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#### Abstract

According to "A Survey of the Training and Enploymert of Scientists and Engineers in South Africa" undertaken by the National Bureau of Educational and Social Researoh, there is a shortage of graduated manpower in South Africa. The question arises whether there is sufficient potential student material for the universities, and whether the universities have sufficient facilities at their disposal to be in a position to cater for this potential material.


This investigation attempts to trace the number of matriculants of November-December 1961 and March 1962 who went to un:versities and whether there would have been adequate university teaching facilities for the remaining group if they had gone to the universities.
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#### Abstract

0.1 INTRODUCTION O.l.l As is indicated in the title of this report, an investigation was made of a specific group of potential university students i.e. only those matriculants of November-December 1961 and March 1262. The aim of this investigation was to determine how many of this specific potential university students group were actually enrolled during November 1962 at a South African residential university for courses for which the possession of a matriculation certificate or a school leaving certificate with matriculation exemption was a prerequisite. 0.1.2 An investigation was also made of the teaching facilities at South African residential universities for all students. The information as shown in this report regarding lecture theatres, laboratories, teaching staff and other provisions is as was supplied by the different universities. O. 2 MATRICULANTS AND THOSE WHO PASSED THE STANDARD X EXAMINATION DURING NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 1961 AND MARCH 1962 IN THE VARIOUS EDUCATION DEPARTMENTS


$$
\mathrm{T} A B L E \quad 0.1
$$

CANDIDATES WHC PASSED STANDARD X WITH OR WITHOUT MATRICULATION EXTMPTION IN THE VARIOUS EDUCATION DEPARTMENTS (NOVEMBER-DECENABER 1961, MARCH 19\%2)

| Education Department | Matriculants |  | School leaving without exemption | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% |  |  |
| Transvaal | 3610 | 36.0 | 4324 | 7934 |
| Cape Province | 3059 | 30.5 | 2839 | 5898 |
| Orange Free State | 930 | 9.2 | 853 | 1783 |
| Natal | 834 | 8.3 | 578 | 1412 |
| Department of Education Arts and Science and the Joint Matriculation Board |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1602 | 16.0 | 2,995 | 4,597 |
| Total | $10035^{\text {if }}$ | 100.0 | 11589 | 21624 |
| \#This total includes a number of matriculants who wrote the examination whilst abroad and were thus not included in the investigation. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 0.2
FIRST YGAR STUDENTS (FUTITMTVE AND PARTMTME) IN THE VARIOUS NIVERSITIES

| University | All first year students |  | Students. enrolled at a university for the first time |  | Students repeating the first, year |  | Students not taken into account in the survey |  | First year stum dents taken into account in the survey in Nov. 196? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | IV | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Stellenbosch | 1427 | 100.0 | 10\% | 75.I | 356 | 24.9 | 771 | 54.0 | 656 | 45.0 |
| Cape Town | $1888{ }^{\text {5x }}$ | 200.0 | 1228 | 65.0 | 660 | 35.0 | 1319 | 69.9 | 569 | 30.1 |
| Rhodes | 610 | 100.0 | 437 | 77.6 | 173 | 28.4 | 403 | 66.1 | 207 | 33.9 |
| Pretoria | 2516 | 100.0 | 1605 | 53.8 | 91.1 | 36.2 | 1568 | 62.3 | 948 | 37.7 |
| Witwatersrand | 1968 | 100.0 | 1212 | 61.5 | 757 | 38.5 | 1169 | 59.4 | 799 | 40.5 |
| Potchefstroom | 532 | 100.0 | 48 | 78.6 | 1.14 | 21.4 | 310 | 58.3 | 222 | 41.7 |
| Orange Free State | 681 | 100.0 | 580 | 85,2 | 101 | -4.8 | 479 | 70.3 | 202 | 29.? |
| Natal | 1072 | 100.0 | $690{ }^{5 \times 5}$ | 64.4 | 382 | 35.6 | 597 | 55.7 | 475 | 44.3 |
| TotaI | 10694 | 100.0 | 7240 | 67.7 | 3454 | 32.3 | 6616 | 61.9 | 4078 | 38.1 |

[^0]O.3.1 Accoraing to $\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{ble} 0.2,6616$ first year university students were rot includez in the survey for one of the following reasons:
(i) They matriculated before November-December 1961.
(ii) They were first yeai students without matriculation.
(iii) They mairiculatcd in November-December 1961 or March 1962 and enrolled for courses for which matriculation is not a prerequisite. A large number of students take such courses at the Universities of Stellenbosch, Preturia, the Orange Free State, Cape Town and Potchefstroom University of C.H.F.
(iv) They obtained a recognised qualification for admission to a South African residential university whilst abroad. This was particularly so at the Universities of Cape Iown, Natal, the Witwatersrand and Rhodes University。
(v) They had discontinued their courses during the period June 9962 to November 1962 whilst the survey was veing undertaken.
0.3.2 Of the naiculants of November-December 1961 and March 1962, 40\%8 were thus eniolled at South African residential universj.ties during 1962 for courses for which matriculation is a requirenont.
0.3.3 Having ragard to the country's needs for graduates in particular, the number who repeated their first year (see table O.2) must de consicere a significant loss of leader potential: $A B=t$ 32. $3 \%$ (3454) had registered for the second (or more) time in a first year course. This means that they would talse at least two years for a first year course, The laiger numbers of first year students who repeated their first year were to be found at the city universities such as the Universities of Pretoria (911), the Witwatersrand (757), Cape Town (660) and Natal (estimated 382).

### 1.1 THE AIM OF THE INVESTIGATION

By direction of the Minister of Education, Arts and Science, an investigation was undertaken to determine
(i) The number of candidates who obtained the Matriculation or Natriculation exemption during November-December 1961 and March 1962;
(ii) The number of this group who went to university;
(iii) University facilities for additional students who could be absorbed by the universities.

To give effect to these terms of reference, it was decided to undertake the following:
(i) obtain the examination results of November-December 1961 and March 1962, and from these to ascertain the number of candidates who obtained Matriculation exemption;
(ii) scrutinise the record cards of the residential universities in order to determine how many of these matriculants went to a university in 1962;
(iii) obtain information from the universities regarding training facilities in 1962.

## I. 2 THE METHOD OF THE INVESTIGATION

The Natriculation results of November-December 1961 and March 1962 were obtained from certain education departments and officers were sent to those departments which did not supply examination results in order to fill in the results on schedules. Officers were also sent to the universities to collect information from the record cards of first year students. Questionnaires, in which data regarding training facilities were requested, were sent to heads of university departments.
1.2.1 Details of Schedules.
(i) Schedules on which information regarding matriculants ${ }^{1}$ ) and first year students was to be obtained: on these schedules information was to be given in respect of the matriculant's address, name, home language, sex, study course, name of high school be attended, date of passing matriculation, subjects taken for matriculation and symbols obtained.
1)

See definition of matriculant on page 3
(ii) Questionraires to heads of university departments: in these questionnaires information was obtained regarding the number of students connected with the departinent and the additional number of students who could be absorbed in the department during eact sudy yoar for the first time, reasons why additional. first year students could not be admitted and reasons why first year students had been refused admission。

## I.3 PROBLEMS OF THE INVESTIGATION

The investigation was undertaken at a time when education departments and universities were busy with examinations. As a result, the authorities concerned found difficulty in providing the information required. Full information regarding the addresses of matriculants could not be collected, while some of the record cards of one or two of the universities were incomplete in respect of ceatiain required data. Therefore the school leaving symbols which were not shown on certajn record cards had to be traced through the matriculation and school leaving examination results. Bearise of theuniversity vacation, heads of departments did not immodiately react to the request for information with the result that the information from some departments was not obtained. The University of Cape Town did not furnish any inforination. 1 )

It was assumed that heads of university departments who did not indicate how many additional students they could admit, placed no limit on the number of additional students possibie.

Because this investigation aimed merely at finding out how many matriculants in the survey group had enrolled for degree courses and courses requiring matriculation exemption, those who enroiled at a university for courses not requiring matriculation exemption were omitted.

### 1.4 EXPLANATION OF TERMS

 culation Board only; the various education departments conduct their own school leaving examinations, upon the results of which school leaving certificates, with or without matriculation exemption, are issued. For purposes of this report the following definitions are assumed:
1)

These data were received later and appear as an annexure to this report.
(a) a matriculant is a person in possession of a matriculation certificate or a school-leaving certificate with matriculation exemption;
(b) matriculation and school-leaving symbols are symbols awarded in the matriculation certificate and the school-leaving certificate with matriculation exemption;
(c) matriculation subject is a prescribed subject for the matriculation examination or for the schoolleaving certificate which confers matriculation exemption.
1.4.2 "First year students" meansthe group of November-December 1961 and March 1962 matriculants who enrolled for university courses.
1.4.3 "University potential" meansthe matriculants of NovemberDecember 1961 and March 1962.
1.4.4 Where mention is made of universities, the following eight South African residential universities are referred to: the Universities of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, Natal, Pretoria, the Orange Free State, the Witwatersrand, Rhodes University and the Potchefstroom University for C. H. F.
1.4.5 By "university group" is meant those matriculants of November-December 1961 and March 1962 who were first year students at the university during 1962, while the nonuniversity group is the remaining group of these matriculants.
1.4.6 Science and related study courses include pure science, engineering, agriculture forestry, veterinary science and the medical sciences.
1.4.7 For comparison of matriculation results, the following thirteen subjects or groups of subjects were used:
(i) Afrikaans (higher and lower grade).
(ii) English (higher and lower grade).
(iii) A third language (German, Latin, French, other European languages or a Bantu language).
(iv) Mathematics (Mathematics or additional Mathematics).
(v) Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry.
(vi) Biology (Biology, Botany and/or Zoology).
(vii) Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene.
(viii) Bookkeeping (Bookkeeping and Commercial Arithmetic).
(ix) Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing (including Shorthand).
(x) History (History and other social subjects).
(xi) Geography.
(xii) Art and Music.

# (xiii) Domestic Science (including Needlework), Wood- and Metal Work; Agricultural Subjects and technical subjects (including Machine Construction and Drawing, and Fitting and Turning). 

[^1]
## CHAPTER TWO

AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER OF MATRICULANTS OF NOVEMBER-DECEMBER 1961
AND MARCH 1962 AND THE NUMBER WHO ENROLLED FOR UNIVERSITY COURSES FOR WHICH MATRICULATION EXEMPTION IS A REQUIREMENT
2.1 POSSIBLE CENDIDATES FOR UNIVERSITY STUDY

TABLE 2.1
POSSIBLE CANDIDATES FOR. UNIVERSITY STUDY

|  | First Classes | Second Classes | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No and \% of total first classes | No and \% of total second classes | No and \% of total |
| Matriculants of NovemberDecember 1961 and March 1962 | $\begin{array}{r} 3353 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6500 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9853 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Matriculants who enrolled for university courses for which matriculation exemption is required | $\begin{gathered} 1870 \\ 55.78 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2208 \\ 33.97 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4078 \\ 41.39 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Matriculants who did not enrol at a university | $\begin{gathered} 1483 \\ 44.22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4292 \\ 66.03 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5775 \\ 58.61 \% \end{gathered}$ |

2.1.1 An analysis of table 2.1 shows that of a total of 9853 matriculants, 4078 (41.39\%) enrolled for courses for which matriculation exemption is a requirement, while 5775 (58.61\%) did not enrol for a university course.
2.1.2 3353 passed in the first class and 6500 in the second.
2.1.3 1870 (55.78\%) of the first class matriculants enrolled at the universities, while 1483 (44.22\%) did not enrol.
2.1.4 2208 (33.97\%) of the second class matriculants enrolled at universities while 4292 (66.03\%) did not.
2.1.5 A larger percentage of first class matriculants (55.78\%) than of second class matriculants (33.97\%) enrolled at a university。
2.1.6 If all the first classes be considered as university potential par excellence, a disturbingly high number, 1483 (44.22\%) did not enrol for such further education directly after leaving school.

As this investigation deals only with matriculants as university--potential for the eight residential universities, no attempt has been made to determine how many matriculants enrolled for example for teacher training without university education, or for post-matriculation training at technical colleges or the University of South Africa: nor was the number ballotted for military training given consideration (about $1000^{\text {l }}$ were possibly chosen by ballot for compulsory military service).

To survey the division of the university potential adequately, it must be assumed that only those students who took courses for which matriculation exemption wasa requirement, constituted a useful expenditure of university potential as graduated manpower.

```
2.2 STUDY COURSES
2.2.1 Study courses in Arts and Social Sciences,
These courses include pure B.A. degrees and B.A. degrees in Librarianship, Fine Arts, Law, Anthropology, Social Work, Nursing, Public Administration, Speech Therapy, Physical Education, Education, Theology and also the degrees of B.Dram., B.Mus. (including teaching), B.Mil., B.Iur. and courses for the Attorneys' Admission Examination.
2.2.2 Courses in Science.
These include pure Bo Sc. degrees and B. Sc. degrees in Mining G~ology, Physical Education, Teaching, Domestic Science (including teaching) Food Technology, Dietetics, Hygiene, Fharmacy, Nursing, Quantity Surveying, Land Surveying, the degree of B.Arch and the Diplomas in Architecture and Quantity Surveying.
```


### 2.2.3 Courses in Engineering

```
These include B. Sc. (Eng.) or B. Sc. B. Eng. degrees in Civil, Mechanical, Electrical, Mining, Chemical, Agricultural, Industrial and Metallurgical Engineering.
2.2.4 Courses in Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.
These are the B.Sc. degrees in Agriculture and Forestry and the degree of B.V.Sc.
```

1) 

10,230 ballottees underwent military training during 1962. The number of matriculants in this group is however not known, the Department of Defence being unable to give information in regard to this matter. It is accepted that some $10 \%$ should be the figure taken, on the grounds of the following:
"A survey of some aspects of White Manpower Reserve in the Republic of Sou'th Africa", published in 1962 by the C.S.I.R. National Institute for Personnel Research, mentions that $16.06 \%$ of the 17-18 years age group and $27.78 \%$ of the 18-19 years age group of 1954 had passed Standard 10. This gives an average of just less than $22 \%$. Of those who passed Standard 10 in 1961, $46.4 \%$ obtained matriculation exemption (and were thus matriculants). Thus a little less than half of the above $22 \%$ would be matriculants i.e. about $10 \%$."

### 2.2.5 Courses in Medical Science.

These include the degrees of $\mathbb{M} . \mathrm{B}_{0}, \mathrm{Ch} . \mathrm{B} ., \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{Ch} . \mathrm{B} . \mathrm{Ch} . \mathrm{D} .$, B. D. S. and B.Sc. (Physiotherapy) and diplomas in Physiotherapy, Radiographic Therapy and Occupational Therapy.
2.2.6 Courses in Commercial Courses

These include pure B. Com. degrees and degrees of B.Com. in Law and Teaching subjects as well as the degrees of B. Econ., B.Admin. and the Certificate in the Theory of Accounts.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 2.2
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN VARIOUS COURSES.

| Courses | First Class passes |  | Second Class passes |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (Percentage of total first class passes) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percen- } \\ \text { tage } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percen- } \\ \text { tage } \end{gathered}$ | Percentage of total num ber (4078) |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 729 \\ (39.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 41.7\% | 1021 | 58.3\% | $\begin{gathered} 1750 \\ (42.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Pure Sciences Group ... | $\begin{gathered} 477 \\ (25.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.4\% | 384 | 44.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 861 \\ (21.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Engineering ........... | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ (11.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 53.4\% | 192 | 46.6\% | $\begin{gathered} 412 \\ (10.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ (3.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 40.1\% | 106 | 59.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (4.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Medical Science ....... | $\begin{gathered} 208 \\ (11.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 54.3\% | 177 | 55.7\% | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (9.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Commercial Courses ... | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ (8.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 33.5\% | 328 | 66.5\% | $\begin{gathered} 493 \\ (12.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total ................. | $\begin{aligned} & 1870 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 45.85\% | 2208 | 54.15\% | $\begin{gathered} 4078 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

### 2.3 COMPARISON OF THE NUMBERS OF STUDENTS IN EACH COURSE

When the various courses are compared along the above lines, it appears that of the group of 4078 students, the largest number, 1750 or $42.9 \%$ chose Arts and Social Science, The science group of 861 ( $21.1 \%$ ) is also appreciably large. The student groups taking Engineering (412 or 10.1\%), Commercial courses ( 493 or $12.1 \%$ ) and Medical Science ( 385 or 9.4\%) are roughly the same size while a smaller group ( 177 or 4.3\%) took courses in Agriculture, Forestry or Veterinary Science.

If the Science, Engineering, Medical, Agricultural, Forestry and Veterinary Science groups be combined and compared with the nemainder, we find 1835 ( $44.98 \%$ ) taking science and related courses as against 2243 ( $55,02 \%$ ) taking other courses.

In order to interpret these comparisons more effectively, it is necessary to see how they compare with the numbers of graduates in the various study courses.

In part three of "A Survey of the Training and Fmployment of Scientists and Engineers in South Africa" published by the National Bureau of Educational and Social Research and dealing with graduation trends in South Africa from 1918 to 1957, the Bachelors degrees conferred are also divided into six groups. This division coincides more or less with that of this investigation. For purposes of this investigation, the courses of B.Sc. (Land Surveying), B. Sc., B.Arch., B. Sc. (Hygiene), B.Sc. (Dietetics) and B. Sc. (Domestic Science) are grouped under the Pure Sciences whereas in the above-mentioned survey they were classified otherwise, namely B. Sc. (Land Surveying), B.Sc. (Q.S.) and B.Arch. under Engineering, B. Sc. (Domestic Science) under Agriculture and B. Sc. (Hygiene) under the Medical group. Thus it should be borne in mind in the following comparison that the Pure Science group of this investigation, if the same divisions be followed as in the survey, should actually be zuch smaller than $21.2 \%$ while the Agricultural, Engineering and Medical groups should be slightly larger than their respective percentages.

If the percentages of this investigation be compared with the percentage of Bachelors degrees awarded in each group during the period 1953-1957, the following becomes apparent:
(i) Of the Bachelors degrees, $43.9 \%$ were in the Arts and Social Sciences which compared well with the $42.9 \%$ of students who, according to this investigation, enrolled in Arts and Social Science courses.
(ii) During this period $13.8 \%$ of the Bachelors degrees awarded were in Pure Science as against $21.1 \%$ (as explained above, this should be somewhat less) who, according to this investigation, enrolled for Pure Science courses.
(iii) Of the Bachelors degrees, $12.7 \%$ were in Engineering, and this compared well with the $10.1 \%$ (which should be slightly more, as explained above) who, according to this investigation, enrolled in Engineering courses.
(iv) Of the Bachelors degrees, $5.7 \%$ were in Agriculture and related subjects as compared with $4.3 \%$ (which should be somewhat higher) which were found to be enrolled for courses in Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science in this investigation.
(v) In Medical Science, $13.6 \%$ of all Bachelors degrees were awarded as against $9.4 \%$ (which should be slightly higher) who enrolled for similar courses according to this investigation.
(vi) Of the Bachelors degrees awarded, $10.3 \%$ were in the Commerce group compared with $12.1 \%$ who enrolled for similar courses according to this investigation.
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(vii) In the four acienwo covase groups $45.8 \%$ of $a \leq 1$ Parhelors degroos awarded are fours to be in good aemeraes with $4.40 \%$ of the students in this investigation who enrolled for like courses

 $220(118 \%)$ cownes ir Fngineonng, Ti ( $3.8 \%$ dowses in Agriculture, Forestive am Votorinary Science, 203 (ilo,io) counses in Medical Scionse and $165(8.8,0)$ vommerial oursos. This means that 976 ( $52.3 \%$ of the first class students ohose science courses vihile 894 (47. $7 \%$ ) chose other curses fmongst the number of candidates in science courses, the number with first class passes is somewhat higher than in the othe: courses:

By calculating the percontage of first olases in each gooup, we may obtain a fairiy good indication of the choice of covises made, In this way it is found that indivicual course growps contain the following pereentages of first c.jasses among all the suments of the respective courses: Arts and Sociai soionces 41.7\%, Pure Sciences $55.4 \%$ ! the highesti percentage), Enginecring 5304\%, Agri culture, F'orestry and Veterinary Cojenco $40.7 \%$, Medical Sciences $54.3 \%$ an: Commercial. coursos $33,5 \%$

Iore tiniri half the first year studeits taking courses in Pure Scicnee, Eqginesring of Medical Scienvo, obtained a first class pass in the matwiculation examintion The higher percentage of first class passosi mongsi the acione groups may be ascribed fo the lat that candidatea in mathematios and scicace subjoots obtain firit ciass passes mome easily and in accurdunce with their ochievemenis chnose a soientific ocume thia appion equaly bo stuadens in Medical Suiences and migheoring. This rill ie understood more easily winen the porformances in the vatious subjects are áscusscd,

The smaller percentage of first, clanses among the Arts and Social Science students may be ascribed to the faci that most condjuates with reascnabir good resuits in the official languages and social subjeots shoose these courses and, in contrast with the achievements of the science group in mathematjos and tho scionces, do not obtain sweh good symbols in these subjects: this results in a lorer average per formance; although as will be seen later tho Agriculture, Foresivy and Veterinary Scicroce group oltained reasonably good symbols in the science subjects, their performance was in goneral not as good as the other groups discussed. The group taking conmericil courses had, as a wiole, pcorer resuits then the other groups in all subjects with the exception of wonkeoping.

### 2.4 A COMPARTGON OR THE NUMBER OF STUDENU'S IN EACH COURSE ACCORDING 

Table 2.3 shows the number of students in each course of study aconrding to their home language,

TABLE 2.3
NUMBGR OF STUDENTS IN DIFFERENTT COURSE GROUPS ACCORDING TO HOMR LANGUAGE

| Course | English speaking | hfi- <br> kaans-speaking | $\begin{gathered} \text { English } \\ \text { \& Afri- } \\ \text { keans- } \\ \text { speaking } \end{gathered}$ | German-speaking | Dutch speaking | Speak other language | Totc. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 770 \\ (44.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 914 \\ (52.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (1.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1750 \\ & (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pure Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 443 \\ (51.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 380 \\ (44.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (1.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(0.6 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (0.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 861 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Engineer- | $\begin{gathered} 267 \\ (64.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ (25.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (1.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(1 \cdot 2 k)$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (3.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 412 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Agriculture Forestry \& Veterinary Science | $(20.9 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ (74.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (100.00 .0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Medical <br> Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 255 \\ (66.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116 \\ (30.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | (1.8\%) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(1.0 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (100.0 \text { 渭 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Commercial <br> Courses | $\begin{gathered} 286 \\ (58.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187 \\ (37.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 1.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{6}{(1.2 \%)}$ | $(0.8 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 493 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total for each language | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 2058 \\ (50.5 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1835 \\ & (45.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 65 \\ (1.6 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ (1.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{25}{(0.6 \%)}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & (0.9 \%) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 4078 \\ (100.0 \text { 佝 } \end{gathered}$ |

From Table 2.3 it will be seen that the English-speaking group ${ }^{1}$ ) of 2058 constitutes more than half of the total i.e. $50.5 \%$. Then follows the Afrikaans-speaking group of 1835 (45.0\%) with other groups constituting less than $5 \%$ of the total.

Although the Afrikaans-speaking group in Arts and Social Sciences are in the majority with $52.2 \%$ against $44.0 \%$ among the English-speaking group and also in Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science with $74.6 \%$ against $20.9 \%$, they are well in the minority in their choice of courses in Science ( $44.1 \%$ as compared with $51.5 \%$ English-speaking), Engineering ( $25.7 \%$ compared with $64.8 \%$ English-speaking), Medical Sciences ( $30.1 \%$ compared with $66.2 \%$ English-speaking) and Commercial courses ( $37.9 \%$ compared with $58.0 \%$ English-speaking).
1)

The home language of all students was obtained from all the universities except Cape Town where it was decided to determine the home language of a student from a scrutiny of his name and matriculation symbols.
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### 2.5 THE HOME LANGUAGE OF MATRICULANTS

Of a total of 9853 matriculants who passed or obtained exemption from the matriculation examination in NovemberDecember 1961 and March 1962, $4926^{2}$ ) were Afrikaans-speaking, which is just half the total. Of these 1835 (37.2\%) went to a university.

As the remainder of this group of matriculants (4927) consisted of English, English and Afrikaans, German, Dutch and other language groups, it may be assumed that of these some 4427 were English-speaking ${ }^{3}$ ). We may therefore deduce that 2058 (46.5\%) English-speaking candidates went to university.
2) This estimated total was determined according to details of home language of some candidates as supplied by certain education departments and the others in accordance with the official language of the high school, and the number of matriculants taking Afrikaans on the higher grade.
3) It was decided that the remaining 500 ( $\ddagger 5 \%$ ) should not be classified as either English or Afrikaans-speaking。

A COMPARISON OF THS WURTOULATION SYEOLS OBTAINED IIT NOVEMBERDECENBER 1961 OR MAR'IH 1962 BY FIRST YEAR SPUDENTS OF 1962.
3.1 In order to make comparisors of the achievement of the groups in each subject, it was decided to calculate the average percentage mark in each subject for each group. Because only symbols were available, an average percentage was decided upon for each symbol, nameiy A - 85\%, B - 75\%, $\mathrm{C}-65 \%, \mathrm{D}-55 \%, \mathrm{E}-45 \%, \mathrm{~F}-36 \frac{2}{3} \%$ and the remainder FF, G and H-30\%.

In this way, percentages were calculated for the following subjects or groups of subjects:
(i) Afrikaans (higher and Iower giade combined)
(ii) Englisih (higher and lower grade combined)
(iii) A thir: language (German, Latin, French, Baniu and other languages combined)
(iv) Mathemeties
(v) Physical Science; Physics or Chemistry
(vi) Biolociry Pot:any or Zoology
(vii) Other Sciences (Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene)
(viii) Bookkeepirgg
(ix) Other Commeraial subjects (Commerce, Economics, Typing, showthand and Snsiskrif)
(x) History and related scojal stiojects
(xi) Geography
(xii) Art and inusios
(xiii) Other subjects Domestic Science and Needlework, Woodwork and Mctalwork: Agriculture and rechnical subjects)e

In the tables discussed below, the following are shown:
(i) Against cach groin of study courses the number of candidates obtaining a certain symbol is shown. Just bencath this numer, the nuinicr expressed as a percentage of the total number for that specific symbol is shown. This is done to give an idea of the spiraad of the numbers obtaining each symbol in the various fields of stridy. It also serves to indicate, for ea chebject, the distribution of the group of matidetprite who did not go to university according to the course of study as will be shown in later talles
(ii) The peruitimate column shows against each study group the number of sudents in that group who took a specifis subject for the matriculation. Under this

TABLE 3.1
THE DIETRIBUTION ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK AFRITAANS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(\% A^{2} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } A^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{i} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num } \\ & \text { ber of } \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime}\right. \text { s } \\ & \text { total nu } \\ & \text { ber of I } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ \left(\% E^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ \text { total num } \\ \text { ber of } E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F \\ \left(\% F^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ \text { total num } \\ \text { ber of } F^{?} \end{gathered}$ | ```FF,G&H (% failures of total failures``` | Number in course (\% of towal in group | $\underset{\%}{\text { Averege }}$ |
| Arts and Social Science | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ (67 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 282 \\ (55.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 584 \\ (47.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 540 \\ (40.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 225 \\ (28.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (43.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(22 \cdot 2 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1733 \\ (99.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.4 |
| Pure Sciences ......... | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (19.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (20.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 269 \\ (21.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 265 \\ (19.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178 \\ (22.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (25.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(11.1 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 855 \\ (99.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.1 |
| Engineering .......... | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (4 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (7.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ (8.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (9.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ (15.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (16.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (22.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 402 \\ (97.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.5 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Feterinary Science |  | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (3 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (4.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(5 . \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (5.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.3 |
| Medical Sciences ....。 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (6.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (6.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{112}{(9 \cdot \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ (11.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(9.2 \%)$ | $\stackrel{2}{(4.2 \%)}$ | $\frac{1}{(11.1 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (99.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.3 |
| Commercial Courses .. | $(1.7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (6.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (8.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 194 \\ (14.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (18.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(8.3 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (33 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 489 \\ (99.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.4 |
| Total ................ | $\begin{gathered} 117 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 511 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1229 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1346 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 780 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4040 \\ (99 . \overline{2} \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

last named figure, it is shown as a percentage of the total in that study course group.
(iii) The last column indicates the average percentage obtained by each group in that specific subject and calculated according to the method previously discussed.

### 3.2 SUBJECTS COMPARED

### 3.2.1 Afrikaans

The numbers of students taking Afrikaans as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 3.1.

Afrikaans was taken by 4040 ( $99.1 \%$ ) of first year students. A very high percentage in each group took this subject and with the exception of the group taking Engineering courses (97.6\%), the groups vary from 99.0\% to 100\%.

The Social Sciences group had the best average marks in Afrikaans namely 61.4\%, followed by the Pure Science group (59.1\%), the Medical group (58.3\%), the Agriculture Forestry and Veterinary Science group (58.3\%), Engineering groups ( $56.5 \%$ ) and the Commercial group (55.4\%).

As far as the symbols for $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime}$ s and C's are concerned, the Arts and Social Science group had the greatest number (945) followed by the Pure Science group (399), the Medical group (154), the Engineers group (150), and the Commerce group (142). The number of students (1733) in the Arts and Social Science group is approximately double the number (855) in the Pure Science group. Even when the number of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ in the Pure Science group is doubled and these figures are compared with the numbers of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ in the Arts and Social Science group it may be seen that the number of the Arts and Social Science group with good symbols in Afrikaans exceeds that in the Pure Science group. (Pure Sciences: A's 46 ( $2 \times 23$ ), B's 214 ( $2 \times 107$ ), C's 538 ( $2 x 269$ ). Arts and Social Sciences A's 79, B's 282, C's 584.) If a similar comparison is made between the Pure Science and Medical Science groups, we find that the numbers of Medical students with good symbols in Afrikaans aie less than the number of Pure Science students with A's, B's and C's respectively. ${ }^{1}$ )

We may thus assume that most of the candidates with good symbols for Afrikaans will choose a course in the Arts and Social Sciences group while the second largest group with good symbols in Afrikaans take Pure Science.

### 3.2.2 English

The number of students who took English as a matriculation subject is shown according to their university courses in Table 3.2.

The number of first year students who had taken English, was more than those who took Afrikaans. 4076 (99.95\%) took English as a subject.

The two students who did not take English were to be found in the Arts and Social Science group.
$1)_{\text {Numbers, }}$ instead of percentages as in the Afrikaans edition, are changed proportionately in this and similar paragraphs in Chapter Three of this report.
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DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK ENGLISH AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of study | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \left(\% A^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% } B^{\prime} \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{i} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & (\% \text { C's of } \\ & \text { total num-- } \\ & \text { ber of } \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\% D^{D}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } D^{\text {t }} \mathrm{s} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{i} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $F$ FF, G\&\&H <br> $\left(\% F^{\prime} s\right.$ of (\% foilures <br> total num- of total <br> ber of $F^{\prime} s$ ) failures) | ```Number in course (% of iotal in group)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { A.verage } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Science | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ (61.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 299 \\ (57.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 581 \\ (46.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 601 \\ (40.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ (27.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (46.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1748 \\ & (99.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 61.9 |
| Pure Sciences .......... | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (15,0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ (18.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 297 \\ (23.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 306 \\ (20.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ (21.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(6.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 861 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.6 |
| Engineering ............ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (7 \cdot 9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (6.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117 \\ (9 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 155 \\ (10.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ (14.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 412 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science。 | $\stackrel{2}{(1.6 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(2 \cdot 5 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ (4.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (8.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (13 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.2 |
| Medical Sciences ...... | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (9.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ (9.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (8.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 60.1 |
| Commercial Courses ... | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (3.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (5 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \\ (8.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 214 \\ (14.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ (20.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 493 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.7 |
| Total ................ | $\stackrel{I 26}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 516 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1256 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1489 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 674 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4075 \\ & (99.95 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |

As was the case with Afrikaans, the Arts and Social Science group obtained a higher average mark than the other groups, namely 61.9\%. Then follow the Medical group ( $60.1 \%$ ), the Pure Science group (59.6\%), the Engineering group ( $57.8 \%$ ), the Commerce group (55.7\%) and then the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group (55. 2\%).

