projections, namely that of DOS and that of the HSRC in Table 1, are indicated in Appendix A.

The estimated percentage each population group is and will form of the total population, is as follows:

TABLE 1: PERCENTAGE DIVISION OF THE POPULATION

| YEAR | TOTAL POPULATION | WHITE | COLOURED | ASIAN | BLACK |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 27319980 | 16,46 | 9,29 | 2,98 | 71,27 |
| 2000 | 38404800 | 13,24 | 8,99 | 2,83 | 75,01 |
| 2020 | 47304870 | 11,13 | 8,42 | 2,67 | 77,78 |

4. White migration decreased to such an extent in recent years that it was not taken into account in the population projections. According to the preliminary figures of the 1980 census, there were 4453000 Whites, 2554000 Coloureds 795000 Indians and 15970000 Blacks (excluding the independent Black states). Prof. J.L. Sadie is of the opinion that the Black population has been underestimated and that the high projection of the HSRC must be accepted as a medium one.

The decrease in the birth-rate can be seen clearly in the case of the Whites, Coloureds and Asians.

The population projections are indicated in Figure 1, Appendix B and the projected average number of births for five-year periods are indicated in Table 2 Appendix C.

### 2.1 POPULATION GROWTH AND MIGRATION

### 2.1.1 Introduction

Population migrations have far-reaching implications for the provision of education facilities. In the past population migrations were not given the necessary consideration when localities and sizes of schools were determined. Socio-economic forces, the greater mobility urban Blacks for instance, have achieved in moving from one administration area to another without violating rights governed by Section 10 , relaxation of influx control measures, phasing out of work reservation etc. will in future promote even greater mobility. Population migrations must therefore receive the necessary attention in future planning of education facilities. The 1980-census, contains for the first time, data which make a detailed analysis of population migration possible. It is expected that the data will, however, only be available in April 1982..") Migrations have therefore been analyzed according to an adapted magisterial district basis for the period 1970-80. This macro analysis, however, indicates important trends that can serve as general guidelines for the planning of education facilities.

In the interpretation of this analysis the following must be taken into consideration:
(1) These are preliminary data and are only available for the total population according to racial group. A breakdown of data according to urban and rural on a comparative basis is not available at this stage.

Questions regarding place of birth and where persons resided on 6 May 1975 were included in the 1980 -sensus and this makes detail analysis possible.
(2) Consolidation of land of the national states and re-establishment as, for instance, in East London, has a definite influence, especially on the Black population.
(3) Transkei, Bophuthatswana and Venda are excluded.

The Unit for Research of the Future of the University of Stellenbosch (1980) stated quite correctly: "Owing to the migration of the people and the changing of political and administrative borders, which in some cases resulted in artificially high growth-rates market researchers and planners will have to be exceedingly careful when they wish to compare the 1970 and 1980 census results:"

### 2.1.2 Migration according to province

The migration pattern is dominated by two characteristics, namely a move to the north and north-east and greater concentrations of especially Whites in the large metropolitan areas.

The population's migration to the north is reflected in the fact that the Cape Province had the largest percentage $(36,7 \%)$ in 1936 of the entire population and $39,4 \%$ of the Whites, but that only $23,5 \%$ of the total population and $27,8 \%$ of the Whites lived in this province by 1980 (see Table 2). Transvaal and Natal constantly increased their share of the total population at the expense of the Orange Free State and the Cape Province. Natal experienced an increase of $31,3 \%$ as against an increase of $\mathbf{2 6 , 1 \%}$ of the total population of the RSA in the period 1970-1980, whilst areas in the Transvaal with 29,0\%, also showed an increase above the mean for the country. The Cape Province (19,7\%) and the Orange Free State ( $16,9 \%$ ) lagged, relatively speaking further and further behind the other provinces.

TABLE 2: POPULATION ACCORDING TO PROVINCE: 1980

| Province |  | Whites | Coloureds | Asians | Blacks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cape | : Number \% | $\begin{array}{r} 1213518 \\ (27,81) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 194796 \\ \quad(85,93) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27704 \\ & (3,49) \end{aligned}$ | $2 \begin{aligned} & 107480 \\ & (13,26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5543498 \\ (23,48) \end{array}$ |
| Natal | : Number | $\begin{aligned} & 560414 \\ & (12,84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89641 \\ & (3,51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 654922 \\ & (82,42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4418238 \\ (27,80) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 523215 \\ (24,25) \end{array}$ |
| Transvaal | : Number | $\begin{array}{r} 2278546 \\ \cdot(52,21) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 217805 \\ (8,53) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112013 \\ & (14,10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 741981 \\ (48,71) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \quad 350345 \\ (43,85) \end{array}$ |
| OFS | : Number $\%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 311581 \\ (7,14) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51829 \\ & (2,03) \end{aligned}$ | $(-)^{0}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1624883 \\ (10,22) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1988293 \\ (8,42) \end{array}$ |
| tital | : Number \% | $\begin{array}{r} 4364059 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2554,071 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 794639 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15892582 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23605351 \\ (100,0) \end{array}$ |

As far as the absolute numbers of the total population are concerned, 57 districts in the Cape Province and 21 in the Orange Free State lost population, while only 19 districts in the Transvaal and 17 in Natal showed a decrease in the total population (Table 3).
table 3: NUMBER OF MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS WITH ABSOLUTE INCREASES AND DECREASES IN POPULATION BETWEEN 1970 AND 1980 (PER POPULATION GROUP)

|  |  | Number of districts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Category | White | Coloured | Asian | Black | Total |
| CAPE | Increases | 29 | 77 | 51 | 48 | 61 |
|  | Decreases | 89 | 40 | 27 | 70 | 57 |
| NATAL | Increases | 29 | 36 | 27 | 43 | 47 |
|  | Decreases | 35 | 27 | 31 | 21 | 17 |
| TRANSVAAL | Increases | 50 | 68 | 44 | 62 | 65 |
|  | Decreases | 34 | 14 | 30 | 22 | 19 |
| OFS | Increases | 12 | 38 | - | 32 | 29 |
|  | Decreases | 38 | 12 | - | 18 | 21 |
| TOTAL | Increases | 120 | 219 | 122 | 185 | 202 |
|  | Decreases | 196 | 93 | 88 | 131 | 114 |

### 2.1.3 Growth in the national states

Border changes, consolidation, resettlement and the posisibility that an underenumeration might have occurred in 1970 are responsible for the abnormally high increases in the population in the national states during the period 1970-1980. As against an average growth of 29,5\% of the total Black population,
the national states experienced an average growth of $65,8 \%$ in the period between censuses. Qwa Qwa (512,1\%), Kwa Ndebele (412,0\%) and KaNgwane (201,5\%) are especially worth mentioning in this regard. (Table 4).

### 2.1.4 Growth according to magisterial districts: 1970-1980

The Perloff shift technique has been used in order to obtain an overall view of the migration of the population. This technique is based on the principle that the population of each district is expected to grow at the same rate as that of the country as a whole. The deviation from this expected growth indicates a relative growth (gain) or decrease (loss) in population. According to this, the percentual contribution to the total relative growth or decrease of the population for all districts, is determined. This provides the key for comparing different districts with one another. A magisterial district may consequently experience an increase in absolute numbers between two censuses, but be below the mean for the country and therefore lag behind. As far as the total population is concerned, Johannesburg did show an increase of 8638 persons, but the growth should have been much higher and therefore it is one of the districts with the largest relative decrease in population.

TABLE 4: TOTAL GROWTH ACCORDING TO NATIONAL STATE (1970-1980)

| State | White | Coloured | Asian | Black | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ciskei | $-55,06 \%$ | $-21,20 \%$ | $-57,14 \%$ | $76,44 \%$ | $73,82 \%$ |
| KwaZulu | $-53,25 \%$ | $-14,30 \%$ | $-21,18 \%$ | $52,72 \%$ | $52,11 \%$ |
| Gazankulu | $60,54 \%$ | $402,04 \%$ | $-75,00 \%$ | $71,72 \%$ | $71,76 \%$ |
| Lebowa | $-38,36 \%$ | $110,08 \%$ | $-27,91 \%$ | $60,83 \%$ | $60,34 \%$ |
| QwaQwa | $40,82 \%$ | +- | - | $514,51 \%$ | $512,08 \%$ |
| KaNgwane | $-81,22 \%$ | $570,00 \%$ | +- | $204,12 \%$ | $201,56 \%$ |
| KwaNdebele | $-74,47 \%$ | 20,00 | 140,00 | $414,96 \%$ | $412,03 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $-44,69 \%$ | $-11,34 \%$ | $-21,20 \%$ | $66,57 \%$ | $65,80 \%$ |

+ No population: . 1970
(a) The total population

In Figure 2 Appendix D indicating the migratory gain or loss of the entire population, the earlier statement that the South African population is migrating in a northern and north-easterly direction is emphasized. The following ten districts have experienced the most rapid growth, relatively speaking, and were responsible for more than 40\% of the migration gains: MdutJana, Witsieshoek, Kempton Park, Madadeni, Wynberg, Eerstehoek, Embumbulu, Vanderbijlpark, Mdantsane and Sekhukhuneland. Districts which experienced the highest loss in the migration were; in sequence Durban, Johannesburg, Cape Town, East London, Krugersdorp, Pilgrim's Rest and Pretoria.

## (b) The Whites

The White population experienced absolute decreases in 196 magistertal districts, while 120 districts experienced increases. Great decreases were experienced in 89 districts in the Cape and 38 districts in the Orange Free State. More than $53 \%$ of all migratory gains were absorbed by the PWV area and the South-Western Cape, on approximately 2 \% of the area of the land. Depopulation of the rural areas indicated by Smit (1973) is still taking place in especially the central Karoo, Southern Free State, Northern and North-Western Transvaal.

Figure 3 Appendix $E$ indicates the relative migratory gains and losses. Ten districts with the highest relative migratory gains are responsible for almost $60 \%$ of the total migratory gains namely Kempton Park, Bellville, Alberton, Pinetown, Randburg, Boksburg, Pretoria, Newcastle, Hoëveldrif and Roodepoort. The number of Whites increased at a relatively slow rate in the following districts and therefore they lagged furthest behind in respect of the average for the country: Johannesburg, Durban, Port Elizabeth, East London, Cape Town, Krugersdorp, Germiston and Westonaria. As in the case of the great cities of the Western world, the Uhites are also moving out of the central parts of the cities to the border areas. A considerable degree of intra-urban migration is, for instance, being observed in Johannesburg and Cape Town and this should be given serious attention in planning. (See, in this connection, Zietsman, 1980; Scheepers, 1973.) The population of older residential quarters in bigger urban areas is becoming older so that the number of children of school-age is decreasing. The age profile of the population of residential quarters
should be taken into consideration when school facilities are planned

## (c) The Coloured people

In terms of absolute numbers the Coloured people decreased in 40 districts of the Cape province, especially the Karoo and the North-West Cape. The South-Western Cape (especially Wynberg, Kuils River and Belville) experienced the greatest in-migration of Coloureds. It is noticeable that 68 districts in the Transvaal, 38 in the Orange Free State and 36 in Natal experienced absolute increases of Coloureds.

