

Measuring individual transitions and trajectories: a methodology to inform targeted policy implementation

Glenda Kruss



Aggregate performance is a blunt measure

- Typical method of impact assessment is census-like counts of enrolments, completions, or at best, placement
- Using such measures, may assume learnership and apprenticeship systems are 'successful':
 - They meet NSDSII targets for ENROLMENT:
 - Learnership targets for both unemployed and employed exceeded
 - Apprenticeship targets close to being met for employed
 - Result in a high rate of COMPLETION
 - 86% of sample for learnership

Limited insight, less reliable

- These typical measures assume a linear progression:
school -> learnership -> labour market
- BUT individuals have more complex trajectories or journeys, with multiple transitions, especially those who are most economically and socially vulnerable

school -> unemployed -> training -> unemployed -> learnership -> further study -> labour market

- How do we trace individual journeys into, through, and out of skills development systems, to assess the efficiency and success of a system, in order to inform policy interventions in a more valid and reliable manner?

Leave school

Outcome of transition 1

A

W

S

W&S

U

Outcome of transition 2

A

W

S

W&S

U

Outcome of transition 3

A

W

S

W&S

U

Record transitions until entered Apprenticeship

A

Legend:

A = Entered Apprenticeship

W = Worked

S = Studied

W&S = Worked and studied

U = Did not work and did not study

Trajectories technique leads to significant insights for policy intervention

- Apprenticeships: **70%** experienced a smooth transition directly into employment (76% eventually)
- Learnerships: **86%** of those who completed were employed, **79%** immediately after completing
- Propensity for un/complicated trajectories and outcomes informed by race, gender, age, socio-economic status, eg:
 - Africans over-represented and Whites under-represented, in the learnership group that remains unemployed
 - White males more likely to move directly into an apprenticeship after school
- Sectoral differences

A more nuanced, targeted approach

- ⇒ Positive impact on employment
- ⇒ But systems have limited scale and reach
- ⇒ And profiles of participation and success are not equitable
- *How can these systems offer alternative skills development pathways on a larger scale?*
- *How can we do so in a way that is targeted to facilitate equitable access, throughput and success to critical and scarce skills development programmes?*
- Allows more accurate assessment to target appropriate policy interventions