As was the case with Afrikaans, the Arts and Social Science group obtained most A's, B's and C's in English, namely 957 compared with the Pure Science group (410), the Medical group (184), the Engineers group (160) and the Commerce group (140). If comparisons are made, as was done for Afrikaans, between the Arts and Social Science group and the Pure Science group, we again find that the former group (1748) is about twice the size of the latter (861). By comparison, even after doubling the numbers of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and C's in the Pure Science group it may be seen that the numbers of $A^{\prime} s$ and $B^{\prime}$ s obtained by the Arts and Social Science group far exceed those obtained by the Pure Science group while the numbers of C's are approximately equal. (Pure Science: $A^{\prime}$ s 40 ( $2 \times 20$ ); $B^{\prime}$ s 186 ( $2 \times 93$ ); C's 594 (2x297). Arts and Social Sciences A's 77, B's 299, C's 581.) If the Medical group be treated in the same way it will also be found to have more A's and B's but fewer C's than the Pure Science group.

Most of the better symbols in English are thus found amongst the candidates with courses in the Arts and Social Sciences, followed by the Pure Sciences, the Medical and the Engineers groups.

### 3.2.3 A third language.

The numbers of students who took a third language (German, Latin, French, Bantu language and others) as a matriculation subject, distributed according to university courses, are shown in Table 3.3.

A large percentage of first year students (64.7\%) took a third language as a subject for matriculation. If the percentage of students in each study group taking a third language be compared, we find a particularly large percentage ( $78.5 \%$ ) in the Arts and Social Science group, about four out of every five. The Medical group (71.7\%) and even the Pure Science group ( $64.6 \%$ ) had a large percentage of students who took a third language at school.

The average percentages of the six groups are very close to one another, particularly the Pure Science group (59.8\%), the Engineers group (59.3\%), the Medical group (58.5\%) and the Arts and Social Sciences group (57.8\%).

The much larger group with courses in the Arts and Social Sciences again had the most A's, B's and C's (564) followed by the Pure Science group (217), the Engineers group (126), the Medical group (118) and, with far fewer, the Commerce group (60) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group (28). The Engineers group had proportionately the most A's. If we compare the Engineers group (266) with the Medical group (276), it has $14.7 \% \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ s compared with $13.0 \% \mathrm{~A}$ 's of the larger Medical group and even more $A^{\prime}$ s in comparison with the Pure Science

TABLE 3.3
DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK A THIRD LANGUAGE AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(\% A^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } A^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{\prime} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of C's } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.D^{2} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.F^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF,G\&H } \\ & (\% \text { failures } \\ & \text { of total } \\ & \text { failures) } \end{aligned}$ | Number in course (\% of total in group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ (43.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 192 \\ (54 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 296 \\ (50.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 377 \\ (51.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 329 \\ (54.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (59 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{9}{(31.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1374 \\ & (78.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 57.8 |
| Pure Sciences ........... | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (22.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ (21.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104 \\ (17.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 147 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ (13.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (14.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (20.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 477 \\ & (55.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 59.8 |
| Engineering ............ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (14.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (11.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (8.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (8.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (8.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (27.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 266 \\ & (64.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $59 \cdot 3$ |
| Agriculture Forestry and Teterinary Science.. | $\stackrel{2}{(1.1 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (2.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (2 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (4.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ (2 \cdot 5 \%) \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (44 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.6 |
| Medical Sciences ....... | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (13.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (8.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (11.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (10.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ (9.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (10 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 276 \\ (71.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.5 |
| Commercial Courses .... | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & (6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (3.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (6.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (6.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (8.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (4.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(6.5 \%)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & (34 \cdot 1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 56.6 |
| Total ................... | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 351 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 585 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 735 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 603 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 159 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2639 \\ & (64 \cdot 7 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |

group (477) which is almost twice as large 47 (1.8x26) compared with 39. The Arts and Social Science group (1374) which is approximately five times as large as the Engineers group (266) has $43.0 \%$ A's compared with 14.7\% A's in the latter group. Relatively, the Pure Science group has most B's, 74 as compared to 61 ( $1.8 \times 34$ ) for the Engineers and 64 ( $\pm \frac{1}{3} \times 192$ ) for the Arts and Social Science group. As far as the number of C's is concerned, we shall find that, if they are calculated proportionately, about the same results will be obtained for the first four groups.

Although most candidates with good symbols in a third language choose a course in the Arts and Social Sciences, a larger percentage also incline towards Pure Science, Engineering or Medicine.

### 3.2.4 Mathematics

The distribution, according to courses taken, of all the candidates taking Mathematics as a matriculation subject is shown in Table 3.4.

As $88.4 \%$ of all first year students had taken Mathematics as a matriculation subject, we may say that nine out of every ten students can offer this subject. It is significant that only $74.3 \%$ of the Arts and Social Sciences group took this subject. The other five groups vary between 98.1\% (Medical group) to almost 100\% having taken Mathematics. As Mathematics is a prerequisite for most of the courses in these five groups, the high percentage shown by the subject in these groups is readily understandable.

If the average performances of the group are compared with one another, we find reasonably high percentage marks (68.5\%) in the Engineers group followed by the Pure Science group (64.5\%), the Medical group ( $61.8 \%$ ), the Commerce group (59.0\%), the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group ( $58.5 \%$ ), with the Arts and Social Sciences group last (54.6\%).

The Pure Science group has most A's, B's and C's (510) followed by the Arts and Social Sciences group (421), the Engineers group (296), the Commerce group (218), the Medical group (211) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group (72). The Engineers group has preportionately the most $A^{\prime}$ s and $B^{\prime}$ s. If this group (410) is compared with the Pure Science group (858) by doubling the numbers in the Engineering group it is found that the Engineering group has 188 A 's, 210 B 's and 194 C 's as compared to 157 A's, 158 B 's and 195 C 's in the Pure Science group. The Niedical group has relatively the most C's since, although it is a smaller group (378) than the Engineers (410) the Medicals have 112 C's as compared to 97 C's in the Engineering group.

We may thus assume that most of the candidates with good symbols in Mathematics will choose courses in Pure Science, Engineering or Medicine.
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TABLE 3.4
DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK MATHEMATICS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | (\% A's of tctal number of $A^{\prime} s$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.B^{\prime} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } C^{\prime} \text { 's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\% \text { D }{ }^{D}\right. \text { sof } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.D^{\prime} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\% \text { E's of } \\ & \text { (\%tal num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.E^{\prime} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\% \mathrm{~F} \\ & \left(\% \mathrm{~F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.\mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right) \end{aligned}$ | FF, G\&H <br> (\% failures of total failures) | Number in course (\% of total in group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avazars } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts ard Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (15,0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122 \\ (22.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 242 \\ (30 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 340 \\ (37 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 358 \\ (50,4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 149 \\ (67.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (80.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1300 \\ (74 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 54.6 |
| Pure Sciences ......... | $\begin{aligned} & 157 \\ & (41.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ (28.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 195 \\ (24 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 199 \\ (21.9 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ (17.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (10.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 858 \\ (99.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 64.5 |
| Engineering | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ (24 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105 \\ (19.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ (12.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (8.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (4.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(1.4 \%)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 410 \\ (99.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 68.5 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (2.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (5.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (4.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ (6.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (4.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (5.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(2.5 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 176 \\ (99.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.5 |
| Medical Sciences ...... | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (8.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (11.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ (14 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ (10.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (7.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (7.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 378 \\ (98.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.8 |
| Commercial Courses | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (8.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ (13.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ (14 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ (14 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ (15.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (8.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (12.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ (98.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| Total ................ | $\begin{aligned} & 380 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 553 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 908 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 710 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 222 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3608 \\ (88 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

When we compare the good symbols in Mathematics with those in the official languages, we find that there are more A's in Mathematics (380) than in Afrikaans (117) or English (126) and even more $B^{\prime}$ s in Mathematics (553) than in Afrikaans (5ll) and English (516).

## 3.2:5 Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry.

The number of students who took Physical Science, Physjcs or Chemistry as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 3.5, distributed according to the university courses followed. Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry was taken by $71.4 \%$ of the first year students studied.

A very high percentage of the Engineers had studied this subject, followed by a percentage of 93.2 of the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group. More than $80 \%$ of the other groups had taken the subject with the exception of the Arts and Social Science group with $48.7 \%$, i.e. less than half.

Once again, those taking Engineering may pride themselves in having the highest average marks, namely $65.3 \%$ with slightly less in the Pure Science group with 64.5\%. Then comes the Medical group ( $62.6 \%$ ), the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group (61.5\%) follows with the Commerce and the Arts and Social Science groups each with 56.9\%.

The Pure Science group had the most A's, B's and C's, namely 476, followed by the Arts and Social Sciences group with 320 , the Engineers group with 269, the Medical group with 199, the Commerce group with 145 and lastly the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with 84. If the percentages obtained in respect of each symbol by the different groups are compared with the numbers which took the subject in each group, we find the largest percentage of A's among the Engineers group. The Engineers group (407) is a little more than half the Pure Science group (749). The latter group has 93 A's, $169 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}$ s and 214 C 's compared to the calculated values of 112 A 's, 156 B 's and $226 \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ s for the Engineers ( 1.8 x numbers of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and C's among 407 Engineers). The Medical group (332) is about four-fifths of the size of the Engineers group (407) and the corresponding figures for the Medical and Engineers groups would be $A^{\prime}$ s $33, B^{\prime}$ s 79 and $C^{\prime}$ s 138 ( $5 / 4 \mathrm{x}$ numbers of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ in Medical group) and 61 A 's, 85 B 's and $123 \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ s in the Engineering group. The conclusion is that good matriculation symbols in Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry were fewer in the group which enrolled for the Medical Sciences than in the Pure Science or Engineers group.

Most of the candidates with good symbols in Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry thus enrol for courses in Pure Science, Engineering or Medicine.

### 3.2.6 Biology, Botany or Zoology

The distribution of the students who took Biology, Botany or Zoology as matriculation subjects, according to university course, is shown in Table 3.6. See Table 3.6.

DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK PHYSICAI SCIENCE, PHYSICS OR CHENISTRY AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | A (\% A's of total number of A's) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% } B^{\prime} \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.B^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of C's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } D^{\prime} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } F^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | ```FFF,G&H (% failures of total failures)``` | ```Number in course (% of total in group)``` | $\underset{\substack{\text { iverage }}}{ }$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (12.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (20.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189 \\ (24 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 258 \\ (32.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 221 \\ (42.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (55.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{(16 \cdot 7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 853 \\ (48.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.9 |
| Pure Sciences .......... | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ (38.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ (35.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 214 \\ (27.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164 \\ (20.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ (18.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (11.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(16 \cdot 7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 749 \\ (87.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $64 \cdot 5$ |
| Engineering ........... | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ (25 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ (17.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (15.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ (10.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(1.1 \%)}$ | $(8 \cdot 3 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 407 \\ (98.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.3 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science. | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (5.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (5.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ (7 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (3.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (8.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ (93.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.5 |
| Medical Sciences ....... | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (10.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ (13.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ (14.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (9.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (8.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (7 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(8.3 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 332 \\ (86.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.6 |
| Commercial Courses . | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (7 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ (12.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 148 \\ (18.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ (17 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (18.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (41 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 406 \\ (82 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.9 |
| Total ................... | $\begin{aligned} & 241 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 478 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 774 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 788 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 526 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2912 \\ (71.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

A percentage of $47.2 \%$ of first year students took Biology, Botany or Zoology. Compared with the numbers taking the subject Physical Science, more students in the Arts and Social Science group took the subject Biology or related subjects, namely $63.1 \%$ compared with $48.7 \%$. Of the other groups, less than half of each group (in Engineering only 18.9\%) had taken Biology or a related subject.

The highest average mark was obtained by those taking Engineering, namely $65.7 \%$, but it should be remembered that only a small group of Engineering students took this subject. The average marks of the Pure Science ( $64.1 \%$ ), Medical ( $63.9 \%$ ) and Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science groups (63.0\%) are very close to one another with a very much lower average percentage obtained by the Commerce group (58.8\%) and the Arts and Social Sciences group (58.3\%).

The Arts and Social Sciences group had the greatest number of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime}$ s and $C^{\prime}$ s, namely 448, followed by the Pure Science group with 237, the Medical group with llo, Commerce group with 62, the Engineers with 54 and the other group with 45. Omitting the Engineering group, we find that the Medical and the Pure Science groups have relatively about the same number of A's, though many more than the Arts and Social Sciences group has. The groups can be compared if we double the Medical group (170) and divide the Arts and Social Sciences group (1104) by three to make them comparable with the Pure Science group (359). The Arts and Social Sciences group will then have about 10 A's, $43 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $96 \mathrm{C's}$; the Pure Science group 28 A 's, 82 B 's and 127 C's whereas the Medical group will have 26 A 's, 78 B 's and 116 C's. Thus the Medical and Pure Science groups have about the same proportion of $B^{\prime} s$ but have more than the Arts and Social Sciences group, while the last named has the smallest percentage of C's in relation to the other two groups.

Candidates with good symbols in Biology subjects thus seem to prefer courses in the Arts and Social Sciences, Pure Sciencesand Medical Sciences.

### 3.2.7 Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene

The distribution, according to university course taken, of students taking Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene as matriculation subjectsis shown in Table 3.7. See Table 3.7.

These subjects were taken by a small percentage ( $2.7 \%$ ) of the first year students in the matriculation examination, and they also largely chose courses in Arts and Social Sciences (48), Pure Science (22) and Engineering (21). The Engineers group with $69.4 \%$ and the Pure Science group with $65.9 \%$ had the best average performances.

Although the Arts and Social Science group had the most A's, B's and C's with 22 as against 15 of the Engineering group and 13 of the Pure Science group, the
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TABLE 3.6
DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK BIOLOGY, BOTANY OR ZOOLOGY AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(\% A^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } A^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{\prime} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of C's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } D^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.E^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.F^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | ```FF,G&&H (% failures of total failures)``` | Number of course (\% of total in group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Lrts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (31.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ (42.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 289 \\ (51.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 402 \\ & (66 \cdot 7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 229 \\ (72.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (51.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(33 \cdot 3 \%)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1104 \\ & (63.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 58.3 |
| Pure Sciences .......... | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (30.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (26.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127 \\ (22.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (13.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (10.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (15.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(16.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 359 \\ (41.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 64.1 |
| Engineering ............. | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (10.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (6.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (4 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (18.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.7 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ (7.5 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (5.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (3.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{23}{(3.8 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (1.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(8.9 \%)$ | $\stackrel{1}{(16.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (44.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.0 |
| Medical Sciences ....... | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (14.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (12.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ (10.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (5.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (5.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{3}{(6.7 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(16.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ (44.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.9 |
| Commercial Courses .... | $(6.5 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (5.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (7.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ (7.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \left(7.6 \%^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $(15.6 \%)$ | $\stackrel{1}{(16.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 137 \\ (27.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.8 |
| Total .................. | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 305 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 558 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 603 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 316 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1926 \\ (47 \cdot 2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK GEOLOGY, MECHANICS, PHYSIOLOGY AND HYGIENE AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT


Engineers group has relatively the most A's and the Pure Science group the most $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ s.

### 3.2.8 Bookkeeping.

The distribution, according to courses followed, of the students who took Bookkeeping as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 3.8 .
See Table 3.8.
Few first year students took Bookkeeping as a subject for the matriculation examination. Of the Commerce group, $50.5 \%$ of the students had taken the subject. This was not a great percentage, though in comparison with other groups it was quite a big number. With the exception of the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group (26.0\%), the percentages of the other groups were all less than $20 \%$.

Although the Engineering group had the highest average mark, $69.3 \%$ compared with $66.9 \%$ of the Commerce group, it should be remembered that the latter group is much larger, namely 249 compared with 57. Then follow the Pure Science group with an average mark of $66.4 \%$ and the Medical group with $64.5 \%$.

The Commerce group has the most $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime}$ s namely 177 as against lll of the Pure Science group and 78 of the Arts and Social Sciences group. The Pure Science group (164) and Arts and Social Science group (170) are roughly of the same size, yet the latter group has considerably fewer A's ( $15.1 \%$ against $26.4 \%$ ), B's ( $15.2 \%$ against $25.4 \%$ ) and C's ( $19.0 \%$ Against $21.0 \%$ ). If the Commerce group (249) which is about one and a half times as great as the Pure Science group, be compared with the last named, there are seen to be 38 A 's, 64 B 's and 75 C 's as compared to $42 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~s}, 63 \mathrm{~B}$ 's and 62 C's (l $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x}$ numbers of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime}$ s in the Pure Science group). The Commerce group has fewer A's (38) in proportion to $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ s and C's (139) than the Pure Science group ( $42 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, 125 B's and C's). The Engineers group (57), although less than a quarter of the size of the Commerce group (247) has even more A's compared to $B^{\prime}$ s and $C^{\prime}$ s if the numbers for the Engineering group are multiplied by four for comparison purposes, namely, 56 A's, 120 B's and C's.

Students taking courses in Commerce, Pure Science and the Social Sciences, and to a less extent Engineering have overwhelmingly the best symbols in Bookkeeping. Of 735 students who took Bookkeeping 106 A's is an appreciable number.
3.2.9 Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing.

The distribution of the number of students taking Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and/or Typing for the matriculation examination according to the course followed is shown in Table 3.9.

Only 5.1\% of the first year students took Commerce, Economics, Shorthand or Typing as a matriculation subject. Of these, about half ( 108 out of 209) were in Commerce
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TABLE 3.8
DISTRIBUTION ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK BOOKKEEPING AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(\% A^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.A^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & (\% \text { B's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.B^{\prime} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of C's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.D^{\prime} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.F^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF, G\&H } \\ & \text { (\% failures } \\ & \text { of total } \\ & \text { failures) } \end{aligned}$ | Number in course (\% of total in group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ave rage } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (15.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (15 \cdot 2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (19.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ (26.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (49.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (29.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.8 |
| Pure Sciences ......... | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (26.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (25 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (21.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (21.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(14 \cdot 5 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (17.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164 \\ (19.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 66.4 |
| Engineering ........... | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (13.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (9.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (7 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{9}{(5 \cdot 5 \%)}$ | $(2.4 \%)$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}_{(11.8 \%)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (13.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 69.3 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\stackrel{2}{(1.9 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (6.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (7 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (4.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (11.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (26.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.3 |
| Medical Sciences ....... | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (7 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (5 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & (6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & (9.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $(2.4 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (17.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ (12.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $64 \cdot 5$ |
| Commercial Courses .... | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (35.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ (38.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (38.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ (32.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (17.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (17.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 249 \\ (50.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 66.9 |
| Total .................. | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 195 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{(100 \cdot 0 \%})$ | $\begin{gathered} 735 \\ (18.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK COMNERCE, ECONOMICS OR SHORTHAND AND TYPING AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% } A \\ & \text { total of } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.A^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | (\% C's of total number of C's) | D (\% D's of total num ber of $D^{2} s$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\% F^{\prime \prime}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total numm } \\ & \text { ber of } \mathrm{F}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | ```FF,G&H (% failures of total failures)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number in } \\ & \text { course (\% } \\ & \text { of total } \\ & \text { in group) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (40.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (45 \cdot 2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (61.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (52.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(40.0 \%)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & (6.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 60.3 |
| Pure Sciences ........... | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (20 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (14 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (13.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(6.2 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (11.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.2 |
| Engineering ............ | $\stackrel{1}{(6.7 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{3}{(7 \cdot 1 \%)}$ | $(8.7 \%)$ | $(3.1 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.5 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 85.0 |
| Medical Sciences......... | $(13 \cdot 3 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (10 \cdot 9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(6.2 \%)$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (3.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.8 |
| Commercial Courses .... | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (13 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (31.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (17.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (23 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (32.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(40.0 \%)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (10 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 60.0 |
| Total ................... | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{5}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{2}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 209 \\ (5 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

courses. With $10.3 \%$ of the total, the Commerce group took relatively most of these subjects. Then follows the Arts and Social Sciences group with $6.2 \%$ of these subjects which is twice as many as any other group with the exception of the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group. If this group (of only one candidate) be left out of consideration, the average achievement of the other groups with $\pm 60 \%$ is about the same. The Arts and Social Sciences group with 48 A's, B's and C's and the Commerce group with 23 attracted the majority of the good candidates.

### 3.2.10 History and other Social Study subjects

The distribution, according to university course taken, of the students taking History and other Social Study subjects is shown in Table 3.10.
See Table 3.10.
About $60 \%$ of the first year students took History and some other Social Study subjects (less than $1 \%$ of the total) as a matriculation subject. The Arts and Social Sciences group contains the largest number of students who took History as a matriculation subject, $70.9 \%$. Of the other groups, the Commerce group (particularly high with $57.4 \%$ of the group), the Medical group (56.1\%) and the Pure Science group (50.1\%) all had more than half of the students having taken history.

The Medical group had the highest average performance in History, namely $63.0 \%$ followed by the Pure Science group with 62.1\% and the Arts and Social Sciences group with 61.4\%.

The Arts and Social Sciences group had the most A's, B's and C's (685), followed by the Pure Science group (238), the Commerce group (138), the Medical group (129), the Engineers group (100) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group. When this position is examined relatively, we find that as the Arts and Social Sciences group (124l) is about three times as big as the Pure Science group (432), the latter group has 111 ( $3 \times 37$ ) A's as compared with 82 A's in the former group. The Medical group (216) is exactly half as big as the Pure Science group (432) but, even when the two groups are adjusted to be numerically equal, the Medical group will have only 28 ( 2 xl4) A's which is a smaller number than the 37 A's of the Pure Science group.

If the numbers in the Table are multiplied in proportion so that the total for each group is that for the Arts and Social Sciences, it will be seen that the Medical group has the most $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ s whereas the Commerce group has a few more C's than the Arts and Social Science and the Medical groups which have equal numbers of $\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}(386,379,379$ respectively).

The conclusion may thus be drawn that most of the first year students with good symbols in History are to be found amongst the Arts and Social Science, Pure Science, Medical and Commerce groups.

## TABLE 3.10

DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSES, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK HISTORY AND/OR OTHER SOCIAL SUBJECTS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbols in each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & \left(\% A^{t} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } A^{2} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% C's or } \\ & \text { (\% tal num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & (\% \text { D's of } \\ & \text { total num. } \\ & \text { bor of } D^{8} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E \\ \left(\% E^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ \text { total num- } \\ \left.03 \text { of } \mathrm{E}^{i} \mathrm{~s}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { bor of } F^{\prime} \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FF,G\&H } \\ \text { (\% failures } \\ \text { of total } \\ \text { failures) } \end{gathered}$ | Number in course (\% of total in goup) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Aris and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (51.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 224 \\ (50 \cdot \rightleftharpoons \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 379 \\ (53.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3!2 \\ (49.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{707}{(0.08}$ | $\stackrel{46}{(51.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} I \\ (8.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1241 \\ (70.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 6.1 .4 |
| Pure Sciences ........... | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (23.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ (19.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ (15.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(1144$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 16.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $(140.7 \%)$ | $(16.7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 432 \\ (50.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.1 |
| Engineering ............ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (6.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (8.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ (7.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(7 \times \%, 8)$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (8,8,0) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{11}{(\mathrm{~J} 2.4 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (33 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 194 \\ (47.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.8 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\stackrel{3}{(1.9 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{10}{(2.2 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (2.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (4 \pi-2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ (4,9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ (43.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.5 |
| Medical Science ....... | $\left(\frac{7.4}{(8.8 \%)}\right.$ | $\stackrel{49}{(11.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (9.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $(9: 5 \%$ | $\left({ }^{24}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ (1 . I \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $(16.7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} \% 5 \\ (56,2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.0 |
| Commercial Courses | $\left(\frac{4}{7 \cdot j \%}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (8.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ (12 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ (12.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (12.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{14}{(15.7 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (25.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 283 \\ (57.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.I |
| Total .................. | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 714 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 635 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 388 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2442 \\ (59.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

## Geography

The distribution of the students, according to their courses at university, taking Geography as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 3.ll.

Compared with the number of first year students who had taken History (59.9\%) a very much smaller percentage of the 4078 first year students namely $25.6 \%$, had taken Geography as a matriculation subject. The very low percentage (18.1\%) of the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with Geography as a matriculation subject is noteworthy.

With the exception of the Commerce group (54.8\%) and the Medical group (59.0\%), the average performances of the other groups lay between $57.8 \%$ (the Engineering group and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group) and 56.2\% (the Arts and Social Sciences group).

If the numbersof $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ in Geography of the different groups are compared, it is found that the Arts and Social Sciences group has 141 , the Pure Science group has 90, the Engineers group 53, the Commerce group 38, the Medical group 31 and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group has 13. If one compares the number of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ in relation to the number taking the subject in each group, we find the following.

The Pure Science group (245) is more than half the size of the Arts and Social Science group (413) and roughly twice the size of the Engineers group (135). By adjusting the totals in these groups to be arithmetically equal the numbers of A's in the three groups are 22 (Pure Sciences), 12 (Arts and Social Sciences) and 9 (Engineering Sciences).

Similarly it is found that the Engineering group has relatively more B's (52) than the Arts and Social Sciences group (40) or the Pure Science group (35). The number of C's in the Engineering group is greater than that in the Arts and Social Science group or the Pure Science group (which have equal numbers), if we leave out the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group which has a poor distribution of symbols•

Most of the good symbols for Geography are thus to be found among the students taking courses in Arts and Social Science, Pure Science and Engineering.

### 3.2.12 Art and Music

The distribution, according to university course taken, of the number of students who took Art or Music as a matriculation subject is reflected in Table 3.12. See Table 3.12. Only $6.5 \%$ of all the first year students took Art or Music as a matriculation subject. Of this percentage the greatest number, 199 out of 266, was in the Arts and Social Sciences group. In the Arts and Social Sciences group, $11.4 \%$ of the students had taken one of these two subjects as compared with $3.7 \%$ of the Pure Science group, $3.4 \%$ of the Medical group and $3.2 \%$ of the Engineers group a fairly even percentage distribution throughout the sciences.
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TABLE 3.11
DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE,
OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK GEOGRAPHY AS $A$ MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation symbol in each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | A (\% A's of total number of $A^{\prime} s$ ) | B (\% B's of total number of $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C } \\ & \left(\% \text { c's of }^{\prime}\right. \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } C^{\prime} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.D^{\prime} \text { s }\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.E^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num } \\ & \text { ber of } F^{\prime} s \text { s } \end{aligned}$ | ```FF,G&H (% failures of total failures)``` | Number in course (\% of total in group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (33 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (40.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & (38 \cdot 3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ (37.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (43.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (45.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (54 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 413 \\ (23.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.2 |
| Pure Sciences ........... | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (36.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (21.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & (24 \cdot 1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ (24.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (20.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (22.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (9.1 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 245 \\ (28.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.7 |
| Engineering ............. | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (13.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (17 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & (13.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (12.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (12.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (6.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (27 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (32.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & (5.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (3 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (2.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (18.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Medical Science ......... | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ (5.6 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (9.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (8.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (8.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (3.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (6.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (19.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| Commercial Courses .... | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{11}{(11.2 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (10.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 58 \\ (14.8 \% \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (17.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{9}{(18.8 \%)}$ | $(9.1 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ (29 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 54.8 |
| Total ................... | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 232 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 392 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 228 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1045 \\ & (25.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ |  |

## TABLE 3.12

DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE, OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK ART OR MUSIC AS A MATRICUIATION SUBJECT

| Matriculation symbol in each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% } A \\ & \text { ( } A^{\prime} s \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } A^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% } \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.B^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% C's of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } C^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% D^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \left.D^{\prime} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (\% } E^{\prime} s \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } F^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF,G\&H } \\ & \text { (\% failures } \\ & \text { of total } \\ & \text { failures }) \end{aligned}$ | Number in course (\% of total in group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (75.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (78.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (74 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ (76.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (67.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (66.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 199 \\ (11.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.0 |
| Pure Sciences .......... | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ (12.5 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (15 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (12.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (8.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{5}{(14 \cdot 7 \%)}$ | (50.0\%) | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (3.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.3 |
| Engineering •.......... |  | $\stackrel{2}{(3.9 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{4}{(5 \cdot 3 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{5}{(6.1 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (16.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (3.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Sciences | ${ }^{2}(12.5 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{3}{(4 \cdot 0 \%)}$ | $(3.7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (16.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (3.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.8 |
| Commercial Courses .... |  |  | $\stackrel{3}{(4 \cdot 0 \%)}$ | $(4.9 \%)$ | $(5.9 \%)$ |  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ (1.8 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | 56.1 |
| Total ................... | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 266 \\ (6.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

The average achievement of these four groups is very much the same throughout the Pure Science group with an average mark of $62.3 \%$ and the Arts and Social Science group, with $62 \%$, being the best. The Arts and Social Sciences group with $108 A^{\prime}$ s, $B^{\prime}$ s and $C^{\prime}$ s had by far the most students with good symbols in Art and Music.
3.2.13 Domestic Science and related subjects, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects.

The distribution, according to university course taken, of the number of students who took Domestic Science and related subjects, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects, is shown in Table 3.13. See Table 3.13.

Of the first year students, $11.7 \%$ took one or another of these subjects and they were fairly well distributed among the different faculty groups. By comparison with other groups, all of which had fewer than $13 \%$ of the group taking these subjects, a fairly large percentage of the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group, namely $26.6 \%$, had taken one or more of these subjects as a subject for matriculation these were naturally predominantly Agricultural subjects, Wood and Metal work. In the Arts and Social Sciences group there were a large. number of women with Domestic Science and related subjects and in Engineering many with technical subjects.

The highest average marks are once more obtained by the Engineering students with $65.2 \%$ followed by the Medical group with $62.9 \%$ (few students in this group) Pure Science group (52.5\%) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with $61.4 \%$.

The Arts and Social Sciences group had most A's, B's and C's (119) followed by the Pure Science group (51) Engineers group (33) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with 25. The Engineers had relatively the greatest number of $A^{\prime}$ s and $B^{\prime}$ s. Although very much smaller than the Arts and Social Sciences group ( 52 compared with 227) and smaller than the Pure Science group (88) the Engineers group has as many of the A's (27.3\%) as the Arts and Social Sciences group and more than the Pure Science group with $18.2 \%$.

Most of the first year students with good symbols in Domostic Science and related subjects, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects thus appear to choose courses in Arts and Social Sciences, Pure Science, Engineering, Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.
3.3 CONCLUSION

In conclusion it may be said that as far as the official languages are concerned, students in all courses have on the average reasonably good achievements, those in the Arts and Social Sciences and Pure Sciences being the best.