Figure 4, Appendix $F$ shows the relative migratory gains and losses. The ten magisterial districts with the largest relative increases are Wynberg ( $34,3 \%$ ) Kuils River ( $8,4 \%$ ), Bellville ( $6,9 \%$ ) Kimberley ( $4,1 \%$ ) Inanda, Alberton, Malmesbury, Uitenhage, Vredenburg and Randfontein. The magisterial districts with the greatest relative decreases are Cape Town, Durban, Port Elizabeth, Graaff-Reinet, Beaufort-West, Calvinia, Stellenbosch, Germiston, Riversdale and Krugersdorp. It is clear that the Coloured population is very mobile and therefore accurate demographic analyses must be made before facilities are provided, especially in the rural parts of the Cape Province. The decrease in the birth-rate must also be taken into consideration.

## (d) The Asians

The Asian population provided evidence of absolute decreases in 88 magisterial districts, while 122 districts experienced absolute increases. Figure 5 Appendix $G$ shows the relative migratory gains and losses. The three districts Inanda, Pinetown and Pietermaritzburg absorbed more than $80 \%$ of the relative increases of the Asians. Other districts that experienced relatively great increases were Benoni, Johannesburg, and Empumalanga. Relative decreases occurred especially in districts such as Durban, Lower Tugela, Vulindlela, Kwa Mapumulu and Umzinto.
(e) The Black population

It has already been indicated that very rapid increases in the number of Blacks occurred in the national states. The Black population indicated absolute increases in 185 magisterial districts and absolute decreases in 131 districts.

Figure 6 Appendix $H$ shows the relative migratory gains and losses. The districts with the greatest relative increases are Mdutjana, Witsieshoek, Madadeni, Eerstehoek, Kempton Park, Embumbulu, Vanderbijlpark, Mdantsane, Sekhukhuneland and Zwelitsha. Districts which experienced relatively big decreases in Black population figures were Durban, East London, Krugersdorp, Pilgrim's Rest, Middelburg (Tvl), Bloemfontein, Pretoria, Vryheid, Soutpansberg and Randburg.

Resettlement schemes, planning of urban areas (that will require urgent attention during the next few years) and consolidation of land must be taken into consideration when education facilities are planned in the case of the Black population.

As from January 1968 all family housing in Black residential quarters situated near national states was frozen. Family housing thereafter occurred mostly in nearby homeland towns. After 1976 these restrictions were lifted, and large-scale housing projects were launched in Black residential quarters to eliminate the backlog. It is expected to have a great influence on the number of children. The policy of providing secondary education mainly in national states, has also been changed and this will affect the number of children of school-age in Black residential quarters. The SouthWestern Cape still remains a preferential area for Coloured labour and Black men are mainly allowed to enter the area as migratory labourers. The influx to the area and the development of residential quarters to house the squatters of, for instance, Kruispad, will affect the population composition of the Blacks drastically and will possibly affect the number of children.

NB. Information on each magisterial district is available from the HSRC and can be supplied on request.

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

In order to arrive at reasonably reliable projections of numbers of pupils, certain demographic data are indispensable. The most important of these are population projections according to age and birth-rate. Mortality figures have been ignored in the projections, because they would probably only have a slight effect on the projected figures.

Research in education encounters many problems because education statistics are so unreliable. When different sources such as the annual reports of the education departments are compared with the education statistics published by DOS, they differ in respect of pupils and staff. This of course makes projections more difficult.
3.2 PROJECTIONS OF PUPILS AND STAFF OF ORDINARY SCHOOLS ${ }^{6}$ )

According to comments received from various organizations, most problem areas in education are found at the ordinary school level. In order to find solutions for these problems, a clear image of primary and secondary education of all population groups is essential. Historical data for the period 1967-1978 were therefore used as the basis for the projections of numbers of pupils and staff to 2020.

The population projections of the HSRC ${ }^{7}$ ) indicate the population according to age categories. The population in the age group 6 to 18 was extracted by means of interpolation. The total number of pupils was expressed as a percentage of the population between the ages 6 to 18 for the period 1970 to 1978. This series of percentages was projected with the aid of mathematical curve fitting up to the year 2020. The projected percentages were then
6 Van Niekerk, C. and Bezuidenhout, D.J.: Data on the numbers of
pupils and teachers for all population groups with projections
up to the year 2020.

7 Van Tonder, J.L. and Mostert,W.P. Op. cit.
applied to the projected population in the age group 6 to 18 to find the projected number of pupils and both high and low projections were made (See Figure 7 Appendix J)

The procedure outlined in the above paragraph was followed to project the number of secondary pupils. In this case, however the 13 to 18 age group of the population was dealt with. The projected number of secondary pupils was deducted from the projected total number of pupils in order to find the number of primary pupils. The effect of the decrease in the birthrate is clearly noticeable in some and especially in the White population group. In this case it is possible to refer to it as a trend projection.

The point of departure in the investigation into education is the desire to treat all population groups equally, in other words the realization of parity in education. With a view to this, further projections of primary and secondary pupils were made and deduced from the projections of the total number of pupils by means of supposition (with a view to parity) in respect of the ratio of secondary pupils to the total number of pupils. In the case of the Whites it was assumed that the ratio had stabilized at 0,365 or $36,50 \%$ of the total number of pupils, whereas logistic curves with a saturation value of 0,365 were accepted as the expected future trend in the other cases. This method provides a parity projection.

In table 5 the extrapolated ratio is shown on a percentage basis for the different population groups, if the ideal of parity in 2020 is to be realised.
table 5: RATIO OF SECONDARY PUPILS TO TOTAL NUMBER OF PUPILS

| Year | Whites \% | Coloureds \% | Asians \% | Blacks \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1980 | 36,50 | 17,45 | 30,09 | 15,25 |
| 1990 | 36,50 | 23,89 | 34,93 | 21,63 |
| 2000 | 36,50 | 29,08 | 36,16 | 27,26 |
| 2010 | 36,50 | 32,50 | 36,43 | 31,28 |
| 2020 | 36,50 | 34,45 | 36,48 | 33,72 |

If factors such as the present backlog in education of certain Non-White populations, the limited funds, inadequate accommodation and especially
the shortage of teachers are taken into consideration, it is very doubtful whether parity can be achieved within a mere 40 years. In the case of the Non-White population groups the trend projection therefore, on the whole yields figures which are lower than the parity projection shows. Nevertheless, the comparison of the two projections is very valuable, because it indicates, by means of figures, to what extent the passage from primary to secondary levels must be effected in the case of the Non-White pupils to achieve approximate parity in 2020.

Table 3 Appendix $K$ which is attached in respect of the four population groups shows both the trend as well as the parity projections of secondary pupils, and in both cases high and low projections are provided.

Owing to the sharp decline in the number of pupils on account of decreasing birth-rate the projection of teaching staff is based on a determination of needs rather than on an estimate of present trends. To achieve this certain suppositions had to be made, as will be indicated later on in respect of the expected number of pupils per teacher, the so-called pupil density.

### 3.2.1 Projections of White pupils

Table 4, Appendix L reveals the number of White pupils in provincial, provincially-aided and private schools, classified according to primary and secondary. level, for the period 1967 to 1978, with projections up to 2020 according to the parity method. Owing to the fact that Std 5 is usually still linked with the primary school, the pupils in this standard have been included in the figures for primary school pupils.

According to Table 4 Appendix $L$ it is clear that the supposition that the persentage of White secondary school pupils has stablilized at approximately 36,50 is justified, because both the trend and the parity methods correlate to a large extent for the high and the low projections.

All the projections for both the primary and the secondary pupils provide evidence of a turning-point in 1985 and after that a gradual levelling off in pupil numbers. According to the trend projection, there will be 372073 White secondary pupils in 1985 as against the 357247 secondary pupils according to the parity projection (in both cases reference is made to the
low projections). By the year 2020 the trend and the parity projections for secondary school pupils will amount to 283092 and 273538 respectively.

The high and the low projections according to the parity method are expressed in Figure 8, Appendix M. If the trend projection is expressed graphically it would reveal the same sharp decline in pupils numbers. The implications of the declining numbers of pupils in so far as staff and accommodation are affected during the nineties and thereafter are obvious.

### 3.2.2 Projections of Coloured pupils

Table 5 Appendix $N$ reveals the actual and the expected number of primary and secondary Coloured pupils, calculated according to the parity method. High and low projections are once again provided. According to the table, the total number of Coloured pupils will increase from 421319 in 1967 to 827856
in 2000 (i.e. almost double) and after that this number will decrease to 681241 in 2020 owing to the decline in the birth-rate of the coloureds.

The ratio of primary to secondary pupils is important because it entails important financial implications for education. The Work Committee:
Education financing indicated that the unit cost increases in accordance with the higher educational levels, and that it for instance costs much more to train a secondary school pupil than a primary school one. In 1967 only $10 \%$ of the Coloured pupils were in the secondary section (42 142); therefore, according to the parity method the percentage will have to rise to $34,45 \%$ in 2020 , which will represent 234688 secondary school pupils. According to the trend method it is expected that there will only be 208726 secondary school pupils in 2020. The flow from the primary to the secondary section will have to increase considerably if there is to be any semblance of parity.

The high and the low projections according to the parity method are inlicated in Figure 9 Appendix 0.

### 3.2.3 Projections of Asian pupils

The comments made in respect of the Coloured pupils' projections are also applicable to Asian pupils, together with the interesting phenomenon that :he Asian pupils, of all the Non-White population groups, have come closest
to the White standard of education.

According to Table 6 Appendix $P$ the total number of Asian pupils will increase from 155504 in 1967 to 244594 in 1990, i.e. by $57,29 \%$. After that the number of pupils will decline to 208910 in 2020, which approximately agrees with the total number of Asian pupils in 1978. This decline represents $14,59 \%$.

Of the total number of Asian pupils in 1970, namely $162913,24,32 \%$ ( 39615 ) were in the secondary section. In order to reach parity with the White percentage of pupils in 2020, the number of Asian secondary school pupils will have to rise to 76210 which will then represent $36,48 \%$ of the total number of Asian pupils. According to the trend method it is expected that there will be 76140 pupils and this approximately corresponds to the number according to the parity method.

The high and the low projections according to the parity method are given in Figure 10, Appendix $Q$.

### 3.2.4 Projections of Black pupils*

Table 7 Appendix $R$ shows the actual and the expected number of Black pupils, divided according to primary and secondary level (parity method). The pupils of the three independent Black states are included because the population projections of the HSRC on which the projections of numbers of pupils are based, also include the independent Black states.

The total number of Black pupils increased from 2163952 in 1967 to 7534628 in 2010 , i.e. by 248,19 per cent and then there is a slight decline afterwards to 7362952 in 2020, i.e. by only 2,29\%.