The distribution of performances in the third language is also good, with the Arts and Social Science group making a poorer showing than is to be expected.
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TABLE 3.13
DISTRIBUTION, ACCORDING TO STUDY COURSE OF STUDENTS WHO TOOK DOMESTIC SCIENCE, WOOD AND METAL WORK, AGRICUIIURAL AND TECHNICAL SUBJECTS AS MATRICULATION SUBJECTS

|  | Matriculation symbols in each subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course of Study | (\% A's of total number of $A^{\prime} s$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & B \\ & \left(\% B^{\prime}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } B^{\prime} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | C (\% C's of total number of C's) | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & \left(\% \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \text { s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \left(\% E^{\prime} s\right. \text { of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } E^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & \left(\% F^{t}\right. \text { s of } \\ & \text { total num- } \\ & \text { ber of } F^{\prime} s \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | ```FF,G&H (% failures of total failures)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number in } \\ & \text { course (\% } \\ & \text { of total } \\ & \text { in group) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Arts and Social Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (27 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (34 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ (53.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ (53 \cdot 7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (34.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (60.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 227 \\ (13.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 60.9 |
| Pure Science ............ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (18.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{21}{(28.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (16.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (14.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (24 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ (10.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.5 |
| Engineering ............ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (27 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (7 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (7.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (10.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(20.0 \%)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (12.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.2 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. | $\stackrel{2}{(9.1 \%)}$ | $(8.0 \%)$ | $\stackrel{17}{(10.5 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (10.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (10.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (26.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.4 |
| Medical Science ........ | $\stackrel{2}{(9.1 \%)}$ | $(6.7 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (6.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{5}{(3.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (10.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{28}{(7 \cdot 3 \%)}$ | 62.9 |
| Commercial Courses ..... | $\stackrel{2}{(9.1 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (5 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (10.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (12.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (7 \cdot 5 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Total .................. | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (100 \cdot 0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{5}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\stackrel{1}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 479 \\ (11.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

A good performance in Mathematics, Physical Sciences and the Biological sciences is indicative of a course to be followed in Engineering, Pure Science or Medical Science. $0 f$ the Arts and Social Sciences group, $25.7 \%$ had taken a third language and had therefore not taken mathematics to obtain matriculation exemption, while this group had in the main taken one of the Biological sciences rather than Physical science.

History was taken by a reasonable percentage of students in each group with a larger number of good performances than one might have expected in the Medical and Pure Science groups. Far fewer students had taken Geography than had taken History and good perfornances in Geography are fairly evenly distributed amongst all courses.

Fewer first year students than were expected, who had Bookkeeping as a subject for matriculation were found in the Commerce groups, while first year students with courses in the pure sciences had good performances in Bookkeeping. Students with Art and Music as subjects in the matriculation examination tend to take a course in Arts and the Social Sciences.

The remaining subjects are of less importance and students taking them are fairly evenly distributed in respect of both numbers and performance.
4.1 GENERAL

In the tables which follow, the percentages of students taking each subject are compared for each university course group as also are the average percentage marks obtained by the groups in each subject.

More than $99.0 \%$ of each group took Afrikaans and English as a subject for matriculation. In the Arts and Social Sciences group only were there two students (0.1\%) who did not take English as a subject for matriculation. Mathematics was taken by $98 \%$ of all students in each group with the exception of the Arts and Social Sciences. As these three subjects are compulsory subjects for the matriculation examination or are prerequisite for certain courses, the numbers taking them are not really of great significance.

Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry was taken by more than $80 \%$ of each group with the exception of the Arts and Social Sciences group. A study of these groups reveals other popular subjects such as a third language, Biology, History and to a lesser extent Bookkeeping and Geography.

By comparing the various subjects in each group, some idea may be obtained of the popular subjects in them as also of the subjects which are of predictive value in each group. Thus the Arts and Social Science group have better average marks in the languages than the other groups have. Mathematics and Physical Science are taken by a larger percentage of students in Pure Science, Engineering and Medicine while these groups also did well in the subjects mentioned.

## TABLE 4.1

DISTRIBUTION OF MATRICULATION SUBJECTS AND SYMBOLS FOR STUDENTS IN ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES


## 4. 2 THE SUBJECTS TAKEN FOR MATRICULATION AND PERFORMANCES OF FIRST

 YEAR STUDENTS IN THE SOCIAT SCIENCES GROUPThe subjects taken by most of the students taking Arts and Social Science courses are in descending order of percentages:

English (99.9\%), Afrikaans (99.0 0\%), a third language (78.5\%), Mathematics (74.3\%), History (70.9\%) and Biology (63.1\%). Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry (48.7\%), Geography ( $23.6 \%$ ), Domestic Science, Wood and Metalwork, Agricultural and Technical subjects (13.0\%), Arts and Music (11.4\%) and Bookkeeping (9.7\%) were all less popular.

Of the six subjects taken by more than $60 \%$ of the student group, the average performance in English ( $61.9 \%$ ) was the best, followed by Afrikaans and History (both $61.4 \%$ ), Biology (58.3\%), a third language (57.8\%) and Mathematics (54.6\%). If these performances be compared with those in the other course grcups, we find that, with the exception of the official languages, and to a limited extent History, the average marks are lower than those in the other groups.

Performances in the remaining subjects are: Art and Music (62.0\%), Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, agricultural and technical subjects (60.9\%), Commerce, Economics, Typing and Shorthand (60.3\%), Bookkeeping (59.8\%), Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (58.8\%), Physical Science, Physics and Chemistry (56.9\%) and Geography (56.2\%).

The performances of those students taking Arts and Social Sciences range from $54.6 \%$ to $62.0 \%$ which is not extensive. We may conclude therefore that this group showed fairly uniform performances in the subjects of the matriculation examination, with average performances in Mathematics and the physical sciences somewhat lower than in the other groups.

## TABLE 4.2

DISTRIBUTION OF MATRICULATION SUBJECTS AND SYMBOLS FOR STUDENTS IN THE PURE SCIENCES

| Subjects A | B | C | D | E | F | $\underset{\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{FF},}$ | Total in each subject (\% of group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afrikaans ..... 23 | 107 | 269 | 265 | 178 | 12 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 855 \\ (99 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.1 |
| English ...... 20 | 93 | 297 |  |  | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 861 \\ (99.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.6 |
| Third language. 39 | 74 | 104 | 147 | 84 | 23 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 477 \\ (55.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.8 |
| Mathematics ... 157 | 158 | 195 | 199 | 124 | 24 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 858 \\ (99.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 64.5 |
| Physical <br> Science, Physics 93 <br> or Chemistry... | 169 | 214 | 164 | 96 | 11 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 749 \\ (87.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 64.5 |
| Biology ....... 28 | 82 | 127 | 80 | 34 | 7 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 359 \\ (41.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 64.1 |
| Geology, Mechanics, Phy- 5 siology and Hygiene ......... | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (2.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.9 |
| Bookkeeping .. 28 | 42 | 41 | 35 | 14 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 164 \\ (19.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 66.4 |
| Commerce, Eiconomics Shorthand and Typing ......... | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.2 |
| History ....... 37 | 88 | 113 | 114 | 64 | 14 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 432 \\ (50.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.1 |
| Geography .... 13 | 21 | 56 | 96 | 47 | 11 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 245 \\ (28.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.7 |
| Art or Music .. 2 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 5 |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (3.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.3 |
| Domestic Science <br> Wood and <br> Metal work .... |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ (10.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.5 |

### 4.3 THE SUBJECTS TAKEN FOR MATRICULATION AND PERFORMANCE OF FIRST YEAR STUDENTS IN THE PURE SCIENCE GROUP

English (99.9\%), Mathematics (99.7\%), Afrikaans (99.3\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry (87.0\%), a third language ( $55.4 \%$ ) and History ( $50.1 \%$ ) are the subjects taken for matriculation by more than half of these taking university courses in Pure Science. The other subjects such as Biology (41.7\%), Geography (28.5\%), Bookkeeping (19.0\%), Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects ( $10.2 \%$ ), Art and Music (3.7\%), Commerce, Economics (2.9\%) and Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (2.5\%) were taken by far fewer of the students in the Pure Science group.

The average performances in the six subjects taken by the majority of students were Mathematics ( $64.5 \%$ ) and Physical Science, Physics and Chemistry (64.5\%) followed by History (62.1\%), a third language (59.8\%), English (59.6\%) and Afrikaans (59.1\%). There is thus a marked difference between performances in Mathematics and the Physical Sciences on the one hand and in the Social Soience subjects on the other.

Performances in the other subjects are in the following order: Bookkeeping (66.4\%), Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (65.9\%), Biology (64.1\%), Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects (62.5\%), Commerce, Economics, Typing and Shorthand (62.2\%), Art and Music (62.1\%) and Geography (57.7\%). In the less popular subjects performance in the Science and semi-Science subjects and in Commercial subjects is thus higher than $60 \%$ with Geography lower.

Average performances in subjects range from $57.7 \%$ to $66.4 \%$ which is not extensive. This group of first year students thus did quite well in Mathematics, the Physical Sciences and Commercial subjects with fewer good performances in the languages.

TABLE 4.3

DISTRIBUTION OF MATRICULATION SUBJECTS AND SYMBOLS FOR STUDENTS IN ENGINEERING

| Subjects A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FF}, \\ & \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | Total in each subject (\% of group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afrikeans .... 5 | 39 | 106 | 123 | 119 | 8 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 402 \\ (97.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.5 |
| English ...... 10 | 33 | 117 | 155 | 96 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 412 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Third language. 26 | 34 | 66 | 65 | 52 | 14 | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 266 \\ & (64.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $59 \cdot 3$ |
| Mathematics ... 94 | 105 | 97 | 80 | 30 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 410 \\ & (99 \circ 5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 68.5 |
| Physical Science Physics or Chemistry ......... | 85 | 123 | 85 | 51 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 407 \\ & (98.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 65.3 |
| Biology ........l0 | 19 | 25 | 15 | 8 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (18.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.7 |
| Geology, Mechanics, Physiology <br> \& Hygien | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & (5.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 69.4 |
| Bookkeeping ...l4 | 15 | 15 | 9 | 2 | 2 |  | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (13.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $69 \cdot 3$ |
| Commerce, Economics, Short- 1 hand and Typing .......... | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & (2.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 63.5 |
| History .......ll | 36 | 53 | 45 | 34 | 11 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 194 \\ (47.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.8 |
| Geography ...... 5 | 17 | 31 | 47 | 29 | 3 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ (32.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Art ar Music ... | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (3.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| Domestic Science, <br> Wood and <br> Metal work..... | 15 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (12.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65:2 |

Total in group 412

English (100.0\%), Mathemetics (99.5\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry (98.8\%), Afrikaans (97.6\%) and a third language ( $64.6 \%$ ) were subjects taken by more than $60 \%$ of those following engineering courses. The following subjects were taken by less then half of the students: History (47.1\%), Geography ( $32.8 \%$ ), Biology (18.9\%), Bookkeeping (13.8\%), Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects (12.6\%), Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (5.1\%), Art and Music (3.2\%) and Commeree and Economics (2.9\%).

The average performancesfor the subjects taken by more than $60 \%$ of the first year studentswire Mathematics (68.5\%), Physical Scicnce, Physics and Chemistry (65.3 $\%$, the third language' $59.3 \%$, English $57.8 \%$ ) and Afrikaans (56.5\%). As was the case with the Pure Science group, there is a clear cut difference between the performance in the Shysical Science subjects and Mathematics on the one hand and languages on the cther.

The average performance in all the other subjects was particularly gocd with Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene ( $69.4 \%$ ) and Bookkeeping ( $69.3 \%$ ) the highest, followed by Biology ( $65.7 \%$ ), Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and technical subjects ( $65.2 \%$ ), Commerce and Economics (63.5\%), Art and Music (59.0\%) and Geography (57.8\%). Once more the performances in the Physical Sciences and semi-Science subjects as well as Commercial subjects were considerably better than in Art, Music and Social Studies.

Average performances in the subjects for those taking courses in Engineering range from 56.5\% for Afrikaans to 69.4\% for Geology, Mechanics and Physiology, a fairly big. range by comparison with the two previous groups. This group of first year students did particularly well in Mathematics, the Physical Sciences and in Commercial subjects. Their performances in these subjects messlightly better than those of the Pure Science group but poorer in the Social Studies subjects. Othervise there is reasonable uniformity between the performances in two groups.

TABLE 4.4
DISTRIBUTION OF MATRICULATION SUBJECTS AND SYMBOLS FOR STUDENTS IN AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND VETERINARY SCIENCE

| Subjects A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total in each subject (\% of group) | Average \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afrikaans ..... | 16 | 51 | 68 | 41 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.3 |
| English ...... 2 | 13 | 32 | 72 | 56 | 2 |  | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.2 |
| Third language. 2 | 9 | 17 | 17 | 29 | 4 |  | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (44.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.6 |
| Mathematics ... 8 | 29 | 35 | 60 | 32 | 11 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 176 \\ & (99 \cdot 4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 58.5 |
| Physical Science <br> Physics or | 25 | 44 | 55 | 20 | 5 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 165 \\ & (93.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 61.5 |
| Biology ....... 7 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ (44.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.0 |
| Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene .... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (2.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.0 |
| Bookkeeping .... 2 | 10 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (26.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.3 |
| ```Commerce, Economics,Short- l hand and Typing .........``` |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 85.0 |
| History ....... 3 | 10 | 15 | 26 | 19 | 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ (43.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.6 |
| Geography ...... 1 |  | 12 | 13 | 6 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (18.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |
| Art or Music ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic Science <br> Wood and <br> Metal work | 6 | 17 | 17 | 5 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ (26.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.4 |
|  |  |  | Tctal in group |  |  |  | $\text { p. } \quad 177$ | /...... |

4.5 FIRST YEAR STUDENTS IN AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND VETRRINARY SCI ENCE, ANALYSED ACCORDING TO THEIR PERFORMANCES IN MATRICU LATION SUBJECTS

Afrikaans (100\%), English (100\%), Mathematics (99.4\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry ( $93.2 \%$ ) and to a lesser extent Biology (44.1\%), a third language (44.1\%) and History ( $43.0 \%$ ) were taken by most students with courses in Agriculture, Forestry or Veterinary Science. Domestic Science, Wood and Metalwork, gricultural and Technical subjects ( $26.6 \%$ ), Bookkeeping ( $26.0 \%$ ), Geography (18.1\%), Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (2.8\%) and Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing ( $0.6 \%$ ) were taken by far fewer first year students.

The average performances in the subjects Biology (63:0\%) and Physical Science, Physics and Chemistry ( $61.5 \%$ ) were by far the best for this groun, followed by the averages of $58.5 \%$ for Mathematics, $57.6 \%$ for History, $57.3 \%$ for Afrikaans, $55.6 \%$ for a third language and $55.2 \%$ for English in the more popular subjects.

The other average performances were in Domestic Science, Wood and Metal Work, Lgricultural and Technical subjects ( $61.4 \%$ ), Bookkeeping ( $61.3 \%$ ), Geography ( $57.8 \%$ ) and Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene ( $57.0 \%$ ) in that order. Commerce, Economics, Typing and Shorthand, (taken by only one candidate) and Art and Music (not taken by any candidates) may be left out of consideration.

Average performance in the subjects range from $55.2 \%$ for English to $63.0 \%$ for Biology, not a very wide range. best performances of this group in the matriculation examination were thus in Biology, Physical Sciences, Agricultural and Technical subjects and Bookkeeping, in that order. Performances in the Social Study subjects and languages were much poorer.

TABLE 4.5
DISTRIBUTION OF MATRICULATION SUBJECTS AND SYMBOLS FOR STUDENTS IN MEDICAL SCIENCES

| Subjects | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total in each subject (\% of group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afrikaans ...... | 8 | 34 | 112 | 156 | 71 | 2 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ (99.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.3 |
| English ........ | 12 | 50 | 122 | 141 | 59 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 60.1 |
| Third laneuage .. | 23 | 29 | 66 | 80 | 58 | 16 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 276 \\ (71.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.5 |
| Mathematics .... | 33 | 66 | 112 | 95 | 56 | 16 |  | $\begin{gathered} 378 \\ (98.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 61.8 |
| Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry ......... | 26 | 63 | 110 | 78 | 47 | 7 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 332 \\ (86.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.6 |
| Biology .......... | 13 | 39 | 58 | 40 | 16 | 3 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ (44.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.9 |
| Geology, Mechanics Physiology and Hygiene |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (2.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 60.2 |
| Bookkeeping .... | 8 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 2 | 3 |  | 49 | 64.5 |
| Commerce, Economics Shorthand and Typing ....... | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (3.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 65.8 |
| History ......... | 14 | 49 | 66 | 60 | 24 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 216 \\ (56.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 63.0 |
| Geography ....... | 2 | 9 | 20 | 33 | 8 | 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ (19.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| Art or Music .... | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (3.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.8 |
| Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work | 2 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 5 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (7 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 62.9 |

The subjects taken by most of the first year students of the Medical group for matriculation were English (100\%), Afrikaans (99.7\%), Mathematics (98.1\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry ( $86.2 \%$ ), a third language ( $71.7 \%$ ) and History (56.1\%). Less popular were Biology (44.2\%), Geography (19.5\%), Bookkeeping (15.7\%), Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects ( $7.3 \%$ ), Art and Music (3.4\%), Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing (3.1\%) and Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygi ene (2.1\%).

A feature of the achievements of all those with courses in the Medical sciences is their average performance of about $60 \%$ in all subjects. Of those subjects taken by more than half the group, the best average is for History (63.0\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry (62.6\%) and Mathematics ( $61.8 \%$ ), with lower percentages in the languages, namely English (60.1\%), a third language (58.5\%) and Afrikaans ( $58.3 \%$ ) 。

As far as the other subjects are concerned, high averages were also obtained in Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing ( $65.8 \%$ ), Bookkeeping ( $64.5 \%$ ) and Biology ( $63.9 \%$ ). Then follow Domestic Science, Wood and Metalwork, Agricultural and Technical subjects ( $62.9 \%$ ), Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (60.2\%), Art and Music (59.8\%) and Geography (59.0\%) 。

Good performances were thus achieved by this group in Biology, the Physical Sciences and Mathematics, although these were not quite as grod as the performances of Pure Science and Engineering students. As was the case with the previous three groups discussed, the performances in the Commercial subjects were very good. The averages for the languages wore a little poorer than was the case with the Social Sciences and Pure Science groups, though better than those of the Engineering group. Characteristic of the Medical group is the large number of students who took History and achieved better results in this than all the other groups.

## TABLE 4.6

DISTRIBUTION OF MATRICULATION SUBJECTS AND SYMBOLS FOR STUDENTS IN COMMERCIAL COURSES

| Subjects A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total in each subject (\% of group) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Afrikaans ..... 2 | 33 | 107 | 194 | 146 | 4 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 489 \\ (99 \cdot 2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.4 |
| English ....... 5 | 28 | 107 | 214 | 136 | 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 493 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 55.7 |
| Third language . 11 | 13 | 36 | 48 | 51 | 7 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 168 \\ (34 \cdot 1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.6 |
| Mathematics .... 31 | 73 | 114 | 134 | 110 | 19 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ (98.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.0 |
| Physical Science, 15 Physics or Chemistry ...... | 36 | 94 | 148 | 91 | 17 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 406 \\ (82.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.9 |
| Biology ......... 6 | 17 | 39 | 43 | 24 | 7 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 137 \\ (27.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 58.8 |
| Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene .... | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (1.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | 61.7 |
| Bookkeeping ... 38 | 64 | 75 | 54 | 15 | 3 |  | $\begin{gathered} 249 \\ (50.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 66.9 |
| Commerce, Economics Short- 2 hand and Typing. | 13 | 8 | 15 | 11 | 2 |  | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (10 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 60.0 |
| History ........ 12 | 38 | 88 | 78 | 50 | 14 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 283 \\ (57 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 59.1 |
| Geography ..... 3 | 11 | 24 | 58 | 39 | 9 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ (29 \cdot 4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 54.8 |
| Art or Music... |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (1.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 56.1 |
| Domestic Science Wood and Metal work ............ | 2 | 9 | 17 | 6 |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (7 \cdot 5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 57.8 |

4.7 THE SUBJECTS FOR MATRICULATION AND PERFORMANCES OF FIRST YEAR STUDENTS IN COMMERCIAL COURSES

English (100\%), Afrikaans (99.2\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry ( $82.3 \%$ ), History ( $57.4 \%$ ) and Bookkeeping ( $50.5 \%$ ) were the subjects taken by more than half the first year students in this group when writing the matriculation examination. Less than half of the group took a third language (34.1\%), Geography (29.4\%), Biology (27.8\%), Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing (10.3\%), Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects ( $7.5 \%$ ), Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hy giene ( $1.2 \%$ ) and Art and Music (1.8\%).

The achievements of this group in the various subjects was on the whole poorer than in the other groups, although the average performance in Bookkeeping ( $66.9 \%$ ) was particularly good when compared with the best averages of the other groups. Amongst the other more popular subjects, the average performance were as follows: History (59.1\%), Mathematics (59.0\%), Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry (56.9\%), English (55.7\%) and Afrikaans (55.4\%).

For the remaining subjects, the order of achievements was: Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene (61.7\%), Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing (60.0\%), Biology (58.8\%), Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects ( $57.8 \%$ ), a third language ( $56.6 \%$ ), Art or Music (56.1\%) and Geography (54.8\%)。

This group thus did best in the matriculation examination in the commercial subjects, with reasonably gocd performances in History, Mathematics and the Physical Sciences, but had poorer performances in languages and other subjects.

COMPARISON OF THE MATRICULATION SYMBOLS CBTAINED IN NOVEMBER-DECEMBMR 1961 OR MARCH 1962 BY THOSE WHO DID NOT GO TO A UNIVERSITY AND THOSE WHO DID

### 5.1 GENERAL SURVEY

As has been mentioned, 5775 (58. 61\% of the 9853 candidates who passed the matriculation examination with full exemption in November-December 1961 or March 1962 did not go to a university during 1962. Of the 3353 first class pass candidates, 1483 (44.22\%) did not go to a university during 1962.

In what follows, a comparison is made between the number of candidates who did not go to a university in 1962 with those who did, and who took courses for which full matriculation was a prerequisite. This comparison is made in respect of the groups obtaining each symbol.

When a comparison is made between the two groups for each symbol, it appears that those who obtained A-Symbols by and large went to a university (almost twice as many as those who did not). The group obtaining A-Symbols who did not go to a university is nevertheless disturbingly big. As far as B-Symbols are concerned, the group going to a university is still in the majority while for those with C-Symbols the group who did not go to a university is larger in most subjects. For all groups with lower symbols, the numbers of those who did not go to a university are in the majority for most subjects.

If the group who did not go to a university be examined, it is difficult to determine for each subject just what symbol is the minimum prerequisite for a potential university student. Actually of course the whole group fulfil the requirements to go to a university but candidates with a C (or even a D) and higher must be looked upon as reasonably talented.
5.2 SUBJECTS COMPARED
5.2.1 Afrikaans

The numbers of students and non-students taking Afrikaans as a matriculation subject are shown in table 5.1.

## TABLE 5.1

NO OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK AFRIKAANS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F $\quad \begin{gathered}\mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}\end{gathered}$ | Total | A + B+C |
| Not at univer sity in 1962 | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 31.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 430 \\ 45.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1453 \\ 54.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2263 \\ 62.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1435 \\ 64.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 77 & 17 \\ 61.6 \% & 65.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5728 \\ & 58.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1936 \\ 51.04 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ 68.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 511 \\ 54 \cdot 3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1229 \\ 45.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1346 \\ 37.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 780 \\ 35.2 \% \end{array}$ | (1) $\begin{array}{rr}48 & 9 \\ 38.4 \% & 34.6 \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4040 \\ & 41.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1857 \\ 48.96 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | ( $\begin{array}{r}170 \\ 100.0 \%\end{array}$ | [ $\begin{array}{r}941 \\ 100.0 \%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2682 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3609 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2215 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 125 & 26 \\ 100.0 \% & 00.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 9768 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 3793 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Of the matriculants whe took Afrikaans, 5728 (58.6\%) did not go to a university compared with 4040 (41.4\%) who did; thus nearly three out of every five matriculants did not enrol for a university course requiring matriculation exemption.

The university group with A symbols (68.8\%) is about twice as large as the non-university group (31. $2 \%$ ). Amongst the B's in Afrikaans the university group are in the majority while the position is reversed among those obtainin€ a C symbol. Of all those with $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$, the non-university studente were in the majority (1936 or $51.04 \%$, When we look at the total of those with $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s, C^{\prime} s$ and $D^{\prime} s$ we find a still larger percentage among the non-university group. It is a matter of concern that such a large group of talented matriculants, (i.e. matriculants with symbols better than the average performance of first year students in all courses with the exception of the Social Sciences where the averaee percentage is 61.4\%) do not go on to the university.

### 5.2.2 English

The numbers of university students and non-university students who took English as a matriculation subject are shown in table 5.2.

TABLE 5.2
NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK ENGLISH AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { FF, } \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}\end{gathered}$ | Total | A+B+C |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 36.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 356 \\ 40.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 1351 \\ 51.8 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2325 \\ & 61.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1654 \\ & 71.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 15 & 2 \\ 50.0 \% & 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5775 \\ & 58.6 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 2779 \\ 48.4 \% \end{array}\right.$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 126 \\ 63.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 516 \\ 59.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1256 \\ & 48.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1489 \\ & 39.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 674 \\ 29.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 50.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4076 \\ & 41.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1898 \\ & 51.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 198 $100.0 \%$ | 872 $100.0 \%$ | 2607 | $\begin{gathered} 3814 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2328 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 30 & 2 \\ 100.0 \% & 100.0 \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9851 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3677 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

The percentage of the total number of matriculants who did not go to the university was, as was the case of Afrikaans, $58.6 \%$, in other words three out of every five matriculants.

Somewhat more matriculants with A-symbols in English (36.4\% of the total number of A's) did not go to university in 1962 than was the case with matriculants with A-symbols in Afrikaans (31. $2 \%$ ). Compared with the rest, there were almost twice as many matriculants with an $A$-symbol in English who went to university. The proportion of matriculants with a B-symbol in English who went to university to those who did not go on was about three to two ( $59.2 \%$ to $40.8 \%$ ) which is considerably better than is the case with Afrikaans. More of the matriculants with a C-symbol in English did not go to university than did, namely $51.8 \%$ of the total number with a C-symbol.

If the totol number of matriculants with $A^{\prime} s B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ be compared, it appears that those who went to a university are in the majority with 1898 (53.1\%) as compared with 1679 ( $46.9 \%$ ) who did not. When the total with $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime \prime} s, C^{\prime} s$ and D's are added, it appears that the majority of these did not go to university. Therefore about half of those with good performances in English did not go to a university in 1962.

### 5.2.3 A third language

The numbers of university students and non-university students taking a third language as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 5.3.

## TABLE 5.3

NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK A THIRD LANGUAGE AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | FF $G 9$ | Total | A+B+C |
| Not at univer sity in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 33.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 153 \\ 30.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 519 \\ 47.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 806 \\ 52.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 854 \\ 58.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 246 \\ 60.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 79.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2780 \\ & 51.3 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 762 \\ 40.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ 66.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 351 \\ 69.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 585 \\ 53.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 735 \\ 47.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 603 \\ 41.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 159 \\ 39.3 \% \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 20.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2639 \\ & 48.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1113 \\ & 59.4 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 267 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 504 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1104 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1541 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1457 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 405 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5419 \\ & \hline 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1875 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

More than half ( 2780 or $51.3 \%$ ) of the students who took a third language in the matriculation did not go to university while 2668 or $50.6 \%$ of those who passed in a third language in the matriculation did not go to a university.

A little over a third of the matriculants with an A-symbol in a third language did not go to a university in 1962. The percentage of those with a B-symbol who did not go to a university is a little less, namely $30.5 \%$, but almost half of the matriculants with a C-symbol (47\%) did not go to a university. If the total numbers with $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s C^{\prime} s$ and $D^{\prime} s$ be calculated, there are 1568 ( $45.9 \%$ ) who did not go to university compared with 1848 ( $54.1 \%$ ) who did. Although 2780 ( $51.3 \%$ ), more than half of the matriculants with a third language, did not go to a university, the group with good performances in the third language is not as large as that in the official languages. The fact that two out of every five matriculants with a C-symbol or higher did not enrol for a course requiring matriculation exemption, is significant.
5.2.4 Mathematics

The numbers of university students and non-university students taking mathematics as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 5.4.

## TABLE 5.4

NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK MATHEMATICS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | A | B | C | D | E | F | FF, $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ | Total | $A+B+C$ |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 268 \\ 41.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 474 \\ 46.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 869 \\ 52.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1353 \\ & 59.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1585 \\ & 69.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 488 \\ 68.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129 \\ 76.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5166 \\ & 58.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1611 \\ & 48.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 380 \\ 58.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 553 \\ 53.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795 \\ 47.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 908 \\ 40.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 710 \\ 30.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 222 \\ 31.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 23.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 3608 \\ & 41.1 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1728 \\ & 51.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 648 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1027 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1664 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2261 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2295 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 710 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 169 \\ 100.0 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8774 \\ & 1200.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 3339 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ |

As many as 5166 (58.9\%) out of a total of 8774 matriculants with mathematics did not enrol for a university course during 1962. Even if we take away all the failures (l29) we find that $58.4 \%$ of those who were successful in mathematics in the matriculation were not to be found in the university group. The fact that almost three out of every five candidates who passed mathematics in the matriculation examination in 1962 did not enrol for a course for which matriculation exemption is required must be looked upon as constituting a large loss of student potential.

If we consider the great number of candidates with A's in the languages who did not go to university as disturbing, we should look upon the greater number of candidates with A's in mathematics (namely 268 or $41.4 \%$ of all the A's in mathematics) who failed to go to a university as even more so. The number of $A^{\prime} s B^{\prime} s$ and C's, namely 1611 (48.2\%) who did not go to university must be considered important in the light of the limitations which some universities impose for example by accepting only candidates with a C-symbol or higher for mathematics for courses in engineering.
5.2.5 Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry

The numbers of university and non-university students taking Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry as a subject for matriculation are shown in table 5.5.

TABLE 5.5
NUMBER CF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK PHYSICAL SCIENCE, •PHYSICS OR CHEMISTRY AS A MATRICUIATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\underset{\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{FF},}$ | Total | A+B+C |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ 32.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 374 \\ 43.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 816 \\ 51.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1226 \\ & 60.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1085 \\ & 67 \cdot 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 225 \\ 70.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 81.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3893 \\ & 57.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1304 \\ & 46.6 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{aligned} & 241 \\ & 67.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 478 \\ 56.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7744 \\ 48.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 788 \\ 39.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 526 \\ 32.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 29.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 18.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2912 \\ & 42.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1493 \\ & 53.4 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 355 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 852 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1590 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1611 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 318 $100.0 \%$ | 65 $100.0 \%$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l\|} 6805 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2797 \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ |

In comparison with Mathematics, slightly fewer, i.e. 3893 ( $57.2 \%$ ) of those who took Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry went to a university as compared with $58.9 \%$ in the case of the former subject. Of those who were successful in the Physical Sciences at school, 3840 ( $570 \%$ ) did not go to university. The extent of student potential which was thus lost to the university, is onee more a matter of concern.

If we compare those with good performances in Physics, Chemistry or Physical Science who did not go to university with the remainder, we find $32.1 \%$ of $A^{\prime}$ s compared with $67.9 \%$ of $A^{\prime}$ s (about $1: 2$ ) and 1304 ( $46.6 \%$ ) of $A^{\prime} s B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s$ compared with 1493 ( $53.4 \%$ ) in the university group. In respect of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime}$ s $C^{\prime}$ s and $D^{\prime} s$, we find 2530 who did not go to university compared with 2281 who did. As the averace performance in Physics, Chemistry and Physical Science of students with courses in the Arts and Social Sciences and Commercial subjects was a D, the 2530 matriculants who obtained a D symbol and higher and did not go to a university in 1962 may be looked upon as wasted talent.

### 5.2.6 Biology, Botany or Zoology

The number of university students and non-university students taking Biology, Botany or Zoology as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 5.6.