In 1970 only $9,42 \%$ of all Black pupils ( 257 929) were in the secondary section. In order to reach approximate parity with the percentage of White pupils in 2020, the number of Black pupils will have to increase to 2484514 which will represent 33,72 \% of the total number of Blacks pupils.

According to the trend method it is expected that there will, however,

* According to Prof. J.L. Sadie the high projection of the HSRC for Blacks must be accepted as the median projection and this will, of course, also apply to Black pupils.
by only 2050298 secondary school pupils at school in 2020. It therefore appears to be a hopeless task to stimulate the flow of Black pupils from the primary to the secondary section to such an extent that the ideal of parity will be realized in 2020 . The high and the low projections according to the parity method appear in Figure 11, Appendix S.


### 3.3 CUMULATIVE STANDARD DISTRIBUTION OF PUPILS

Certain deductions can be made from Table 8 Appendix $T$ in respect of the standard distribution of the different population groups. It is clear according to the numbers and the percentages, that the Black and Coloured pupils are concentrated in the lower standards. So for instance 10,14\% of the White pupils in 1978 were in Grade 1 as against $16,48 \%$ and 19,92 \% respectively in the case of Coloured and Black pupils. More than half of the total number of Black pupils had not yet reached Std 2, whereas more than three quarters ( $79,34 \%$ ) of the Black pupils were in the primary school.* The Coloureds were approximately in the same position as the Blacks, while the pupil distribution of Asian pupils was more or less the same as that of the Whites.

### 3.4 CUMULATIVE AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PUPILS

It can be accepted that pupils should normally complete the primary school career at the age of twelve years. The percentages of pupils of the four population groups who were under twelve years of age in 1978, were as follows:

| Whites | $\mathbf{9 6 , 8 3} \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Coloureds | $81,60 \%$ |
| Asians | $91,43 \%$ |
| Blacks | $72,97 \%$ |

Under normal circumstances a pupil ought to finish his schooling at the age of 18. In the case of the Whites only $0,40 \%$ of the pupils in 1978 were over 18 years old in comparison with $18,67 \%$ of the Black pupils.

[^0]
### 3.5 REPORT ON TEACHERS IN ORDINARY SCHOOLS

### 3.5.1 Number of teachers

Table 9 Appendix $U$ reflects the number of teachers for the four population groups during the period 1968 to 1978. From 1970 to 1978 the number of teachers in ordinary schools increased as follows to keep pace with the increase of pupils:

Whites : Increased by an average of $1,68 \%$ per year from 41337 to 47233

Coloureds : . Increased by an average of 5,06 \% per year from 16483 to 24461
Asians : Increased by an average of $2,92 \%$ per year from 6057 to 7626

Blacks : Increased by an average of 8,43 \% per year from 33528 to 64049

### 3.5.2 Number of pupils per teacher

The number of pupils per teacher (pupil density) determines the number of teachers required and this has financial implications in the sense that teachers' salaries take up between $70 \%$ and $80 \%$ of the total education expenditure.

In 1978 the pupil density for the four population groups was as follows:

| White | $:$ | 20,16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coloured | $:$ | 29,52 |
| Asian | $:$ | 27,21 |

Black:
White areas: 46,76
National states 49,92

It can be accepted that the White pupil density figure has stabilized at 20. If the ideal is parity by 2020 and the same pupil density is accepted for all population groups as that for the Whites, the following number of teachers will be required for the four population groups on the basis of the projected numbers of pupils:

| Population group | Teachers in 2020 | $\frac{\text { Mean growth-rate per year }}{\left(\frac{1980-2020)}{}\right.}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 37471 teachers | -0,65 |
| Coloured | 34062 teachers | 0,73 |
| Asian | 10446 teachers | 0,64 |
| Black | 368107 teachers | 3,40 |

See Table 10 Appendix V

### 3.5.3 Teachers' qualifications

Important factors in education are the qualifications and years experience of the teachers, because they have financial implications. Table 11 Appendix $W$ reveals the qualifications of teachers of the four population groups in 1978. The relatively low qualifications of many Coloured and Black teachers cause concern. The qualifications of teachers who are in possession of a teachers' certificate or diploma, are represented in Figure 12, Appendix X.

### 3.6 POST-SCHOOL AND TERTIARY EDUCATION ${ }^{8)}$

### 3.6.1 Introduction

The educational institutions treated under this heading are the technical institutes, technical colleges, technikons, teachers' training colleges and the universities. For the sake of conciseness the three institutions mentioned first will be dealt with together because of the similarity as regards the nature of the training they provide.

### 3.6.2 Technical institutes, technical colleges and technikons

The three educational institutions especially responsible for providing vocational training, have much in common, apart from the fact that technical colleges are government institutions, whereas the other two are state-aided educational institutions. The order in which they appear in the heading, indicates the stages of development. One important point of difference is that technikons provide training exclusively at postmatriculation level, for instance to technicians, whereas the other two

[^1]offer courses at pre-matriculation level, for instance for apprentices.

The students can be grouped into three categories, namely full-time, part-time and occasional students. They attend classes in different ways, namely for a full year, a semester, a trimester, a quarter, parttime, after hours or short courses of a few lectures or demonstrations.

It is very difficult to make a projection in the case of such a large variety of students and duration of class attendance. The task would be easier if there were an acceptable formula for converting all students to full-time students, but such formulae are very unsatisfactory. Under these circumstances historical data of number of students are used for the period 1969 to 1978 and no projections are made.

There are 7 technikons and one providing correspondence courses for the Whites, one each for the Coloureds and Asians and two for the Blacks. In 1980 there were 28 technical colleges and 37 technical institutes for Whites, and five technical colleges and three technical institutes for Coloureds. The Blacks have two technical colleges and sixteen technical institutes. There are no separate technical colleges or institutes for the Asians, and this work is also done by the M.L. Sultan Technikon.

Table 12 Appendix Y reveals the number of full-time, part-time and occasional students at the White technikons, technical colleges and technical institutes for the period 1969 to 1978. In 1978 there were all together 44744 White students at technikons, 37890 at technical colleges and 24800 at technical institutes. The three Non-White population groups are on the whole in an inferior position as far as technical and vocational training are concerned. There were only 1356 Coloured students at the technikon in 1980, while there were all together 3733 students at technical colleges and institutes in 1977. In 1978 there were all together 6827 students at the M.L. Sultan Technikon while the 16 technical institutes for Blacks had 233 female and 2636 male students.

### 3.6.3 Teachers' training colleges

Only historical data are provided for teachers' training colleges. It is difficult to provide projections of pupil teachers, because the inflow to
the colleges is determined by the number of teachers required. As a result of a decreasing birth-rate and a consequent decrease in the number of pupils, the need for teachers will also gradually decline if the figure for pupil density remains constant. In the case of population groups with a present high density figure, as for instance in the case of Black education, the need for teachers will continue to grow to the extent that the numbers of pupils increase and as the pupil density figure declines.

In 1980 the following numbers of teachers' training colleges existed for the different population groups:

| Whites | 20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Coloureds | 14 |
| Asians | 2 |
| Blacks | : |
| White ar National | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { eas : } & 7 \\ \text { states: } & 30 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 73 |

In the report to the Work Committee referred to in the footnote at paragraph 3.6, separate tables of the number of teachers for the four population groups are given according to sex. Table 13 Appendix $Z$ shows only the total number of pupil teachers at the 73 teachers' training colleges.

### 3.6.4 Universities

### 3.6.4.1 Residential universities for Whites

There are different factors which make it difficult to make a projection of university students. Of these the following are the most important ones
(a) The declining birth-rate
(b) The possibility that certain diploma courses at universities may be transferred to the technikons.
(c) The fact that the subsidies to universities are also based on the progress students make.
(d) The ever-rising cost of study at a university.

The method that was employed to project the number of students at universities is explained in report no. 004/4. Table 14 Appendix AA shows the actual and projected number of White students. It is expected that there will be 18,98 students per thousand of the White population at universities in 2020.

### 3.6.4.2 Coloured students at residential universities

According to Table 15, Appendix $B B$ the Coloured students at the University of the Western Cape and at certain White universities will increase from 982 in 1968 to 12020 in 2020, i.e. with $1124 \%$. Though there was only 0,56 per thousand of the Coloured population at university in 1970, it is expected that the figure will grow to $\mathbf{3 , 0 2}$ per thousand in 2020.

In order to obtain an indication of the number of Coloured students in 2020 in order to achieve parity, the number of White students can be expressed per thousand of the population in the age group 20 to 24 and the same figure can be applied to the Coloured population in that age group. If this is done, it can be expected that there will be 90542 Coloured students in 2020.

### 3.6.4.3 Asian students at residential universities

Table 16 Appendix CC reveals the actual and the expected number of Asian students at the Durban-Westville University and at certain White residential universities. According to the projection the number of Asian students will increase from 2130 in 1968 to 14066 in 2020 , i.e. by $560 \%$. Though there were only 3,84 per thousand of the Asian population at university in 1970, the number will grow to 11,13 per thousand in 2020 . If the same ratio of White students to White population, namely 18,98 is applied to the Asians with a view to parity, there is a possibility of 27342 Asian students at university in 2020.

### 3.6.4.4 Black students at residential universities

The method used in the case of the Whites, Coloureds and Asians for the
projection of student numbers, cannot be applied in the case of the Black students, because no birth-rate figures are available for Blacks. Two methods of projection were applied in Table 17 Appendix DD, which yielded the following results:
(a) a linear extrapolation which resulted in a total of 25589 students in 2020
(b) a linear extrapolation of the number of students per thousand of the population in the age group 20 to 24 years which was converted to the number of students and according to this, the total number of students will be 47146 in 2020.

The percentage increase in the number of students per thousand of the population in the age group 20 to 24 years from 1978 to 2020 for the four population groups is as follows:

| Whites | $:$ | $39,6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Coloures | $:$ | $153,2 \%$ |
| Asians | $:$ | $109,1 \%$ |
| Blacks | $:$ | $413,8 \%$ |

If the number of Black students per thousand of the population in the age group 20 to 24 years is equated with that of the Coloureds in 2020, it would imply a total of 123089 Black students. Corresponding calculations to achieve parity with Asians or Whites, yield a figure of 477208 and 927590 Black students respectively in the year 2020.

### 3.6.4.5 University of South Africa

Owing to the fact that the enrolled students of UNISA have a heterogeneous age structure, projections were made by means of extrapolation with a logistic curve. Certain adjustments were necessary in the case of Coloured students. Table 18 Appendix EE reveals that it is expected that there will be 127071 enrolled students in 2020 at UNISA. Figure 13, Appendix FF reveals the projection of students at residential universities and Figure 14 Appendix GG shows the position at UNISA.