TABLE 5.6
NMMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK BIOLOGY, BOTANY OR ZOOLOGY AS A $\because$ MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | FF, $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ | Total | $A+B+C$ |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 33.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 240 \\ 44.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 644 \\ 53.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1085 \\ & 64.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 710 \\ 69.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 59.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 77.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2811 \\ 59.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 930 \\ 49.3 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 66.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 305 \\ 56.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 558 \\ 46.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 603 \\ 35.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 316 \\ 30.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 40 \cdot 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 22.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1926 \\ & 40.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 956 \\ 50.7 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 139 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 545 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1202 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1688 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1026 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4737 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1886 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Of the matriculation candidates with Biology, Botany or Zoology as a subject, 2811 or $59.3 \%$ did not go to a university. The number who were successful in the subject and did not go to a university was 2790 (59.2\%). As was the case with other subjects, three out of every five candidates did not enrol for university courses requiring matriculation exemption during 1962.

Although there were not as many $A^{\prime}$ s in the Biological Sciences as in Mathematics and the Physical Sciences, there were nevertheless 46(or 33.1\%) of these with an $A$ in Biological Science who did not go to a university. Of the group of $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and $C^{\prime} s, 930(49.3 \%)$, or about half, did not go to a university. When the number of matriculants with D-symbols are added, 2015 candidates are among those who did not go to university as compared with 1559 who did. Thus more than half the candidates in the matriculation with a D-symbol or higher in the Biological Sciences did not go to a university during 1962.
5.2.7 Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene,

The numbers of university students and non-university students taking Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 5.7.

TABLE 5.7
NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTSWHO TOOK GEOLOGY, MECHANICS, PHYSIOLOGY AND HYGIENE AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

|  | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | A | B | C | D | E | F | FF $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ | Total | $A+B+C$ |
| Not at university in 1962 |  | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 61.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ 55.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 63.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ 68.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 71.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 71.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ 58.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 48.7 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 38.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 44.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 36.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 31.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 28-6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 28.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 41.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ 51.3 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 268 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 100 \$ 8 \end{aligned}$ |

This group of subjects is more akin to Mathematics, Physical Science and Biology and shows the same kind of distribution in its symbols. Of the matriculants in these subjects, 158 or $58.99 \%$ did not go to a university and 153 or $58.6 \%$ of those who were successful did not enrol.

Although no candidates with A's are to be found among the non-university student group, many more of those with B's ( $61.9 \%$ ) and C's (55.6\%) did not go to the university than did.

### 5.2.8 Bookkeeping

The numbers of university students and non-university students taking Bookkeeping as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 5.8.

TABLE 5.8
NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK BOOKKEEPING AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{FF} \\ \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{H} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | $A+B+C$ |
| Not at university in 1962 | $84$ | $\begin{gathered} 219 \\ 57.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 416 \\ 68.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 547 \\ 76.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 296 \\ 77.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ 80.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 92.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1658 \\ & 69 \cdot 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 719 \\ 60.7 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ 55.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165 \\ 43.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 195 \\ 31.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 165 \\ 23.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ 22.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | 17 $19.3 \%$ | 7. ${ }^{2}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 735 \\ 30.7 \% \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 466 \\ 39 \cdot 3 \% \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 190 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 384 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 611 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 712 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 381 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{27}{100.0 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2393 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1185 \\ & 100.0 刃 \end{aligned}$ |

More than two thirds of the matriculants who took Bookkeeping, namely 1658 ( $69.3 \%$ ) did not go to a university in 1962, while 1633 ( $69.0 \%$ ) of those who were successful did not enroi as university students. By comparison with the numbers in the previously discussed subjects, these figures are more disturbing.

Of these matriculants, 84 (44.2\%) of those with an Asymbol, 219 (570 $\%$ of those with a B-symbol and 416 (68.1\%) of those with a C-symbol failed to enrol at a university, that is 719 ( $60.7 \%$ ) of the $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and C's. In other words, three out of every five of those with a good performance in Bookkeeping did not enrol for a course for which matriculation exemption was necessary. This should be viewed in the light of the achievements of at least a C-symbol in all subjects. We may say that more matriculants with symbols better than the average for all subjects did not go to a university than did go•
5.2.9 Commerce 2 Economics, Shorthand and Typing

The numbers of students and non-students taking Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing are shown in Table 5.9.

TABLE 5.9
NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTSWHO TOK COMMERCE, ECONOMICE, SHORTHAND AND/OR TYPING AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\underset{G,}{\text { FF }}$ H | Total | A+B+C |
| Not at univer sity in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 60.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ 54.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ 67.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 49.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 67.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ 85 \cdot 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 83.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 342 \\ 62.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 62.1 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 39.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 45 \cdot 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 32.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 50.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 32.7 \% \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{5}{14.7 \%}$ | $16.7 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 209 \\ 37.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103 \\ 37 \cdot 9 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | (100.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 551 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 272 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

A large amount of agreement is found with the figures for Bookkeeping in the distribution of the symbols for this group of Commercial subjects studied. Of the matriculants with one of these Commercial subjects, 342 (62.1\%) did not go to a university.

The number of pupils obtaining a C-symbol or higher and not going to a university is appreciable, namely 169 (62.1\%) or three out of every five.
5.2.10 History and other Social Study subjects

The numbers of students and non-students taking History and other Social Study subjects for matriculation are shown in Table 5.10.
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## TABLE 5.10

NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHD TCX HISTORY OR OTHER SOCIAL STUDY SUBJECTS AS MATRICULATION SUBJECTS

| Groups | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | FF $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ | Total | A+B+C |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 116 \\ 42.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 331 \\ 42.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 745 \\ 51.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 974 \\ 60 \cdot 5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 802 \\ 67.4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116 \\ 56.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ 89.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3183 \\ & 56.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1192 \\ & 47.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 159 \\ 57.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ 57 \cdot 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 714 \\ 49.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 635 \\ 39 \cdot 5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 388 \\ 32.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 43.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 10.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2442 \\ & 43.4 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1318 \\ & 52.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 275 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 776 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1459 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1609 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1190 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 205 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5625 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2510 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Of the 5625 matriculants taking History as a subject, 3183 (56.6\%) did not go to a university while 3084 (55.9\%) of those passing in this subject did not enrol for courses for which matriculation exemption was a requirement.

If the numbers of matriculants with good symbols of $C$ or higher are studied, it appears that 1192 ( $47.5 \%$ ) did not so to a university compared with 1318 ( $52.5 \%$ ) who did, that is to say nearly half of the good student potential did not attend a university. Of these, ll6 were matriculants with an A-symbol which is 42.2\% of all those with an A in History.

Of the matriculants who did not go to a university, 1192 ( $47.5 \%$ ) had the same symbols as or better symbols than the average for all courses, since only those first year students taking courses in Arts and Social Science, Medicine and Pure Science had an average performance of a C-symbol in History.
(The other social study subjects included with History constituted less than $1 \%$ of the total)

### 5.2.11 Geography

The numbers of students and non-students taking Geography as a matriculation subject are shown in. Table 5.ll.

TABLE 5.11
NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOCK GEOGRAPHY AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |  | Total | A + B + C |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 16.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ 37 \cdot 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 291 \\ 55.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 617 \\ 61.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 590 \\ 72.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ 73.8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $68.6 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1723 \\ & 62.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 357 \\ 49.4 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ 83.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ 62.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 232 \\ 44.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 392 \\ 38.9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 228 \\ 27 \cdot 9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 26.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 31.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1045 \\ & 37.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 366 \\ 50.6 \% \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 523 \\ 00.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1009 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 818 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2768 \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 723 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

The percentage of matriculants taking Geography who did not go to a university was greater than the figure for History, and after Bookkeeping and the group of Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, is probably the highest for all subjects. Of those taking the subject for matriculation 1723 (62.2\%) did not go to a university while 1699 (62. $2 \%$ ) of those who passed, cr three out of every five, failed to enrol at a university.

Although oniy $7(16.3 \%)$ of the matriculants with an Asymbol did not go to a university, the percentage with $B, C$ and D-symbols is very much higher. As compared with 366 ( $50.6 \%$ ) of those with A, B and C-symbols who went to a university 357 (49.4\%) did not go. 974 with A B C or I symbols did not go on compared with 758 who did. The average performance of the matriculants going to the university was fairly low and for all courses was a D-symbol; for this reason the number of 974 with a symbol $D$ or higher represents an appreciable quantity of lost potential university material.

### 5.2.12 Art or Music

The numbers of students and non-students who took Art or Music as a matriculation subject are shown in Table 5.12.
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TABLE 5.1.2

MMGBERS OF STUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK ART OR MUSIC AS A MAIPTCULATION SUBJECT

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |  | $\mathrm{Gg}_{\mathrm{GF}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}$ | Touta! | $A+B+C$ |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 40.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 42.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ 56.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109 \\ 57.1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ 62.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 62.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 321 \\ 54.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145 \\ & 50.59 \end{aligned}$ |
| First year students at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 59.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ 58.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ 43.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ 42.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 37 \cdot 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 6 \\ 37.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2} 100^{2} 0 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 266 \\ 45.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ 4905 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 172 \\ 00.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 191 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2} 00 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 587 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 237 \\ & 200: 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

321 (54.7\%) matriculants with Art or Music as a subjoct did not enrol for a course requiring matriculation exemption at, a university. Of those, with a symbol of $C$ or higher, $145(50,5 \%)$ did not go to a university as compared with 142 ( $40.5 \%$ who did, in other word about half of those with good symbols in Art ori Music.
5.2.13 Domestic Science and kindred subjects, Wood and Metal voris, Agricultural and Technical subjects.

The numbsers of students and non-students taking Domesto Science and kindred subjects, Wood and Metal work, Agricuitural and Technical subjects as matriculation subjects are shown in Table 5.13.

## TABLE 5.13

NUMBER OF SIUDENTS AND NON-STUDENTS WHO TOOK DOMESTIC SCIENCE AND RETAT I SUBJECTS, WOOD AND METAL WORK, AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL SUBJRCT: AS MATRICULATION SUBJECTS

| Group | Matriculation Symbols |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |  | Total | $+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ |
| Not at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 47.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ 63.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 385 \\ 70.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 396 \\ 70.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ 72.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 77.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 75.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1083 \\ & 69.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $536$ |
| First your stuclents at university in 1962 | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 52.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ 36.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ 29.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c} 164 \\ 29.3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 27.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | 22.7\% | $\stackrel{1}{25.0 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 479 \\ 30,7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 259 \\ & 3206 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 206 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 547 \\ 300.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 560 \\ 200.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181 \\ 100.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 000.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{4}{400.0 \%}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} 1562 \\ 100.02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795 \\ 10.0 \% \end{gathered}$ |

In this group, together with the Bookkeeping group, the matriculants who did not go to a university in 1962 make up the largest section namely 1083 or $69.3 \%$ of the l562. Of these 1080 passed in one of these subjects or another.

Matriculants with good performances in Domestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects who did not EOO to a university constitute a large percentage of this sroup, namely 20 or $47.6 \%$ of those with an A-symbol, 131 or $63.6 \%$ of those with a B-symbol and 385 or $70.4 \%$ of those with a C-symbol or if all these be counted together, 536 ( $67.4 \%$ ) as compared with 259 (32.6\%). Thus about two thirds of the group did not go to a university while one third did.
5.3 SUMMARY

From the above may be concluded that for practically every subject, more than half the university student potential did not go to a university. By this is meant that they did not enrol for courses for which matriculation exemption is a requirement. The small group of matriculants who enrolled for other courses must be considered as lost university student potential. If the distribution of the students with good symbols be studied, it may be said that about half the student potential in each subject did not 80 on to a university.

Although this non-university group is fairly large for the languages and Social Study subjects, the size of the group in Mathematics and the Physical Sciences is disturbing. The same may be said of the Commercial subjects, although a large number of persons taking Commercial subjects for matriculation apparently go into business.

## CHAPTER SIX

A TENTATIVE DISTRIBUTION INTO STUDY COURSES OF THE GROUP OF MATRICULANTS NOT GOING TO UNIVERSITY

GENERAI
The 5775 matriculants who did not go to a university are divided into six groups of study courses as if they had gone to a university. This division is made on the basis of the distribution of those who actually did go to a university in accordance with tables drawn up previously.

Forecasts are made on the basis of the total numbers in the different courses and also according to the distribution in each subject.

The percentage which each group of study courses attracted from matriculants with a specific symbol in each subject, was used as a basis upon which to allocate to various courses those matriculants who did not go to a university, and who obtained a corresponding symbol in that subject.

Upon analysis of a particular subject, it is found that the courses which attracted the greatest number of good matriculants should also attract the greatest number of those with good performances amongst the matriculants who did not go to a university; in consequence the other courses are left with a larger group of weaker students.

In the next table the non-university group is divided according to the percentage of students in each group of courses in the same way as those attending university. The distribution of those with first class passes in this group is also shown.

TABLE 6.1
DISTRIBUTION OF THE NON-UNIVERSITY GROUP AMONGST SIX COURSES OF STUDY

| Courses | First Class | Second Class | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Social Sciences ...... | 578 | 1900 | 2478 |
| Pure Science ................... | 378 | 841 | 1219 |
| Engineering .................... | 175 | 409 | 584 |
| Agriculture Forestry and Veterinary Science | 56 | 193 | 249 |
| Medical Sciences .............. | 165 | 380 | 545 |
| Commercial Courses .......... | 131 | 569 | 700 |
| Total ............................. | 1483 | 4292 | 5775 |

From this distribution it may be anticipated that if the non-university group had gone to a university, the Arts and Social Science courses would again have attracted the majority, namely 2478, of whom 578 had obtained a first class passses. The Pure Science group would have attracted about half the number of the Arts and Social Sciences group namely 1219 of whom 378 were in the first class. The Commerce group with 700 would attract the third largest number, followed by the Engineers group with 584, the Medical group with 545 and the others with 249.
6. 2 DISTRIBUTION OF THE NON-UNIVERSITY GROUP ACCORDING TO SUBJECTS
6.2.1 Afrikaans.

The number of non-university students who took Afrikaans as a matriculation subject distributed according to course taken is shown in Table 6.2

## TABLE 6.2

NUMBER OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK AFRIKAANS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FF}, \\ & \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Science | 36 | 237 | 690 | 907 | 414 | 34 | 4 | 2322 |
| Pure Science ........... | 10 | 90 | 318 | 446 | 327 | 19 | 2 | 1212 |
| Engineering ............ | 2 | 33 | 125 | 206 | 218 | 13 | 4 | 601 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.. |  | 13 | 61 | 115 | 76 | 2 |  | 267 |
| Medical Sciences ....... | 4 | 29 | 132 | 263 | 131 | 3 | 2 | 564 |
| Commercial Courses ..... | 1 | 28 | 127 | 326 | 269 | 6 | 5 | 762 |
| Total ................... | 53 | 430 | 1453 | 2263 | 1435 | 77 | 17 | 5728 |
| Total in non-university group 5775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

According to table 6.2, the total of 2322 who fall into the Social Sciences group is very much smaller than the 2478 of the previous table, even though the majority of the 47 who did not take Afrikaans, be excluded. The reason for this is that the non-university group had a poorer performance in Afrikaans than the university group so that the Arts and Social Sciences group with the best average performance in Afrikaans draws a smaller proportion of the weaker group. The reverse is true of courses in Engineering, Medical and Commercial courses and Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.

In seteral courses many matriculants with good symbols in Afrikaans should go to a university (c.f. 963 $A^{\prime} s, B^{\prime} s$ and C's in the Social Sciences).
6.2.2 English

The numbers of non-university students who took English asa matriculation subject are shown in Table 6.3 according to the distribution of courses.

TABLE 6.3
NUMBER OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK ENGIISH AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO DISTRIBUTION OF COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Science .... | 44 | 206 | 627 | 939 | 450 | 7 | 1 | 2274 |
| Pure Science ............... | 11 | 64 | 320 | 479 | 354 | 1 |  | 1229 |
| Engineering ................ | 6 | 23 | 125 | 241 | 234 | 1 |  | 630 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science ........ | $1$ | 9 | 33 | 111 | 137 | 2 |  | 293 |
| Medical Sciences ........... | 7 | 35 | 131 | 221 | 145 | 1 |  | 540 |
| Commercial Courses ......... | 3 | 19 | 115 | 334 | 334 | 3 | 1 | 809 |
| Total ...................... | 72 | 356 | 1351 | 2325 | 1654 | 15 | 2 | 5775 |
|  | Total in the non-university group |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5775 |

When the above distribution is made it appears that there are fewer potential students for the Arts and Social Sciences and medical sciences ( 2274 and 540 compared with 2478 and 545 as shown in Table 6.1). This should be for the same reason as applied in the case of Afrikaans.

Courses in Arts and Social Sciences, Pure Science, Engineering and Medical Science should draw large numbers of the good candidates in English from the non-university student group. Numbers such as 877 with gmbols of $C$ and higher in English, who should have been taking Arts and Social Science courses in 1962 must be looked upon as a great loss.
6. 2.3 A third language

The numbers of non-university students who took a third language as a matriculation subjeat are shown in Table 6.4, distributed according to courses followed.

$$
52 / \ldots . . .
$$

## TABLE 6.4

NUMBER OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK A THIRD LANGUAGE AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO DISTRIBUTION OF COURSES

| Course A | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} F F \\ G, H \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences .. 39 | 84 | 262 | 414 | 466 | 147 | 35 | 1447 |
| Pure Sciences .............. 20 | 32 | 92 | 161 | 119 | 35 | 23 | 482 |
| Engineering ................ 13 | 15 | 59 | 72 | 73 | 22 | 31 | 285 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science | 4 | 15 | 19 | 41 | 6 |  | 86 |
| Medical Sciences .......... 12 | 12 | 59 | 88 | 82 | 25 | 15 | 293 |
| Commercial Courses ......... 5 | 6 | 32 | 52 | 73 | 11 | 8 | 187 |
| Total ...................... 90 | 153 | 519 | 806 | 854 | 246 | 112 | 2780 |
| Total of the non-university group |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5775 |

The number of good candidates in a third language amongst the non-university student group is not quite as large as in the language groups but there are nevertheless 385 matriculants with a symbol of $C$ or higher who should have been taking an Arts or Social Science course in 1962.

### 6.2.4 Mathematics

The numbers of non-university students who took mathematics as a matriculation subject, distributed according to courses taken, are shown in Table 6.5.

TABLE 6.5
NUIBER OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUTENTS WHO TOOK MATHRMATICS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO DISTRIBUTION OF COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences. . 40 | 105 | 264 | 506 | 722 | 327 | 103 | 2144 |
| Pure Sciencego.............. 110 | 135 | 213 | 297 | 277 | 53 | 4 | 1089 |
| Engineering .............. 66 | 90 | 106 | 119 | 67 | 7 | 3 | 458 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and <br> Veterinary Science ........ 7 | 25 | 38 | 90 | 71 | 24 | 3 | 258 |
| Medical Sciences .......... 23 | 56 | 123 | 142 | 125 | 35 |  | 504 |
| Commercial Coursos....... 22 | 63 | 125 | 199 | 240 | 42 | 16 | 713 |
| Total ...................... 268 | 474 | 869 | 1353 | 1585 | 488 | 129 | 5166 |

Total of the non-university group 5775
$=$

From the distribution of the non-university student group in mathematics, we may conclude that 458 with a symbol of $C$ or higher would have followed a course in Pure Science. This number must be looked upon as good university material in the light of the fact that it exceeds the number of 378 first class matriculants of the non-university student group who should possibly have gone to the university during 1962. For Engineering the number was 262 and the Medical Sciences 102. This is important as certain Engineering and Medical faculties of universities require at least a $C$ symbol in mathematics for entrance to these faculties.
6.2.5 Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry

The distribution according to courses followed of the numbers of non-university students taking Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry as a matriculation subject is shown in Thable 6.6.

TABIE 6.6
THE NUMBERS OF NON-UNIVERSITY_STUDENTS WHO TOOK PHYSICAL SCIENCE, PHYSICS OR CHEMISTRY AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO DISTRIBUTION OF COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences .. 15 | 79 | 199 | 401 | 456 | 126 | 9 | 1285 |
| Pure Sciences .............. 44 | 132 | 225 | 255 | 198 | 27 | 9 | 890 |
| Engineering ................ 29 | 67 | 130 | 132 | 105 | 2 | 4 | 469 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science ......... | 19 | 47 | 86 | 41 | 12 | 5 | 217 |
| Medical Sciences .......... 12 | 49 | 116 | 121 | 97 | 17 | 4 | 416 |
| Commercial Courses ......... 7 | 28 | 99 | 231 | 188 | 41 | 22 | 616 |
| Total ....................... 114 | 374 | 816 | 1226 | 1085 | 225 | 53 | 3893 |
| Tot | in | e no | n-uni | versi | y gr |  | 5775 |

In accordance with the distribution of the symbols in Physicel Science, Physics or Chemistry, the Arts and Sociel Sciences would attract 1285 of whom the greatest number have poor symbols in this subject. Only 293 out of 1285 had a C symbol or higher. Courses in Pure Science (401), Engineerin€ (226) and Medical Sciences (177) should attract a large number of the matriculants with symbols of $C$ or higher from the non-university student group.
6.2.6 Biology, Botany or Zoology.

The distribution according to courses followed of the non-university students who took Biology, Botany or Zoology as a matriculation subject is shown in Table 6.7.

TABLE 6.7
THE NUMBERS OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK BIOLOGY, BOTANY OR ZOOLOGY AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT ACCORDING TO COURSES


TABLE 6.8
NOMBERS OF NCN-UNJVRRSITY STUDENTS WHO TOCK GTOLGGY, MOCHANICS, PJYYSIOLOGY AND HYGITNE AS A MATPICULATION SUBJTCT, ACCORDING TC COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences... | 6 | 18 | 27 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 77 |
| Pure Sciences .............. | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 |  |  | 31 |
| Engineering ................ | 3 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 |  | 20 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science ........ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 9 |
| Medical Sciences ......... | 3 | 2 | 5 |  | 2 |  | 12 |
| Commercial Courges ...... | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 9 |
| Total ...................... | 26 | 30 | 46 | 41 | 10 | 5 | 158 |
| Total in the non-university group 5775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Of the 158 in the non-university student group with one of these subjects, 77 would choose an Arts or Social Science course. The numbers in other courses are much smaller.

### 6.2.8 Bookkeeping,

The distribution, according to course followed, of the non-university students who took Bookkeeping as a matriculation subject, is shown in Table 6.9.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 6.9
$$

THE NUMBERS OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK BOOKKEEPING AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO COURSES


Agriculture, Forastry and

| Veterinary Science ......... | 2 | 13 | 32 | 26 | 35 | 4 | 112 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mcdical Sciences .......... | 6 | 12 | 26 | 50 | 7 | 13 | 114 |
| Commercial Courses ......... | 30 | 85 | 160 | 179 | 52 | 12 | 518 |
| Total ....................... 84 | 219 | 416 | 547 | 296 | 71 | 25 | 1658 |

Of all those in the non-university student group with Bookkeeping as a matriculation subject, namely 518, the largest group should he:ve followed a Comnerce course. The loss of good potential is even larger when analysed according to the above table, since it is found that 275 with a symbol of $C$ or higher in Bookkeepine should have followed a course in Commerce. Fairly large numbers with good perturmances in Bookkeeping ( $C$ symbol or higher) are also lost to ure cience and ngineering courses, viz. 165 and 63 respectively.
6.2.9 Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing

The distribution, according to course followed, of the non-university students, who took Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing as a matriculation subject is shown in Table 6.10.

$$
\text { TABLE } 6.10
$$

THE NUMBERS CF NCN-TNIVERSITY STUDENSS WHO TOOK COMMERCE, ECONOMICS, SHORTHAND AND TYPING AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fim } \\ & G, H \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences.... | 9 | 23 | 48 | 39 | 37 | 12 |  | 168 |
| Pure Sciences ............... | 5 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 |  | 10 | 56 |
| Engineering ................. | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 |  | 23 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science | $1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Medical Sciences ........... | 3 | 1 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  | 18 |
| Commercial Courses ......... | 3 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 12 |  | 86 |
| Total ........................ | 23 | 51 | 95 | 64 | 70 | 29 | 10 | 352 |
| Total in university group 5775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

With 168 casess tho Arts and Social Sciences derive the most metriculents of the non-university student group from these commercial subjects. Then follow the Commercial group of courses with and the Pure sciences with 46. Almost half o+ the 160 in the Arts and Social Science courses, i.e. 80, had a symbol of $C$ or higher in the Commerce subjects.

[^2]TABLE 6.11
NUMBERS OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS TO TOOK HISTORY OR OTHER SOCIAL STUDY SUBJECTS AS A MATRICULATION SUBJECT, ACCORDING TO COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences .. 60 | 167 | 396 | 478 | 407 | 60 | 8 | 1576 |
| Pure Sciences .............. 27 | 66 | 117 | 175 | 132 | 18 | 17 | 552 |
| Engineering ................ 8 | 27 | 55 | 69 | 71 | 15 | 33 | 278 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science ........ 2 | 7 | 16 | 40 | 39 | 4 |  | 108 |
| Medical Sciences .......... 10 | 36 | 69 | 92 | 50 | 1 | 16 | 274 |
| Commercial Courses ........ 9 | 28 | 92 | 120 | 103 | 18 | 25 | 395 |
| Total ....................... 116 | 331 | 745 | 974 | 802 | 116 | 99 | 3183 |
| Total in the non-university group |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5775 |

When the distribution of these matriculants in History or related subjects is considered, we find that of the 3183 matriculants in the non-university group, 1576 should have followed a course in Arts or Social Science, 552 in Pure Science, and 278 in engineering.

The loss of potential university material seems to be large when we find that 623 matriculants with a symbol of $C$ or higher in History should have been at a university taking a course in Arts or Social Science.

### 6.2.11 Geography

The distribution, according to course followed, of the non-university students who took Geography for matriculation is shown in Table 6.12.
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TABLE 6.12
DISTRIBUTION OF NON-UNIVRRTTY GTUDENTS WHC TOOK GDOGRAPHY AS A MATRICULATION SUBJCCT, ACCORDING TO COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol of each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FF}, \\ & \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Science .. | 2 | 24 | 111 | 228 | 256 |  | 13 | 696 |
| Pure Science ............. | 2 | 13 | 71 | 151 | 122 | 31 | 2 | 392 |
| Engineering .............. | 1 | 10 | 39 | 74 | 75 | 9 | 7 | 215 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science ....... |  |  | 14 | 20 | 15 |  |  | 49 |
| Medical Sciences ........ | 1 | 5 | 25 | 53 | 21 | 8 |  | 113 |
| Commercial Courses ........ | 1 | 7 | 30 | 91 | 101 | 25 | 2 | 257 |
| Total .................... | 7 | 59 | 290 | 617 | 590 | 135 | 24 | 1722 |
| Total in the non-university group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Arts and Social Sciences would draw 696 and Pure Science 392 of the 1722 potential students with Geography as a matriculation subject. Even the Commercial courses could drav considerable potential namely 257 from this group with Geography as a matriculation subject. Matriculants with good symbols in Geography are however not very plentiful in the various groups of courses shown above.
6.2.12 Art or Music.

The distribution, according to course followed, of non-university students taking Art or Musio for matriculation is shown in Pable 6.13.

$$
\text { TABLE } 6.13
$$

DISTRIBUTION OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK ART OR MUSIC FOR MATRICULATION, ACCORDING TO COURSES
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The Arts and Social Sciences could draw some 238 (about 75\%) of the non-university students with Art or Music as a subject. The other groups would draw fewer numbers.
6.2.13 Domestic Science and related subjects Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects

The distribution, according to course followed, of the non-university students who took Domestic Science and related subjects, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Technical subjects for matriculation is shown in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{ble}$ 6.14.

TABLE 6.14
NUMBERS OF NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS WHO TOOK DOMESTIC SCIENCE AND RELATED SUBJICTS, WOOD AND METAL WORK, AGRICULTURAL AND TECHNICAL SUBJECTS FO $\vec{R}$ MATRICULATION, ACCORDING TO COURSES

| Course | Matriculation symbol in each group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{FF}, \\ \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H} \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| Arts and Social Sciences .. 5 | 45 | 207 | 213 | 45 | 10 |  | 525 |
| Pure Sciences ............... 4 | 37 | 62 | 58 | 31 | 4 |  | 196 |
| Engineering ,.............. 5 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 13 | 3 |  | 106 |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science .......... 2 | 10 | 41 | 41 | 13 |  |  | 107 |
| Medical Sciences ........... 2 | 9 | 26 | 12 | 13 |  |  | 62 |
| Commercial Courses .......... 2 | 4 | 21 | 41 | 16 |  | 3 | 87 |
| Total ........................ . 20 | 131 | 385 | 396 | 131 | 17 | 3 | 1083 |
| Total in the non-university group |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5775 |

Once again the Arts and Social Science courses, with a possible 525 out of 1083 matriculants (almost half) would offer possible courros for tho greatest part of the student potential. The Pure Science group with 196, Elgineers with 106 and Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with 107 would also draw fairly large numbers from this section of metriculants.
6. 3 SUMMARY

In conclusion, it amy be said that in each of the six university course groups there was in 1962 considerable potential among the 5775 matriculants who did not enrol for university courses for which matriculation exemption is required. In the followine table the distribution of
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these is shown with an indication of the good material which was lost. This indication is based upon the good performances of matriculants in those subjects which are good prognosticators for specific university courses.

TABLE 6.15
DISTRIBUTION OF THE NON-UNIVERSITY STUDENT GROUP INTO COURSES

| CourseTotal in <br> each group |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Social Sciences 2000-2500 | 950+ $600+$ 578 <br> ( languages) (History)  |
| Pure Sciences.......... 1200+ | $450+$ $400+$ 378 <br> (Mathematics) (Physical Sciences)  |
| Engineering .......... 500+ | $250+$ $225+$ 175 <br> (Mathematics) (Physical Sciences) |
| Agriculture, Forestry $\pm 250$ and Veterinary Science | $70+$ $70+$ <br> (Mathematics) (Physical Sciences) |
| Medical Sciences ..... 500+ | $\begin{array}{ccc} 200+ & 170+ & 165 \\ \text { (Mathematics) } & \text { (Physical Sciences) } \end{array}$ |
| Commercial Courses .... $\pm 700$ | $275+$ $200+$ 131 <br> (Bookkeeping) (Mathematics)  |
| Total ................. 5775 | $2195+1665+1483$ |

It thus becomes necessary to determine how much room there is for this non-university group in the universities.

## CHAPTER SEVEN

AN ANALYSIS OF THE AVAILABT,E TRAINING FACILITIES IN THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS OF SOUTH AFRICAN RESIDENTIAL UNIVERSITIES AND LINITATIONS IN RESPECT OF THE ADMISSION OF STUDENTS

## 7.1 <br> GENERAL

Questionnaires regarding (i) the number of students in departments (ii) the additional numbers which could be absorbed in departments and (iii) the reasons why additional first year students could not be enrolled or why admission was refused, were sent to heads of departments. Most of the departmental heads complied with these requests and information was received from eight of the nine universities. ${ }^{1}$ )

A fairly accurate picture of the numbers of students in the various departments and of the limitations upon the admission of additional students could thus be obtained. A considerable number of departments (particularly in the University of Pretoria) could absorb a further unlimited number of students. In such cases it was decided to assess the additional students who could be admitted as fifty per oent of the number already registered.

It was decided to divide the analysis of the departments into six divisioris along the same lines as the grouping of the courses in the previous chapter. Accordingly a general analysis of the departments is given in the first table of each of these six sections. Then follow tables giving details of each department in each university. In these tables, two numbers are shown under each year of study for each department and university. The upper number indicates the number of students in the relevant year while the lower number reflects the additional number of students who could be admitted in that particular year of study.
7.2 AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS REGISTERED, THE POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL NUMBER ANJ THE LIMITING FACTORS IN THE ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENTS DURING 1962.

The departments in the faculties of Arts and Social Science are fairly large and thus require considerable lecture room accommodation and teaching staff. The following departments with more than 1000 registered first year students may be considered to be the largest:

History, Psychology, Sociology and Social Work, AfrikaansNederlands, English and African studies (including Bantu languages, Anthropology and Native Administration).

A shortage of lecture room accommodation is found in the following departments: Philosophy (University of the Orange Free State), Music (Rhodes University), and Fine Arts (Rhodes University).

1) Information was not sought from the ninth university, the University of South Africa which is a non-residential university.

A shortage of laboratories (Practical facilities) was indicated by the following departments: Psychology (University of the Witwatersrand, Music (Potchefstroom University for C.H.E.) and Physical Education (Rhodes Uni versity).

A shortage of teaching staff appears to be the factor which is of the greatest limiting effect on the absorption of additional students (especially first year students) in most of the departments. The following departments were unable to enrol additional students because of a shortage of teaching staff: History (University of Stellenbosch, University of the Orange Free State and Rhodes University), Philosophy (University of Stellenbosch, Psychology (University of Stellenbosch and the Witwatersrand, Sociology and Social Work (University of the Orange Free State), Physical Education (Universities of Stellenbosch and Rhodes University), Afrikaans-Nederlands (University of Stellenbosch) English (Universities of Stellenbosch and of Natal (Durban) and French (Rhodes University)。

If Table 7.l be studied, it will be observed that a reasonable number of additi: nal students can still be admitted by all departments. The departments of History can admit relatively the smallest numbers of additional students, namely 133 first year students compared with 1076 registered students (somewhat less than 13 per cent) 62 second year students compared with 497 registered (also less than 13 per cent) 61 third year students compared with 347 registered, 13 fourth year students compared with 36 registered and no additional advanced students. It should be remembered that History is a fairly popular subject so that a limitation on additional first year History students implies a limitation on the admission of more students in the Arts and Social sciences.

It appears that the following departments could absorb considerable numbers of additional first yea. students: Psychology (665), Sociology and Social Work (656), AfrikaansNederlands (783), English (508), Classics (551) and African Studies (863). From this may be deduced that if we can limit the choice of subjects of first year students the.Arts and Social Scienoes could absorb somewhat more than 500 additional first year students. The fact that only ten departments refused admission to additional first year students (the chief reason being on account of inadequate admission qualifications) shows that departmental heads are not unduly severe in their selection of students for these departments.

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

| Departments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { univer- } \\ \text { sities } \\ \text { with the } \\ \text { follow- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { depart- } \\ \text { ments } \end{gathered}$ | Number of departments supplying in-formation | (a) Number of first year students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be enrolled | Number of departments which could not admit additional first year students | Reasons why the departments concerned were unable to admit additional first year students |  |  |  | Number of de-partmental heads refusing admission to first year students | Reasons why departmental heads refused first year students admission into their departments. |  |  |  | (a) Number of students who were not in their first year during 1962 <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Shortage of lec- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Short- } \\ & \text { age of } \end{aligned}$ | Shortage of |  |  | Because of Phy- | Because lof inad- | Because of poor | No first year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ture <br> room <br> space | $\begin{gathered} \text { labora- } \\ \text { tories } \end{gathered}$ | ```teaching staff``` | ```limiting numbers of students``` |  | $\|$sical or <br> mental <br> disabil <br> ity | equate admission qua-lifications | performance in the ma-triculation exemption examination | students refused | Second year. | Third year | Fourth year | Fifth year | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sixth } \\ \text { year } \end{array}$ |
| Geography | 9 | 8 | (a) 849 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 8 | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { b } \\ & 168\end{aligned}$ | 187 115 | 42 35 | 15 45 | 10 |
| History | 9 | 7 | (a) $\begin{array}{lr}\text { (b) } & 1076 \\ \text { ( } & 133\end{array}$ | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | (a) 4978 | 347 61 | 36 13 | 10 | 6 0 |
| Philosophy | 9 | 7 | (a) 518 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | (a) 146 | 95 74 | 13 6 | 8 10 | 6 5 |
| Psychology | 9 | 8 | (a) 1853 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 7 | (a) 936 | 457 111 | 43 43 | 26 | 9 8 |
| Sociology and Social Work | 8 | 7 | (a) $\begin{array}{rr}\text { (b) } \\ \text { (b) } & 650\end{array}$ | $1^{\text {¹ }}$ | - | - | $1^{\text {³}}$ | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 6 | (a) 636 | 420 | 47 37 | 27 27 | 17 21 |
| Librarianship | 5 | 4 | (a) 1115 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \\ \text { b) } & 65 \\ & 33\end{array}\right.$ | 21 11 | 20 15 |  |  |
| Journalism | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 54 \\ \text { (b) } & 36\end{array}$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | $\begin{array}{ll}(a) & 17 \\ \text { (b) } & 13\end{array}$ | 9 15 |  |  |  |
| Music | 7 | 6 | (a) 300 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | (a) 151 | 149 23 | 38 | 3 3 | 6 5 |
| Drama | 4 | 1 | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { (b) } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | (a) <br> (b) | 15 10 |  |  |  |
| Criminology | 2 | 2 | (a)(b) 411 <br> (b) | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 2 | (a) 155 | 68 51 | 0 | 1 5 | 0 0 |
| Fine Arto | 5 | 3 | (a) 181 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 49 \\ \text { (b) } & 14\end{array}$ | 49 17 | 6 0 |  |  |
| Physical Eduoation | 5 | 5 | (a) 211 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | (a) 137 <br> (b) 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 13 18 | $\begin{array}{r}8 . \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Theology | 5 | 4 | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { b } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 4 | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { b) }\end{aligned}$ | 31 48 | 37 31 | 27 16 | 18 9 |


| Law | 9 | 6 | (a) 541 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 6 | (a) 401 | 272 216 | 77 45 | 68 37 | 42 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Logopeedios and Speech therapy | 2 | 2 | (a) 80 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 2 | (a) 28 | 8 14 |  |  |  |
| Public Administration and local Government | 2 | 1 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \\ \text { b) } & \\ & \\ \end{array}$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | (a) ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}{ }^{6}$ | 14 | 1 5 | 1 |  |
| Politics | 3 | 3 | (a) 279 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 3 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } 93 \\ \text { (b) } & 53\end{array}$ | 50 30 | 4 2 | 2 1 |  |
| Education | 9 | 6 | (a) 213 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5 | (a) 112 | 232 94 | 321 | 69 52 | 4 22 |
| AfrikaansNederlands | 9 | 7 | (a) 2069 (b) 783 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | (a) 620 | 361 205 | 67 55 | 19 36 | 9 20 |
| English | 9 | 7 | (a)2096 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | (a) 382 | 290 52 | 43 21 | 7 10 | 1 |
| Classics | 9 | 7 | (a) 851 (b) 551 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | (a) 225 | 113 174 | 18 81 | 12 10 | 5 11 |
| African studies | 8 | 7 | (a)1407 $\begin{array}{r}\text { (b) } 863\end{array}$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | (a) 503 | 241 247 | 36 28 | 18 36 | 10 30 |
| Semitic languages | 6 | 3 | (a) 191 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 3 | (a) 134 <br> (b)  | 41 | 1 4 | 2 <br> 3 | 1 1 |
| German | 9 | 7 | (a) 709 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 7 | (a) 215 | 123 | 1 28 | 1 5 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| French | 8 | 4 | (a) 424 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 4 | (a) 70 | 38 33 | 5 6 | 2 5 | 0 5 |

I Additional students could not be admitted after the first year.

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF THE PURE SCIENCE GROUP


TABLE 7.2
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF GEOGRAPHY

| University | 1 st year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 340 파 | 85 | 48 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
|  | $25^{\text {\# }}$ | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Rhodes | 100 | 46 | 29 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| (Grahamstown) | 68 | 10 | 27 | 9 | 10 |  |  |
| Rhodes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Port Elizabeth) | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 55 \end{array}$ | 5 55 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 60 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 5 |  |  |
| Pretoria | 123 | 24 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62 | 12 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | 80 | 40 | 35 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 50 | 40 |  | 10 |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 52 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 2 |  |
|  | 48 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 8 |  |
| Natal (Durban) | 41 | 12 | 9 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  | 7 | 10 | 13 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Natal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Pietermaritzburg) | 48 | 27 | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 849 | 271 | 187 | 42 | 15 | 4 | 6 |
|  | 365 | 168 | 115 | 35 | 45 | 11 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

표 One or two rows of figures appear opposite each department which supplied information. One row of figures indicates the number of students actually in the department, in the relevant year of study. Where two rows of figures appear, the upper one is the actual number of students in the department in that year of study while the lower row of figures represents the additional number of students which could be absorbed by the department in each study year.
7.2.1 Limitations of the numbers which could be admitted by the Departments of Geography.

Six departments indicated the number of additional students who could be absorbed. With a possible 25 additional first year students compared with 340 registered students, and 10 additional second year students compared with 85 registered in the department of Geography at the University of Stellenbosch it appears that this university department could not absorb many more students. The same applies in the case of the first year students in Natal University (Durban). The other departments could absorb ample numbers of additional students. No limiting factors on the admission of first year students were mentioned and no first year student was refused admission.

TABLE 7.3
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY

| University lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | $\begin{array}{r} 238 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  |  |
| Rhodes <br> (Grahamstown) | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 2 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhodes <br> (Port Elizabet | $\text { h) } \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free State | $\begin{array}{r} 306 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 7 0 | 6 0 |  |
| Pretoria | $\begin{array}{r} 166 \\ 83 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Durban) | 99 | 36 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Hatal <br> (Piet ermaritzburg) | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | $\begin{array}{r} 1076 \\ 133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 497 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ 61 \end{array}$ | 36 13 | 10 0 | 6 0 | 0 |

7.2.2 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted by the Departments of History

The Departments of History of the University of Stellenbosch, of the Orange Free State and Rhodes University all indicate that in consequence of a shortage of teaching staff they were unable to absorb any more students.

The Potchefstroom University for C.N.E. and the University of Natal could only admit a limited number of additional students, particularly in the first and second years. No head of a department ever refused a student admission.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.4
$$

NOMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEFARTMENTS OF PHILOSOPHY

7.2.3 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted by the Departments of Philosophy

In consequence of a shortage of teaching staff, the University of Stellenbosch was unable to admit more students. The University of the Orange Free State could not take in more students because of a shortage of lecture room accommodation. The other departments were able to admit appreciable numbers of additional students.

No head of a department had refused to admit a first year student.
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TABLE 7.5
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PSYCHOLOGY

7.2.4 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be, admitted by the Departments of Psychology.

The Department of Psychology of the University of Stellenbosch could not admit more students as a result of a shortage of laboratories. The University of the Witwatersrand could not admit more students in the first three years in consequence of a shor丸age of laboratories, a shortage of teeching staff and a policy of admitting only a limited number. The University of Natal could not admit any more students in Pietermaritzburg while the University of the Orange Free State could only admit a few extra students in the second and third year of study. The University of the Witwatersrand had refused admission to certain first year students.

TABLE 7.6
NUMBERS OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIAL WORK

7.2.5 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be. admitted by the Departments of Sociology and Social Work.

The University of the Orange Free State could not enrol any more post graduate students in consequence of a shortage of teaching staff. The Universities of Natal and the Witwatersrand and Rhodes University also indicated that they experienced a shortage of staff. In spite of this, the D.epartments of Sociology and Social Work of these Universities indicated that they were in a position to take considerable numbers of addjtional students. The other three departments still had plenty of room with the exception of the second year at Stellenbosch where only 21 students, additional to the 124 already registered could be admitted. The University of the Orange Free State had refused certain first year students on the grounds of physical or mental disabilities and inadequate requirements for admission.

## TABLE 7.7

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF LIBRARIANSHIP

| University | lst ye | nd y |  | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 |  |  |  |
| Stellenbosch | 46 |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | 72 | 45 | 17 |  |
|  | 36 | 23 | 9 |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | 15 |
| Potchefstroom | 29 | 20 | 4 |  |
|  | 15 | 10 | 2 |  |
| Total | 115 | 65 | 21 | 20 |
| Additional | 97 | 33 | 11 | 15 |

7.2.6 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted by the Departments of Librarianship

The four Departments of Librarianship which supplied information all indicate that practically unlimited numbers of students could be admitted. The Potchefstroom University for C. H. E. indicated that first year students had been refused admission on the grounds of inadequate fulfillment of entrance requirements.

TABLE 7.8
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF MUSIC

| University | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 31 | 29 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhodes | 13 | 17 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free | 7 | 7 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |
| State | 8 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | 56 | 26 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | 102 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 25 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Potchefstroom | 91 | 63 | 100 | 25 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 'Total | 300 | 151 | 149 | 38 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| Additional number | 79 | 47 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

7.2.7 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted by the Department of Music

The Department of Music of the University of the Witwatersrand could not take any additional post graudate students but gave no reason for this. In consequence of a shortage of lecture room accommodation, Rhodes University could take only a few more students while the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. experienced a shortage of practical facilities and the improvement of these would allow an unlimited number to be admitted. The University of the Orange Free State indicated that not many more students could be admitted to the third and fourth years of study (namely three and one respectively). The University of the Witwatersrand and the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. had both previously refused to admit some first year students on the grounds of inadequate fulfilment of entrance requirements and the former also in consequence of the poor performance of students in the matriculation exemption examination.

TABLE 7.9
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF DRAMA

| University <br> Stellenbosch | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Natal (Durban) |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pi et ermaritzburg) |  |  |  |
| Total | 57 | 15 | 15 |
| Additional number | 43 | 15 | 10 |

7.2.8 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Drama

The Department of Drama in the University of Stellenbosch was the only one to supply information and indicated that 43, 15 and 10 additional students could be absorbed in the first, second and third years of study respectively. This is considerably more than half the students registered. The Department also indicated that no limits were placed on additional first year students, and that no first year students had been refused admission.

TABLE 7.10
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF FINE ARTS AND HISTORY OF ART

| University $\quad$ lst year 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stellenbosch |


| Rhodes | 66 | 17 | 12 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pretoria | 112 | 28 | 33 |  |
|  | 56 | 14 | 17 |  |

Witwatersrand

| Natal <br> Pietermaritz- <br> (ieg) | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 181 | 49 | 49 | 6 |

[^3]In consequence of a shortage of lecture room accommodation, the Department of Fine Arts of Rhodes University could admit no additional students. The only other department giving information regarding additional students, namely that of the University of Pretoria, could admit unlimited numbers. Rhodes University also indicated that the head of the department had refused admission to certain students on the grounds of inadequate fulfilment of entrance requirements or poor performance in the matriculation exemption examination.

TABLE 7.11
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

| University | lst year 2 nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 68 | 68 | 42 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhodes | 23 | 10 | 9 | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Orange Free | 28 | 28 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| State | 12 | 12 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | 43 | 17 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22 | 9 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 49 | 14 | 12 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 2 |
|  | 50 | 26 | 28 | 37 | 18 | 7 | 8 |
| Total | 21 | 137 | 87 | 38 | 13 | 4 | 4 |
| Additional number | 91 | 57 | 75 | 37 | 18 | 7 | 8 |

7.2.10 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be, admitted to the Departments of Physical Education

All departments supplied information. In the main there were more facilities for considerable numbers of additional students in each student year. Only two departments were unable to admit additional students as a result of a shortage of teaching staff and one also in consequence of a shortage of apparatus. The heads of these two departments had also both previously refused admission to first year students in consequence of physical or mental disabilities while one head had refused admission in consequence of inadequate admission qualifications.

$$
\text { TÁBLE } 7.12
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF THEOLOGY

7.2.11 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Theology.

Data were supplied by four departments. One department (Natal) did not indicate how many additional students could be enrolled. It appears that for the other departments no limitations are indicated in any student year and that the departments could jointly absorb more than half of the existing number of students over and above the present numbers. Departmental heads had not yet found it necessary to refuse admission to first-year students.

TABLE 7.13
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF LAW

| University | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 102 | 62 | 43 | 31 | 18 |  |
|  | 51 | 31 | 22 | 16 | 9 |  |
| Rhodes (Grahamstown) | 16 | 19 | 16 | 9 | 7 |  |
|  | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |
| Rhodes <br> (Port Elizab由h) | 24 | 29 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 15 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Orange Free State | 52 | 24 | 35 |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | 322 | 245 | 162 | 31 | 32 | 35 |
|  | 161 | 123 | 81 | 16 | 16 | 18 |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 14 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |
|  | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
| Natal (Durban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Piet ermaritzburg) | 11 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 7 |
| Total Additional number | 541 | 401 | 272 | 77 | 68 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 344 | 386 | 216 | 45 | 37 | 18 |

7.2.12 Limitations in respect of the Number of Students who could be, admitted to the Departments of Law

According to information supplied by the Departments of Law of six universities there were, with the exception of the third study year at Rhodes University (Port Elizabeth), adequate facilities for the admission of considerable numbers of students in each study year. No limitations in respect of the admission of first year students had been made while no departmental head had ever refused admission to first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.14
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF JOURNALISM

| University | 1st year 2 nd year | 3rd year 4th year 5th year 6th year 7th ycar |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Potchefstroom | 54 | 17 | 9 |
|  | 36 | 13 | 15 |
| Total | 54 | 17 | 9 |
| Additional | 36 | 13 | 15 |
| number |  |  |  |

7.2.13 Limitations ih respect of the number of students who could be, admitted to the Department of Journalism

The Department of Journalism at the Potche stroom University for C. H.E. could admit more than half of those students who were already registered. The Department had placed no limitations on the admission of first year students nor had any first year students been refused admission.

TABLE 7.15
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF LOGOPAEDICS AND SPEECH THERAPY

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 32 | 14 | 8 |
|  | 16 | 7 | 4 |
| Witwatersrand | 48 | 14 | 0 |
|  | 22 | 11 | 10 |
| Total | 80 | 28 | 8 |
| Additional <br> number | 38 | 18 | 14 |

7.2.14 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Logopaedics and Speech Therapy

Both departments of Logopaedics and Speech Therapy could admit considerable numbers in the first three study year⿷. Information regarding other study years was not supplied. Departmental heads had not had occasion to refuse admission to first year students.

TABLE 7.16
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

| University | lst year 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7th year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange Free | 9 | 6 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| State | 30 | 20 | 16 | 5 | 2 |
| Total | 9 | 6 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Additional <br> number | 30 | 20 | 16 | 5 | 2 |

7.2.15 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted into the Departments of Public Administration añ Local Government

The Departments of Public Administration and Local Government of the University of the Orange Free State could have admitted many more additional students in each study year in relation to the number already registered. No first year students had been refused admission.

## TABLE 7.17

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF CRIMINOLOGY

| University | 1 st year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange Free | 87 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| State | 50 | 30 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Pretoria | 354 | 139 | 61 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 177 | 70 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 441 | 155 | 68 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Additional number | 227 | 100 | 51 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

> 7.2.16 $\frac{\text { Limitations in respect of the number of students who could }}{\text { be admitted to the Department of Criminology. }}$ Both universities could admit considerably more students into their departments, especially in the first three years (more than half of the number who were registered in each study year in the department). Departmental heads had not refused admission to any first year students who wish to enter their respective departments.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.18
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

7.2.17 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Department of Political Science

According to information supplied by the Departments of Political Science of the University of Pretoria and the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. it would appear that these departments could have admitted considerable additional numbers of first year students. There was no limitation placed on the admission of first year students to the departments while no first year students had ever been refused admission.

## TABLE 7.19

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION

| University lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  |  | 144 | 186 | 46 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 25 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| Rhodes | 55 | 12 | 15 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 18 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Orange FreeState |  |  |  | 56 | 22 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 60 | 35 |  |  |
| Pretoria | 97 | 45 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49 | 23 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefistroom | 61 | 55 | 10 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Durban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Piet ermaritzburg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 0 | 59 8 | 1 3 |  |  |
| Total | 213 | 112 | 232 | 321 | 69 | 2 | 2 |
| Additional number | 90 | 69 | 94 | 100 | 52 | 12 | 10 |

7.2.18 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Education

According to information supplied by the various Departments of Education of the different universities it would appear that the University of Natal was not in a position to absorb more students in the third, fourth and fifth study years. This applies also in the fourth study year of the University of Stellenbosoh ( 25 additional students as compared with 186 registered) and the first study year of Rhodes University ( 10 additional students as compared with 55 registered). The Department of Enpirical Education of the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. is the only department which had refused admission to first year students for reasons of inadequate admission requirements. The same department could admit more students if more lecture room accommodation and teaching staff were available.

TABLE 7.20
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF AFRIKAANS-NEDERIANDS

| University | lst year 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 480 | 122 | 77 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Rhodes <br> (Grahamstown) | 34 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 56 | 31 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Rhodes <br> (Port Elizabeth) | 9 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 66 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free | 427 | 105 | 56 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 100 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 6 |
| Pretoria | 721 | 294 | 165 |  |  |  |
|  | 361 | 147 | 83 |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 281 | 59 | 37 | 58 | 5 | 2 |
|  | 200 | 106 | 54 | 30 | 10 | 10 |
| Natal <br> (Durban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 66 | 14 | 13 | 1 |  |  |
| ```Natal (Pietemmaritz- burg)``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2069 | 620 | 361 | 67 | 19 | 9 |
| Additional number | 783 | 356 | 205 | 55 | 36 | 20 |

7.2.19 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Afrikaans-Nederlands.

The six Departments of Afrikaans-Nederlands which indicated the number of additional students they could absorb in 1962, all had more lecture room space for considerable numbers of additional students with the exception of the University of Stellenbosch. The Department of AfrikaansNederlands at the University of Stellenbosch could not, because of a shortage of teaching staff admit additional students in the first four study years. No department had refused admission of first year students.
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TABLE 7.21
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ENGLISH


> 7.2.20 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of English
> Five Departments of English indicated the number of additional students they could have absorbed in 1962 . Of these the University of Natal (Durban) and the University of Stellenbosch ( in the first study year only) could not admit any additional students, in consequence of a shortage of teaching staff. The other three departments could have admitted a number of additional students. No departmental head indicated that he had ever been compelled to refuse admission to first year students.

## TABLE 7.22

NOMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF CLASSICS

| University | lst year 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 202 | 58 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
|  | 262 | 52 | 48 | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| Rhodes | 54 | 11 | 9 | 5 |  |  |
|  | 50 | 25 | 20 | 20 |  |  |
| Orange Free | 50 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| State | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| Pretoria | 223 | 55 | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | 112 | 28 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | 241 | 67 | 40 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 47 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
|  | 28 | 42 | 41 | 47 | 4 | 1 |
| Natal (Durban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal (Pietermaritzburg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $8$ |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | $43$ | $44$ | 48 | 0 |  |  |
| Total | 851 | 225 | 113 | 18 | 12 | 5 |
| Additional number | 551 | 196 | 174 | 81 | 10 | 11 |

7.2.21 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Classics.

The Department of Classics of the University of the Orange Free State could only enroll seven additional students compared with 50 already registered in the first year, but the Department did not mention a limiting factor. The University of the Witwatersrand could have admitted 49 additional students in the first year as compared with 241 already registered, thus here also a limited number only could be absorbed while considerable additional numbers could be admitted to the other departments in classics. Natal University indicated a shortage of personnel as a limiting factor. The departmental head at Rhodes University only indicated that he had refused admission to first year students as a result of inadequate admission requirements or poor performance in the matriculation exemption examination.
T. BLE 7. 23

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF BANTU STUDIES

| University ${ }^{\text {a }}$, y year 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch. | 263 | 92 | 32 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 153 | 78 | 48 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 4 |
| Rhodes <br> (Grahamstown) | 109 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 90 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crange Free State | 90 | 49 | 45 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pretorịa | 704 | 281 | 125 | 16 | 5 |  |  |
|  | 352 | 141 | 63 | 8 | 3 |  |  |
| Witwat ersrand | 60 | 19 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 102 | 29 | 37 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
|  | 128 | 123 | 110 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 7 |
| Natal <br> (Durban) | 79 | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 110 | 85 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1407 | 503 | 241 | 36 | 18 | 6 | 4 |
| Additional number | 863 | 477 | 247 | 28 | 36 | 19 | 11 |

7.2. 22 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Bantu Studies.

All Departments of Bantu Studies which indicated the number of additional students they could admit in 1962, had additional facilities for more than half of those numbers already registered in each study year. There were no limiting factors on the admission of additional first year students and no departmental head had ever refused admission to first year students.

TABLE 7.24
NOMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF SEMITIC LANGUAGES

| $\frac{\text { University }}{\text { Stellenbosch }}$ | 1st year 2nd y |  | year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 82 | 55 | 20 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | 20 | 15 | 10 | 4 | 3 |  |
| Orange Free State |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | 96 | 66 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  | 48 | 33 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 13 | 13 | 6 |  |  | 1 |
|  | 7 | 7 | 3 |  |  | 1 |
| Total | 191 | 134 | 41 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Additional | 75 | 55 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 1 |

7.2.23 Limitations in respect of the number of students in the Departments of Semitic Languages.

Only three departments supplied information. The University of Stellenbosch could by comparison not admit many additional students in the first and second study years, namely 20 as compared with 82 already registered in the first year and 15 compared with 55 already registered in the second yeer. The other two departments indicated that unlimited numbers could be admitted. None of the three departments indicated that they had ever refused admission to first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 7.25
$$

* NUMBER OF STUDPNTS IN THE DFPARTMENTS OF GERMAN

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year | 5th year | 6th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stell enbosch | 141 | 43 | 24 | 2 |  |
|  | 30 | 45 | 24 | 10 |  |
| Rhodes | 69 | 4 | 4 |  |  |

Orange Free
State

| Pretoria | $\begin{aligned} & 261 \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ 69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Witwatersrand | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | 7 25 | 7 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 1 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Potchefst room | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Durban) | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 3 10 | 10 | 0 20 |  |  |
| ```Natal (Pietermaritz- burg)``` | 40 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total | 709 | 215 | 123 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Additional number | 251 | 159 | 112 | 28 | 5 | 15 |

7. 2. 24 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of German

In all the Departments of German which supplied information in connection with additional students who could be admitted it would appear that only the Department of German in the University of Stellenbosch (only 30 first year students additional to 141 already registered) and the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. (no additional first year students) could not admit an additional number of studentsat least equal to half the number already registered in all student years. Departmental heads had not refused admission to any first year students.
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TABLE 7.26
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS CF FRENCH

| University lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th year 6th year 7th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stell enbosch | $\begin{array}{r} 115 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 27 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 2 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhodes (Grahamstown) | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 8 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Rhodes$\text { (Port Elizabeth) } 14$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Orange Free } \\ & \text { State } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ 91 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 15 8 |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Durban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pietermaritz- <br> burg) 38 7 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 424 | 70 | 38 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Additional number | 106 | 36 | 33 | 6 | 5 | 5 |

7.2.25 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of French

Although only the Departments of French of the Universities of Stellenbosch and Fretoria and Rhodes University indicated how many additional students they could admit, Rhodes University indicated that they could not admit additional students in consequence of a shortage of teaching staff. The University of Stellenbosch was only able to admit 15 additional first year students compared with 115 already registered but gave no reason for such a limited number. No departmental head had had occasion to refuse admission to first year students.
7.3 AN ANALYSIS CF THE NUMBER CF STUDENTS REGISTERED, THE PCSSIBLE ADDITIONAL NURERS AND THE LIMITING FACTORS IN THE PURE SCITNCE DEPARTMENTS IN 1962

In comparison with other Faculties the Departments in the Faculties of Pure Science are particularly large. Measured in terms of the number of first year students registered (in practically all depertments with the exception of that of the University of Cape Town) the following departments were the largest (See Table 7.27); Chemistry (2215), Physics (2944), Botany (1535), Zoology (1429), Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (2897).
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In spite of the size of these Departments there was still a fair amount of room for many more students in all student years. The main departments in the Pure Science group were still able to admit additional first year students, namely Chemistry (1193), Physics (2288), Botany (519), Zoology (590) and Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (1922). Only one department, namely the Mathematics and Applied Mathematics department of the University of the Witwatersrand, could not admit additional students on account of a shortage of teaching staff.

Only three departmental heads indicated that they had refused admission to first year students as a result of inadequate entrance requirements. It thus appears that first year students are not very strictly chosen, although certain departmental heads were inclined to demand a certain minimum performance, ( $60 \%$ in Mathematics in the Matriculation examination was required as a minimum by the departmental head of Mathematics in the University of the witwatersrand).

As Chemistry is a compulsory subject for practically all first year students in Pure Science courses, itmay be expected that many more than 1,000 additional first year students could be provided for (the Departments of Chemistry could admit 1193 more). It must, however, be remembered that a large percentage of these additional numbers (about half) would not pursue Pure Science courses from their second year onwards, that is to say if sufficient of them were absorbed by other courses (Engineering, Medicine, Agriculture and the like).

TABLE 7.28
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF CHEMTSTRY

7.3.1 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Chemistry.

From Table 7.28 it may be seen that the Departments of Chemistry are fairly large, more particularly in respect of the numbers in the first year. Although during 1962 the Departments had together considereble room for more than l,000 additional first year students, which is more than half of those already registered, it must be remembered that students taking courses in Engineering, Medicine, Agriculture and the like are required to take first year courses in Chemistry. The University of Stellenbosch and Rhodes University could not take very many more additional students in Chemistry after the first year, while the University of Natal (Pietermaritzburg) did not indicate additional numbers. No Departments placed any limitation on first year students nor had first year students been refused admission.

TABLE 7.29
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PHYSICS

| University lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 386 | 126 | 50 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 5 |
|  | 214 | 60 | 30 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Rhodes | 121 | 21 | 21 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 179 | 69 | 69 | 16 | 10 |  |  |
| Orange Free State | 90 | 40 | 18 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |
|  | 30 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Pretoria | 1127 | 224 | 113 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 564 | 112 | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | 655 | 176 | 77 | 18 | 7 | 5 |  |
|  | 1000 | 250 | 100 | 28 | 6 | 6 |  |
| Potchefstroom | 202 | 41 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 101 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| Natal <br> (Durban) | 228 | 119 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 100 | 70 | 30 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| ```Natal (Piet ermaritz- burg)``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - 135 | 20 | 12 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 3 |  |  |
| Totel <br> Additional number | 2944 | 767 | 326 | 47 | 39 | 14 | 6 |
|  | 2288 | 612 | 320 | 79 | 57 | 28 | 21 |

7.3.2 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Physics

According to the numbers in Table 7.29 it appears that all
Departments of Physics could admit reasonably large numbers of additional students in each study year. The University of the Orange Free State could admit only a limited number (a third or less of those already registered in the first three
study years) on account of a shortage of lecture room space, laboratories and teaching personnel. All the departments giving information indicated that they had not refused admission to any first year students.

TABLE 7.30
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PHYSIOLOGY

| University lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | $\begin{array}{r} 148 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 7 5 |
| Pretoria | $\begin{aligned} & 345 \\ & 173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 4 50 | 3 0 |  |  |
| Total | 214 | 457 | 34 | 11 | 1 | 7 |
| Additional number | 128 | 223 | 60 | 3 | 2 | 5 |

7.3.3 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Physiology.

According to the information given by three departments of Physiology it would appear that the University of Stellenbosch could admit limited numbers of additional students in the first four student years, while the Potchefstroom University for C. H. E. could not admit students in the fourth student year. No limiting factors were named and only the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. in its department of Physiology had been obliged to refuse admission to first year students as a result of physical or mental disabilities and inadequate entrance requirements.