### 3.7 EDUCATION OF THE HANDICAPPED CHILD ${ }^{9}$ )

The only basis for projections of pupils with different handicaps is according to the incidence per thousand of the school population. Such reliable figures do not exist in respect of South Africa and more specifically for the different population groups. The only possible solution is to express the number of pupils in the special schools for the handicapped, the Children's Act schools and the centres for mentally handicapped pupils of the Department of National Education per thousand of the ordinary school population and to accept this figure as the incidence figure. The assumption is that all White handicapped pupils are in the schools of DNE which, unforunately, is not always the case. Through lack of more reliable figures, this method must be used with the proviso that the number of pupils will probably be higher than reflected by the figures in the tables.

The following categories of pupils are dealt with in the report.
Auditory-handicapped : Deaf and hard-of-hearing
Visually handicapped : Blind and partially sighted
Physically handicapped
Neurally handicapped : Cerebral palsy, epileptics, pupils with specific learning impairment Category $C^{*}$

## Autists

Educable mentally handicapped
Pedagogically neglected pupils: Those in need of care (school of industries) and juvenile delinquents (reformatory)

Seeing that there are few facilities for the education of Non-White handicapped pupils, which means that many pupils cannot be admitted to special schools, the same projection method used for the Whites cannot be applied
9) Van Niekerk C, Bezuidenhout D.J. and Hamman P.J.T., Education for sensory, neurally and mentally handicapped and pedagogically deprived or behaviour deviant pupils. HSRC - Report 004/3-1980

* The categories A \& B specific learning impairment are the responsibility of the provincial education departments, while the category $C$ is the responsibility of the Department of National Education
to the Non-Whites. It was assumed that the incidence of the various handicaps of the Non-Whites correspond to those of the Whites, though it is a well-known fact that this is not always the case. Blindness for instance occurs more among Blacks than among Whites.

Two projections were made in respect of the Whites. The projection was first based on the number of pupils in the special schools in 1980, expressed per thousand of the expected number of White pupils. In the second place an attempt was made to make a projection based on the trend as the number of handicapped pupils increase or decrease. The latter method could however not be applied to the Non-Whites, because the published number of handicapped pupils does not reflect the true position. In report 004/3 more details are provided on the number of handicapped pupils. (See footnote at paragraph 3.7).

If the White incidence figure is applied to the three Non-White population groups, with a view to parity, the expected number of handicapped pupils in 2020, is indicated in the following table.

TABLE 6 : PROJECTED NUMBER OF HANDICAPPED PUPILS IN 2020

| Handicap | White | Coloured | As ian | Black |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auditory handicapped | 850 | 773 | 237 | 8536 |
| Visually handicapped | 398 | 362 | 96 | 3916 |
| Physically handicapped | 552 | 498 | 152 | 5389 |
| Cerebral-palsied | 987 | 775 | 275 | 9703 |
| Epilepsy | 441 | 401 | 123 | 4336 |
| Specific learning impairment | 1080 | 982 | 301 | 10616 |
| Autism | 46 | 42 | 12 | 456 |
| Mentally handicapped | 2210 | 2008 | 616 | 21710 |
| Committed | 1703 | 1549 | 465 | 16748 |

In this part of the report abundant use is made of the research of the Manpower Commissions Report on High-Level Manpower (HLM) in South Africa. ${ }^{10)}$ A report of the South African Institute for Manpower Research was also submitted to the Work Committee. ${ }^{11)}$ In conclusion certain data which were published in the publication RSA 2000 ${ }^{12)}$ and which had originally been published by Prof. Sadie and others were incorporated in the report.

The source of manpower is the population and Sadie divides the population into four socio-economic classes, namely the management group (Class I) the higher skilled ones (Class II) the semi-skilled ones (Class III) and the unskilled ones (Class IV).

Class I (management group) or HLM provides the persons who practise as entrepreneurs, bear responsibility, create job opportunities and are responsible for technological development. Of the management group 96 \% are Whites. It is also clear that the potential'for further employment of Whites at management level has virtually reached an optimum and that the other population groups will more often have to provide HLM to ensure a fair growth-rate for the economy.

The core of the matter that comes to the fore from the report of the Manpower Commission is this:

[^2]> "that South Africa will not be able to realise its development potential and offer all its people an acceptable standard of living if the country persists in trying to recruit its HLM mainly from the White population group; in fact, if we continue to do so, a relative deterioration may be expected in the course of time. It is therefore of the greatest inportance that all population groups, also in line with the accepted philosophy of the free market mechanism, should have full and equal opportunities to participate in the development processes to the full extent of their abilities and insight and to benefit accordingly. This also implies an attitude of faimess, justice and, in the words of the Prime Minister, the Honourable P.W. Botha, a "national will" among all population groups to work in this direction."13)

It is generally accepted that the economically active part of the population (the participation figure) falls in the age group 15 to 64. There are, of course, persons over 65 who are still in the labour force. According to the 1979 Manpower survey, the HLM of the RSA in April 1979 came to 468000 persons in professional, semi-professional and technical vocations, whereas 169900 persons were employed in managing, executive and administrative posts all together 637900 persons.

As has already been stated it is especially the managing group who form a very small percentage of the labour force and who have to provide the job opportunities for the great multitude of workers. According to Sadie this means that $81 \%$ of the population of the RSA are in a state of economic dependence, i.e. dependence on the HLM for the creation of job opportunities.

In Table 7 Sadie shows what the numerical strength of the labour force is expected to be in 2000.

[^3]TABLE 7 PROJECTED LABOUR FORCE 1980-2000 ('000) ${ }^{\text {14) }}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { POPULATION } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1980 |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | \% | M | F | T | \% |
| Whites | 1299 | 636 | 1935 | 18,4 | 1574 | 937 | 2511 | 14,27 |
| Asians | 212 | 60 | 272 | 2,6 | 305 | 120 | 425 | 2,41 |
| Coloureds | 652 | 366 | 1018 | 9,7 | 1016 | 606 | 1622 | 9,22 |
| Blacks | 4854 | 2435 | 7289 | 69,3 | 8335 | 4707 | 13042 | 74,10 |
| TOTAL | 7017 | 3497 | 10514 | 100,0 | 11230 | 6370 | 17600 | 100,00 |

From an estimated 10514000 in 1980 the labour force will, according to estimates, increase by 7086000 during the next 20 years to a total of 17600000 in 2020 without immigration. This represents an annual increase of $2,62 \%$ compared with $3,05 \%$ during the previous two decades. The growth rate is declining owing to the declining birth-rate. Nevertheless, in the next 20 years job opportunities will have to be created for 7086000 more persons at a rate of 1000 job opportunities a day compared to 2547000 persons who entered the labour market during the previous two decades.

Du Pisanie's ${ }^{15)}$ classification of the labour force according to industry, also estimates that there will be 17600000 in 2020, as can be seen from the following table.

In table 8 the peripheral sector consists of maintenance agriculture in the national states, domestic servants, non-classifiable persons in respect of industrial groups and unemployed ones. It is also clear that the total numbers in the modern sector will virtually increase from 1980 to 2000 and yet there is a chronic shortage in certain fields.

14 Sadie, J.L. Labour force 2000. RSA 20003 (2) HSRC, 1981, p. 28
15 Du Pisanie, J.A. Ruimtelike verbreding 2000. RSA 20002 (2), 1981, p. 49.

TABLE 8 POPULATION ACCORDING TO INDUSTRY 1980 AND 2000 (ALL POPULATION GROUPS) ('000)

| INDUSTRY | 1980 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Agriculture in White area | 973 | 780 |
| Mining and brickmaking | 725 | 885 |
| Manufacturing industry | 1238 | 2713 |
| Electricity gas and water | 95 | 225 |
| Construction | 593 | 1430 |
| Commerce and finance | 1102 | 2323 |
| Transport and communication | 423 | 875 |
| Government services | 876 | 2403 |
| Central government | 303 | 487 |
| Provincial administration | 217 | 670 |
| Local authorities | 139 | 390 |
| Black authorities | 217 | 856 |
| Other services excluding domestic servants | 237 | 237 |
| Total: Modern sector | 6262 | 11879 |
|  | Peripheral sector | 4252 |
| Total: Economically active | 10514 | 5729 |
| Not economically active | 17065 | 17600 |
|  | 27579 | 25400 |

According to Terblanche ${ }^{16)}$ there is a serious shortage in the so-called technological groups, namely engineers, physical scientists, technicians, artisans and apprentices. At present these posts are mainly filled by Whites. So, for instance, in $197999 \%$ of the engineers, $78 \%$ of the physical scientists, $91 \%$ of the technicians and $72 \%$ of the artisans and apprentices were Whites.

In table 9 the demand for technological manpower for 1979 is projected.

The table shows clearly how the technological manpower will have to increase within one short decade to provide for the demands of the economy and this is where the Non-White population groups will have to make an ever-increasing contribution. Unfortunately people, especially the Blacks, prefer to obtain degrees in the Humanities.
16 Terblanche, Van Pletzen and Jacobs, ibid. p. 24.
table 9 the demand for technological manpower in 1977 with projections FOR 1987

| VOCATIONAL GROUP |  | 1977 | 1987 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Engineers | M | 16000 | 21900 |
|  | F | 60 | 110 |
| Physical scientists | M | 8000 | 12100 |
| Engineering technicians | F | 1150 | 2440 |
|  | M | 41000 | 60000 |
| Artisans and apprentices | F | 1290 | 2150 |
|  | M | 287200 | 358000 |
| TOTAL | F | 8900 | 12300 |
|  | M | 352200 | 452000 |

In this report the emphasis will still fall on the HLM and the following table shows the ratio of HLM to total manpower for all four population groups for 1979. In the table farmers, farm labourers and domestic servants are excluded as well as the workers in Transkei and Bophuthatswana. The total for these groups was 4353100 and this number is excluded from the HLM.
table 10 the composition of hlm according to sex and population group - SOUTH AFRICA 1979 ${ }^{17}$ )

| POPULATION GROUP | HLM |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL MANPOWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M |  | F |  | T |  |  | M |  | F |  |  | T |
| Whites | 332369 | 126 | 419 | 458 | 788 |  | 014 |  | 564 |  |  | 578 | 969 |
| Coloureds | 17.902 |  | 918 | 49 | 820 |  | 386 | 928 | 213 |  |  | 600 | 727 |
| Asians | 13012 | 7 | 106 | 20 | 188 |  | 147 | 111 | 59 | 831 |  | 206 | 942 |
| Blacks | 40486 |  | 692 | 109 | 178 | 2 | 496 | 457 | 375 | 951 |  | 872 | 408 |
| TOTAL | 403769 | 234 | 135 | 637 | 904 | 4 | 045 | 253 | 1213 | 793 |  | 259 | 046 |

17 Manpower report, ibid. p. 14.

The HLM can be divided into the production and the services sector and more than $70 \%$ of the HLM of the country is found in the services sector. The largest single group in the services sector, namely 160000 , were teachers in 1975. Incidentally the White population group constitutes the same percentage, namely $70 \%$ of the total workers' corps according to Table 11. Women constitute $23 \%$ of the total manpower, but actually $37 \%$ of the HLM in South Africa. White men constituted $82 \%$ of the country's male HLM in 1979 compared with $4,4 \%$ with Coloureds, 3,2 \% with Asians and $10 \%$ with the Blacks respectively.