TABLE 7.31

## NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF GBOLOGY

| University list year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th y@ar 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 3 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhodes | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free State | 32 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  |  |
| Pretoria | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 9 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 6 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 23 | 5 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Natal <br> (Durban) | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 0 4 | 0 | 0 4 |
| ```Natal (Pietermaritz- burg)``` | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 382 | 160 | 111 | 22 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| Additional number | 322 | 79 | 67 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 9 |

7.3.4. Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Geology.

If Table 7.31 be studied it would appear that with the exception of the second study year in the University of Natal (where only 4 students could be admitted additional, to the 26 already registered), the third student year at the University of Stellenbosch (only 6 students additional to the 24 already registered) and the fifth student year at the University of the Orange Free State (no additional students could be admitted), the Departments in all student years could have admitted about half as many again as were already registered. None of the Departments mentioned limiting factors for admission nor had any first year students been refused admission.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.32
$$

NUMIBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PHARMACY

| University | lst year 2 nd year 3 rd year 4 th year | 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rhodes | 48 | 39 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 75 | 76 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 85 | 44 | 10 | 6 | 2 |
| Total | 123 | 115 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| Additional | 87 | 45 | 10 | 6 | 2 |

7.3.5 $\frac{\text { Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be }}{\text { admitted to the Departments of Fharmacy }}$

As the Departments of Pharmacy at Rhodes University and the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. only offered courses from the second student year onwards, they had no first year students. Rhodes University could only admit a limited number in the second and third student years, namely 2 and 1 respectively and no additional students in the fourth year. The Department of Pharmacy at the Potchefstroom University for C.H. B. could absorb many more students.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.33
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF HYGIENE

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Potchefstroom | 90 | 36 | 15 |
|  | 30 | 14 | 10 |
| Total | 90 | 36 | 15 |
| Additional <br> number | 30 | 14 | 10 |

7.3.6 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted in the Department of Hygiene.

It was not necessary for the Department of Hygiene in the Potchefstroom University for C.H. E. to refuse any first year students as a result of limitations in the Department. This Department could have admitted another 30 first year students in 1962, that is a third of the number who were already registered. In the second and third years of study the Department could also have admitted appreciable numbers.

TABLE 7.34
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF BOTANY

7.3.7 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Botany

Most of the Departments (University of Natal, Potchefstroom University for C.H.E., the University of the Witwatersrand, the Orange Free State and Stellenbosch) indicated that especially in the first year a limited number of additional students could be admitted. The University of Stellenbosch indicated that as a result of a shortage of teaching staff no additional post-graduate students could be admitted in Botany. The University of the Witwatersrand gave as a limiting factor the shortage of laboratories and was also the only department which refused first year students in consequence of poor performance of the students during the Matriculation exemption examination.
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TABLE 7.35
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ZOOLOGY

7.3.8 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be, admitted to the Departments of Zoology

The Department of Zoology in the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. indicated that no additional students could be admitt $\&$ in the second, third or fourth years. The University of the Witwatersrand could only admit additional numbers ( 40 first year students arainst 280 already registered, 5 second year students compared with 31 already registered, 5 third year students compared with 21 already registered and no fourth year students in a group of 9 already registered) in consequence of a shortage of laboratories and teaching staff. The University of Natal did not indicate whether the Department of Zoology could admit additional students. No department had refused admission to first year students.

TABLE 7.36
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHMMATICS

| University ls | lst year 2nd y |  | rd y | h y | y | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 425 | 216 | 164 | 26 | 13 | 1 |
|  | 195 | 60 | 96 | 25 | 6 | 1 |
| Rhodes | 151 | 35 | 16 | 2 |  |  |
| (Grahemstown) | 348 | 55 | 54 | 38 |  |  |
| Rhodes <br> (Port Elizabeth) | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ) 99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free | 92 | 47 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| State | 40 | 40 | 30 | 8 | 3 |  |
| Pretoria | 1059 | 675 | 345 |  |  |  |
|  | 530 | 338 | 173 |  |  |  |
| Witwat ersrand | 466 | 238 | 120 | 7 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 137 | 69 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 600 | 400 | 400 | 100 | 50 | 25 |
| Natal <br> (Durban) | 408 | 179 | 80 | 6 |  |  |
|  | 110 | 130 | 80 | 35 |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pietermaritz- <br> burg) | 118 | 36 | 14 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2897 | 1495 | 796 | 47 | 18 | 1 |
| Additional number | 1922 | 1023 | 833 | 206 | 59 | 26 |

7.3.9 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Mathematics and Applied Nathematics

All Departments with the exception of that of the University of the Witwatersrand indicated that considerable numbers of students could be admitted in all student years (the University of Natal did not indicate what additional numbers could be admitted in Pietermaritzburg).

The University of the Witwatersrand could not admit additional students on account of a shortage of teaching staff while the Department would refuse admission to students with less than 60\% in Mathematics in the Matriculation Examination. The University of the Orange Free State also had a shortage of teaching staff.

TABLE 7.37
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF DOMESTIC SCIENCE

| University | lst year 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year | 5th year 6th year 7thyear |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St ell enbosch | 85 | 62 | 53 | 17 | 1 |
|  | 11 | 16 | 13 | 7 | 1 |
| Pretoria | 27 | 22 | 23 | 16 |  |
|  | 14 | 11 | 12 | 8 |  |
| Potchefstroom | 23 | 19 | 20 | 12 | 1 |
|  | 27 | 31 | 30 | 48 | 7 |
| Total | 135 | 103 | 96 | 45 | 2 |
| Additional <br> number | 52 | 58 | 55 | 63 | 8 |

7.3.10 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who oould be, admitted to. the Departments of Domestic Science.

Few additional stủdents could be admitted by the Department of Domestic Science of the University of Stellenbosch in 1962. The other two universities indicated that they had virtually unlimited accommodation for additional students in all student years. Only the Department of Domestic Science of the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. indicated that first year students had been refused admission to the Department on account of inadequate admission requirements.

TABLE 7.38
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ARCHITECTURE

7.3.11 Limitations. in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Architecture

Information was obtained from only two departments which both indicated that they had virtually unlimited accommodation for all student years. The Departments had imposed no

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ENGINEERTNG

| Departments | Numberof Uni-versitieswiththefollow-ingdepart-ments | Number of depart ments supply ing information | (a) Number of first year students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) A.dditional numbers which could be enrolled | Number of departments which could not admit addition al first year students | Reasons why the departments concerned were unable to admit additional first year students |  |  |  | Number of de. partmental heads refusing admission to first year students | Reasons why departmental heads refused first year students admission into their departments |  |  |  | (a) Number of students who were not in their first year in 1962 <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shortage } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { lecture } \\ & \text { room } \\ & \text { space } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shortage } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { lab- } \\ & \text { orator- } \\ & \text { ies } \end{aligned}$ | ```Shortage of teach- ing staff``` | Policy to limit numbers of students admitted |  | Because of physical or mental disabili ties | Because of inadequate admission qualifications | Because of poor performance in the Fa triculation exemption examination | No first year students refused | Second year | Third year | Fourth year | Fifth year |  |
| Civil <br> Engineering | 5 | 3 | (a) 30 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 3 | (a) 164 | 111 30 | 97 39 | 21 9 | 4 3 |
| Mechanical <br> Engineering | 5 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & (a) 459 \\ & (b) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | (a) 329 (b) 76 | 131 72 | 61 43 | 12 1 | 1 |
| Electrical <br> Engineering | 5 | 4 | (a) 42 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |  | - | 4 | ( $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \mathrm{b}\end{array}\right) 2710$ | 273 93 | 70 54 | 13 14 | 4 |
| Chemical <br> Engineering | 3 | 2 | (a) 64 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | (a) 38 | 33 4 | 29 4 |  |  |
| Metallurgy | 2 | 2 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { a } \\ \text { b }\end{array} 433\right.$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 2 | (a) 16 | 15 13 | 7 14 |  |  |
| Mining Engineering | 2 | 2 | (a) 8 | 0 | - | - | - | - | $1^{*}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \\ (\mathrm{b}) & 1 \\ \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 8 32 |  |  |
| Industrial Engineering | 1 | 1 | $\binom{$ a }{ b } 10 | 0 | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | - |  | 1 | $(\mathrm{a}) 12$ | 18 9 |  |  |  |
| Surveying | 4 | 3 | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (a) } \\ \text { b }\end{array}\right.$ | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | 3 | (a)122 <br> (b) 156 | 78 87 | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\text {E }}$ Admission to first year refused.
limitations on the admission of first year students and had never had occasion to refuse admission to first year students.

## 7.4 <br> AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER CF STUDENTS REGISTERED, THE POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL NUMBERS AND THE LIMITING FACTORS IN THF DEPARTMENTS OF ENGINEERING

First year students in Engineering as a rule take introductory subjects such as Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, so that an accurate picture could not be obtained of the additional number of first year students who could be admitted in the various departments. Thus the University of Stellenbosch and the University of the Witwatersrand classified their first year students under the Department of Mechanical Engineering, the University of Pretoria classified theirs under the various departments and the University of Natel indicated no first year students (they are thus shown under the various Science Departments) that is to say in the three main divisions in Engineering.

The University of the Witwatersrand with the biggest number of first year students in Engineering (348 in the three main groups as against 183 in the University of Pretoria and Stellenbosch put together) could absorb no additional first year students in consequence of a shortage of accommodation and teaching staff. These factors as well as a shortage of laboratories also apply in the Department of Chemical Engineering of the same niversity. The other universities could have absorbed reasonable numbers of first year students and are therefore largely responsible for the 116 additional first year students who could be admitted in the first four departments as indicated in Table 7.39. From this it may be deduced that in consequence of the limiting factors at the University of the Witwatersrand as indicated in paragraph 7.4.2, there was not very much accommodation for additional students in Engineering, especially for English-speaking students.

The Heads of Departments (representing the three main branches), Mining and Chemical Engineering of the University of the Witwatersrand had had occasion to refuse first year students in consequence of inadequate admission requirements and poor performances in the matriculation exemption examination so that it may be maintained that selection also limited the admission of students to this University.

There appeared to be accommodation for more than 100 additional first year students in Engineering of which the greater number should be Afrikaans-speaking in consequence of the little interest shown by the Afrikaans-speaking group in this direction.

TABLE 7.40
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

7.4.1 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Civil Engineering

Only three Departments of Civil Engineering supplied information. The University of Stellenbosch could only admit limited numbers of students in the second and third year, namely 15 additional students in the second year as compared with 55 registered and 10 additional students in the third year as compared with 50 registered. The University of Natal also indiccited that with only 19 additional students in the second year compared with 61 registered, 6 additional students in the third year compared with 34 registered and 5 additional students in the fourth year compared with 35 registered, the Department of Civil Engineering could not admit very many more students in 1962. No limiting factors on the admission of first year students were mentioned, while no departments had refused admission to first year students.

The University of the Witwatersrand gave no information but includedthis in respect of first year students under the heading of Mechnical Engineering.

TABLE 7.41
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF MECHANICAL ENG IN.EERI NG

| University | lst y ear | 2nd y ear | 3rd y ear | 4th | y ear | 5th y ear 6 th y ear 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 59 | 51 | 50 | 13 | 12 | 1 |
|  | 40 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 4 |
| Pretoria | 52 | 25 | 20 | 14 |  |  |
|  | 26 | 13 | 10 | 7 |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | 348 | 192 | 17 | 22 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 8 | 23 | 8 |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Durban) |  | 61 | 44 | 12 |  |  |
| Total |  | 40 | 30 | 18 |  |  |
| Additional <br> number | 459 | 329 | 131 | 61 | 12 | 1 |

7.4.2 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be, admitted to the Departments of Mechanical Engineering

The University of the Witwatersrand with 348 first year students in Engineering was unable to admit additional first year students in consequence of a shortage of lecture room space and teaching staff. The Department of Mechanical Engineering could admit only 8 additional students in the second year compared with 192 already registered. The same department at the University of Stellenbosch could admit only 50 additional students compared with 51 already registered in the second year, 9 additional students in the third year compared with 50 already registered and in the fifth year only 1 additional student compared with 12 registered. The other departments giving information could admit additional students in all student years.

The University of the Witwatersrand was the only one to refuse admission to first year students in consequence of inadequate admission requirements or poor performance in the matriculation exemption examination.

TABLE 7.42
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

| University <br> Stellenbosch | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 4 |
| Pretoria | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | (see <br> Mechani- <br> cal Engi- <br> neering) | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \\ 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 12 \end{array}$ |  |
| Natal <br> (Durban) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Total | 42 | 278 | 273 | 70 | 13 | 4 |
| Additional number | 21 | 110 | 93 | 54 | 14 |  |

7.4.3 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Electrical Engineering

The University of Stellenbosch had limited accommodation for additional students in the Department of Electrical Engineering in the second, third and fifth study years namely 15, 9 and 2 additional students respectively. With room for only 20 additional third year students compared with 140 already registered in the third year the University of the Witwatersrand could not admit many more students. Not a single department of Electrical Engineering indicated that first year students had been refused admission. As indicated above, the department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of the Witwatersrand appears to have full control over the first year students in Engineering at that University.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.43
$$

NMMBER OF.. STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF MINING ENGINEERING

| University | Ist year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th year 6th year 7th year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Witwatersrand |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 32 \end{array}$ |
| Pretoria | 8 | 1 |  |  |
| Total | 8 | 1 | 10 | 8 |
| Additional number | 4 | 1 | 30 | 32 |

### 7.4.4 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Department of Mining Engineering

Both Departments indicated that they could have had admitted unlimited numbers of additional students in 1962. The University of the Witwatersrand had refused admission to students to the Department of Mining Engineering in consequence of physical and mental abnormalities, inadequate admission requirements and poor performance of students during the matriculation exemption examination.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.44
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF METALLURGY

| University | lst year 2 nd year 3 rd y ear 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7th year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 10 | 10 | 2 | 3 |  |
|  | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Witwatersrand | 33 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 12 |
|  | 27 | 19 | 12 | 15 | 7 |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 43 | 16 | 13 | 14 |  |

7.4.5 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Metaliurgy

Reasonably few students were registered in these Departments in 1962. Both Departments could admit many additional students in all four student years with no limitations on the admission of first year students. Departmental heads hed not refused admission to any first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 7.45
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

| University | lst year 2 nd year | 3rd year 4 th y ear 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 27 | 16 | 8 | 7 |
|  | 14 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Witwatersrand | 37 | 22 | 25 | 22 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 64 | 38 | 33 | 29 |
| Additional | 14 | 8 | 4 | 4 |


| Departments | Number of Uni-versities with the following departments | Number of <br> departments supplying infor mation | (a) Num-ber offirstyearstudentsindepart-mentsinl962(b) Addi-tionalnumberswhichsouldbe en-nolled | Number of de-partments which could not admit additional first year students | Reasons why the departments concerned were unable to admit additional first year students |  |  |  | Number of de-partmental heads refusing admission to first year students | Reasons why departmental heads refused first year students admission into their departments |  |  |  | (a) Number of students who were not in their first year in 1962. <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Shortage of lecture room space | ```Shortage of lab- orator- ies``` | ```Shortage of teach- ing staff``` | Policy to limit numbers of students admitted |  | Because of physical or mental disabilities | Because of inadequate admission qualifications | Because of poor perfor manoe in the Ma-triculation exemption examinatien | ```No first year students refused``` | Second year | Third year | Fourth year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fifth } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | Sixth \& Seventh year |
| Acruonomy | 4 | 4 | $\binom{$ a }{ b }$=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) ${ }_{\text {(b) }} 147$ | 103 72 | 43 59 | 21 | 9 15 |
| Genetics | 4 | 3 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\left(\begin{array}{lr}\text { a } & 162 \\ \text { b } & 161\end{array}\right.$ | 91 41 | 22 8 | 5 | 7 1 |
| Soil Science | 4 | 4 | $\binom{$ a }{ b }$=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) 120 | 81 90 | 18 14 | 9 13 | 10 |
| Entomology | 4 | 3 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{r}99 \\ \text { (b) } \\ 155\end{array}$ | 53 34 | 25 $\times \quad 25$ |  |  |
| Agricul tural Biochemistry | 4 | 4 | $\binom{$ a }{ b }$=$ | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{aligned} & 184 \\ & \text { b) } \\ & 132\end{aligned}$ | 137 98 | 23 35 | 1 5 | 12 0 |
| Agricultural Economios | 14 | 4 | $\binom{$ a) }{b}$=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { b }\end{aligned}$ | 40 73 | 69 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Agricultural Engineering | 4 | 4 | $\binom{$ a }{ b } - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\left(\begin{array}{rr}\text { a } \\ \text { b }\end{array} \quad 88\right.$ | 52 19 | 84 93 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Agr: oultural Education | 1 | 1 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\binom{$ a }{ b } |  |  | 27 14 | 43 22 |
| Microbiology | 4 | 4 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \\ \text { b) } & 180 \\ 106\end{array}\right.$ | 57 54 | 17 | 2 | 3 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plant } \\ & \text { Pathology } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 3 | $\binom{$ a }{b} - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{r}\text { (b) } \\ \text { b } \\ 164\end{array}$ | 22 100 | 15 33 | 4 27 | 3 16 |
| Sheep and Wool <br> Technology | 2 | 1 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (b) } & 37 \\ \text { b } & 19\end{array}$ | 27 14 | 9 5 |  |  |
| Dairying | 4 | 4 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } \\ \text { b) } & 50 \\ & 55\end{array}\right.$ | 10 28 | 6 30 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Horticulture | 2 | 2 | $\binom{$ a }{ b } - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , - | - | - | $\binom{$ (a) }{ b }$\quad 24$ | 14 6 | 20 |  |  |


| Animal husbandry and Poultry Husband $\mathbf{x}_{j}$ | 4 | 4 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) 150 | 108 60 | 101 88 | 5 5 | 5 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food <br> Teohnology | 1 | 1 | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { b }\end{array}\right.$ - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{rr}\text { (b) } & 0 \\ \text { ( } & \end{array}$ | 4 10 | 2 | 2 4 |  |
| Pomology | 1 | 1 | $\binom{$ a }{ b }$=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (b) } & 10 \\ \text { ( }\end{array}$ | 11 | 8 10 | 2 3 |  |
| Viticulture | 1 | 1 | $(\mathrm{a})$ - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\binom{$ (a) }{ b } $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 25\end{aligned}$ | 10 15 | 3 12 | 0 10 | 4 48 |
| Pasture Science | 2 | 2 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { b }\end{aligned}$ | 66 19 | 66 30 |  |  |
| Biometry | 3 | 2 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (b) } & 25 \\ \end{array}$ | 69 | 5 1 |  |  |
| Agricultural Meteorology | 1 | 1 | (a) - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\left(\begin{array}{lr}\text { a } \\ \text { b) } & 0 \\ & 10\end{array}\right.$ | 10 | 0 | 3 5 | $1{ }_{1}^{10}$ |
| Forestry | 1 | 1 | $\binom{$ a }{ b }$-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 12 \\ \text { b) } & 18\end{array}$ | 6 9 | 1 14 |  | 9 7 |
| Wood <br> Technology | 1 | 1 | $\binom{$ a }{b}$-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a)(a)  <br> k 13 | 3 12 | 1 14 | 2 8 | 1 5 |
| Ve Jerinasy Science | 1 | 1 | (a) - | $1^{\text {T }}$ | $1^{\text {F }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (a) $\begin{array}{lr}\text { (b) } & 41 \\ 0\end{array}$ | 30 0 | 35 0 | 26 0 |  |

Additional students other than first year students could not be admitted.
7.4.6 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Chemical Engineering

The Department of Chemical Engineering of the University of the Witwatersrand was the only Department which could not admit additional students in consequence of a shortage of lecture room accommodation, laboratories and teaching staff. The Department had had occasion to refuse first year students and gave as its reasons the poor performance of students during the matriculation exemption examination.

TABLE 7.46
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year 4th year 5 5th year 6th year 7th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 10 | 12 | 18 |
|  | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 10 | 12 | 18 |

7.4.7 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Department of Industrial Engineering

The University of Pretoria indicated that in this Department there were no limitations on the admission of first year students and that an unlimited number of students could be admitted in all student years.

TABLE 7.47
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF SURVEYING

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year | 4th year | 5th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| Witwatersrand |  | 56 | 36 | 20 | 8 |  |
|  |  | 104 | 34 | 20 | 17 |  |
| Natal | 4 | 64 | 39 | 46 | 11 | 1 |
| (Durban) | 16 | 51 | 51 | 44 | 7 | 0 |
| Total | 9 | 122 | 78 | 71 | 19 | 1 |
| Additional |  | 19 | 156 | 87 | 67 | 24 |
| number |  |  |  |  |  |  |

7.4.8 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Surveying

In the Departments which provided information there was undoubtedly sufficient accommodation for many additional students. No limitation were imposed upon the admission of first year students and no first year students had been refused admission. ADDITIONAL NUMBERS AND THE LIMITING FACTORS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF THE AGRICULTURAL, FORESTRY AND VETERINARY SCIENCE GROUP IN 1962

Students at all four universities with Agricultural Faculties are admitted from the second year only so that the Departments have control over the students from that year only. The same applies in the Departments of Forestry of the University of Stellenbosch and the Department of Veterinary Science at the University of Protoria. There was therefore no Department in these faculties which had refused admission to first year students, nor was there any department which gave reasons why additional first year students had been refused admission.

The Department of Biometrics of the University of the Orange Free State did indicate that a shortage of sufficient accommodation, laboratories and teaching staff would make the admission of additional students in the second and third student years impossible and that the Department would consider refusing students admission on the grounds of inadequate admission qualifications and poor performance during the matriculation exemption examination.

The Faculty of Veterinary Science at the University of Pretoria could not admit additional students on account of limitations imposed by the Research Station at Onderstepoort.

If Table 7.48 is studied, it appears that for all other Departments of Agriculture and Forestry appreciable numbers of students could be admitted in all student years. These additional numbers vary from about $50 \%$ to almost $100 \%$ of the students already registered in the various departments.

The conclusion may thus be reached that, according to the information given by the Departments of Agriculture and Forestry, about the same numbers could be admitted by most departments as were already registered. This implies that to obtain these additional numbers more than the numbers mentioned would have to be admitted in the first student year

Where information is given in regard to the additional number of students in the second year, we find that in the bigger Departments (with 100 or more students in the second year), such as Agronomy (147), Genetics (162), Soil Science (120), Entomology (99), Agricultural Biochemistry (184), Microbiology (180) and Animal Husbandry (150) additional students could be admitted in numbers ranging from about 50 (Animal Husbandry 49) to 150 (Entomology 155). In short we may say that there was room for some 100 additional students in the second year while in the Departments of Agriculture about 100 plus the additional first year students could be admitted in accordance with the amount of lecture room space available.

In the Departments of Forestry at least 17 additional second year students could be admitted in the second year, that is to say about 20 first year students.
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TABLE 7.49
NUMIBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF AGRONOMY

7.5.1 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Agronomy.

The Departments of Agronomy of the four Universities offer courses from the second year onwards only. All four Departments had indicated that they could admit additional students in practically all student years. The Departments had imposed no limitations in respect of the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.50
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF GENETICS

| University list year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 5 0 | 4 1 | 3 |
| Orange Free State |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | 9 5 |  |  |  |
| Natal    <br> (Pietermaritz- <br> burg) 42 10 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totel | 162 | 91 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Additional number | 81 | 41 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

```
7.5.2 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Genetics
Although only the Universities of Pretoria and Stellenbosch indicated how many additional students could be admitted in their Departments of Genetics, it could nevertheless be deduced that in the undergraduate student years there was adequate room for an extension of some 50\% in the numbers of registered students.
```

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.51
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF BIOMETRY

| University | lst year 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange Free <br> State | 25 | 12 | 2 |
| Stellenbosch |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Piet ermaritz- <br> burg) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 25 | 69 | 5 |

7.5.3 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Biometry

Information was received from the Departments of Biometry from the Universities of the Orange Free State and Natal only. The first named was unable to admit additional students in the second and third years and was forced to limit the number of students on account of a shortage of teaching staff.

TABLE 7.52
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF SOIL SCIENCE

| University lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stell enbosch | 37 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 13 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Orange Free | 7 | 7 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| State | 43 | 43 |  | 10 | 5 |  |
| Pretoria | 47 | 27 | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 14 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pietermaritzburg) | 29 | 25 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 31 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Total | 120 | 81 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Additional number | 111 | 90 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 4 |

7.5.4 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Soil Science.

The Departments indicated that there was adequate provision in each student year for fair numbers of students to be admitted. With the exception of anadqitional number yited to one-third of the student numbers in the Department of Soil Science of the University of Stellenbosch in the second year there appeared to be unlimited accommodation for additional students in the undergraduate years. No Departments imposed any restructions on the admission of first years students.

TABLE 7.53
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ENTOMOLOGY

| University | lst year 2 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th y ear |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 8 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 92 | 10 | 10 |
| Crange Free |  |  |  |
| State |  |  |  |
| Pretoria | 86 | 28 | 13 |
|  | 43 | 14 | 7 |
| Natal | 5 | 15 | 2 |
| (Pietermaritz- | 20 | 10 | 8 |
| burg) |  |  |  |
| Total | 99 | 53 | 25 |
| Additional | 155 | 34 | 25 |
| number |  |  |  |

7.5.5 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Entomology

The three Departments which gave information, indicated that considerably larger numbers could be admitted in each student year. Especially in the second year there was considerable accommodation for additional students over and above those who were registered, namely 155 as against 99. No Departments had imposed any limit on the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.54
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF AGRICULTURAL BIOCHFMISTRY

7.5.6 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be, admitted to the Departments of Agricultural Biochemistry

Although the Departments of Agricultural Biochemistry accommodate considerable numbers of students, there is still plenty of room for about half as many again of undergraduate students in all student years. These departments had imposed no limitations on the admission of first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 7.55
$$

NUNBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF AGRTCULTURAL ECONOMICS

| University <br> St ellenbosch | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th yeer |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 26 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 0 |  |
|  | 25 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 5 |  |
| Orange Free | 3 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| State | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| Pretoria | 44 | 21 | 9 |  |  |  |
|  | 22 | 11 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pietermaritzburg) | 5 |  | 50 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 78 | 40 | 69 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Additional <br> number | 97 | 73 | 68 | 53 | 5 | 0 |

7.5.7 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Agricultural Economics

Only one Department (Natal) failed to indicate how many additional students could be admitted. In the Departments of Agricultural Economics in the other Universities there was adequate provision for additional students and no limitations were placed on the admission of first year students. In the main there was still room for considerably more students than were already registered in 1962 in each student year.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 7.56
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERTNG.

| University | lst year 2 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year | 5th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  | 67 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 80 | 25 |  |
| Orange Free | 1 | 9 |  |  |
| State | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Pretoria | 7 | 13 | 7 | 7 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Natal |  |  |  |  |
| (Pietermaritz- | 1 | 38 | 1 | 0 |
| burg) | 9 | 12 | 9 | 10 |
| Total | 8 | 52 | 84 | 12 |
| Additional | 13 | 19 | 93 | 39 |
| number |  |  |  |  |

7.5.8 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Hgricultural Engineering

With the exception of the Department of Agricultural Engineering in the University of the Orange Free State which could not admit additional students, the other Universities had adequate room for considerable numbers in this Department. Once again no limitations were placed by any of these Departments on the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.57
NUMBER CF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF MICROBIOLOGY

7.5.9 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Microbiology

With the exception of the University of Natal which did not indicate how many additional students could be admitted to. the Department of Microbiology, the other Departments had adequate facilities for admitting students in all undergraduate student years. Only the University of Stellenbosch gave information regarding post-graduate students. The Departments had not imposed any limitation on the admission of additional first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.58
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF POMOLOGY

| University | lst year 2 2nd year | 3rd year | 4th year | 5th year 6 th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Stellenbosch | 10 | 11 | 8 | 2 |
|  | 20 | 19 | 10 | 3 |
| Total | 10 | 11 | 8 | 2 |
| Additional <br> number | 20 | 19 | 10 | 3 |

7.5.10 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Department of Fomology

According to information submitted by this Department of the University of Stellenbosch more students than were already registered could have been admitted in each student yeir. The Department had placed no limit on the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.59
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PLANT PATHOLOGY

7.5.11 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Plant Pathology

Departments of Plant Pathology could admit considerably more students in each student year than were already accommodated. This is particularly significant if one considers that compared with students already registered, an additionel 164 could be admitted in the second student year. No Department had imposed any limits on the admission of first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 7.60
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF VITICUTTURE

| University | lst year 2 nd year | 3rd year 4 th year | 5th year | 6th year 7 th year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 15 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 25 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 40 |
| Total | 15 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Additional <br> number | 25 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 40 |

7.5.12 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Department of Viticulture.

This Department, in the University of Stellenbosch only, could admit more students than were already enrolled in the Department. The Department placed no limitations on the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.61
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF HORTICULTURE

7.5.13 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Horticulture.

Of the two Departments, one (Natal) did not indicate whether the Department could admit more students. The other Department placed no limits on the admission of additional students.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.62
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICUITURAL EDUCATION

| University | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year | 5th year | 6th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 27 | 16 | 27 |
|  | 14 | 8 | 14 |
| Total | 27 | 16 | 27 |
| Additional <br> number | 14 | 8 | 14 |

7.5.14 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Department of Agricultural Education

The University of Pretoria was the only university with such a department which catered for post-graduate students only. The Department placed no limit on additional studentso

## TABLE 7.63

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PASTURE MANAGEMENT

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University | 1st year 2 2nd year | 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |
| Pretoria | 29 | 3 | 54 |
|  | 15 | 2 | 27 |
| Natal |  |  |  |
| (Pietermaritz- | 30 | 63 | 12 |
| burg) | 28 | 17 | 3 |
| Total | 59 | 66 | 66 |
| Additional <br> number | 43 | 19 | 30 |

7.5.15 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Pasture Management

The two Departments of Pasture Management both indicated that for undergraduate students particularly in the second year there was adequate accommodation for additional students with no limit to the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.64
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL METEOROLOGY

| University | lst year 2nd year | 3rd year | 4 th year | 5th year | 6th year | 7 th y year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange Free | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| State |  |  |  |  |  |  |

7.5.16 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Department of Agricultural Meteorology

With only a few students of the University of the Orange Free State registered in each year of study in this Department there was adequate room for many additional students, namely 10 in each undergraduate year and 5 in each postgraduate year. No limitations were imposed by the Department on the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.65
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF DAIRYING

| University | y | d y | th | y | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 23 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 20 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Orange Free | 7 | 7 | 0 | 3 |  |
| State | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
| Pretoria | 10 |  | 3 |  |  |
|  | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |
| ```Natal (Pietermaritz- burg)``` | 10 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 4 | 6 |  |  |
| Total | 50 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| Additional number | 55 | 28 | 30 | 22 | 2 |

7.5.17 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Dairying

All the Departments of Dairying indicated that no limitations were imposed on the admission of new students and that in all departments practically as many more could be admitted as were already registered in the various student years. None of the Departments imposed any restrictions on the admission of first year students.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.66
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND POULTRY HUSBANDRY

| University $\quad 1$ st year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St ell enbosch | $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 5 |
| Orange Free |  | 11 | 6 |  |  |
| State |  | 19 | 24 |  |  |
| Pretoria | 50 | 30 | 31 |  |  |
|  | 25 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| ```Natal (Piet ermaritz- burg)``` | 51 | 22 | 17 |  |  |
| Total | 150 | 108 | 101 | 5 | 5 |
| Additional number | 49 | 60 | 88 | 5 | 5 |

7.5.18 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Animal Husbandry and Poultry. Hus bandry

As some of the universities include Animal Husbandry and Poultry Husbandry in the same department they are grouped together as one department in all universities. Only one University (Natal) failed to indicate whether the Department could admit additional students. The other three departments had practically unlimited accommodation for additional students in each student year.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.67
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF SHEEP AND WOOL TECHNOLOGY

| University | lst year 2 nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 37 | 27 | 9 |
|  | 19 | 14 | 5 |
| Orange Free |  |  |  |
| State |  |  |  |

7.5.19 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Sheep Husbandry and Wool. Technology

Only one Department supplied information and indicated that there were no limitations on the admission of additional students.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.68
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF FOOD TECHNOLOGY

| University | lst year | 2nd y ear | 3rd y ear 4 th year | 5th year 6 6th year 7th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 8 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Additional <br> number | 10 | 10 | 8 | 4 |

[^4]
## TABLE 7.69

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY SCIENCE

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year | 4th year | 5th year | 6th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| th |  |  |  |  |  |  | year

TABLE 7.70
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF WOOD TECHNOLOGY

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year | 4th year | 5th year | 6th year 7th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 13 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 17 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 5 |  |
| Total | 13 | 3 | 1 | $?$ | 1 |  |
| Additional <br> number | 17 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 5 |  |

7.5.21 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Depertment of Wood Technology and Forestry

Both these departments, which are to be found in the University of Stellenbosch only, could have admitted considerably more students in 1962 in all the study years in which courses are offered. The Departments had no first year students and thus did not refuse to admit any nor had. any limitations been placed upon the admission of first year students.

TABLE 7.71
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERINARY SCIENCE

| University | lst year 2 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year | 5th year | 6th year 7th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 41 | 30 | 35 | 26 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

7.5.22 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Department of Veterinary Science

The University of Pretoria is the only university with a Veterinary Science Faculty. The admission of additional students is however subject to limitations imposed by the Veterinary Science Research Institute at Onderstepoort so that the University of Pretoria could not admit any additional students
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### 7.6 AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS REGISTERED, THE POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL NUMBERS AND THE LIMITING FACTORS IN THP MEDICAL DEPARTMENT IN 1962

As was the case in the Departments of Agriculture, the Departments in the Medical Sciences had the greatest say in respect of additional numbers of students who could be admitted from the beginning of the second student year only.

The Department of Dentistry at the University of the Witwatersrand could not admit additional first year students in consequence of a shortage of accommodation, laboratories, teaching staff and because the policy of the University was to limit the number of first year students.

As study of Table 7.72 indicates that most of the Departments of Medicine (practically all the Departments) could not admit additional students in any student year. The University of Stellenbosch was the only one of these Universities which gave information indicating that some 70 additional first year students could be admitted. The main limiting factors at all the Universities which provided information, were hospital facilities, (a limiting factor at the University of Pretoria and to a certain extent in the University of Stellenbosch) and also laboratories and teaching staff particularly in the Departments of Pathology and Pharmocology at the University of the Witwatersrand.

The additional numbers of students possible in the first year of the Medical courses were thus limited to less than 100 and this was at the University of St ellenbosch only.

TABLE 7.73
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ANATOMY

| University | lst y@r 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Pretoria |  | $\begin{array}{r} 128 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ 32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 86 | 30 0 |
| Total | 19 | 360 | 177 | 68 | 86 | 30 |
| Additional number | 0 | 59 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

7.6.1 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Anatomy.

The University of the Witwatersrand could not admit additional students in the first, fourth, fifth or seventh studert years. The University of Stellenbosch could admit only 3 additional students in the third year. Medical students at this university and the University of Pretoria were limited in numbers as a result of the limitations of hospital services.

TABLE 7.74
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF MEDICINE, OBSTETRICS AND GYNAECOIOGY PAFDIATRICS AND SURGERY

| University | 1 st year | 2nd year | 3rd year | 4 th year | 5 th year | 6th year | 7th year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Pretoria | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 132 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2 \end{array}$ |
| Total | 15 | 44 | 161 | 236 | 201 | 178 | 10 |
| Additional number | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 2 |

7.6.2 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Medicine, Obstetrics, and Gynaecology, Paediatrics and Surgery.

Only the University of Stellenbosch could admit a reasonable number of additional students in the fourth, fifth and sixth student years but, as in the case of the University of Pretoria, numbers were limited on account of limited hospital facilities.

TABLE 7.75
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEFARTHDNS OF PATHOLOGY, PATHOLOGICAL ANATOMY AND MICROBIOLOGY

| University | lst year 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th y ear | 5th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stell enbosch |  | 37 | 24 | 22 |
|  |  | 3 | 16 | 18 |
| Pretoria | 36 | 142 | 76 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Witwatersrand |  | 140 | 80 |  |
|  |  | 40 | 0 |  |
| Total | 36 | 319 | 180 | 22 |
| Additional |  |  |  |  |
| number |  |  |  |  |

7.6.3 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted into the Departments of Pathology, Pathological. Anatomy and Microbiology

In consequence of a shortage of laboratories and teaching staff, the University of the Witwatersrand could only admit 40 additional students in the third student year and no additional students in the fourth student year. Hospital services were also a limiting factor at the Universities of Pretoria and Stellenbosch.

TABLE 7.76
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PHARMACOLOGY

| University | lst year 2nd year 3 rd year 4th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 37 |
|  | 3 |
| Pretoria | 149 |
|  | 0 |
| Witwatersrand | 120 |
|  | 0 |
| Total | 306 |
| Additional <br> number |  |

7.6.4 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Pharmacology

In these Departments, only the University of Stellenbosch was in a position to admit 3 additional students. The University of the Witwatersrand could not admit any additional students in consequence of a shortage of lecture room accommodation, laboratories and teaching staff while the number of students in the above department of the University of Pretoria was limited on account of the limiting hospital services.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.77
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ANAESTHETICS

| University | lst year 2nd year | 3rd year 4 th year | 5th year 6 6th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  | 24 | 22 |
|  | 14 | 16 | 110 |
| Pretoria | 0 | 0 |  |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |  |
| Total | 14 | 24 | 132 |
| Additional <br> number | 0 | 16 | 18 |

7.6.5 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted in the Department of Anaesthetics

In these Departments the hospital services available were also a limiting factor although the University of Stellenbosch could admit a reasonable number of additional students.

## TABLE 7.78

NUMBER CF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF RADIOLOGY

| University | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  | 25 |  |
| Pretoria | 50 | 15 |  |
|  | 0 | 66 |  |
| Witwatersrand |  | 0 | 5 |
|  |  |  | 3 |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 0 | 15 | 3 |

7.6.6 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Radiology

In this department hospital facilities were again the limiting factor while the University of the Witwatersrand exercised a policy of limiting the number of students admitted. The University of Stellenbosch had room for an additional 15 students in the sixth year.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 7.79
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF PSYCHIATRY

| University $\quad 1$ st year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Pretoria |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |
| Witwatersrand |  | $\begin{array}{r} 114 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 11 |  | 8 |
| Total |  | 114 | 35 | 115 | 8 |
| Additional number | * | 0 | 16 | 18 | 0 |

7.6.7 Limitetions in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Department of Psychiatry

The University of the Witwatersrand was unable to admit additional students in the third student year but gave no reasons. As was the case in the other Departments of Medicine, limiting factors in respect of hospital services also applied in this case.
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TABLE 7.80
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF OPTHALNOLCGY AND OTO-RHINOLARYNGOIOGY

| University | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5 th year 6th year 7th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 24 | 22 |
|  | 16 | 18 |
| Pretoria | 93 |  |
|  |  | 0 |
| Witwatersrand |  |  |
| Total | 24 | 115 |
| Additional | 16 | 18 |
| number |  |  |

7.6.8 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology

These departments were also subject to the limiting factor of inadequate hospital facilities to which the Departments of Medicine were subject. The University of Stellenbosch could admit reasonable numbers of additional students namely 16 in the fourth student year and 18 in the fifth student year.

TABLE 7.81
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF FORENSIC, PREVENTIVE, AND PROMOTIVE MEDICINE

| University | lst year 2nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch |  | 22 |  |
|  |  | 18 |  |
| Pretoria | 14 | 76 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Witwatersrand |  | 76 | 22 |
| Total | 14 | 0 | 18 |
| Additional | 0 |  |  |

7.6.9 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Forensic, Preventive, and Promotive Medicine

Only the University of Stellenbosch indicated that additional students could be absorbed in the fifth year, namely 18. This number as also the number of medical students who could be admitted in the University of Pretoria was limited by limited hospital facilities.

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF MEDICINE

‥Additional students other than first year students could not be admitted
${ }^{\boldsymbol{E}}$ Students who enrol for the degree of $M \cdot B \cdot, B \cdot C h$. take courses in most of these subjects from the third year onwards only. The numbers shown against the first year in certain subjects are thus not M.B.,B.Ch. students but students taking Physiotherapy, Radiotherapy and diagnosis, Nursing and other diplomas taking courses in these Departments.

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN ALL DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE


TABLE 7.82
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF DENTISTRY

| University | lst year | 2nd year | 3rd year | 4th year | 5th year | 6th year 7 th year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretoria | 39 | 25 | 18 | 4 | 15 |  |
| Witwatersrand | 46 | 37 | 32 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
|  | 0 | 3 | 7 | 22 | 1 | 0 |
| Total <br> Additional <br> number | 85 | 62 | 50 | 22 | 30 | 17 |

### 7.6.10 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Dentistry

In consequence of $\approx$ shortage of laboratories, teaching personnel and the policy of admitting only a limited number of students, the faculty of Dentistry in the University of the Witwatersrand could admit no additional first year students. This Department was compelled to refuse first year students in consequence of inadequate admission requirements and poor performance in the matriculation examption exemination.
7.7 AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS REGISTERED, THE POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL NUMBERS AND THE LMMITING FACTORS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE DURING 1962

There $\dot{\text { i }}$ considerable overlapping in the various Departments of Commerce. Certain sections in one University may fall under a particular department while at another university they would fall under another department. Because the subject Statistics is taken by most students in Commerce at certain Universities, this subject is classified as a Commerce subject.

The Departments which could admit most additional students are as followsः Business Economics ( 447 additional first year students), Economics ( 505 additional first year students of whom many were following an Arts or Social Science degree), Commerce ( 248 additional first year students), Auditing and Accounting (434 additional first year students) and Statistics ( 666 additional first year students).

Only two depertments were unable to admit additional first year students, namely Commerce (Rhodes University) as a result of a shortage of practical facilities and the policy of admitting only a limited number, and Statistics (University of the Orange Free State) in consequence of a shortage of lecture room accommodation, laboratories, teaching staff and a policy of admitting only a limited number. The latter Department wes in addition the only Department which had refused admission to first year students in consequence of inadequate admission requirements and poor performance in the matriculation exemption examination.

It may therefore be concluded that thereis considerable scope for additional first year students (say about 500) to be admitted to the Departments of Commerce.

TABLE 7.84
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF BUSINESS ECONOMICS

| University | lst year 2 nd year 3 rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6 th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St ell enbosch | 192 | 148 | 68 | 24 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 150 | 75 | 50 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Orange Free | 85 | - | (-) | - |  | - | - |
| State | 80 | (80) | (80) | (12) | (4) | (2) | (2) |
| Pretoria | 294 | 270 | 91 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 147 | 135 | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| Potchefstroom | 63 | 69 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70 | 45 | 66 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 634 | 487 | 207 | 24 | 3 | 1 | J. |
| Additional number | 447 | 255 | 162 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 4 |

7.7.1 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be. admitted to the Departments of Business Economics.

The Universities with Departments of Business Economics all indicated that in each student year more than half again of the number already registered could be admitted. No limitations were imposed by any of the Departments on the admission of first year students, and no departmental heads had refused admission to first year students.

TABLE 7.85
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF RCONOMICS

| University $\quad 1$ st year 2nd year 3rd year 4 th year 5 th year 6th year 7 th year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 185 | 154 | 47 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
|  | 65 | 20 | 30 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Rhodes <br> (Grahamstown) | 160 | 58 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 30 | 30 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Rhodes <br> (Port E?izabeth) | 42 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 40 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free | 60 | 45 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 20 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| Pretoria | 401 | 253 | 99 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 201 | 127 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Witwatersrand | 234 | 120 | 15 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | 12 | 35 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Poschefsiroom | 98 | 61 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 102 | 40 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Natal <br> (Duriban) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pietermaritz- <br> burg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 15 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 15 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1216 | 716 | 241 | 29 | 14 | 4 | 4 |
| Additional number | 505 | 304 | 280 | 72 | 36 | 35 | 31 |

7.7.2 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Depertments of Economics

The Department of Economics in the University of the Witwatersrand could admit only a few additional students in the first and second years (say $10 \%$ of the number already registered) but no limiting factors were mentioned. The University of the Orange Free State mentioned as limiting factors a shortage of lecture room accommodation. With the exception of two student years at the University of Stellenbosch, the other departments could admit considerable numbers of extra students. No depertment indicated that first year students had been refused admission.

7.7.3 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Commerce.

Of the Departments which indicated the numbers of additional students who could be admitted, the Department of Commerce at Rhodes University (division of Shorthand and Typing) indicated that no additional first and third year students could be admitted as a result of a shortage of laboratories and its policy of admitting only a limited number. The other Departments could have admitted considerable additional numbers. No first year students were refused admission to any department.

TABLE 7.87
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING

| University | lst year 2nd |  | 3 rd y | th y | ye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stellenbosch | 165 |  | 6 | 7 | 3 |
|  | 95 |  | 20 | 3 | 15 |
| Rhodes <br> (Grahamstown) | 61 | 61 | 120 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhodes <br> (Port Elizabeth) | 37 | 54 | 45 | 23 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Free | 102 | 50 | 20 | 6 |  |
| State | 50 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |
| Pretoria | 425 | 208 | 84 | 83 |  |
|  | 213 | 104 | 42 | 42 |  |
| Witwatersrand | 409 | 393 | 359 | 282 |  |
| Potchefstroom | 199 | 128 | 49 | 16 | 9 |
|  | 76 | 57 | 51 | 34 | 16 |
| Natel <br> (Durban) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natal <br> (Pietermaritzburg) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 | 16 | 12 | 20 |  |
| Total | 1422 | 910 | 695 | 437 | 12 |
| Additional number | 434 | 186 | 138 | 104 | 31 |

7.7.4 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be edmitted to the Departments of Accounting and Auditing

According to the information provided by the Departments of Accounting and Auditing the Universities which did indicate how many additional students could be admitted could have taken considerably more. No limitations had been placed on first year student admissions and no departmental head indicated that he had refused admission to first year students.
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TABLE 7.88
NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF STATISTICS

7.7.5 $\frac{\text { Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be }}{\frac{\text { admitted to the Departments of Statistics }}{}}$

The Department of Statistics at the University of the Orange Free State could not admit additional students in the first and second student years in consequence of a shortage of lecture room accommodation, laboratories and teaching staff. The same department had a policy of only admitting a limited number of students. The University of the Witwatersrand could only admit a few additional students, less than $20 \%$ of those already register ed, in the first, third and fourth student years. The Departmental head in the University of the Orange Free State had refused admission to first year students in consequence of inadequate admission requirements and poor performance in the matriculation exemption examination.

$$
\text { TABLE } 7.89
$$

NUMBER OF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COST ACCOUNTING

| University | lst year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5 th year 6th year 7th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pot chefstroom | 56 | 31 |
|  | 28 | 16 |

7.7.6 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Department of Cost Accounting.

This Department is to be found only in the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. as a fully self-contained depertment with students in the first and second year. The Department could admit virtually unlimited numbers of students in both
years and it was said that there had been no limitations on the admission of first year students in 1962. The Department had not had occasion to refuse admission to first year students.

TABLE 7.90
NUMBER CF STUDENTS IN THE DEPARTIIENT OF ECONONTCS O TEAMSPORT

| University | 1st year 2nd year 3rd year 4th year 5th y ear 6th year 7th year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stell enbosch | 37 | 12 |
|  | 25 | 20 |
| Total | 37 | 12 |
| Additional <br> number | 25 | 20 |

7.7.7 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Department of Economics of Transport.

The Department of Economics of Transport, found, only: in the University of Stellenbosch, offers courses in the second and third year student years and could easily absorb as many students again as there were registered in 1962. The Departmental head could not admit additional first year students in 1962, nor had first year students been refused admission since the courses only begin in the second student year.

SUMIPARY OF THT MAIN FINDINGS CF THP INVESTIGATION

### 8.1 GENERAL

The following data have been analysed in this study:
(i) The numbers of matriculants of November-December 196 r and March 1962, and the numbers who enrolled during 1962 for university courses for which matriculation exemption was a requirement.
(ii) The courses of study for which these matriculants enrolled and the numbers in each university group of courses.
(iii) The matriculation subjects taken and the symbols obtained in each by first year students in the various university groups of courses.
(iv) The extent of the remaining group of matriculants and possible courses which they could have taken, had they gone to a university.
(v) The existing university teaching facilities.
8.2 AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER OF MATRICULANTS OF NOVEMBER DECIMBER 1961 AND MARCH 1962 AND THE NUNBERS OF THESE WHO ENTROLLED FOR COURSES FOR WHICH MATRICULATION EXEMPTION WAS A REQUIREMENT

### 8.2.1 A general analysis

From Table 2.1 it is concluded that:
(i) In November-December 1961 and March 1962, 9853 matriculation candidates obtained matriculation exemption.
(ii) Of this group of matriculants, 4078 (41.39\%) enrolled for university courses in 1962 for which matriculation exemption was a requirement, while 5775 (58.61\%) did not enrol.
(iii) Out of a total of 3353 candidates with first class passes, 1870 (55.78\%) enrolled for university courses in 1962 for which matriculation exemption was a requirement as against 1483 (44.22\%) who did not. Although a considerable number of the 5775 (58.61\%) of the matriculants who did not go to a university may possibly have been absorbed by the Armed Forces, Police, Teachers Colleges, Commercial firms (some of whom may be taking courses through the University of South Africa) and other occupations, they must nevertheless be looked upon as constituting a considerable loss in potential university material for 1962. This is particularly so in the light of the country's dire shortage of graduate scientists, engineers, medical practitioners and teachers, as shown in the survey of the "Training and Employment of Scientists and Engineers in South Africa" by the National Bureau of Educational and Social Research. (For potential university matcrial amongst Armed Forces ballotees, see paragraph 2.1.6).

### 8.2.2 An analysis of candidates according to home language

Although half of the matriculants were Afrikaans-speaking, (vide Table 2.3), only 1835 (37.2\%) enrolled for university courses for which matriculation exemption was required as compared with 2058 ( $46.5 \%$ ) of the English-speaking. This indicates a greater loss of Afrikaans-speaking university student potential than of English-speaking.
8.3 AN ANALYSIS OF THE NUMBER OF MATRICULANTS WHO ENROLLED FOR THE DIFFERENT UNIVERSITY COURSES FOR WHICH MATRICULATION BXEMPTION IS A REQUIREMENT

For purposes of this investigation, the various university courses were divided into six groups which coincided broadly with the Faculties of the universities (vide para. 1.4.10).

### 8.3.1 General analysis.

According to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ble 2.2, the largest group, namely 1750 ( $42.9 \%$ ) of the 4078 matriculants who went to a university enrolled for courses in Arts and Sooial Science. Then follow the Pure Science group with 862 (21.1\%), the Commerce group with 493 (12.1\%), the Engineering group with 412 (10.1\%), the Medical group with 385 (9.4\%) and the Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with 177 (4.3\%). Hence we may deduce that $1835(44.9 \%)$ candidates chose courses in Pure or Applied Sciences.

Of the 1870 matriculants with first class certificates who went to a university, 729 ( $39.0 \%$ ) chose Arts and Social Sciences, 477 (25.5\%) Pure Sciences, 220 ( $11.8 \%$ ) Engineering, 208 (11.1\%) Medical Sciences, 165 (8.8\%) Commerce and 71 ( $3.8 \%$ ) a course in Agriculture, Forestry or Veterinary Science. In short 976 or $52.2 \%$ of the first class pass candidates chosc courses in Pure and Applied Sciences.

### 8.3.2 An analysis according to home language

An analysis in accordance with the home language of the matriculants who enrolled for a university course in 1962 reveals the following (see Table 2.3):
(i) Of the 1750 matriculants who chose courses in Arts and Social Science, 914 (52. $2 \%$ ) were Afrikaans speaking.
(ii) Of the 861 matriculants who chose Science courses, 443 (51.5\%) were English-speaking.
(iii) Only 106 (25.7\%) of the matriculants taking engineering were Afrikaans-speaking.
(iv) Bnglish-speaking students taking courses in Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science, numbering only 37 (20.9\%), were far fewer than the Afrikaans -speaking students.
(v) Only 116 ( $30.1 \%$ ) of the matriculants enrolling in the Medical Sciences were Afrikaans -speaking.
(vi) Afrikaans -speaking students numbering 187 (37.9\%) who chose courses in Commerce were also in the minority.

[^5]Although the Afrikaans-speaking students were thus in the majority in Arts and Social Sciences, they were by far in the minority in Engincering, Medical Sciences and Commerce. The Fnglish-speaking students on the other hand conscitute a smaller percentage of those taking courses in Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.
8.4 A COMPARISON OF MATRICUIATION SUBJECTS TAKEN AND PERFORMANCES IN THE MATRICULATION SUBJECTS BY FIRST YEAR STUDENTS IN THE VARIOUS COURSES

TABLE 8.1
FIRST YEAR STUDENTS IN THE VARIOUS STUDY COURSES ACCORDING TO MATRICULATION SUBJECTS TAKEN

| Subjects | Arts <br> and <br> Social <br> Sciences | Pure Science Group | Engineering |  | Medical <br> Sciences | Commercial courses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. \% of group | No. \% of group | No. \% of group | No. \% of group | No. \% of group | No. \% of group |
| Afrikaans | 1733 99.0 | 35599.3 | 40297.6 | 177100.0 | $384 \quad 99.7$ | $489 \quad 99.2$ |
| English | 1743 99.9 | 86199.9 | 412100.0 | 177100.0 | 385100.0 | 493100.0 |
| Third Language | 137478.5 | 47755.4 | $266 \quad 64.6$ | 7844.1 | 27671.7 | 15834.1 |
| Mathematics | 130074.3 | 85899.7 | 41099.5 | 17699.4 | 37898.1 | 48698.6 |
| Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry | 85348.7 | 74987.0 | 40798.8 | 16593.2 | 33286.2 | 40682.3 |
| Biology, Botany or Zoology | 110463.1 | 359 41.7 | $78 \quad 18.9$ | 7844.1 | 17044.2 | $137 \quad 27.8$ |
| Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene | 48 2.71 | $22 \quad 2.5$ | 215.1 | $5 \quad 2.8$ | 82.1 | $6 \quad 1.2$ |
| Bookkeeping | 170 9.7 | 16419.0 | $57 \quad 13.8$ | $46 \quad 26.0$ | $49 \quad 12.7$ | 24950.5 |
| Commerce, Economics or Shorthand and Typing | 1086.2 | $25 \quad 2.9$ | $12 \quad 2.9$ | 10.6 | 12 3.1 | 5110.3 |
| History | 124170.9 | 43250.1 | 194 47.1 | $76 \quad 43.0$ | 21656.1 | 28357.4 |
| Geography | $413 \quad 23.6$ | $245 \quad 28.5$ | 13532.8 | $32 \quad 18.1$ | $75 \quad 19.5$ | 14529.4 |
| Art or Music | 19911.4 | $32 \quad 3.7$ | $13 \quad 3.2$ | $0 \quad 0.0$ | 13 3.4 | 91.8 |
| Dcmestic Science, Wood and Metal work, Agricultural and Techrical subjects | $227 \quad 13.0$ | 8810.2 | 5212.6 | $47 \quad 26.6$ | 28 7.3 | $37 \quad 7.5$ |
| Total in group | 1750 | 861 | 412 | 177 | 385 | 493 |

### 8.4.1 Matriculation subjects taken

An analysis of the percentage of matriculants in each university grunp of courses taking certain subjects shown in Table $\delta .1$ reveals the following:
(i) More than $99.0 \%$ of each group of students in the various courses had taken Afrikaans and English as matriculation subjects. As a rule the percentage taking English was slightly more than that for Afrikaans.
(ii) Mathematics was taken by $74.3 \%$ of those taking courses in Arts and Social Sciences compared with more than $98 \%$ in each of the other five groups.
(iii) A larger percentage of the Arts and Social Science group, namely 63.1\%, took Biology, Botany or Zoology as a matriculation subject compared with $48.7 \%$ who took Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry. More than $80 \%$ in each of the other five groups had taken Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry as a matriculation subject ( $98.8 \%$ of those taking Engineering).
(iv) Amongst the other matriculation subjects in the Arts and Social Science group, a third language was particularly popular, $78.5 \%$ taking this subject compared with $71.7 \%$ in the Medical group, $64.6 \%$ in the Engineering group and $55.4 \%$ in the Pure Science group. History was taken by $70.9 \%$ of the Arts and Social Science group, compared with $57.4 \%$ of the Commerce group, $56.1 \%$ of the Medical group, $50.1 \%$ of the Pure Science group while Bookkeeping was taken by only $50.5 \%^{\circ}$ of the Commerce group.

The subjects which were taken to the greatest extent by the various study groups were Afrikaans, English, a third language, Mathematics, Biology, Botany or Zoology and History by the Arts and Social Sciences group; Afrikaans, English, a third language, Mathematics, Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry and History by the Science and Medical groups and Afrikaans, English, Mathematics, Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry, History and Bookkeeping by the Commerce group.

TABLE 8.2

PRRFORMANCES IN MATRICTJATICN SUBJRCTS OF FLRST YEAR STUDINTS IN THE DIFFURTNT STUDY COURSPS

8.4.2 Performances in subjects taken for the matriculetion examination

Upon determining the average performance of the various university study course groups in each matriculation subject, the following is to be noted (see Table 8.2):
(i) A good average performance in the official languages, is achieved by virtually all the study groups, the best being the Arts and Social Science group (with an average performance of $61.4 \%$ in Afrikaans and $61.9 \%$ in English), the Pure Science group (with an average performance of $59.10 \%$ in Afrikaans and $59.6 \%$ in English) and the Medical group (with an average performance of $58.3 \%$ in Afrikaans and $60.1 \%$ in English).
(ii) Those taking study courses in the Pure Science with $59.8 \%$, those taking courses in Engineering with $59.3 \%$ and those in the Medical Sciences with $58.5 \%$ had these good average performances in a third language. The fairly poor average performance of $57.8 \%$ obtained by the Arts and Social Science group is to be noted.
(iii) As was to be expected the Engincering group had the best performance in Mathematics with an average of $68.5 \%$, followed by the Pure Science group ( $64.5 \%$ ) and the Medical group (61.8\%). The average performance of the other three groups in Mathematics was less than $60 \%$, namely Arts and Social Science with $54.6 \%$, Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science group with $58.5 \%$ and the Commerce group with 59.0\%.
(iv) The Engincering group also had the best performance in Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry with 65.3\%, followed by the Pure Science group with $64.5 \%$ and the Medical group with $62.6 \%$.
(v) The order for Biology, Botany and Zoology is broadly the same, namely the Engineering group with $65.7 \%$ (a smaller percentage i.e. $18.9 \%$ of this group took these subjects), the Pure Science group with $64.1 \%$ and the Medical group with $63.9 \%$.
(vi) The average performance in Bookkeeping was particularly high for all groups, with the Engineering group the highest with $69.3 \%$, followed by the Commerce group with $66.9 \%$ and the Pure Science group with $66.4 \%$.
(vii) The Medical group had the best performance in History with an average of $63.0 \%$ followed by the Pure Science group with $62.1 \%$ and the Arts and Social Science group with $61.4 \%$.
(viii) By comparison with the average performances in other matriculation subjects, that in Geography was fairly low for all study groups and ranged from $54.8 \%$ to $59 \%$ among the six groups.

As was to be expected the Arts and Social Science group had the best performances in the official languages, namely $61.4 \%$ in Afrikaans and 61.9\% in English, and also reasonably good performances in History with 61.4\%. The average performance of this group in a third language with $57.8 \%$ was, however, poorer than that of the Science and related groups. The good performance in History of the Medical group namely $63.0 \%$ was surprising. The good averages of the Science groups in Mathematics and the Physical Sciences was to be expected.
8.5 THP REMAINING GROUP OF MATRICULANTS AND THE COURSES THEY COULD POSSIBLY HAVE TAKEN

TABLE 8.3
MATRICULANTS WHO DID AND DID NOT GO TO TJNIVIRSITY
IN 1962 ANALYSRD BY MATRICULATION SUBJECTS

| Subjects | First year students at University in 1962 |  | Matriculants not at University in 1962 |  | Total number of matriculants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With C <br> symbols or higher | Total | With C <br> symbols or <br> higher | Total | With C symbols or higher | Total |
| Afrikaans | $\begin{aligned} & 1857 \\ & (48.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (4040 \\ (41.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1936 \\ & (51.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5728 \\ & (58.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3793 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9768 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| English | $\begin{aligned} & 1898 \\ & (51.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 4076 \\ (41.4 \%) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1679 \\ & (48.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5775 \\ & (58.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3677 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9851 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Third language | $\begin{aligned} & 1113 \\ & (59.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2639 \\ & (48.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 762 \\ (40.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2780 \\ & (51.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1875 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5419 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 1728 \\ & (51.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 3608 \\ & (41.1 \%) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1611 \\ & (48.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5166 \\ & (58.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3339 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8774 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry | $\begin{aligned} & 1493 \\ & (53.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2912 \\ (42.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1304 \\ & (46.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3893 \\ & (57 \cdot 2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2797 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6805 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Biology, Botany or Zoology | $\begin{gathered} 956 \\ (50.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1926 \\ & (40.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 930 \\ (49 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2811 \\ & (59.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1886 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4737 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Geology, Mechanics, Physiology and Hygiene | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (51 \cdot 3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \\ & (41.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (48.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ (58.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{268}{(100.0 \%)}$ |
| Bookkeeping | $\begin{aligned} & 466 \\ & (39.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 735 \\ & (30.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 719 \\ & (60.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1658 \\ & (69.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1185 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2393 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Commerce, Economics, Shorthand and Typing | $\begin{aligned} & 103 \\ & (37 \cdot 9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 209 \\ & (37.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ (62.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 342 \\ (62.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 551 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| History | 1318 $(52.5 \%)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2442 \\ & (43.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1192 \\ & (47.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3183 \\ & (56.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2510 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5625 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Geography | $\begin{gathered} 366 \\ (50.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1045 \\ & (37.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 357 \\ (49.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1723 \\ & (62.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 723 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2768 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Art or Music | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & (49.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 266 \\ & (45.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145 \\ & (50.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 321 \\ (54.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 287 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 587 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Domestic Science, Wood or Metal work, etc. | $\begin{gathered} 259 \\ (32.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 479 \\ & (30.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 536 \\ & (67.4 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1083 \\ & (69.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 795 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1562 \\ & (100.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

### 8.5.1 The extent of the remaining group of matriculants

When the number of matriculants who did not enrol for university courses during 1962 (about 1000 1) of the 5775 remaining matriculants were possibly chosen by ballot for compulsory military strvice) are compared with the other matriculants, the following becomes apparent (see Table 8.3):
(i) Nearly three fifths of those who took the official languages as matriculation subjects did not go to a university. More than half ( $51.0 \%$ ). of those who obtained a C symbol in Afrikaans, did not go to a university.
(ii) More than helf ( $51.3 \%$ ) of the matriculants who took a third language in the matriculation examination did not go to a university.
(iii) A particularly high percentage ( $58.9 \%$ ) of the matriculants with Mathematics as a matriculation subject were not at a university during 1962. Almost half of those who obtained a C symbol or higher in Mathematics did not go to a university.
(iv) Of the matriculants with Physical Science, Physics or Chemistry and Biological subjects, $57.2 \%$ and $59.3 \%$ respectively did not go to a university in 1962.
(v) The percentage of matriculants who took Bookkeeping and did not go to a university was $69.3 \%, 60.7 \%$ of those with a C symbol or higher in bookkeeping did not go to a university during 1962.
(vi) For all the other subjects, more than half of the matriculants taking them did not enrol for university courses.