HLM implies, inter alia, that the person must have had at least two years post secondary school training. In the Manpower Report it is stated that only $1,3 \%$ of South Africa's almost 11 million workers in 1981 had a degree, $2,2 \%$ had a post Std 10 diploma and only 3,5 \% had had formal training higher than Std 10 . This means that hardly $10 \%$ of the 11 million workers in 1981 had a Std 10 or higher qualification. of the White men $47,7 \%$ had Std 10 and higher qualifications, while only 0,8 \% of the Blacks had Std 10 and higher qualifications.

> "Almost two thirds of the total manpower ( 65,5 per cent) will have no formal educational qualification ( 29,7 per cent) or only education at a primary level ( 35,8 per cent), and 90 per cent of this group will be Blacks."

Periodically, surveys of job opportunities and shortages are made by the Department of Manpower Utilization and seeing that this investigation concerns education, it is interesting to determine what the shortage of teaching staff was in 1979.

TABLE 11 MANPOWER SHORTAGE IN EDUCATION

| VOCATION | JOB OPPORTUNITY | SHORTAGE | \% | SHORTAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor/lecturer | 8039 | 507 | 6,3 |  |
| Lecturer - college | 4108 | 280 | 6,8 |  |
| Subject teacher | 4818 | 195 | 4,0 |  |
| Teacher | 171927 | 1165 | 0,7 |  |

18 Manpower Conmissions HLM. op cit. p. 21

With reference to the controversy about the large number of resignations from education, the following quotation from the Manpower Report is illuminating. It refers only to data which appear in the National Register of Natural and Social Scientists:
"Of the 2137 male graduates who were teachers in 1973, 1861
(87 per cent) were still teachers in 1975. This means that 276
(13 per cent) had left the teaching profession between 1973 and
1975. Of these 276 persons, 185 ( 67 per cent) entered occupations which are related to teaching, for example those of lecturers and inspectors of education, while 91 (33 per cent) persons switched to non-related ocoupations."

These data are of course outdated and the number of resignations from education increased sharply in recent times.

The Manpower Conmission, inter alia, made the following general recommendation:
".... that in a country such as South Africa which has a free market economy, the different occupational and employers associations should give more attention to the identification and solution of their personnel problems instead of looking to the government of assistance."

19 Manpower Commissions HLM. op cit. p. 46
20 Manpower Commissions HLM. op cit. p. 78
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table 1 population projections for whites, asians and blácks for the PERIOD 1980 TO 2020.

| D of 5 | YEAR | TOTAL | WHITES | COLOUREDS | ASIANS | BLACKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 28311620 | 4397780 | 2721000 | 828840 | 20364000 |
|  | 1985 | 32171430 | 4703170 | 3134000 | 935260 | 23399000 |
|  | 1990 | 36446010 | 5012520 | 3612000 | 1045490 | 26776000 |
|  | 1995 | 41167180 | 5321420 | 4137000 | 1156760 | 30552000 |
|  | 2000 | 46076350 | 5361260 | 4698000 | 1270090 | 34747000 |
|  | 2005 | 51917890 | 5942440 | 5297000 | 1384450 | 39294000 |
|  | 2010 | 57838720 | 6252130 | 5933000 | - 502590 | 44151000 |
|  | 2015 | 64040270 | 6554610 | 6603000 | 1617660 | 49265000 |
|  | 2020 | 70454880 | 6841860 | 7299000 | 1725020 | 54589000 |


|  | 1980 | 27 | 319 | 980 | 4 | 496 | 430 |  | 538 | 870 |  |  | 050 |  | 471 | 630 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 30 | 102 | 210 | 4 | 687 | 490 | 2 | 781 | 290 |  | 889 | 560 | 21 | 743 | 870 |
|  | 1990 | 32 | 930 | 170 | 4 | 834 | 360 | 3 | 028 | 340 |  | 960 | 420 | 24 | 107 | 050 |
|  | 1995 | 35 | 732 | 700 | 4 | 965 | 390 | 3 | 258 | 130 |  | 026 | 560 | 26 | 482 | 620 |
| HSRC | 2000 | 38 | 431 | 800 | 5 | 085 | 180 | 3 | 453 | 340 |  | 086 | 810 | 28 | 806 | 470 |
| LOW PROJECTION | 2005 | 40 | 990 | 310 | 5 | 179 | 070 | 3 | 643 | 920 |  | 137 | 620 | 31 | 059 | 700 |
|  | 2010 | 43 | 349 | 310 | 5 | 240 | 080 | 3 | 743 | 860 |  | 184 | 800 | 33 | 180 | 570 |
|  | 2015 | 45 | 472 | 870 | 5 | 268 | 280 |  | 870 | 730 |  | 226 | 970 | 35 | 106 | 890 |
|  | 2020 | 47 | 304 | 870 | 5 | 263 | 140 | 3 | 984 | 810 |  | 263 | 510 | 36 | 793 | 410 |


|  | 1980 | 27 | 493 | 790 |  | 498 |  |  | 539 | 080 |  | 813 | 210 |  | 642 | 990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1985 | 30 | 518 | 840 | 4 | 705 | 490 | 2 | 793 | 680 |  | 893 | 650 | 22 | 126 | 020 |
|  | 1990 | 33 | 725 | 010 | 4 | 893 | 610 | 3 | 065 | 420 |  | 973 | 270 | 24 | 792 | 710 |
|  | 1995 | 37 | 024 | 140 | 5 | 065 | 370 | 3 | 330 | 300 | 1 | 051 | 580 | 27 | 576 | 890 |
| HSRC | 2000 | 40 | 349 | 620 | 5 | 209 | 470 | 3 | 571 | 900 | 1 | 127 | 660 | 30 | 440 | 590 |
| HIGH PROJECTION | 2005 | 43 | 667 | 590 | 5 | 313 | 260 | 3 | 785 | 800 | 1 | 198 | 620 | 33 | 369 | 910 |
|  | 2010 | 46 | 954 | 080 | 5 | 386 | 910 | 3 | 980 | 330 | 1 | 260 | 980 | 36 | 325 | 860 |
|  | 2015 | 50 | 155 | 260 | 5 | 437 | 720 | 4 | 154 | 810 | 1 | 315 | 240 | 39 | 247 | 490 |
|  | 2020 | 53 | 194 | 570 | 5 | 458 | 750 | 4 | 302 | 310 |  | 361 | 110 | 42 | 072 | 400 |

SOURCES
D of S - Department of Statistics. Report 02-06-01 (1976)
HSRC - Human Sciences Research Council. Van Tonder, J.L. and Mostert, M.P. Population projections for Southern Africa for the period 1970-2020.
According to Prof. J.L. Sadip the high projection of the HSRC for Black must be accepted as the median projection and this wil, of course, also apply to Black pupils.

table 2 Projected average number of births for five-year perioos

| Period | White | Coloured | Asian | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975-1379 | i3 25J | 73 386 | 20950 | 643 frs |
| 1320-1984 | 15231 | 76995 | 20706 | 573 375 |
|  | 59 \% 18 | 15155 | 20085 | 639226 |
| 1990-1094 | 69262 | 75121 | 19743 | 691969 |
| 1995-1999 | 69568 | 68777 | 19045 | 683 ¢62 |
| 2000 - 2004 | 67022 | 62763 | 17878 | 673741 |
| 2005-2009 | 63430 | 57777 | 17759 | 651072 |
| $2910-2014$ | 60368 | 59057 | 17621 | 618837 |
| 2015-2019 | 58459 | 58626 | 17222 | 581314 |

SOURCE: HSRC - S 73






FIGURE 7 : PUPILS $\operatorname{IN}$ ORDINARY SCHOOLS (Low Projection)


APPENDIX K
TABLE 3 PROJECTIONS OF SECONDARY PUPILS IN ORDINARY SCHOOLS

1. LOW PROJECTIONS

| YEAR | WHITES |  | COLOUREDS |  | ASIANS |  | BLACKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TREND | PARITY | TREND | PARITY | TREMD | PARITY | TREND | PARITY |
| $1980$ | 356648 | 355674 | 134651 | 128951 | 64161 | 65321 | 739612 | 693097 |
| 85 | 372073 | 357247 | 155111 | 156320 | 75352 | 78493 | 1016126 | 989813 |
| 90 | 363117 | 342034 | 165461 | 183242 | 86465 | 85437 | 1317064 | 1329459 |
| 95 | 330912 | 318087 | 182997 | 214041 | 84232 | 84982 | 1571509 | 1663597 |
| 2000 | 314118 | 304952 | 208126 | 240741 | 84369 | 84673 | 1786782 | 1962257 |
| 05 | 298020 | 297764 | 223803 | 251720 | 83351 | 83309 | 1931393 | 2193057 |
| 10 | 297455 | 294692 | 225382 | 248788 | 82350 | 80667 | 2011076 | 2356832 |
| 15 | 294911 | 286570 | 217145 | 238781 | 79421 | 78029 | 2047082 | 2453708 |
| 20 | 283092 | 273538 | 208726 | 234688 | 76140 | 76210 | 22050298 | 2482514 |

む
2. HIGH PROJECTIONS

| YĖAR | WHITES |  | COLOUREDS |  |  | ASIANS |  | BLACKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TREND | PARITY |  | TREND | PARITY | TREND | PARITY | TREND | PARITY |
| 1980 | 356654 | 355682 |  | 134659 | 128957 | 64166 | 65331 | 739612 | 697265 |
| 85 | 372082 | 357761 |  | 155114 | 156325 | 75357 | 78501 | 1022610 | 1011013 |
| 90 | 363682 | 346926 |  | 165466 | 185355 | 86468 | 86445 | 1352180 | 1389067 |
| 95 | 336644 | 344938 |  | 185276 | 221869 | 85332 | 88557 | 1654102 | 1781859 |
| 2000 | 335309 | 333912 |  | 217966 | 257569 | 89047 | 91821 | 1928286 | 2156748 |
| 05 | 333741 | 329008 |  | 241950 | 278351 | 91764 | 93921 | 2139608 | 2483002 |
| 10 | 328259 | 316558 |  | 251850 | 284628 | 93891 | 94634 | 2298145 | 2760865 |
| 15 | 312118 | 300061 |  | 251925 | 284055 | 94409 | 93180 | 2426423 | 2989694 |
| 20 | 292816 | 286850 |  | 250886 | 282513 | 92257 | 90079 | 2529290 | 3161602 |

table 4: WHITE PUPILS 1967-2020

| YEAR | PRIMARY |  | SECONDARY |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 541055 |  | 268833 |  | 809888 |  |
| 1968 | 547644 |  | '283 786 |  | 831430 |  |
| 1269 | 551565 |  | 291053 |  | 342618 |  |
| 1079 | 556580 |  | 297200 |  | 853850 |  |
| 1971 | 560.947 |  | 305074 |  | 866021 |  |
| 1972 | 559775 |  | 314380 |  | $8: 4155$ |  |
| 1073 | 559431 |  | 324603 |  | 384034 |  |
| 1974 | 563966 |  | 326084 |  | 890050 |  |
| 1975 | 573627 |  | 329574 |  | 903201 |  |
| 1276 | 585238 |  | 336307 |  | 92: 545 |  |
| 1977 | 592692 |  | 342638 |  | 935330 |  |
| 1978 | 605630 |  | 346546 |  | 952176 |  |
|  | LOW HIGH |  | LOW | HIGH | LOW HIGH |  |
| 1980 | 613775 | 618780 | $355 \quad 374$ | 355 582 | 974449 | 974 4:1 |
| 1985 | 621511 | 622407 | 357247 | 357761 | 978758 | 980168 |
| 1909 | \#95 046 | 603556 | 342031 | 346925 | 937080 | 950482 |
| 1295 | 553385 | 532701 | 318087 | 344938 | 871472 | 917 6:9 |
| 2000 | 530534 | 580915 | 304952 | 333912 | 335486 | 914 327 |
| 2005 | 518027 | 572383 | 297764 | 329008 | 815791 | 001391 |
| 2010 | 512683 | 550725 | 294692 | 316558 | 807375 | 867283 |
| 2015 | 498552 | 522024 | 286570 | 300061 | 785122 | 822085 |
| 2020 | 475881 | 499041 | 273538 | 286850 | 749419 | 785891 |