The university student potential lost amongst those taking Mathematics and the Science subjects was thus particularly high, especially if one takes into consideration the shortage of scientists, engineers and medical practitioners in the country.

## TABLF 8.4

DISTRIBUTICN ( F THE NON-UNIVERSITY GROUP AMONG THE SIX STUDY COURSES.

| Courses | First class <br> pass | Second class <br> pass | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Social <br> Sciences | 578 | 1900 | 2478 |
| Pure Science | 378 | 841 | 1219 |
| Engineering | 175 | 409 | 584 |
| Agriculture, Forestry | 56 | 193 | 249 |
| Medical Sciences | 165 | 380 | 545 |
| Commercial Courses | 131 | 569 | 700 |
| Total | 1483 | 4292 | 5775 |

### 8.5.2 A distribution of the matriculants who did not go to a university during 1962, in possible study courses

If the remaining group of matriculants be distributed among university courses in a manner analogous to the distribution of those who did go to a university, the following may be taken as a possible distribution (see Table 8.4):
(i) About 2478 of the remaining group of matriculants, of whom 578 had first class passes, should have enrolled for Arts and Social Science courses.
(ii) For Pure Science courses there would have been about 1219 more matriculants, of whom 378 had obtained first class passes.
(iii) About 584 (175 in the first class) should have enrolled for Engineering.
(iv) About 249 (56 in the first class) would have chosen courses in Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.
(v) About 545 ( 165 in the first class) would have chosen a course in the Medical sciences.
(vi) Some 700 (131 in the first class) would have chosen a course in Commerce.

From this we may deduce that during 1962 all university courses would have had considerably more first year students if this lost university student potential l) for courses for which matriculation exemption is a requirement had enrolled during 1962.

1) If it be assumed that about 1,000 ballotees (see page 7) could also have gone to a university.
8.6 AN ANALYSIS OF BXISTING UNIVERSITY TEACHING FACILITIES

A shortage of teaching facilities, which of necessity limits the number of additional students who could be absorbed by university departments, is more particularly a problem in the Departments of Arts and Social Sciences, Engineering and Medical Science of certain universities. Furthermore only those departments which could admit no more or very few additional first year students are discussed with reference to those factors responsible for these limitations.
8.6.1 An analysis of the Departments of Arts and Social Science

Only those Departments which could admit less than $30 \%$ of the total of first year students registered in 1962 are discussed. (See Tables 8.5 and 8.6)

The following factors were responsible for the inability of various departments to admit any more students or more than a very few additional first year students during 1962.
(i) A shortage of lecture theatres or lecture room space in the Departments of Philosophy (University of the Orange Free State), Music (Rhodes University) and Fine Mrts (Rhodes University).
(ii) A shortage of facilities for practical work in the Departments of Psychology (University of the Witwatersrand), Music (Potchefstroom University for C.H.E.) and Physical Education (Rhodes University).
(iii) A shortage of lecturers in the Departments of History (University of Stellenbosch, the Orange Free State and Rhodes University), Philosophy (University of Stellenbosch), Psychology (University of Stellenbosch and the Witwatersrand), Physical Education (University of Stellenbosch and Rhodes University), AfrikaansNederlands (University of Stellenbosch), Fnglish (Universities of Stellenbosch and Natal(Durban)) and French (Rhodes University).

The following departments could have admitted additional first year students, but fewer than $30 \%$ of the number already registered:

Geography (University of Stellenbosch and Natal), History (Potchefstroom University for C.H.E.), Sociology and Social Work (University of the Witwatersrand), Music (University of the Witwatersrand), Education (Rhodes University), AfrikaansNederlands (University of the Orange Free State), English (Potchefstroom University for C.H.E.), Classics (University of the Witwatersrand and the Orange Free State), Semitic languages (University of Stellenbosch), German (University of Stellenbosch) and French (University of Stellenbosch).

It appears therefore that there is more particularly a need for expansion in the Arts departments at the Universities of Stellenbosch (especially necessary), Natal, the Witwaters rand, the Orange Free State, Rhodes University and the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E. In consequence of this, not many more than 500 additional students could be a.dmitted.
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TABLE 8.5
DEPARTMENTS OF ARTS AND SCCIAL SCIENCES WHICH TOGETHRR COULD CNLY ADMIT 40 PRR CENP ADDITICNAL FIRST YEAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Number of universities with the following departments |  Number <br> Number of <br> of depart- <br> depart ments <br> ments which <br> which could <br> pro- not <br> vided admit <br> infont first <br> ation year <br>  stu- <br>  dents |  | (a) Number of students in departments (1962) <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | First year | Second year | Third year | Fourth year | Fifth year | Sixth <br> and Seventh year |
| History | 9 | 7 | $\left(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R},{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | (a) 1076 <br> (b) 133 | $\begin{array}{r} 497 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ 61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Philosophy | 9 | 7 | 2 $(S, 0)$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 518 \\ \text { (b) } & 182\end{array}\right.$ | 146 89 | 95 74 | 13 | 8 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Psychology | 9 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (S, W) \end{gathered}$ | (a) 1853 | 936 253 | 457 111 | 43 43 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Music | 7 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Po}) \end{gathered}$ | (a) 300 | 151 47 | 149 23 | 38 11 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fine Arts | 5 | 3 | $(\mathrm{R})^{1}$ | (a) 181 | 49 14 | 49 17 | 6 0 |  |  |
| Afrikaans | 9 | 7 | (S) ${ }^{1}$ | (a) 2069 | 620 | 361 | 67 | 19 | 9 |
| $\mathbb{N}$ ederlands |  |  | (S) | ( b) 783 | 356 | 205 | 55 | 36 | 20 |
| English | 9 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~d}) \end{gathered}$ | (a) 2096 | 382 84 | $\begin{array}{r}290 \\ \hline 52\end{array}$ | 43 | 7 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Semitic languages | 6 | 3 | 0 | (a) 191 | 134 55 | 41 <br> 21 | 1 | 2 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| German | 9 | 7 | $(\mathrm{Po})^{1}$ | (a) 7109 | 215 159 | 123 | 28 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 15 |
| French | 8 | 4 | $(\mathrm{R})^{1}$ | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { (b) }\end{aligned} 124$ | 70 36 | 38 33 | 5 6 | 2 5 | 0 |

[^6]TABLE 8.6

ARTS AND SOCIAL SCIRNCE DEPARTMENTS WHICH COULD ADNIT NOT MORE THAN 30\% ADDITIONAL STUDENTS

(a) Number of first year students in departments.
(b) Additional number of first year students who could be admitted.

TABLE 8.7
DTPARTMENTS IN PURE SCITNCE WHICH CCULD ADMIT NOT MORE THAN 40\% ADDITIONAL FIRST YMAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Number of uni-versities with the following de-partments | Number of de-partments which supplied infor mation | Number of de-partments which could not admit ad-ditional first year students | (a) Number of students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) Additional number which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | lst <br> year | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2nd } \\ \text { y.ear } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{rd} \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | 4 th year | 5th | 6 th and 7 th year |
| Hygiene | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 90 \\ \text { b) } & 30\end{array}$ | 36 14 | 15 10 |  |  |  |
| Botany | 8 | 7 | 0 | (a) 5335 | 222 118 | 119 101 | 21 | 11 | 9 5 |
| Domestic <br> Scionce | 3 | 3 | 0 | (a) 1335 | 103 58 | 96 55 | 45 63 | 2 |  |

TABLE 8.8
DSPARTMENTS OF PTJRE SCIENCE WHICH COULD ADMIT NOT MORE THAN 30\% ADDITIONAI, FIRST YEAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Universities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ste | lenbosch | Rhodes | Orange Free State | Witwatersrand | Potchefstroom | Natal |
| Botany | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { b) }\end{array}\right.$ | 207 29 |  | 47 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 260 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | 136 14 | 170 38 |
| Zoology | (a) |  |  | ! | 280 40 |  |  |
| Physiology | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { b) }\end{array}\right.$ | 148 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy | $\binom{a}{b}$ |  | 48 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic | (a) | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science | (b) | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | (a) |  |  |  | 466 |  | 408 |
| and Applied | (b) |  |  |  | 0 |  | 110 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Number of first year students in departments
(b) Additional number of first year students who could be admitted

### 8.6.2 An analysis of departments of Pure Science

An examination of Tables 8.7 and 8.8 reveals that the Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics in the University of the Witwatersrand was the only department which was unable to enrol additional first year students in consequence of $a$ shortage of teaching personnel.

The following departments were able to take in not more than thirty per cent additional students:

Botany (Universities of Stellenbosch, Natal, the Orange Free State, the Witwatersrand and the Potchefstroom University for C.H.E.), Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand), Physiology (University of Stellenbosch), Pharmacy (Rhodes University), Domestic Science (University of Stellenbosch) and Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (University of Natal (Durban)).

The needs for oxtension of teaching facilities are thus particularly acute in the departments of Botany. This is of importance since Botany is taken by future students in Agriculture, Forestry and Medicine in their first year. It appears further that existing teaching facilities will not limit the expansion of the numbers of students in the Physical Sciences, with the exception of the University of the Witwatersrand.

### 8.6.3 An analysis of the Departments of Engineering

In part four of the report on "A Survey of the Training and Employment of Scientists and Engincers in South Africa", published by the National Bureau for Bducational and Social Research which deals with the training and employment of scientists and engineers, it was estimated that, without taking into account the loss of engineers who change their calling early in their careors, some 3,200 new engineers would be required for the period 1959-1965. The estimated number who would graduate during that period was some 2,600. The following is quoted from the report:
"Even with the most optimistic expectations, it appears that the shortage of engineers will persist even after 1965, unless there is a radical change brought about in the tempo of the training of engineers at the universities". In the light of this, adequate training facilities are thus extremely necessary.

The Universities of Stellenbosch and Pretoria, which are largely responsible for the training of Afrikaans speaking enginecrs still have plenty of training facilities for additional students in engineering. It is to be regretted that such plentiful good potential material, referred to in paragraph 8.5.2 of this chapter fails to make application for courses in engincering at these two universities.

It appears that in consequence of a shortage of accommodation and of teaching staff in the Department of Civil,
 Chemical Engineering, the University of the Witwatersrand was unable to admit additional first year enginecring students, the last named department mentioning inadequate practical facilities as an additional reason. This university had not been able to admit additional first year students for some time past.

## T.ABLE 8.9

$\because \therefore$ DEPARTMENTS OF ENGINETGRING WHICH COULD ADMIT NOT MORE THAN 40\% ADDITIONAL FIRST YEAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Number of universities with the following departments | Number of departments which supplied information | Number of departments which could not admit additional first yœr students | (a) Number of students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { lst } \\ \text { year } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 5 th | 6th <br> and <br> 7th <br> year |
| Civil <br> Engineering | 5 | 3 | (W) ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 30 \\ \text { (b) } & 15\end{array}$ | 164 |  | 97 39 | 21 9 | 4 3 |
| Mechanical <br> Engineering | 5 | 4 | (W) ${ }^{1}$ | (a) $459{ }^{\text {Fr }}$ | 329 | 131 72 | 61 43 | 12 1 | 1 |
| Electrical Engineering | 5 | 4 | (W) ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 42 \\ \text { (b) } & 21\end{array}$ |  | 273 93 | 70 54 | 13 | 4 |
| Chemical <br> Engineering | 3 | 2 | (W) ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 64 \\ \text { (b) } & 14\end{array}$ | 38 |  | 29 4 |  |  |

\# This number also includes the number of first year students in the departments of Civil and Electrical Engineering of the Universities of Stellenbosch and the Witwatersrand.

W = University of the Witwatorsrand.

$$
\text { TABLE } \quad 8.10
$$

AN ANALYSIS OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF ENGINEERING WHICH COULD ADNIT FEWER THAN $40 \%$ ADDITIONAL FIRST YEAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Universities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stellenbosch | Pretoria | Witwatersrand |  |
| Civil, Mechanical and | (a) | 59 | 124 | 348 |
| Electrical Engineering | (b) | 40 | 62 | 0 |
| Chemical Engincering | (a) | 28 | 36 |  |
|  | (b) | 14 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

(a) Number of first year students in departments
(b) Additional number of first year students who could be admitted

### 8.6.4 An Analysis of the Departments of the Faculties of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science.

The Facultics of Agriculture at the Universities of Stellenbosch, Pretoria, Natal and the Orange Free State could collectively enrol an appreciable number of additional students (according to the estimate, more than a hundred). Only the Department of Biometry at the University of the Orange Free State was unable in consequence of a shortage of teaching personnel, to admit additional students. It should be borne in mind that the only other factor which could possibly have limited the enrolment of additional students in Agriculture was the capacity of the Department of Botany in which Stellenbosch could only admit twenty-nine additional first year students, Natal could only admit thirty-eight more first year students and the Orange Free State only thirteen.

The Faculty of Forestry at the University of Stellenbosch was in a position to enrol more students than were registered at the time.

The Faculty of Veterinary Science at the University of Pretoria could not enrol any additional students in consequence of the limitations imposed by the research facilities at Onderstepoort.

### 8.6.5 An Analysis of the Departments in the Medical Sciences

According to Part 5 of the report on "A Survey of the Training and Employment of Scientists and Engincers in South Africa" which dcals with the training and employment of medical and dental personnel, the estimated accumulated requirements of the country would be between 3500 and 4500 new medical practitioners for the period 1959-1965. From the survey of trends in graduation at Universities in Part Three of the same report, it was deduced that the estimated number of qualified medical practitioners who would qualify during this period would be 1911, i.e. only about half the requirements of the accumulated requirements.

The universities are thus not meeting the needs of the country in this respect, and so the limiting factors which mitigate against the enrolment of additional students in medicine at the Universities of Pretoria, the Witwatersrand and Cape Town are most certainly impeding any possible increase in numbers of qualified medical practitioners.

TABLE 8.11

DEPARTMENTS OF MEDICINE WHICH COULD ADMIT VERY FEW ADDITIONAL STUDENTS

$\operatorname{Pr}=$ University of Pretoria.
W = Uriversity of the Witwatersrand.
\# Applies also to years subsequent to the first year.
EsE Students who enrol for the degree of M.B., B. Ch. take courses in most of these departments from the third year onwards. The numbers shown against certain departments in the first year are thus not M.B., B.Ch. students but students in Physiotherapy, Radiotherapy and Diagnosis, Nursing and other diplomas taking courses in these departments.

As may be deduced from Table 2.11, it was not possible for the Universities of Pretoria and the Witwatersrand to enrol rure students in the first year during 1962 in most of the departments of Medicine. According to the University of Pretoria, the limiting factor lay in the hospital facilities provided, while the University of the Witwatersrand reported an inadequacy of facilities for practical work, teaching staff and of lecture room accommodation, particularly in the departments of Pathology and Pharmacology.

The Faculty of Dentistry in the University of the Witwatersrand was unable to enrol any additional students in consequence of a shortage of lecturing staff, lecture room accommodation and facilities for practical work. The University of the Witwaters rand had also been compelled to refuse admission to aspirant students of dentistry because they had failed to pass the matriculation examination at a sufficiently satisfactory level of achievement.

The University of Stellenbosch indicated that the Faculty of Medicine could at the present time not admit many more students, but that upon completion of the new hospital in the northern suburbs of Cape Town there would be no problem in that respect.

According to astimates made, only seventy additional first year students could be enrolled in Medicine (and that only by the University of Stellenbosch); this compared most unfavourably with other faculties in respect of numbers which could be enrolled.

### 8.6.6 An Analysis of the Departments of Commerce

It appears that it would be possible to enrol some 500 additional first year students in these departments. The following departments would be able to enrol somewhat less than $30 \%$ of additional first year students: The Departments of Economics (University of the Witwatersrand and Rhodes University), Commerce (Rhodes University could take no additional students), Statistics (the University of the Orange Free State could accept no additional students and the University of the Witwatersrand very few).

TABLE 8.12
DEPARTMITNTS OF COMMERCE WHICH COULD ADMIT LESS THAN $40 \%$ ADDITIONAL FIRST YTAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Number of Universities with the following departments | Number of departments which supplied information | Number of departments which could not admit additional first year students | (a) Number of students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) Additiona number which could be enrolled |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | lst | 2nd 3 rd yearyeay | $4 t h$ dyear | $5 \operatorname{th}$ | 6th and 7th year |
| Commerce | 6 | 5 | 1 $(\mathrm{R})$ | 644 | 393 368 <br> 222 97 | 27 <br> 28 | - | - |

$(R)=$ Rhodes University

TABLE 8.13
DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE WHICH COULD ADMIT LESS THAN 30\% ADDITIONAL FIRST YEAR STUDENTS

| Departments | Universities |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Rhodes | Orange Free State | Witwatersrand |
| Sconomics | (a) 202 | - | 234 |
| Commerce | (b) | 60 | - |
| Statistics | (a) | 48 | - |
|  | b) | 0 | - |

(a) Number of first year students in departments.
(b) Additional numbers of students who could be admitted

### 8.6.7 General Analysis of Departments which could only admit a few additional students

In Table 8.14 a resumé is given of the whole picture of the numbers of additional first year students who could be enrolled, as also an indication of those departments responsible for the limitations on numbers in certain directions.

AN ESTIMATE OF THE NUMBERS OF ADDITIONAL FIFST YRAR STUDENTS WHO COULD BE ADMITTED TO VARIOUS COURSES, WITH AN INDICATION OF DEPARTMENTS WHICH LIMITED ADMISSION

| Courses | Number of additional first year students | Universities which limited the admission of first year students | Departments of these universities which were responsible for the limitations on the admission of additional first year students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts and Social ;Sciences | 500 | Stellenbosch | Afrikaans-Nederlands (0), English (0), History (0), Psychology (0), Physical Education (0), Philosophy (0), Geography (25), French (15), Semitic Languages (20), German (30). |
|  |  | Natal | English (0), Geography (7). |
|  |  | Rhodes | History (0), French (0), Fine $\Lambda r t s$ (0), Music (3), Physical Education <br> (7), Education (10). |
|  |  | Orange Free State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { History (0), Philosophy (0), } \\ & \text { Classics (7), Afrikaans-Nederlands } \\ & \text { (100). } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Potchefstroom | German (0), Music (0), History (20), English (50). |
|  |  | Witwatersrand | Psychology (0), Sociology and Social Work (77), Classics (49), Music (25). |
| Pure <br> Sciences | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (700)^{\text {ㅍ}} \end{aligned}$ | Witwatersrand | Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (0), Botany (70), Zoology (40). |
|  |  | Stellenbosch | Botany (29), Physiology (8), Domestic Science (11). |
|  |  | Rhodes | Pharmacy (2). |
|  |  | Natal | Botany (38), Mathematics and Applied Mathematics (110). |
|  |  | Orange Free State | Botany (13). |
|  |  | Potchefstroom | Botany (14) . |
| Engineering | 100 | Witwatersrand | Civil, Mechanical and Electrical Engineering (0), Chemical Engincering (0). |
| Agriculture, Forestry and Vet. Science | $100+$ | Pretoria | Veterinary Science (0). |
| Medical <br> Sciences | 70 | Witwatersrand Pretoria | Dentistry (0), Medicine (0) <br> Dentistry (0), Medicine (0) |
| Commercial Courses | 500 | Rhodes <br> Witwatersrand <br> Orange Free State | ```Commerce (0), Economics (60) Economics (23), Statistics (60) Statistics (0)``` |

\# If some 300 first year students in Fingincering, Medical Science and Agricultural Faculties be subtracted

### 8.7 CONCLUSIONS

As has been shown elsewhere (vide para. 8.6.5), the shortage in particular of medical practitioners and engineers in South Africa is a most serious matter and the universities are not mceting the needs of the country.

The potential student material for the universities during 1962 was nevertheless available since virtually sixty per cent of the country's matriculants 1 ) of November-December, 1961 and March, 1962 (including nearly 1500 with first class passes) did not enrol for degree courses or the equivalent thereof in universities. This potential material was available for all courses, namely matriculants with good symbols in languages and History (for Arts and the Social Sciences) Mathematics and Physical Sciences (for the Pure Sciences, Engineering, Medical Sciences) and Bookkeeping (for the Commercial Courses).

Although adequate teaching facilities were available at most of the universities for enrolling considerably more additional students, there were nevertheless several departments which needed to be expanded. Limitations of teaching facilities made an increase in student numbers impossible in certain of the Arts and Social Science departments (University of Stellenbosch), in Engineering (University of the Witwatersrand) and in Medicine (Universities of Pretoria and the Witwatersrand).

In order to overcome the shortage of graduate manpower, a possible solution lies in directing as many matriculants as possible to the universities immediately after matriculating. This would necessitate making available adequate provision for further study and removing any limitations in respect of existing training facilities, especially in the Medical Sciences. It would also be necessary to encourage Afrikaans speaking persons to take a greater interest in Engincering and Medicine as the interest shown by the Afrikaans speaking section of the community falls far short of what it should be.

1) Of whom 1000 were military ballotees who for that particular year must be regarded as lost manpower in Pure Science, Engineering and Medicine. It is not known how many of them went to a university upon completion of their military training.

## APPENDIX A.

AN ANALYSIS OF THE DOMICILDS OF FIRST YEAR UNIV $2 R S I T Y$ STUDRNTS IN 1962, WHO HAD MATRICULATGD IN MOVMBMR-DECMBPR. 1961 AND MARCH 1962

| Area in which <br> home is located | Number | Percentage first year students of total | Number of first year students at Engishspeaking Universities | Number at English medium Universities as percentage of total | Number of first year students at Afrikaansspeaking Universities | Number at Afrikaans medium universities as percentago of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J ohannes burg | 653 | 16.0 | 565 | 13.8 | 88 | 2.2 |
| Southern Transvaal | 55 | 1.4 | 26 | 0.7 | 29 | 0.7 |
| East-Rand | 204 | 5.0 | 101 | 2.5 | 103 | 2.5 |
| West-Rand | 115 | 2.8 | 57 | 1.4 | 58 | 1.4 |
| Pretoria | 402 | 9.9 | 50 | 1.3 | 352 | 8.6 |
| Eastern and Northeastern Transvaal | 174 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 30 | 0.8 | 144 | 3.5 |
| South-western Transvaal | 134 | 3.3 | 18 | 0.5 | 116 | 2.8 |
| Western Transvaal | 63 | 1.5 | 6 | 0.1 | 57 | 1.4 |
| Northerm Transvaal | 61 | 1.5 | 12 | 0.3 | 49 | 1.2 |
| Trensvaal | 1861 | 45.6 | 865 | 21.2 | 996 | 24.4 |
| Cape Town and Peninsula | 460 | 11.3 | 332 | 8.2 | 128 | 3.1 |
| Boland and Southwestern Districts | 288 | 7.1 | 61 | 1.5 | 227 | 5.6 |
| Western and Northwestern Cape | 177 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 28 | 0.6 | 149 | 3.7 |
| Port Elizabeth and Uitenhage | 94 | 2.3 | 70 | 1.7 | 24 | 0.6 |
| East London and environs | 64 | 1.6 | 54 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Eastern Province | 180 | 4.4 | 93 | 2.3 | 87 | 2.1 |
| Cape Province | 1263 | 31.0 | 638 | 15.7 | 625 | 15.3 |
| Durban | 297 | 7.3 | 274 | 6.7 | 23 | 0.6 |
| Pietermaritzburg | 82 | 2.0 | 75 | 1.8 | 7 | 0.2 |
| Natal country areas | 83 | 2.0 | 69 | 1.7 | 14 | 0.3 |
| Natal | 462 | 11.3 | 418 | 10.2 | 44 | 1.1 |
| Blocmfontein | 162 | 4.0 | 38 | 0.9 | 124 | 3.1 |
| Froe State country areas | 208 | 5.1 | 35 | 0.9 | 173 | $4 \cdot 2$ |
| Orange Free State | 370 | 9.1 | 73 | 1.8 | $2977^{\circ}$ | 7.3 |
| South West Africa | 70 | 1.7 | 25 | 0.6 | 45 | 1.1 |
| Foreign | 52 | 1.3 | 37 | 0.9 | 15 | 0.4 |
| Total | 4078 | 100.0 | 2056 | 50.4 | 2022 | 49.6 |

APPENDIX B

AN ANALYSIS OF THE DEPARTMRNTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN WHICH COULD ADMIT LESS THAN THIRTY PER CENT OF ADDITIONAL STUDENTS

| Departments | (a) Number of students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |  | Reasons for which dopartments could not admit additional first year students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First year | Second Jear | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Third } \\ & \text { year } \end{aligned}$ | Fourth year | Fifth year | Sixth year |  |
| Arts and Social Sciences: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| History | (a) $\begin{array}{rr}\text { (a) } & 237 \\ \text { b } & 0\end{array}$ | 68 20 | 38 | 3 6 |  |  |  |
| Philosophy | $\left(\begin{array}{rr}\text { (a) } & 128 \\ (\mathrm{~b}) & 0\end{array}\right.$ | 35 0 | 2 | 1 |  |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |
| Psychology | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lr}\text { (a) } & 198 \\ \text { (b) } & 52 \\ \text { (a) }\end{array}\right.$ | 60 | 26 4 | 3 7 | 5 | 7 |  |
| Music | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 35 \\ \text { b) } & 10\end{array}\right.$ | 25 10 | 20 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |  |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |
| Fine Arts | $\left(\begin{array}{lr}\text { a) } & 85 \\ \text { b) } & 0\end{array}\right.$ | 41 | 40 | 5 0 |  |  |  |
| Afrikaans- Nederlands | (a) 2071 | 21 32 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |
| English | $\left(\begin{array}{lr}\text { (a) } & 840 \\ \text { b) } & 12\end{array}\right.$ | 425 0 | 102 | 14 4 |  |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |
| Semitic languages | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lr}\text { (a) } & 36 \\ \text { (b) } & 4\end{array}\right.$ | 22 8 | 10 | 7 8 |  |  |  |
| African studies | (a) $\begin{array}{lr}\text { (a) } & 369 \\ \text { (a) } & 0\end{array}$ | 87 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Classics | (a) 218 | 32 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Geography | (a) $\begin{array}{rr}\text { (b) } & 77 \\ \text { b } & \\ \end{array}$ | 24 16 | 18 | 0 8 |  |  |  |
| Pure Sciences: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Botany | (a) 338 | 14 16 | 11 |  |  |  | Shortage of teaching stalf and locture room accommodation |
| Zoology | (a) 35 | 22 66 | 22 50 | 4 8 |  |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |
| Phrisiology | (a) <br> (b) | 170 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | (a) $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { (b) } \\ & \text { (a) }\end{aligned}$ | 109 22 | 69 28 | 35 10 | 6 4 | 13 |  |
| Physics | (a) 6488 | 113 16 | 38 | \|r $\begin{array}{r}16 \\ 3\end{array}$ | 3 5 | 10 2 |  |
| Mathematics and $A p p l i e d$ Mathema'ics | (a) 654 | $\begin{array}{r} 455 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | 133 27 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation. |

## APPENDIX B (CONTD.)

| Departments | (a) Number of students in departments in 1962 <br> (b) Additional numbers which could be admitted |  |  |  |  |  | Reasons for which departments could not admit additional first year students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First year | Second year | Third <br> year | Fourth year | Fifth year | Sixth <br> year |  |
| Engineering: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil <br> Engineering | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ (\mathrm{b})- \\ -\end{array}\right.$ | 98 0 | 55 0 | 42 0 |  |  |  |
| Mechanical <br> Engineering | (a) $\begin{array}{lr}\text { (b) } & 132 \\ \text { (a) } & \end{array}$ | 75 25 | 35 0 | 25 0 |  |  |  |
| Electrical Engineering | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ (\mathrm{b})\end{array}\right.$ | 77 50 | 120 50 | 53 30 |  |  |  |
| Chemical Engineering | (a) - $(\mathrm{b})$ | 32 8 | 35 5 | 28 2 |  |  |  |
| Medicine: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pathology | $\binom{$ a }{ b } |  | 123 0 | 110 25 |  |  |  |
| Pharmacology | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (a) } \\ \text { b }\end{array}\right.$ |  | 120 0 |  |  | 110 0 |  |
| Psychiatry | $\binom{(a)}{b}$ |  | 123 0 |  | 110 0 |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |
| Medicine | (a) |  |  |  | 110 |  |  |
| Surgery | $\binom{$ a }{ b } |  |  |  | 110 | 106 0 |  |
| Infectious <br> Diseases | (a) (b) |  |  | 96 0 |  | 110 0 |  |
| Bacteriology | $\binom{$ ( $a)}{$ b } |  | 123 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economics | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a) } & 441 \\ \text { (b) } & 40\end{array}$ | 153 0 | 24 10 | 5 5 |  |  | Shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation |

1. In the departments of the Faculties of Arts and Social Sciences and certain departments of Engineering there is apparently a great shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation, particularly in the first year classes.
2. In the departments of the Faculties of Pure Science and Medicine there was apparently little likelihood of immediate expansion on account of a shortage of teaching staff and lecture room accommodation, as also of facilities for practical work.
3. Because of the overlapping of certain classes the numbers in engineering may lead to some confusion.

[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {S }}$ Peroentages are given rolative to all first year students. This total inciudes a large number of young persoas enrolled for courses in Music, Drama and Ballet,

    The requisite figure is nct available; this figure is an estimate basad on the íigures supplied from the Witwatersiands Cape Town and Rhodes Universities。

[^1]:    1.4.8 The teaching facilities of departments are the lecture theatres and rooms, laboratories or other practical facilities and the teaching staff.
    1.4.9 The term "additional students" mean the additional number of students who could be admitted by university departments if they wished to register.

    ### 1.4.10 Corresponding faculties at different universities do not necessarily have the same departments. For purposes of comparison it was therefore decided to divide the courses of study into the following six main categories:

    (i) Arts and Social Sciences (Faculties of Arts, Social Science, Law, Theology, Education, Physical Education and Military Science).
    (ii) Science (Faculties of Science and Architecture).
    (iii) Engineering (Faculty of Engineering).
    (iv) Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science (Faculties of Agriculture, Forestry and Veterinary Science).
    (v) Medical Sciences (Faculties of Medicine and Dentistry).
    (vi) Commerce (Faculties of Commerce and Public Administration).

[^2]:    6.2.10 History and other sociel study subjects.

    The distribution, according to course followed, of the non-university students, who took History or other social study subjects for matriculation is shown in table 6.11.

[^3]:    7.2.9 Limitations in respect of the number of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Fine Arts and the History of Art

[^4]:    7.5.20 Limitations in respect of the numbers of students who could be admitted to the Departments of Food Technology

    In the Department of Food Iechnology (Stellenbosch) more than twice as many students as were already registered could be admitted in each student year in 1962. This Department does not offer courses to first year students and had no limitations therefore of the number of students admitted to the first year.

[^5]:    126/.........

[^6]:    $S$ = University of Stellenbosch
    $\mathrm{R}=$ Rhodes University
    0 = University of the Orange Free State
    $\mathrm{W}=$ University of the Witwatersrand
    Po = Potchefstroom University for C.H.E.
    $N d=$ University of Natal (Durban)