SOURCE SANSO 202
HSRC 1980


TABLE 5: COLOURED PUPILS 1967-2020

| YEAR | PRIMARY |  | SECONDARY |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 389177 |  | 42142 |  | 431319 |  |
| 1968 | 414 909 |  | 46499 |  | 461408 |  |
| 1959 | 444555 |  | 52531 |  | 497086 |  |
| 1970 | 458001 |  | 57507 |  | 515508 |  |
| 1971 | 475222 |  | 63791 |  | 539013 |  |
| 1972 | 494969 |  | 63529 |  | 563498 |  |
| 1973 | 512511 |  | 76720 |  | 589231 |  |
| 1974 | 532378 |  | 84166 |  | 616544 |  |
| 1975 | 546593 |  | 89831 |  | 636424 |  |
| 1976 | 563879 |  | 96549 |  | 660428 |  |
| 1977 | 580944 |  | 105775 |  | 686719 |  |
| 1978 | 602983 |  | 119068 |  | 72205 |  |
|  | LOW | HIGH | LOW | HIGH | LON | HIGH |
| 1980 | 610021 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 610021 | 610054 | 128951 | 128957 | 738972 | 739011 |
| 1985 | 597027 | 597047 | 156320 | 156325 | 753347 | 753372 |
| 1990 | 583780 | 590514 | 183242 | 185355 | 367022 | 775869 |
| 1995 | 587609 | 609102 | 214041 | 221869 | 801650 | 330971 |
| 2000 , | 587115 | 628158 | 240741 | 257569 | 827856 | 385727 |
| 2005 | 560281 | 619577 | 251720 | 278361 | 812001 | 897938 |
| 2010 | 516715 | 591150 | 248788 | 284628 | 765503 | 875778 |
| 2015 | 471453 | 560965 | 238.781 | 284065 | 710234 | 844930 |
| 2020 | 446553 | 537553 | 234688 | 282513 | 681241 | 820066 |

1. THE NUMBERS OF PUPILS FROM 1967-1978 WERE OBTAINED FROM THE SANSO REPORT NO. 202
2. STD 5 PUPILS WERE INCLUDED WITH THE PRIMARY SECTION


TABLE 6: ASIAN PUPILS 1967 - 2020


1. THE NUMBERS OF PUPILS FROM 1967-1978 WERE OBTAINED FROM THE SANSO REPORT NO. 202
2. STD. 5 PUPILS WERE INCLUDED WITH THE PRIMARY SECTION

FIGURE 10 : pRojection of asian pupils 1970 - 2020
(High and Low Projections)
figuur 10 : PROJEksie VAN ASIËrleerlinge 1970-2020
(Hoé en Lae Projekbies)


TABLE 7: PROJECTION OF BLACK PUPILS 1967-2020

| YEAR | PRIMARY |  | SECOHDARY |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:6? | 1981917 |  | 182035 |  | 2163952 |  |
| 1968 | 21118014 |  | 292239 |  | 2313983 |  |
| :963 | 231575 |  | 226 649 |  | 2342364 |  |
| 1970 | 2479521 |  | 257929 |  | 2737450 |  |
| 1971 | 2630333 |  | 286186 |  | 2916519 |  |
| 1972 | 2760249 |  | 319258 |  | 3079507 |  |
| 1973 | 2923980 |  | 362519 |  | 3286499 |  |
| 1974 | 3076316 |  | 409946 |  | 3486252 |  |
| 1975 | 3233211 |  | 464230 |  | 3697441 |  |
| 1976 | 3367382 |  | 457130 |  | 3817512 |  |
| 1977 | 3488700 |  | 546531 |  | 4030231 |  |
| 1978 | 3608577 |  | 615074 |  | 4223651 |  |
|  | LOW HIGH |  | LOH | HIGH | LOM | HIGH |
| 1396 | 3351803 | 3874963 | 593097 | 697265 | 1544900 | 4572228 |
| 1985 | 4377939 | 4471705 | 989813 | 1011013 | 5367752 | ; 482718 |
| 1990 | 4816908 | 5032878 | 1329459 | 1339067 | 6146367 | 6 421925 |
| 1905 | 5093409 | 5455588 | 1663597 | 1781859 | 6757096 | 7237447 |
| 3000 | 5236043 | 5•755 020 | 1962257 | 2156748 | 7198300 | 7911768 |
| ? 005 | 5246078 | 5939665 | 2193057 | 2483002 | 7439135 | 8422667 |
| 2010 | 5177796 | 6065430 | 2356832 | 2760865 | 7534628 | 3826295 |
| 2015 | 5056878 | 6161496 | 2453708 | 2989694 | 7510586 | 9151190 |
| 2020 | 4879626 | 6214441 | 2482514 | 3161602 | 7362140 | 0376043 |

SOURCE: SANSO 202 FOR STATISTICS 1967-1978

1. INDEPENDENT AND NATIONAL STATES INCLUDED
2. STD. 5 PUPILS WERE INCLUDED WITH THE NUMBERS OF THE PRIMARY SCHOOL

FIGURE 11 : PROJECTION OF BLACK PUPILS 1970 - 2020
(High and Low Projections)
FIGUUR 11 : PROJEKSIE VAN SWARTLEERLINGE 1970 - 2020
(Hoë en Lae Projeksies)


TABLE 8: CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF PUPILS OF THE DIFFERENT POPULATION GROUPS IN STANDARDS - 1978

| STANDARD | WHITES Cumulative Number |  | $\quad$ COLOURENSCumulative?umber |  | ASIANS <br> Cumulative Number | \% | BLACKS <br> Cumulative Number | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 95266 | 10,14 | 118315 | 16,48 | 23237 | 11,29 | 518349 | 19,92 |
| Grade 2 | 186569 | 19,86 | 221712 | 30,87 | 46445 | 22,53 | 1113 三26 | 35,87 |
| Standard 1 | 273493 | 29,11 | 316687 | 44,10 | 67126 | 32,56 | 1'556 975 | 50,15 |
| Standard 2 | 358638 | 38,18 | 401095 | 55,85 | 87429 | 42,40 | 1911163 | 51,56 |
| Standard 3 | 439420 | 46,78 | 476672 | 66,38 | 108419 | 52,59 | 2218982 | 71,48 |
| Standard 4 | 518517 | 55,19 | 542978 | 75,61 | 128389 | 62,27 | 2462918 | 79,33 |
| Standard 5 | 592885 | 63,11 | 599041 | 83,42 | 146251 | 70,93 | 2680354 | 86,34 |
| Standard 6 | 673.519 | 71,69 | 642761 | 89,51 | 162591 | 78,86 | 2851158 | 91,84 |
| Standard 7 | 751322 | 79,98 | 677111 | 94,29 | 177747 | 86,21 | 2979436 | 95,97 |
| Standard 8 | 824388 | 87,75 | 698214 | 97,23 | 190956 | 92,62 | 3072735 | 98,98 |
| Standard 9 | 886807 | 94,40 | 710464 | 98,94 | 200946 | 97,46 | 3094572 | 99,68 |
| Standard 19 | 939431 | 100,00 | 718109 | 100,0 | 206178 | 100,00 | 3 104457 | 100,00 |

1. Transkei, Bophuthatswana and Venda excluded.

TABLE 9: NUMBER OF TEACHERS IN ORDINARY SCHOOLS 1968-1978

| YEAR | WHITES* | COLOUREDS* | ASIANS | $\mathrm{J}_{\text {BLACKS }}$ ** | ${ }^{2}$ BLACKS ${ }^{* *}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 37950 | 14530 | 5776 | - | - |
| 1069 | 38716 | 15701 | 6034 | - | - |
| 1970 | 41337 | 16483 | 6057 | 33528 | - |
| 1971 | 42404 | 17213 | 6245 | 36708 | - |
| 1972 | 44105 | 18311 | 6454 | 39066 | 21939 |
| 1973 | 43507 | 18784 | 6502 | 42501 | 23143 |
| 1974 | 43750 | 19814 | 6679 | 46276 | 24919 |
| 1975 | 44565 | 20842 | 6871 | 50277 | 25. 529 |
| 1976 | 45577 | 22115 | 5971 | 54197 | 28800 |
| 1977 | 47328 | 23281 | 7220 | 59934 | 29625 |
| 1978 | 47233 | 24461 | 7626 | 64049 | 29354 |

* SOURCE: D OF S
** SOURCE: ANNUAL REPORTS

1) Transkei, Bophuthatswana, Venda excluded
2) Only White area

APPENDIX $V$
table 10: the number of teachers required to maintain a specific pupil density


1. independent black states included

TABLE 11: QUALIFICATIONS OF TEACHERS - 1978


SOURCES: Annual reports of the education departments
NOTES: 1) Since the determining factor is the percentage teachers in possession of certain qualifications and not necessarily the total number teachers, the qualifications of the teachers attached to the Education Department of the Cape of Good Hope were taken as representative for thite education.
2) The figures for Asians and Blacks include the qualifications of lecturess concerned in teacher training.
3) The 10688 Black teachers without a teacher's certificate have Std 8 and lowe •


APPENDIX $\gamma$
table 12: :.,HHITE STUDENTS AT TECHNICAL INSTITUTES. TECHNICAL COLLEGES AMD TECHNIKONS

| year | technical institute |  |  |  | technical colleges |  |  |  | techmikons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | P | 0 | T | F | P | 0 | $\top$ | F | P | 0 | T |
| 1969 | $\because$ | 2481 | - | 2481 | 177 | 49920 | - | 20097 | 2383 | 20721 | - | 23140 |
| 1970 | 10 | 3357 | - | 3387 | 138 | 19789 | - | 19927 | 2910 | 26373 | - | 29283 |
| 1971 | 10 | 3307 | - | 3317 | 250 | 20312 | - | 20562 | 13893 | 14417 | - | 28310 |
| 1972 | 718 | 2160 | - | 2878 | 14989 | 5264 | - | 20253 | 16195 | 12166 | - | 28361 |
| 1973 | 1419 | 3025 | 3535 | 7979 | 13849 | 6980 | 6748 | 27577 | 15626 | 15275 | 28290 | 59191 |
| 1974 | 1898 | 3399 | 4758 | 10055 | 14016 | 6162 | 10933 | 31111 | 16693 | 18347 | 29799 | 64819 |
| 1975 | 2163 | 5952 | 4266 | 12354 | 14340 | 6498 | 11813 | 32651 | 17481 | 19346 | 25628 | 62455 |
| 1976 | 2131 | 6751 | 4063 | 12954 | 14555 | 6298 | 14089 | 34942 | 18395 | 18694 | 21830 | 58919 |
| 1977 | 2080 | 4105 | 4153 | 10338 | 16411 | 8166 | 16445 | 41022 | 19938 | 20891 | 15786 | 56615 |
| 1978 | 4812 | 7120 | 12868 | 24800 | 14467 | 9356 | 14067 | 37890 | 18773 | 19381 | 6590 | 44744 |

F = FULL-TIME
$P=$ PART-TIME
$0=$ OCCASIONAL
$\mathrm{T}=$ TOTAL

APPENDIX 2
table 13: number of students at training colleges 1968-1980

| year | UHITE |  |  | COLOURED |  |  | Asinh |  |  | BLACK |  |  | total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | 1 | M | F | 1 | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 1968 | 2457 | 7255 | 9712 | 713 | 1311 | 2024 | 535 | 328 | 863 | - | - | - | 3705 | 8894 | 12599 |
| 1969 | 2590 | 7752 | 10342 | 699 | 1444 | 2143 | 553 | 347 | 900 | - | - | - | 3842 | 9543 | 13385 |
| 1970 | 2866 | 8542 | 11408 | 805 | 1887 | 2692 | 494 | 374 | 868 | 2078 | 2885 | 4963 | 6243 | 13688 | 19931 |
| 1971 | 3053 | 9499 | 12552 | 827 | 2167 | 2994 | 490 | 361 | 851 | 2132 | 3127 | 5259 | 6502 | 15154 | 21656 |
| 1972 | 2730 | 9326 | 12056 | 1044 | 2653 | 3697 | 465 | 367 | 832 | 2494 | 3644 | 6138 | 6733 | 15990 | 22723 |
| 1973 | 2847 | 9414 | 12261 | 1206 | 3228 | 4434 | 380 | 268 | 548 | 3056 | 4177 | 7233 | 7489 | 17087 | 24576 |
| 1974 | 2794 | 8660 | 11454 | 1364 | 3332 | 4696 | 368 | 233 | 601 | 3606 | 4950 | 8556 | 8132 | 17175 | 25307. |
| 1975 | 2662 | 8367 | 11029 | 1499 | 3456 | 4955 | 297 | 261 | 558 | 4298 | 6296 | 10594 | 8756 | 18380 | 27136 |
| 1976 | 2580 | 8117 | 11297 | 1329 | 3358 | 4687 | 290 | 390 | 680 | 4801 | 7164 | 11965 | 9000 | 19629 | 28629 |
| 1977 | 2946 | 9842 | 12788 | 1417 | 3216 | 4633 | 345 | 547 | 892 | 4904 | 8385 | 13289 | 9612 | 21990 | 31602 |
| 1978 | 3163 | 10808 | 13971 | 1581 | 2978 | 4559 | 378 | 591 | 969 | 4947 | 9223 | 14170 | 10069 | 23600 | 33669 |
| 1979 | 3717 | 11229 | 14946 | 1833 | 3722 | 5555 | 462 | 627 | 1089 | 5088 | 9268 | 14356 | 11100 | 24846 | 35946 |

TABLE 14: PROJECTION OF WHITE STUDENTS AT WHITE RESIDENTIAL UNIVERSITIES

| YEAR | HALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | POPULATION (1000) | STUDENTS PER 1000 OF THE POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 33599 | 13712 | 47311 |  |  |
| 1969 | 35350 | 14625 | 49975 |  |  |
| 1970 | 37489 | 15824 | 53313 |  |  |
| 1971 | 38264 | 16625 | 54889 |  |  |
| 1972 | 40413 | 18744 | 59157 |  |  |
| 1973 | 42431 | 20546 | 62977 |  |  |
| 1974 | 44906 | 22000 | 66906 |  |  |
| 1975 | 46591 | 23389 | 69980 | 4275 | 16,37 |
| 1976 | 46972 | 25009 | 71981 |  |  |
| 1977 | 48319 | 27303 | 75622 |  |  |
| 1978 | 49485 | 28933 | 78418 |  |  |
| PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 51054 | 32829 | 83883 |  |  |
| 1980 | 52336 | 34467 | 86803 | 4496 | 19,31 |
| 1985 | 55237 | 41657 | 96894 | 4687 | 20,67 |
| 1990 | 61453 | 51964 | 113417 | 4834 | 23.46 |
| 1995 | 63936 | 51615 | 115551 | 4965 | 23,27 |
| 2000 | 57374 | 50730 | 108104 | 5085 | 21,26 |
| 2005 | 54868 | 49159 | 104027 | 5179 | 20,09 |
| 2010 | 51552 | 48462 | 100014 | 5240 | 19,09 |
| 2015 | 51430 | 49178 | 100.608 | 5268 | 19,10 |
| 2020 | 51253 | 48648 | 99901 | 5263 | 18,98 |

Note: Since migration still played a role until 1974, data in respect of population are only given from 1975.

TABLE 15: PROJECTION OF COLOURED STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN CAPE AND AT WHITE RESIDENTIAL UNIVERSITIES

| YEAR | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POPULATION } \\ & (1000) \end{aligned}$ | STUDENTS PER 1000 OF THE POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 760 | 222 | 982 |  |  |
| 1969 | 847 | 207 | 1054 |  |  |
| 1970 | 912 | 258 | 1170 | 2074 | - 0,56 |
| 1971 | 970 | 290 | 1260 |  |  |
| 1972 | 1225 | 359 | 1584 |  |  |
| 1973 | 1415 | 461 | 1876 |  |  |
| 1974 | 1440 | 413 | 1853 |  |  |
| 1975 | 1870 | 557 | 2427 | 2333 | 1,04 |
| 1976 | 2244 | 753 | 2997 |  |  |
| 1977 | 2507 | 910 | 3417 |  |  |
| 1978 | 2703 | 1080 | 3783 |  |  |
| PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 3260 | 1381 | 4641 |  |  |
| 1980 | 3664 | 1602 | 5266 | 2.539 | 2,07 |
| 1985 | 5656 | 2584 | 8240 | 2781 | 2,96 |
| 1990 | 6585 | 3436 | 10021 | 3028 | 3,31 |
| 1995 | 7305 | 3716 | 11021 | 3258 | 3,38 |
| 2000 | 7328 | 4219 | 11547 | 3453 | 3,34 |
| 2005 | 7975 | 4519 | 12494 | 3614 | 3,46 |
| 2010 | 8461 | 4525 | 12986 | 3744 | 3,47 |
| 2015 | 8511 | 4281 | 12792 | 3871 | 3,30 |
| 2020 | 8084 | 3936 | 12020 | 3985 | 3,02 |

TABLE 16: PROJECTION OF ASIAN STUDENTS AT DURBAN-WESTVILLE AND AT WHITE RESIDENTIAL UNIVERSITIES

| YEAR | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POPULATION } \\ & (1000) \end{aligned}$ | STUDENTS •PER 1000 OF THE POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 1730 | 400 | 2130 |  |  |
| 1969 | 1897 | 464 | 2361 |  |  |
| 1970 | 1946 | 520 | 2466 | 642 | 3,84 |
| 1971 | 2014 | 534 | 2548 |  |  |
| 1972 | 2172 | 672 | 2844 |  |  |
| 1973 | 2336 | 728 | 3064 |  |  |
| 1974 | 2365 | 851 | 3216 |  |  |
| 1975 | 2593 | 943 | 3536 | 727 | 4.86 |
| 1976 | 2858 | 1156 | 4014 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3294 | 1313 | 4607 |  |  |
| 1978 | 3834 | 1713 | 5547 |  |  |
| PROJECTIORS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 3992 | 1768 | 5760 |  |  |
| 1980 | 4212 | 1948 | 6160 | 813 | 7,58 |
| 1985 | 5741 | 2973 | 8714 | 890 | 9,79 |
| 1990 | B 040 | 4203 | 12243 | 960 | 12,75 |
| 1995 | 9353 | 4471 | 13824 | 1026 | 13,47 |
| 2000 | 9767 | 4550 | 14317 | 1087 | 13,17 |
| 2005 | 10055 | 4520 | 14575 | 1138 | 12,81 |
| 2015 | 10162 | 4454 | 14616 | 1185 | 12,33 |
| 2015 | 10186 | 4351 | 14537 | 1227 | 11,85 |
| 2020 | 9927 | 4139 | 14066 | 1264 | 11,13 |

table 17: projections of black students at black and hhite residential UNIVERSITIES

| YEAR | FIRST METHOD |  | $\rightarrow \begin{aligned} & \text { SECOND } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POPULATION } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | STUDENTS PER 1000 OF THE POPULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M |  | T 1 |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 1239 | 367 | 1606 | 1606 |  |  |
| 1969 | 1398 | 372 | 1770 | 1770 |  |  |
| 1970 | 1723 | 466 | 2189 | 2189 | 15428 | 0,14 |
| 1971 | 2000 | 601 | 2601 | 2601 |  |  |
| 1972 | 2364 | 862 | 3226 | 3226 |  |  |
| 1973 | 2575 | 995 | 3570 | 3570 |  |  |
| 1974 | 2664 | 1164 | 3828 | 3828 |  |  |
| 1975 | 3074 | 1348 | 4422 | 4422 | 17 368 | 0,25 |
| 1976 | 3862 | 1691 | 5553 | 5553 |  |  |
| 1977 | 3375 | 1557 | 4932 | 4932 |  |  |
| 1978 | 3512 | 1755 | 5267 | 5267 |  |  |
| PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 4719 | 2209 | 6928 | 18485 | 19472 | 0,44 |
| 1985 | 6217 | 3044 | 9261 | " 12066 | 21744 | 0,55 |
| 1990 | 7715 | 3878 | 11593 | 15712 | 24107 | 0,65 |
| 1995 | 9213 | 4713 | 13926 | 20914 | 26483 | 0.79 |
| 2000 | 10711 | 5547 | 16258 | ; 26138 | 28806 | 0,91 |
| 2005 | 12209 | 6382 | 18591 | 32050 | 31060 | 1.03 |
| 2010 | 13708 | 7216 | 20924 | 37684 | 33181 | 1,14. |
| 2015 | 15206 | 8050 | 23256 | $\therefore 42370$ | 35107 | 1,22 |
| 2020 | 16704 | 8885 |  | $147146$ | 36793 | 1,28 |

TABLE 18: PRÓJECTION OF UNISA STUDENTS ACCORDING TO POPLLATION GROUP

| YEAR | UHITES | COLOUREDS | ASIANS | BLACKS | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 17161 | 545 | 1094 | 2236 | 21036 |
| 1969 | 16557 | 478 | 996 | 2144 | 20175 |
| 1970 | 17870 | 582 | 1014 | 2420 | 21886 |
| 1971 | 20239 | 739 | 1662 | 2804 | 25444 |
| 1972 | 23339 | 824 | 1785 | 3341 | 29289 |
| 1973 | 25387 | 1014 | 1938 | 3765 | 32104 |
| 1974 | 1`27252 | 1184 | 2019 | 4018 | 34473 |
| 1975 | 30936 | 1512 | 2816 | 4943 | 40207 |
| 1976 | 33102 | 1767 | 3347 | 5577 | 43793 |
| 1977 | 34818 | 2000 | 3576 | 6320 | 46714 |
| 1978 | 38257 | 2420 | 3927 | 7796 | 52400 |
| 1979 | 38006 | 2724 | 4347 | 9026 | 54103 |
| PROJECTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 37404 | 2822 | 5145 | 10687 | 56058 |
| 1985 | 45175 | 3789 | 8055 | 19584 | 76603 |
| 1990 | 47773 | 5395 | 10157 | 28264 | 91589 |
| 1995 | 49156 | 7207 | 11479 | 35186 | 103028 |
| 2000 | 49906 | 9175 | 12221 | 39807 | 111109 |
| 2005 | 50322 | 11255 | 12615 | 42580 | 116772 |
| 2010 | 50560 | 13410 | 12819 | 44147 | 120936 |
| 2015 | 50698 | 15614 | 12924 | 45. 005 | 124241 |
| 2020 | 50781 | 17843 | 12979 | 45-468 | 127071 |

[^4]FIGURE 13 : STUDENTS AT RESIDENTIAL UNIVERSITIES


## FIGURE 14 : ENROLLED STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA



APPENDIX TO REPORT NO 004/2 AND 0011/2
METHOD FOLLOWED IN THE PROJECTION OF NUMBERS OF PUPILS FOR THE FOUR POPULATION GROUPS

1. INTRODUCTION

The population projections for Southern Africa undertaken by Van Tonder and Mostert (HSRC report No S73, 1980) formed the basis on which the expected number of pupils in ordinary schools up to and including 2020 were calculated. The projected number of pupils for the different population groups therefore follow the same basic trends as those reflected by the population projections.
2. METHOD

The method used to project the total number of pupils can be summarized in three steps:
(i) The population in the age group 6 to 18 years was calculated by means of interpolation from the given population projections for the period 1970 to 1978, as well as for the years 1980, 1985, 1990 ----- 2020.
(ii) The ratio of the total number of pupils to the population in the age group 6 to 18 years was calculated for the period 1970 to 1978 and afterwards extrapolated to 2020 by means of a logistic curve or a modified exponential curve.
(iii) The extrapolated ratios were applied to the projected numbers of the population in the age group 6 to 18 years to find the projected number of pupils.
In Table $A$ the projected numbers of the population in the age group 6 to 18 years for the different population groups are shown and the low population projection represents the projection by Van Tonder and Mostert.*
tABLE A
POPULATION IN THE AGE GROUP 6 TO 18 YEARS

| YEAR | WHITES | COLOUREDS | ASIANS | BLACKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 1095010 | 836604 | 246660 | 6153398 |
| 1985 | 1080068 | 823960 | 262066 | 6658916 |
| 1990 | 1025700 | 825554 | 268548 | 7223372 |
| 1995 | 950248 | 856464 | 259524 | 7687254 |
| 2000 | 909422 | 881542 | 255078 | 8034714 |
| 2005 | 887308 | 863280 | 249454 | 8210966 |
| 2010 | 877868 | 813240 | 240816 | 8262560 |
| 2015 | 853486 | 754284 | 232610 | 8205600 |
| 2020 | 814674 | 723416 | 227092 | 8025880 |

[^5]Table B shows the extrapolated ratios of the total number of pupils to the population in the age group 6 to 18 years for the four different population groups.

In the case of the Whites, extrapolation occurred on the assumption that the ratio will increase according to a modified exponential curve and that the ratio will not exceed 0,92 . Only historical data from 1975 were used as indicator of the future trend, since migration had had no real effect on the numbers of the population since that year and the calculated ratios for the preceding years (when migration definitely was a factor that had to be taken into consideration) were therefore not comparable with the calculated ratios for 1975 and later. The saturation value of 0,92 was regarded as realistic because
(a) the projections concerned were merely applicable to pupils in ordinary schools,
(b) the compulsory school-age is 16 years.

In the case of the other population groups the extrapolation occurred on the assumption that the ratios concerned would increase according to a logistic curve. The same saturation value as for the Whites, namely 0,92 , was accepted in all cases. In the case of the Coloureds the difference between the actual and the estimated ratios for 1978 was exceptionally high, which resulted in an unrealistic projection of numbers of pupils in 1980. The fitted curve was consequently adjusted to eliminate this anomaly.

TABLE B
ratio of the total number of pupils to the population in the age group 6 TO 18 YEARS

| YEAR | WHITES | COLOUREDS | ASIANS | BLACKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | ,8899 | ,8833 | ,8801 | . 7386 |
| 1985 | ,9062 | ,9143 | ,9008 | ,8061 |
| 1990 | ,9136 | ,9291 | ,9108 | ,8509 |
| 1995 | ,9171 | ,9360 | ,9157 | ,8790 |
| 2000 | .9187 | ,9391 | ,9180 | ,8959 |
| 2005 | ,9194 | ,9406 | ,9190 | ,9060 |
| 2010 | ,9197 | ,9413 | ,9195 | ,9119 |
| 2015 | ,9199 | ,9416 | ,9198 | ,9153 |
| 2020 | ,9199 | ,9417 | ,9199 | ,9173 |

The projections of primary and secondary pupils were deduced from the projections of the total number of pupils, by means of assumptions in respect of the ratio of secondary pupils to the total number of pupils. In the case of the Whites it was assumed that the ratio stabilized at 0,365 while logistic curves with a saturation value of 0,365 were accepted in the other cases as expected future trends. In table $C$ the extrapolated ratios are given for the different population groups.

TABLE C
RATIO OF SECONDARY PUPILS TO THE TOTAL NUMBER CF PUPILS

| YEAR | WHITES | COLOUREDS | ASIANS | BLACKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | ,3650 | , 1745 | ,3009 | , 1525 |
| 1985 | ,3650 | ,2075 | ,③25 | ,1844 |
| 1990 | ,3650 | ,2389 | ,3493 | ,2163 |
| 1995 | ,3650 | ,2670 | ,3576 | ,2462 |
| 2000 | ,3650 | ,2908 | ,3616 | ,2726 |
| 2005 | ,3650 | ,3100 | ,3634 | ,2948 |
| 2010 | ,3650 | ,3250 | ,3643 | ,3128 |
| 2015 | ,3650 | ,3362 | ,3647 | ,3267 |
| 2020 | ,3650 | ,3445 | ,3648 | ,3372 |

3. CONCLUSION

In Table 2 of the main report the projected average number of births for five-year periods are tabulated and reflect the expected sharp decline in the birth-rate for the different population groups.

An alternative method for the projection of numbers of pupils would be to relate the number of births to the number of grade 1 pupils, and after that to project the number of pupils according to standard, with due consideration of the annual flow-through or drop-out of pupils in each standard. It would probably result in more accurate projection, especially in respect of the numbers of pupils in the primary and secondary sections. Owing to the time factor involved in the investigation into education, this projection technique can, however, not be followed.

The projection method which was followed has the advantage that, as far as the investigation into education is concerned, the population projections, and the projections of numbers of pupils do not reveal conflicting trends.

METHOD OF PROJECTION: TREND PROJECTION

1. For each of the four population groups the number of secondary pupils was expressed as a percentage of the population in the age group 13 to 18 years for the period 1970 to 1978 (1975 to 1978 in the case of the Whites).
2. The calculated percentages were projected to 2020 on the assumption that the abovementioned percentages would increase according to one or other asymptotic growth curve.
3. In the case of the Whites the data of 1975 to 1978 were used as a guide to project the abovementioned percentages according to a modified exponential curve.
4. In the case of the Coloureds tentative estimates were made by means of linear extrapolation for the two years 1980 and 1985 as the first step (short-term projection). The data of 1974 to 1978 together with the two estimated values for 1980 and 1985 were then used as guides for projection to 2020 with the aid of the Richards family of curves.
5. An additional assumption for projection purposes had to be made owing to great fluctuations in the historical data for Asians. A modified exponential curve with a saturation value equal to the projected percentage for Whites in 2020 was accepted as the trend line. By using the data from 1970 to 1973 as a guide, projections were afterwards made with the aid of the abovementioned curve.
6. In the case of the Blacks the projection was made as for the coloureds, with this exception that logistic curves were used instead of the Richards family of curves.
7. The projected percentages for the four population groups were finally applied to the projected populations in the age group 13 to 18 years to determine the projected number of secondary pupils.
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[^0]:    * Owing to the large number of Std 10 candidates, the Department of Education and Training decided that only full-time pupils may sit for the examination in November and the part-time candidates in June. According to the chief examination officer there were already 54000 candidates in November 1980 of whom approximately 40000 sat for the examination, owing to the school boycotts. There were already 97000 candidates who enrolled fur the Sía 10 examination in June 1981.

[^1]:    8 Van Niekerk, C., Bezuidenhout, D.J. and Hamman, P.J.T. Statistiek ten opsigte van na-skoolse en tersiêre opleiding, HSRC report 00474, 1981.

[^2]:    10. Republic of South Africa: Department of Manpower Utilization Highlevel Manpower in South Africa, Report $1 / 80$ of the National Manpower Commission
    11 Terblanche, S.S., Van Pletzen, Joyce and Jacobs, J.J. The growth in the Southern African labour force, structural changes in the demand for labour and the supply-demand situation in certain key vocations. HSRC, 1980.
[^3]:    13. Manpower Commissions HLM. op cit p. viii
[^4]:    SOURCE: DNE Ànnual reports

[^5]:    * According to Prof. J.L. Sadie the high projections for Blacks must be accepted as the median projections.

